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paper “The Black Arts” (see Vol. XIV. p. 357) in the Magazine of Art, January 1888; and those of Plates XX. and XXXVI. were published in an article by Frank Rinder on “John Ruskin as Artist” in the Argosy, March 1901.

Of the drawings, 21 have been exhibited (several more than once). The following are the particulars:—No. X. at the Ruskin Exhibition, Royal Society of Water-Colours, 1901 (No. 266), and at the Fine Art Society, 1907 (No. 27); No. XI. at the Ruskin Exhibition, Coniston, 1900 (No. 42), at the R.W.C.S. (No. 299), at the F.A.S. (No. 14); No. XII. at the R.W.C.S. (No. 25); No. XIV. at the R.W.C.S. (No. 80) and F.A.S. (No. 15); No. XVI at the R.W.C.S. (No. 122); No. XII. at the Ruskin Exhibition, Manchester, 1904 (No. 58); No. XIX. at the R.W.C.S. (No. 89); No. XX. at R.W.C.S. (No. 313) and Manchester (No. 88); No. XXI. at R.W.C.S. (No. 130); No. XXIII. at R.W.C.S. (No. 55) and F.A.S. (No. 44); No. XXIV. at F.A.S. (No. 128); No. XXVI. at R.W.C.S. (No. 285) and Manchester (No. 126); No. XXVII. at R.W.C.S. (No. 324) and F.A.S. (No. 100); No. XXX. at Coniston (No. 13); No. XXXI. at R.W.C.S. (No. 346), Manchester (No. 221), and F.A.S. (No. 91); No. XXXII. at Coniston (No. 58) and R.W.C.S. (No. 51); No. XXXIV. at Coniston (No. 212), R.W.C.S. (No. 141), and F.A.S. (No. 202); No. XXXV. at Coniston (no. 66) and R.W.C.S. (No. 95); No. XXXVI. at Manchester (No. 328); and “B.” at Manchester (No. 338) and F.A.S. (No. 29).
INTRODUCTION TO VOL. XXXV

This volume contains the last of Ruskin’s Works, (I.) the fragment of autobiography called Preterita, together with (II.) the three published Parts of a supplementary volume called Dilecta. In an Appendix are given, as will presently be explained (p. lvii.), several additional passages from the MS. material for Preterita.

Preterita was issued at irregular intervals between 1885 and 1889; the final chapter of it, issued in July 1889, was the last piece that Ruskin wrote. In this Introduction, (I.) the story of his life is continued from the end of 1884 to the close in January 1900 (pp. xxi.–li.). (II.) Some account is next given of Preterita itself (pp. li.–lviii.); and, lastly, (III.) additional information is supplied with regard to various facts, incidents, and episodes touched upon in the book (pp. lviii.–lxxvi.).

I. 1885–1889

The years of Ruskin’s life to be dealt with in this Introduction fall into two periods—that from 1885 to the summer of 1889, during which his pen was still active; and that from the summer of 1889 to the end, when all labour was laid aside. The four years of his last literary period, rendered notable by the writing of Preterita, one of the most charming of all his books, contained for Ruskin many months of fruitful labour and contented peace, broken, however, by repeated attacks of illness. In view of these interruptions, the amount of work which he succeeded in accomplishing is remarkable. Preterita was the main task to which he set himself when he had resigned his Oxford professorship (Vol. XXXIII. p. lvi.). For the rest, he finished Proserpina; wrote A Knight’s Faith; edited several parts of the Roadside Songs of Tuscany, and the whole of Christ’s Folk and Ulric the Farm Servant; and wrote a few miscellaneous pieces. He also devoted much time to the artistic work of the St. George’s Guild. Many of his letters to the several artists in his employ have been given in an earlier volume;¹ they show how much trouble he spent during these years upon enriching his Museum, and in 1886 he arranged an exhibition in London of drawings made for the Guild.² As the writings of

¹ Vol. XXX. pp. lxii.–lxv.
² The catalogue is printed in the same volume, p. 177.
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the period, other than Præterita, have been given in previous volumes, it may be well to furnish here a chronological list:—

   "  Cæli Enarrant (Vols. VI. and VII.).
   "  In Montibus Sanctis, Part ii. (Vol. VI.).
   March. Introduction to Usury and the English Bishops (Vol. XXXIV.).
   "  Roadside Songs of Tuscany, Part viii. (Vol. XXXII.).
   "  New edition of Dame Wiggins of Lee (Vol. II.).
   "  A Knight’s Faith (Vol. XXXI.).
   July. Præterita, Parts i., ii., and iii.

   January-April. Præterita, Parts viii.–xii.
   June. Præterita, Parts xiii.–xv.
   "  Præterita, Part xvi.
   "  Præterita, Parts xvi.–xvii.
   "  Ulric the Farm Servant, Parts i. and ii. (Vol. XXXII.).
   October-November. Præterita, Parts xviii.–xx.

   "  Dilecta, Part i.
   "  Christ’s Folk in the Apennine, Parts i. and ii. (Vol. XXXII.).
   "  Præterita, Part xxii.
   "  Christ’s Folk, Part iii. (Vol. XXXII.).
   "  Præterita, Part xxiiii.
   "  Preface to Hortus Inclusus (Vol. XXXVII.).
   November. Præterita, Part xxiv.
   "  Christ’s Folk, Parts iv., v., and vi. (Vol. XXXII.).
   "  The Black Arts (Vol. XIV.).

   April. Preface to E. T. Cook’s National Gallery.
   May. Præterita, Part xxv.
   September. Præterita, Part xxvi.
   "  Epilogue to Modern Painters.
   October. Ulric, Parts vii.–ix.

   June. Præterita, Part xxvii.
   July. Præterita, Part xxviii.

(For Letters to the Press written during the years 1885–1889, see Vol. XXXIV. pp. 577–620.)
The work thus accomplished often brought peace and pleasure to Ruskin. “A white day,” he writes in his diary (February 5, 1885), “getting Sir Herbert’s book¹ all planned and the first chapter sent to press (most of it now done), and embroideries sent to Irish school.” And, again, “Yesterday (May 3) sent to printer the last sheets of Songs of Tuscany; very thankful to have been spared to finish them rightly. Strong at work in every direction, and wonderfully content in it, D.G.” And so in the following year, “Well and cheerful (January 3, 1886), and doing most useful work.” “Yesterday,” he says a little later (April 26), “most successful work; quiet day in the woods. . . . Got up thinking what marvellous powers and influences I have now, if I use them honestly and bravely”; and again on the next day:—

“And an entirely blessed and pure morning; absolutely calm, with dew on fields. I out to the gate corner to see Helvellyn. Cloudless wind on lake; then garden; then anemones. All kinds of helpful thought sent with the beauty, D.G.”

And once more (May 1):—

“And slept well, after lovely walk on top of moor at cloudless sunset, feeling how thankful beyond words, or thought, I should be for having such a place to live at, and painless, if not now powerful, body and limbs to bear me still on a rock path. Down in good heart. How I enjoy my work! and I have just been reading poor Carlyle on last volume of Frederick.”²

The limbs still bore him upon many a mountain ramble, and in September of this year he wrote to Professor Norton³ that he had been half-way up Coniston Old Man, “without more fatigue than deepened the night’s rest.” An entry, some months later, shows him busy, as ever, with multifarious tasks:—

“January 9, 1887, Sunday.—Sixteen letters written on Friday; eleven yesterday. Mineral ticketing. Chess playing. Botanical lesson to Gussie, musical to Annie, painting to Robert Redhead.⁴ Miss Murray found out; and promised support in bird drawing.⁵

¹ A Knight’s Faith (Vol. XXXI.).
² That is, as told in Froude’s Life of Carlyle.
³ See a letter of September 13 in Vol. XXXVII.
⁴ Children in the village school at Coniston.
⁵ References to Miss Murray will be found in the Letters (Vol. XXXVII.); and there are studies by her—of flowers, however—in the Sheffield Museum (Vol. XXX, p. 241).
Such entries are typical of bright and busy months which were not infrequent during these years, and which are reflected in the happy mood of Præterita.

And in this evening of his days Ruskin had also that which should accompany old age, in honour, love, the devotion of faithful disciples, and the sympathy of many admirers. This last was illustrated by the Complimentary Address, already printed,¹ which was presented to him at Christmas 1885, with the signatures of most of the men of light and leading in the United Kingdom and the United States. He had also troops of friends. The years from 1885 to 1889 were spent in the main quietly at Brantwood, and Ruskin in his periods of good health was able to entertain many guests. Froude, for one, came on a visit in the autumn of 1886. I have seen a letter from Froude in which he says “how wholesome, how useful, how in every way precious were the days then spent at Brantwood; partaking in a simple and beautiful life, and breathing pure air, spiritual as well as material.” They had much talk about Carlyle, for the storm which Froude’s Life and subsidiary publications had caused was then raging. Ruskin was in the difficult position of being the attached friend both of Froude and of his antagonist in this matter, Professor Norton. His sympathies were, as has been said already,⁴ with Froude, whose picture of Carlyle was, he held, in the main true, and therefore what the subject of it would have desired. In some respects, however, he thought there was still something more to be said, and he proposed to write on the subject himself—partly to vindicate, and partly to supplement, Froude. “You are the only person,” Froude had written, “to whom I can talk about Carlyle, or from whom I could either seek advice or expect it.” And at a later time he said: “Your assurance that on the whole the selection which I made from Carlyle’s letters is a good one, has given me more pleasure than anything which I have yet heard on that subject. . . . I cannot tell you how I feel your own willingness to clear the sky for me in my own lifetime.” And, again, “Your proposal to bring out a small volume on Carlyle simply delights me.”

¹ See Vol. XXVI. pp. lx.–lxii., where an account of the intended Institutes is given, and a fragment of it printed. For Cœli Enarrant, see Vol. III. p. lxiii.
² This article, however, was not written.
³ Vol. XXXIV. p. 733.
⁴ Vol. XXXIII. p. lii.
was in 1889, and Ruskin’s working days were then almost at an end. The little volume was never to be written, and the personal mention of Carlyle in *Præterita* is only incidental.\(^1\) Another friend from whom a visit is recorded in Ruskin’s diary is Aubrey de Vere. Of Miss Kate Greenaway’s visits, and her constant correspondence with Ruskin, which was one of the new interests and solaces of his old age, account will be found in the Introduction to the next volume (dealing with Ruskin’s friendships as illustrated by his Letters). Letters from William Gifford Palgrave, then Her Majesty’s Minister in Uruguay, also gave Ruskin much pleasure during these years. Palgrave was very much at one with Ruskin in his outlook upon the world, and from 1884 to his death in 1888 was one of the most regular and affectionate of Ruskin’s correspondents.

But Ruskin’s greatest pleasure, perhaps, was in pleasing young people. Many of the reminiscences of Brantwood which have been published relate to these years, when he liked to have young men and girls around him, and lent himself out to give them instruction and pleasure. One of his girl-friends, married to Mr. Allen Harker, has given a characteristic description of tea-time at Brantwood:

“He looked an old man even then in 1888, as he stood in his favourite place on the hearth-rug in the Brantwood drawing-room; but his eyes were the youngest I have ever seen in adult face, blue and clear like a child’s, with the child’s large direct gaze. By tea-time, every table, chair, and most of the floor would be littered with a wonderful profusion of sketches, photographs, missals, Greek coins, and uncut gems. ‘Now we begin to look comfortable,’ he would say gleefully when there was nothing left to sit upon, and we had to pick our steps among the treasures scattered at our feet; and we were comfortable. He spared neither himself nor his possessions to give pleasure to his guests. He talked much and brilliantly, laughing heartily an infectious, chuckling laugh when anything amused him.”\(^2\)

The story is told of the poet-painter, William Blake, that in his old age a child came to see him. He put his hand upon her head and blessed her, saying, “May God make the world as beautiful to you, my child, as it has been to me.” No small part of Ruskin’s life was spent in similar benediction.

Another occupation which gave Ruskin interest and enjoyment during these years was teaching the village children at Coniston. His

\(^1\) See below, pp. 460, 539.

interest in the school has been described in a previous volume, and writing in 1887, in Christ’s Folk, he mentions a weekly lesson he was in the habit of giving at Brantwood. The same visitor who has just been quoted has described the scene:—

“Every Saturday a dozen or so of sturdy mountain lasses, ranging from ten to fourteen, came for a ‘lesson’ and for tea. These lessons were encyclopaedic in their scope, ranging from the varying shapes of fir-cones to the correct position on the map of ‘Riblah in the land of Hamath,’ probably followed by a disquisition on ‘the god Bel or Baal’ as represented ‘on the cast of a coin—Italian—Greek—finest time.’ Sometimes he would read Shakespeare to them; but whatever else was included, the Bible and some botany formed part of the lesson. Whether the girls understood very much of what they were taught remains to be seen; but they enjoyed themselves tremendously, and that was what he wanted. After the lesson they had tea in his study, laying it themselves with much laughter and clatter. He cleared the tables for them himself, giving up the room to them entirely for that afternoon, ‘because the parlour-maid’—not unnaturally—‘objected to the crumbs in the dining-room before dinner.’”

“Among the many other subjects, he taught them songs, such as the following, both words and quaint, lilting tune being his own:

‘Ho, ho, the cocks crow!
Little girls—get up;
Little girls to bed must go
When the robins sup.

Heigh, heigh, the nags neigh!
Up, boys, and afield,
Ere the sun through yonder grey
Raise his russet shield.

Brave for work and bright for play
Be you, girls and boys;
And pity those that lose the day
Without its tasks or joys.’

It was my mission while at Brantwood to assist ‘the little wood-woman,’ Jane Anne, who came twice a day to fill the log-basket by the study fire, with her music. She had been taught by the Master himself, on a somewhat complicated plan founded on the earliest Latin psalters, where the rhythm was arrived at, not by means of bars, but only by the value of the notes, and following this method she certainly had learned.

1 Vol. XXX. p. xl.
2 Vol. XXXII. p. 286.
to play some four bars of his favourite Vieni alla Finestra tolerably correctly, but it was not a system attended by rapid progress. ... Whether the girls understood much of the lessons, I do not know; but they were not in the least afraid of him, and Jane Anne seemed to regard him with something of a maternal indulgence. ‘He’s a foony man is Meester Rooskin,’ she would observe after a lesson, ‘boot he likes oos to tek a good tea’; and this covered a multitude of eccentric enthusiasms.”

It is a picture of active, benevolent, and happy old age which has thus far been drawn; but these same years were broken by serious attacks of illness, which came with greater frequency, and ultimately brought his active life to an end. Perhaps if he could have abstained from exciting occupations, the danger might have been averted. But, now as in former years, he knew the danger better than he succeeded in averting it. “Require greatest caution,” he noted (March 25, 1886), “from usual press of coincident thoughts”; and again (April 8), “Politics so fearful now in the papers that I’m like a dog in a chain—like the dog in the woodyard that can’t get at Mr. Quilp.” But often, as the letters to the press in Vol. XXXIV. have shown, he slipped his chain, and was in the thick of the fight. At the end of July 1885 he had a fourth and very severe attack of delirious fever; and, almost exactly a year after, a fifth. He went for a short time after this latter attack to Heysham, on the Lancashire coast; but the spring of 1887 brought news of the death of Laurence Hilliard, of pleurisy, on a friend’s yacht in the Ægean. Ruskin loved him dearly, and the loss deepened a mood of depression, which passed into one of anger and suspicion. “To be worth with one we love Doth work like madness in the brain.” It was a distressing feature of some of Ruskin’s illnesses that Coleridge’s lines were reversed: the madness in the brain made him wroth with those he loved. There are letters written at such times which should be destroyed, and there were incidents which need not be recalled. The friend, assistant, and biographer, who was much with him during these years, has written some touching words which I must be allowed to quote:—

“From one who has been out in the storm the reader will not expect a cool recital of its effects. The delirium of brain-fever brings strange

1 Put together from The Outlook, February 11, 1899; “Happy Memories of John Ruskin” in the Puritan, May 1900; and “Ruskin and Girlhood” in Scribner’s Magazine, November 1906.

2 See chap. xxi. of The Old Curiosity Shop.

3 He had resigned his post as Ruskin’s secretary in 1882, but continued to live at Coniston, and was beginning to achieve distinction as a painter when he died.
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things to pass; and, no doubt, afforded ground for the painful gossip, of which there has been more than enough,—much of it absurdly untrue, the romancing of ingenious newspaper-correspondents; some of it, the lie that is half a truth. For in these times there were not wanting parasites such as always prey upon creatures in disease, as well as weak admirers who misunderstood their hero’s natural character, and entirely failed to grasp his situation.

“Let such troubles of the past be forgotten: all that I now remember of many a weary night and day is the vision of a great soul in torment, and through purgatorial fires the ineffable tenderness of the real man emerging, with his passionate appeal to justice and baffled desire for truth. To those who could not follow the wanderings of the wearied brain it was nothing but a horrible or a grotesque nightmare. Some, in those trials, learnt as they could not otherwise have learnt to know him, and to love him as never before.”

Something else it will be necessary to say on a later page about these attacks; but for the present I must continue the story.

On partially recovering from the illness of 1887, Ruskin posted south with Mr. Arthur Severn, and settled at Folkestone and afterwards at Sandgate, with occasional visits to London, until the spring of 1888. Though he was at times in a very excited state, the change to the seaside brought him some enjoyment. His letters written thence tell of his joy in the sea and in the skies. He found much pleasure, too, in music, and engaged an organist, Mr. Roberts, to play to him, as explained in this letter of invitation:

“(Paris Hotel, 29th Sept. ’87.)—Your name was given me at Messrs. Wellard’s as that of a master who might be willing to give me a lesson once or twice a week in the playing of old pianoforte music. I cannot play myself, but am most grateful to any master who will play a little Bach, Corelli, or—you will be perhaps shocked to hear—pure Rossini to me. If you could spare the time, I would be at home whenever it was convenient to you to come, and should willingly come to any arrangements agreeable to you as to terms.

“I may say further that I am chiefly interested in this older music, in its connection of principles with those of bell chime, which I want to see introduced into early school education.”

Mr. Roberts, with whom Ruskin speedily became on affectionate terms, used to visit him frequently in connexion with theories he was

1 W. G. Collingwood, Life and Work of John Ruskin, 1900, p. 382.
endeavouring to work out in relation to the association of form, sound, and colour; but after a while this was dropped, and Ruskin was content to listen while the favourite operas of his youth were played to him. He reverted to his fondness for boating, and had several very beautiful models built and rigged by Charles Dalby, of Folkestone, a past-master in the mystery. These models—the old Dover packet, old-style cutter, yawl, and so forth—are still at Brantwood.¹

In the early spring of 1888 Ruskin paid some flying visits to London; visiting the galleries and museums, and seeing some of his friends. Alarming reports of his condition had found their way into the newspapers from Sandgate, and he was anxious to give tangible disproof of them. “I had great joy,” he wrote to a friend from Morley’s Hotel in April, “and sense of being in my right place to-day in the Turner room, and am going to stay in London till people have been taught that they can’t make my skin into gloves yet.” And to the same friend a day or two later (April 22):—

“I went to the private view of the Old Water-Colour yesterday, and there were people there glad to see me, Robert Browning among others. And I’ve been to the British Museum, and am staying very contentedly within reach of it and some other places. And I’m not going to the theatres, and altogether I’m as good just now as I know how to be.”²

Similarly to Mrs. Arthur Severn he wrote (April 26):—

“I’ve had such a day. Only to think of the state I was in when you began to pick me up last year, and of what I can do now! I had a lovely time with Arfie³ at the Institute—two hours, looking at every picture, and I thought Arfie’s much more tender and refined than ever before, and that most of the artists were doing their very best. Then Arfie took me to the panorama of Niagara, which astonished and delighted me. Then I took Arfie to British Museum,⁴ and showed him the diamond, ruby, and my case of agates, and had a nice talk with Fletcher. Then we looked at all the birds’ nests. Then I set Arfie down at Kensington station and went on to Miss Ingelow’s, who was glad to see me, and we had a

² These two letters are printed from “John Ruskin in the ‘Eighties” in the Outlook, October 21, 1899; they were reprinted in Scribner’s Magazine, November 1906, p. 571.
³ Mr. Arthur Severn.
⁴ The Natural History branch at South Kensington: see Vol. XXVI.
lovely long tea talk and agreed about everything, and she said so many pretty things of my Joanie, and a great many of me, and I came away greatly cheered and helped, and resolved to write to her now with some consistency.”

Ruskin’s occasional visits to London during these years were a great pleasure to his friends. “I hope you will be coming to London,” wrote Cardinal Manning (April 17, 1887), “for I should like to begin again at our last semicolon in the carriage by South Kensington.” “Those two hours which I spent with you in the South Kensington Museum,” said Froude, “are as fresh in my memory as a poem. You might give me another two hours there; or there is Owen’s wonderful gallery of bones and minerals. The bones he has himself explained to me, and you could make the stones into a palace of crystals.”

From London Ruskin returned to Sandgate, where some more weeks were spent in alternate depression and excitement. He determined once more to try the tonic of foreign travel which had proved effectual in 1882. Early in June Mr. Arthur Severn accompanied him to Abbeville and Beauvais, where they stayed for some weeks.1 “Restored, D.G.,” he wrote in his diary at Beauvais (July 12), “as far as I can judge, to comparative health, and power of useful and even beautiful work, after the most terrific year of illness and despondency I have yet known.” At Abbeville he was arrested, and detained for a while, much to his amusement, for sketching the fortifications. A few letters written thence, which will be found in Vol. XXXVII., show some faint traces of his old gaiety and buoyancy. He had much pleasure in the company of Mr. Sydney Carlyle Cockerell and Mr. Detmar Blow, whom he had met at Abbeville, and the young men threw themselves with loyal alacrity into pleasing him. “Carlyle,” he wrote to Mrs. Severn (July 7), “carries my umbrella for me as if he were attending the Emperor of Japan,” and “Detmar is as good as gold.” The enthusiasm and affection of young men and women were always grateful to Ruskin, and he was encouraged to go further afield, and revisit the scenes of dearest memory among the mountains and in Italy. Mr. Detmar Blow was free to accompany him, and they

1 Of this last tour of Ruskin’s, in 1888, a full itinerary cannot be compiled, as the diary is fragmentary. He was in France till the end of August; at Dijon, August 28; St. Cergues, September 2; Geneva, September 4; Salenches, September 8; Chamouni, September 13; Martigny, September 19; Brieg, September 20; Domo d’Ossola, September 21; Baveno, September 22; Milan, September 23; Bassano, September 26; Venice, October 10; at Merlingen (on the Lake of Thun), in November; at Berne, November 26.
journeyed over “the old road” to Dijon, the Jura, Geneva, and Sallenches. The little scraps of diary which he sent in daily notes to Mrs. Severn show him yet once more in recovered powers of enjoyment:—

“(DIJON, 29th Aug. ’88.)—I had the most wonderful day yesterday I ever had here—such a drive up the hills in crystal clear sunshine—seeing Jura—by St. Bernard’s birthplace, La Fontaine, and down through one of those dingles you heard the nightingales sing in! Also discovered no end of wonderful things in the town, and wrote finish of the fine Præterita, introducing Norton! It goes to Jowett to-day.”

“(MOREZ, JURA, Auntie’s Birthday, 1888, September 2nd.)—That ever I should have such a happy birthday morning again! Quite well, as far as I know, all round—enjoying the mountains as I never did before—and drawing better than ever. Detmar sketched a Jura cottage, and I painted it for him yesterday at St. Laurent, . . . and I saw such loveliness of pines in my afternoon walk as never yet in all my days. And this is all your doing, my Joanie, giving me strawberry teas and comfort when I was in utter despair of myself. Heaven keep you and yours happy.”

“(ST. CERGUES, 4th Sept.)—Just a scrap—must get out this lovely morning. Yesterday, entirely clear above, for Detmar, and all the Alps clear—but basin of lake filled with smoke, as if Geneva were London. The perpetual trains and steamers—one consuming their smoke, but all wasting fuel at will—destroy every glory and grace of the fairest district of the world. . . .

“I had the loveliest walk here, where the smoke cannot rise, and the afternoon more intensely bright than I ever had in Jura. But I feel my age in not being able to climb. At Paris I can walk as far as I like—level—and don’t feel old a bit.”

“(SALLENCHES, 11th Sept.)—You can’t think the joy it is to me being at this old inn—and to-day it was, for the first time, fine like old times, and I’ve been up far among the granite boulders of the torrent, breaking stones in my old way. Life given back to me. And the stone-crop, and the ragged robin, on the granite among the moss. And I sent orders that II. Præterita should be sent to you, and first of all—proof copies.”

Of his sojourn at Sallenches we have already had a pleasant glimpse, and it was there that he wrote the last chapter but one of Præterita.

1 Chap. ii. of vol. iii. (see below, p. 519). “Jowett” was the printer.
2 Ruskin’s mother; see Præterita, iii. § 63 (below, p. 538).
3 That is, ch. ii. of vol. iii., published on September 28.
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But the “Life given back” was not to last long. At Chamouni he wrote the Epilogue to *Modern Painters* after “a night of perfect rest,” as he notes in his diary (September 16), and “in the perfected light of Mont Blanc, after being at Mass.” From Chamouni, he went by Martigny to Brieg, and over the Simplon Pass. “I never thought the old Hospice so beautiful,” he says in his diary (September 21), “nor anything so beautiful, and feel I could paint it all, now, if I had life.” He stayed at Baveno, and then went by the lake to Milan and on to Verona. Thence he went to Bassano, to stay with Miss Francesca Alexander in her summer-quarters—“Among the kindest people in the world,” he notes (September 28). He then went to Venice, and spent some days at the Albergo Europa. At Venice he struck visitors as very frail and somewhat vague in talk. He was pleased when the Countess Pisani called upon him and gave him a gold ducat of Venice for his Museum. He spoke with approval of the work at the Ducal Palace which was carried out under his friend, Signor Boni. Another visitor was Dr. Robertson, author of *The Bible of St. Mark* and Presbyterian chaplain at Venice, who on calling explained who he was. “What a blessed thing it is,” said Ruskin, “to be able to do anything for the cause of Christ!” “To those who knew Mr. Ruskin only through certain of his writings, the idea is not unnatural,” says Dr. Robertson, “that he was dogmatic and brusque, but in reality he was gentle and unassuming and sympathetic.” . . . When I had occasion to refer to the marvellous influence of his work, and in particular mentioned something said of it by the late Professor Drummond, who had been in Venice shortly before, he said, ‘I am astonished; I feel as if I had only led a selfish, useless life.’ And when I had further occasion to speak of his work in connexion with the pulpit, he stopped and very solemnly said, ‘That may be all true, but you must remember that it is not the printed page, but the living voice, that reaches the heart of man.’

---

2 Various testimonies to this effect have been given in earlier volumes. To these may be added that of J. L. Motley, who met him at East Horsley Towers in December 1859: “He is very agreeable company, very fond of talking, but not dogmatic as in his books” (Correspondence of John Lothrop Motley, ed. by G. W. Curtis, 1889, vol. i. p. 332).
3 “Ruskin in Venice” in *Good Words*, July, p. 474 (quoted in *Great Thoughts*, November 3, 1900). Dr. Robertson states erroneously that it was at the Calcina restaurant on the Zattere that Ruskin “made his longest sojourn in Venice, and wrote a large part of *The Stones of Venice*.” For his actual quarters at that time, see Vol. X. p. xxviii.
some days in November at Merligen on the lake of Thun, whence he wrote to Mrs. Severn:—

“(MERLIGEN, Sunday, 11th Nov.)—The gentians I sent you a day or two ago were gathered by Detmar—higher than I can climb now: but I got up a good way this afternoon, and found two blue-bells, which I love better for my Joanie’s sake than all the Swiss flowers that ever grew. This is a perfect village of Swiss cottages. Not a shop in it but one for general groceries, in the upper story of the water-mill, and a watchmaker’s—without a watch visible.”

This was a last gleam. The foreign tour of 1888 had no such recruiting effect as that of 1882.¹ He was taken seriously ill at Paris in December, whither Mrs. Severn hastened. She brought him back to Herne Hill, and presently to Brantwood. When he was able to think of work once more, he was still busy upon Præterita, and had the book planned out, as we shall see subsequently, to the end of a third volume. But his strength was gone, and the fulfilment of the plan was laid aside. But there was one chapter which he could not abandon, so long as the pen and brain were in any sort equal to obeying the promptings of the heart. This was the record of his long companionship with Mrs. Severn, who had come into his home when his father died, and who still remained to him. The last chapter of Præterita, “Joanna’s Care,” was no afterthought; it and its title were included in the first plans of the book, but this was all that he could now save from the wreck of his design. He had gone in the summer of 1889 for sea air to Seascale on the Cumberland coast, and it was there that Ruskin’s last piece was written. It was composed, though in the closing words with some of his old grace and skill, with difficulty and discursiveness:—

“In his bedroom at Seascale,” says Mr. Collingwood, “morning after morning, he still worked, or tried to work, as he had been used to do on journeys farther afield in brighter days. But now he seemed lost among the papers scattered on his table; he could not fix his mind upon them, and turned from one subject to another in despair; and yet patient, and kindly to those with him whose help he could no longer use, and who dared not show—though he could not but guess—how heart-breaking it was.

“They put the best face upon it, of course: drove in the afternoons about the country—to Muncaster Castle, to Calder Abbey, where he tried to sketch once more; and when the proofs of ‘Joanna’s Care’ were finally revised, to Wastwater.”²

¹ See Vol. XXXIII. p. xlv.
² Life and Work of John Ruskin, 1900, pp. 386–387.
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Thence he returned to Brantwood, and never again left it until the last hour came.

His return to Brantwood was followed by another attack incapacitating him from mental effort. What is the connexion between great wits and madness? I write as a layman, and do not know, and perhaps even the doctors cannot tell us much. But I have been greatly struck, as I think any other close student of Ruskin’s work must be, by one feature of his brain attacks. It is their perfectly sharp and clear definition. The point is an important one; for the question inevitably arises in any review of Ruskin’s life and work, whether the mind was sound or inherently diseased. The mind was original, and therefore at each stage of its development Ruskin’s views seemed insane to the vulgar. His enthusiasm for Turner, his estimate of Venetian Gothic, his political economy were all in turn called mad until they had passed into the accepted thought of the time. The connected study of his work, in relation to environment and circumstances, which it has been a principal object of this edition to facilitate, will, I think, bring the conviction that Ruskin’s mental development was throughout life normal and logical. And what I seek to point out is that the history of his attacks of brain-disease does not invalidate such a conclusion. The attacks resemble nothing so much as storms. It is possible to the discerning and experienced reader to detect the coming of the storm in passages of heightened passion or excitement; the storm bursts; and then it passes away, leaving no trace behind in Ruskin’s resumed work. I have instanced some cases in point in previous Introductions; but the most conclusive is that of Præterita itself. It is of all Ruskin’s books the most uniformly serene in temper. It is marked by many qualities, and among others conspicuously by restraint, by perfect command over all the author’s gifts—in other words, by sanity. Yet the whole book was written during the calm between successive brain-storms. I remember hearing a lecturer at the Royal Institution select as the most perfect instance of Ruskin’s style the description of the Rhone at Geneva which occurs in the second volume of Præterita. He pointed out very justly that the passage was not merely a masterpiece of lyrical prose; but that if we were to

1 Students of heredity will notice what Ruskin says of his father’s father at the beginning of Præterita (p. 19, § 10). Owing to the condition of his affairs, John Thomas Ruskin’s mind had given way in June 1815: see below, p. lx.

2 The exceptions are chapter xii. of vol. ii. and chapter iv. of vol. iii., both of which show in places a tendency to ramble. Each chapter was written when the author was on the verge of a break-down.

3 See below, pp. 326–328.
attempt to transcribe the scene in sober, accurate words, and then to compare our own account with Ruskin’s, we should find that his, in addition to its beauty of form, differed from ours in containing a more exhaustive enumeration of attributes, and a better selection of distinctive features. In short, Professor Waldstein declared the passage to be a masterpiece of observation, analysis, selection, and rhythm.¹ I was curious to know when the passage was written; and chancing to meet Ruskin not long afterwards, I asked him the question. He told me (and indications in his diary confirm his recollection) that it was written in May 1886;² that is, some months after one brain-attack, and a few weeks before another.

Among Ruskin’s papers there is the draft of what was intended to be a Preface to the second volume of Proserpina, its object being to explain why he was retreating from the loftier themes of Christian art into studies of leaves and flowers. Some passages of this Preface are here printed, as giving Ruskin’s own analysis of his case:—

“It is eight years since the first of my ‘Advices’ was printed on the slip inserted in the opening number of Love’s Meinie.³ At that time I had hoped, as from the first in accepting the Slade Professorship at Oxford, to make Natural History one of the chief subjects of Art practice in my school; nor should I have failed to do so, had not my discovery (I had the right to call it a ‘discovery,’ for no one till that time had ever spoken of or studied the frescoes in question) of the Botticelli and Perugino frescoes in the Sistine Chapel in the year 1872, followed by a closer examination in 1874, led me into a course of thought and historical inquiry, of the force and advance of which any reader interested in this matter may find evidence in Fors Clavigera, which entirely decided me from the merely physical and picturesque subjects of art on which I had intended to concentrate my energy, not only in the elementary work at Oxford, but during the available remainder of life.

“The incalculable importance to the history of Christianity of these lower frescoes of the Sistine, and the singular opportunity granted me at Assisi, also in the year 1874, of investigating the

¹ The substance of the lectures was printed in The Work of John Ruskin: its Influence upon Modern Thought and Life, 1894. See pp. 83–86.
² He had last studied the scene in 1882. “We went out in the heat,” says his companion on that journey, “to see the Rhone. All the haze had gone, at least from the nearer view, and he seemed never tired of looking at the water from the footbridge and wherever it was visible. I wondered why he would not come on; but now I know” (W. G. Collingwood, Ruskin Relics, p. 60).
³ See Vol. XXV. p. 11. The “Advice” is dated June 1873; and the present passage was, therefore, written in 1881.
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frescoes there, with the kind and sympathising permission of the remaining brothers in the Monastery, brought me back into the main elements of thought and effort which had been long before opened in the second volume of *Modern Painters* (as I have already stated in its Epilogue¹), and which I wish I had then followed with unbroken strength and heart, instead of retreating into the narrow purposes of the book in its original conception. In the declining and shadowy hours of after life, these higher subjects of thought are too great and too fearful for me; and in concurrence with other provocations to labour and causes of sorrow, they have now twice² thrown me into states of mental disease from which I have by little less than miracle recovered.

“But it is due not only to myself, but much more to the readers who have hitherto trusted me, or may hereafter trust, that I should state with extreme decision the difference between these modes of mental wandering, and the conditions which have permanently affected the soundness of conclusion in the thoughts of many men of the highest intellectual power.

“The periods of delirious imagination through which I have myself passed are simply states of prolonged dream—sometimes of actual trance, unconscious of surrounding objects; sometimes of waking fantasy, disguising or associating itself with the immediate realities both of substance and sound; but, whatever its character, recognized afterwards as a dream or vision, just as distinctly as the dreams of common sleep. There is no physical suffering in the state, nor is it otherwise depressing to the system than as leading sometimes, in particular humours of anger or sorrow, to refusal of food. On the contrary, it seems to me that the involuntary wandering of the brain is sometimes almost a rest to it, and at the worst a far less strain than any resolute rational occupation; so that I believe I did myself much more real harm by three days’ steady work on the axes of crystallization in quartz, before my second illness began last February [1881], than I got during the illness itself, from three weeks of the company of uninvited phantoms and the course of imaginary events.

“The recovery from this delirious condition is, indeed, more a consequence of the rest it enforces, than of medicine; and although at first accompanied with much depression of mind (partly natural and well-founded enough, in finding that one has been in a state so disagreeable to one’s friends and so humiliating to oneself), is far more rapid as regards bodily strength than it could be after a

² The illnesses of 1878 and 1881.
bad attack of influenza or the slightest attack of low fever; and so far as I can trace the effects of the illness on my mental powers, it leaves them only weaker in the patience of application, but neither distorts nor blunts them, so long as they can be used. I cannot now write so long as I could, nor deal with any questions involving laborious effort; but in ordinary faculties of judgment, modes of feeling, or play of what little fancy I ever had, I cannot trace more than such slackness or languor as age itself accounts for; and my friends flatter me unkindly and unjustifiably, if they perceive more failure in my work than is manifest to my own sense of it—never an extremely indulgent one. More pages have been cancelled by me as foolish, or ill-done, in my most healthy days, than most readers would believe, judging either by the tone or the number of the rest.

“But of one thing—and that, it seems to me, the chiefly important one—my readers may rest assured, that these morbid attacks, whatever diminution of power they have caused, have in no jot or tittle changed me, nor made me lose a single line or thread of the plan laid down, long years ago, for the collateral structure of my books. I never thought the religious part of them would ever become so important as it has in late years, partly in consequence of the above-noted studies in Italy, but more in compelled antagonism to the atheistic teaching of modern schoolmen. My own proper teaching has never sought to exalt itself above the declaration of facts which common human intelligence might ascertain to be true, and the assertion of principles of honour and industry which the daily human experience of all ages has proved beneficial to mankind. The so-called arrogance of my books—let me repeat but this once more—is simply the necessary tone of a writer who never points to anything which a child cannot see, or advises anything which is not also counselled by the wisdom of six thousand years. ¹ But with this assurance, there is also in the general tone of my late writings a faith which to many readers must have borne the colour of insanity, long before any such accusation was supported by attacks of definite disease. In that faith, nevertheless, I am neither ashamed nor shaken, it being simply that what is visible in creation will one day be clearly seen; and what is rational in action, one day commonly done, by the Governors and Councils of Nations. I have so much faith in the power of Truth, and the passion of honour, as to feel certain that one day, gentlemen will not lie to each other, even though they may be kings, diplomats, or merchants; and I have so much faith in the laws of Life and the power of Love, as to feel certain that one

day fathers and mothers will desire that their children should be early taught the things that belong to their Peace,¹ and throughout their lives possessed of the Joys that are deepest in the heart and brightest in the memory.”²

Ruskin’s recollection, when a brain-storm passed, of many of the incidents of the tempest, was very vivid, and after his death one of the medical journals published an account of them given in his own words by a friend. This curious piece will be found in the Bibliographical Appendix to this edition. It describes with characteristic vividness the nightmares of the disordered brain, and adds that “while all ugly things assumed fearfully and horribly hideous forms, all beautiful objects appeared ten times more lovely.”³ His Turner drawings, on the bedroom wall, looked in their added splendour “more like pictures of Heaven than of earth.” To like effect with this last observation, I remember Ruskin saying to me that the visions in his illness were mostly of Inferno; “but sometimes visions of Paradise, and one was almost recompensed.”

Whatever may be the true account of these attacks of delirium, the pathos of their recurrence is terribly poignant. A series of extracts has been published from letters which Ruskin wrote during the year of Præterita to his friendly printer, Mr. Jowett, at Aylesbury. No comment is necessary upon the tragedy which may be read between the lines:—

“I’m going crazy with the hares again.”
“May I know what the illness has been; perhaps it may give me some courage to bear nine weeks of this helplessness myself; if only it will then pass away.”
“I am getting slowly better, but must never put so many irons in the fire that will all stir it, any more.”
“I am . . . quaking about earth in general, and don’t feel as if it was any good to describe mountains more.”
“The spring, which I look forward to more than all the rest of the year, makes me, when it comes, more sad than autumn.”
“I am so very glad about your finding that the last [chapter of Præterita⁴] is liked—having an uneasy feeling now, about whatever I write, that people will suspect apoplexy in it. I know the thoughts are as they used to be, but the power of expression may partly fail

¹ Luke xix. 42.
² A further passage from this autobiographical Preface is printed below, pp. 628–629.
³ Compare the letter to Professor Norton, of 15th March, 1883 (Vol. XXXVII.).
⁴ Chap. x. of vol. ii.
me, and become too eccentric, because I have no time or energy to correct in quietness. . . . And as, whenever I say anything they don’t like, they all immediately declare I must be out of my mind, the game has to be played neatly.”¹

And neatly it was played to the end. But the effect of the successive brain-storms was cumulative, and Ruskin had at last to bow before them. The brain had been sound, and after several of the attacks, its recovery had been complete in function, if not in strength. But in the end the functions succumbed to gradual decay. When the last chapter of Præterita was written, Ruskin’s work, and in the true sense his life, were ended.

1889–1900

There are three great divisions in all men’s lives, Ruskin had written in Fors Clavigera,² “the days of youth, of labour, and of death. Youth is properly the forming time—that in which a man makes himself, or is made, what he is for ever to be. Then comes the time of labour, when, having become the best he can be, he does the best he can do. Then the time of death, which, in happy lives, is very short; but always a time. The ceasing to breathe is only the end of death.” He had marked this passage in his own copy of the book, but with him the “time of death” lasted nearly eleven years. The attack of brain-fever which followed his return to Brantwood in August 1889 was severe, and it was not till the following summer that he was able to leave his room. Henceforth he recognised that absolute rest and quiet were essential, and gradually even the will to exert himself passed away. It is needless to follow in any detail these years of waiting for the end—years in which times of storm were intermingled with peaceful old age. He wrote nothing more, and except to the most intimate friends spoke scarcely a word. “After the summer of 1889 it was at very rare intervals that he took pen in hand. Disuse seemed to deprive him of the power of writing at all. At last, one day being asked for his signature, he set down with shaking fingers the first few letters of it, and broke off with ‘Dear me! I seem to have forgotten how to write my own name.’ And he wrote no more.”³ The actually last letter which he ever wrote with

² Letter 33 (Vol. XXVII. p. 584).
³ W. G. Collingwood, Ruskin Relics, p. 145.
his own hand was in October 1893; it was read to his aged friend, Miss Susan Beever—the “Susie” of *Hortus Inclusus*—on her death-bed. It is given in facsimile in a later volume; it took him three hours to write this little note of eight faltering lines. The last time he signed his name was, I believe, in 1897, when he added it to an address presented to his old friend Watts on the painter’s eightieth birthday. Mr. Allen went to see him about this time, and Ruskin talked a little of old days in Switzerland. Then he held out his finger and thumb, and said half regretfully that they would never hold pen again. “But, after all,” he added, with a smile, “they have brought me into so much trouble that perhaps it’s as well they should rest.”

On the death of Gladstone in 1898, Ruskin wanted to write to Mrs. Drew, and “sat an hour or more pen in hand, but could get no further than the words: ‘Dear Mary, I am grieved at the death of your father—’ and no more would come—to him who was a fountain of divine words once.” The tongue was almost as silent as the pen. Mr. Walter Crane was at Brantwood in August 1897. “He looked,” we are told,

> “the shadow of his former self—the real living man with all his energy and force had gone, and only the shadow remained. He was carefully dressed and scrupulously neat, having gloves on, which, seeing a visitor approach, he began to pull off rather absently, when Mrs. Severn said, ‘Never mind the gloves’; and I took his hand, but alas! he had nothing but monosyllables, and soon went off supported on the arm of his constant attendant. . . . Another time Mrs. Severn brought me into his room, where Ruskin sat in his arm-chair. He had a benign expression, and looked venerable and prophetic, with a long flowing beard, but he seemed disinclined to talk, and when I spoke of things which might have interested him he only said yes or no, or smiled, or bowed his head.”

Even for children he had few words. “He just looked at us,” they reported, “and smiled, and we couldn’t think what to say.” He was alive, yet only waiting for the end. In 1891 his valued friend, the Bishop of Carlisle, was staying at Brantwood:

> “The Bishop was to leave Brantwood at an early hour. Mr. Ruskin expressed a strong wish to take leave of him and Mrs. Goodwin, if they would

---

not mind coming to his bedroom. As the departing guests came into the room to say good-by, a look came over Mr. Ruskin’s face as though he had expected something more than the ordinary leave-taking. There was a moment’s silence. Then the Bishop, quickly understanding what was passing in the other’s mind, raised his hands over him, and said, ‘The Lord bless you and keep you. The Lord lift up the light of His countenance upon you, and give you peace both now and evermore. Amen.’

There were times, however, even during these dark and silent years, when Ruskin recovered something of his old zest for life, and enjoyed the gift of peace. As late as 1893 he appeared in public at Coniston, attending a concert of the Choral Society, and applauding the performers. It was in the same year that his old friend Sir Henry Acland visited him. Ruskin was still fond of his game of chess or a rubber of whist; but “as the two sages talked the whole time de omni scibili, and showed one another their hands for purposes of comparison and advice, the game was scarcely up to the standard of the late Mrs. Martha Battle.” It was on this occasion that Ruskin gave to Acland the short message to Oxford which has already been printed, and that Miss Acland took the photograph of her father and Ruskin, here by her kindness reproduced. He could still garden a little, and he took frequent walks, when he would sometimes be waylaid by curious admirers. His valet, Baxter, the “Irish servant” sometimes referred to, read the newspapers to him, and he still took some interest in passing affairs, as is shown by an occasional letter written for him by Mrs. Severn in 1890, 1895, 1896. He was still fond both of reading aloud and of being read to, and made acquaintance during these years with books by S. R. Crockett and Rudyard Kipling. With A Fleet in Being he was intensely interested, reading it over and over again. Sometimes books were put into his hands, and he was coaxed into saying something about them. A letter from Mr. Arthur Severn to F. T. Palgrave, whose Landscape in Poetry had been sent to Brantwood, describes the scene:—

“(Brantwood, June 1, 1897.)—Dear Palgrave,—I found your book to-day, and put it into the Professor’s hands. It had been rather

1 Life of Harvey Goodwin, by the Rev. H. D. Rawnsley, pp. 325–326.
2 Duly recorded in the Westminster Gazette, April 8, 1893.
4 See, for instance, notes in the Westminster Budget, October 21, 1894, and in various American journals.
John Ruskin and Sir Henry Acland
from a Photograph taken by Miss Acland
at Brantwood, August 19th 1893.
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mislaid with other books, and he had not seen it. Many books come here for the Professor to see, but he will hardly ever look at any. He demurred at yours even! But I explained about it, etc., etc. . . . I put the book into his hands, open at the second chapter, put on his spectacles, drew up his blind, then sat like a mouse, waiting for any pearls of criticism! which might come. Some did come, and I took down as well as I could what I thought of interest and not too unpearl-like! . . . The following are some of the remarks:

‘The range from Dante to Blake and Wordsworth is so curious.’
‘Keeps spelling Virgil with an E, which bothers me.’
‘Immensely clever in its way.’
‘Who is Sellar?’ (I told him this.)
‘I am amazed at the quantity he gets out of Wordsworth.’
‘Quintilian, a person I know nothing about: they always speak of him as a great Latin critic.’
‘He seems to have almost every modern poet.’
‘It goes in among people one has never heard of.’
‘Nothing left from Dryden and Pope!’
‘I can’t even read their Latin as they write it now.’

It was the old favourites, however, that he loved best, and he was never weary of Scott and Miss Edgeworth.

Ruskin himself was in seclusion, but his books were becoming more and more widely known throughout the world. His scheme of publishing had completely justified itself in the end; he had created his market, and edition after edition of his books was called for. The fortune he inherited from his father had been dispersed in his innumerable gifts to friends, relations, pensioners, and institutions; but the income from his books was now large and steadily maintained. His publisher, Mr. Allen, had many schemes to suggest. Ruskin assented, and cheaper re-issues of old books, and issues of hitherto unpublished lectures, etc., were put forth. He assented, but no longer read proofs or transacted any business—the editorial work in connexion with the publications of these years being entrusted either to Mr. Collingwood or to Mr. Wedderburn. “I’m afraid,” Ruskin said to a friend, “the public take more interest in my books than I do now myself.” The public which thus took interest was becoming international. In France, Germany, Italy, Hungary, and Scandinavia, translations,

2 Daily Chronicle, September 21, 1898.
essays, and appreciations began to appear. In January 1892 he was elected an
honorary member of the Royal Belgian Academy of Sciences, Letters, and
Arts, “in testimony of the esteem in which the Academy held him.” The
honorary degree of D.C.L. at Oxford, offered in 1879, but postponed owing to
his ill-health, was conferred upon him in November 1893 by a resolution of
Convocation “to dispense with his attendance in the House for admission to
the degree with the customary formalities, any usage or precedent
notwithstanding.” On his eightieth birthday (1899) he was the recipient, not
only of Complimentary Addresses from the learned and artistic Societies of
Great Britain, which have been printed in the preceding volume,1 but of
congratulatory letters and telegrams from many parts of the world.

The principal Address was presented by a small deputation at Brantwood.
Ruskin was able to see them. “As I read over the terms of the address,” says
Mr. J. H. Whitehouse, “and the signatures it contained, he listened intently
and with evident emotion. When I had finished he could only utter a few
broken words.”2 His strength was now ebbing rapidly. The death of his dearly
loved friend, Edward Burne-Jones, in 1898, had been a great blow. “One
night, going up to bed, the old man stopped long to look at the photograph
from Philip Burne-Jones’s portrait of his father. ‘That’s my dear brother
Ned,’ he said, nodding good-bye to the picture as he went.”3 Burne-Jones
died the next day. Ruskin’s daily walks had been given up, and he was
confined to the house, except for occasional airings in a bath-chair on sunny
mornings. If the day were very fine, it would be wheeled to a favourite seat,
on a little eminence beside the lake, which commands his favourite view over
the waters to Helvellyn. But soon even this amount of exercise had to be
abandoned, and Ruskin divided his time between his bedroom and the room
next to it, to which, when he first came to Brantwood, he had added a
windowed turret, whence to enjoy a wider prospect over lake and mountains.
His eyesight had failed him for smaller type, and Mrs. Severn bought him a
larger-typed Bible, which he read or had read to him constantly up to his
death.4 But for the most part he sat silently in the turret-room, unoccupied
except for gazing at lake, fell, and sky.

It was this love of natural beauty that alone of his pleasures

1 Vol. XXXIV. pp. 734, 735.
which he subsequently dictated is given in Vol. XXXIV. p. 732.
3 W. G. Collingwood, Life and Work of John Ruskin, 1900, p. 402.
remained with him to the end. He had said to a visitor some years before, to whom he was showing the Turners in his bedroom, “When I die, I hope that they may be the last things my eyes will rest on in this world.”1 And so it was to be. But it was noticed that in the end nature seemed to assert a victory over art, even as he had said: “You will never love art well till you love what she mirrors better.”2 “My Turners,” he sometimes said to Mrs. Severn, with a puzzled smile, “seem to have lost something of their radiance.” Well, “the best in this sort are but shadows.”3 But he never wearied of watching the play of light and shade upon lake or mountain, and the changing aspects of the sky. The confidence, which he had mentioned in Præterita, “in my own heart’s love of rainbows to the end,”4 was justified. The words of the poet, whose disciple he had proclaimed himself to be on the title-page of Modern Painters, were fulfilled: “Nature never did betray the heart that loved her.” The voice and pen, which had done so much to interpret and reveal the beauties of art and nature, were silent; the eager brain and tender heart, which had turned the interpreter of beauty into the prophet or the sage, had worn themselves out in conflict with the fever of the world. But one likes to think that to this lifelong lover of Nature, as he sat day after day in his eyrie, there came sometimes “that blessed mood” of which Wordsworth speaks;5 that “the burthen and the mystery” were lightened; and that with some “deep power of joy” he “saw into the life of things.”

The end, for which Ruskin had waited so long, came suddenly and peacefully. “On the morning of Thursday, the 18th of January 1900, he was remarkably well; but when Mrs. Arthur Severn went to him as usual after tea, in order to read to him the war news and In the Golden Days, by Edna Lyall, his throat seemed irritable. His cousin was alarmed, for several of her servants were ill with influenza; but the Professor was inclined to laugh it off, although he said he did not feel well, and admitted, when questioned, that he felt pain ‘all over.’ Helped by his faithful body-servant Baxter, he was put to bed, and he listened whilst Mrs. Severn sang a much-liked song, ‘Summer Slumber.’ It was now 6.30, and Ruskin declared that he felt quite comfortable. Nevertheless, Dr. Parsons was immediately summoned.

1 George Harley, F.R.S., by his daughter, Mrs. Alec Tweedie, 1899, p. 233.
2 Eagle’s Nest, § 41 (Vol. XXII. p. 153).
3 Ruskin often quoted the words of Shakespeare: see Vol. XX. p. 300 and n.
4 See ii. § 143 (below, p. 374).
5 The lines are quoted in Vol. VII. p. xxiv.
He found the temperature to be 102, and pronounced the illness to be influenza, which might be very grave if the patient’s strength were not kept up. That evening the Professor enjoyed a dinner consisting of sole and pheasant and champagne, and on Friday he seemed to be much better. On Saturday morning there was a change so marked that the doctor was alarmed, and from that time Ruskin sank into an unconscious state, and the breathing lessened in strength, until, at 3.30, it faded away in a peaceful sleep. He was holding the hand of Mrs. Severn, and Dr. Parsons and Baxter stood by, now and then feathering the lips with brandy and spraying the head with eau de Cologne.

“And so he passed away, amid silence and desolation. Then, a little later, when the first shock was over, Mrs. Severn’s daughter prevailed upon her to look from his little turret window at the sunset, as Ruskin was wont to look for it from day to day. The brilliant, gorgeous light illumined the hills with splendour; and the spectators felt as if Heaven’s gate itself had been flung open to receive the teacher into everlasting peace.”

The death of Ruskin was the occasion of a chorus from the press of unstinted praise of his character and genius, and the opinion was generally expressed that he should be buried in Westminster Abbey. A memorial to that effect was presented to the Dean and Chapter. The Chapter was unanimously in accord with the memorial, and a grave in the Abbey—close to that of Tennyson, in Poets’ Corner—was offered. Ruskin, however, had often said to Mrs. Severn, “If I die at Herne Hill I wish to rest with my parents in Shirley Churchyard, but if at Brantwood, then I would prefer to rest at Coniston.” Feeling bound by this expressed wish, she declined the offer of the Dean and Chapter, and on Thursday, January 25, Ruskin was buried in the churchyard of Coniston, a Memorial Service being held at the same

---

1 This description of Ruskin’s last days is reprinted from the Times of January 23, 1900; it was communicated to that journal by Mrs. Severn.

2 This memorial, which I had the privilege of drawing up and transmitting to Dean Bradley, included the following signatures: Sir Henry Acland, Mr. Aitchison (President of the Royal Institute of British Architects), Lord Avebury, the Master of Balliol, Sir Walter Besant, Mr. John Burns, Mr. Thomas Burt, the Dean of Christ Church, Mr. Sidney Colvin, Mr. Lionel Cust, the Bishop of Durham, Mr. Frederic Harrison, Miss Octavia Hill, Mr. Holman Hunt, Professor Jebb, Lord Lister, P.R.S., Professor Oliver Lodge, the Bishop of London, Mr. John Morley, Lady Mount Temple, Sir Edward Poynter, P.R.A., Mr. W. P. Reeves (Agent General for New Zealand), Sir W. B. Richmond, the Marquis of Ripon, Professor Henry Sidgwick, Sir Arthur Sullivan, Sir George Trevelyan, Mr. Watts, and the Bishop of Winchester.
time in the Abbey. The service at Coniston began with a hymn written for the occasion by Canon Rawnsley:

“‘Knowest thou that the Lord will take away thy master from thy head to-day? And he said, Yea, I know it.’”

“The prophets cease from out the land,
The counsellors are gone,
The lips to kindle and command
Are silent one by one.

Our master taken from our head,
In sorrow, here we pray—
Lord, teach us in his steps to tread;
Be Thou our guide and stay,

Till all the righteousness he loved,
The sympathy he sought,
The truth by deed and word he proved,
Be made our daily thought.

He gave us eyes, for we were blind;
He bade us know and hear;
By him the wonder of the mind
Of God, on earth was clear.

We knew the travail of his soul,
We thank Thee for his rest;
Lord, lead us upward to his goal—
The pure, the true, the blest!”

“There was no black about his burying,” says Mr. Collingwood, “except what we wore for our own sorrow; it was remembered how he hated black, so much that he would even have his mother’s coffin painted blue.” The coffin was covered with a pall given by the Ruskin Linen Industry of Keswick, lined with bright crimson silk, and embroidered with the motto, “Unto this Last.” Wreaths from all sorts and conditions of friends and admirers—from the Princess Louise to the village tailor—were heaped upon the coffin. Two were especially significant. One was a Wreath of Olive, sent by Watts from the tree in his garden, cut only thrice before—for Tennyson, and Leighton, and Burne-Jones. The other was Mrs. Severn’s cross of Red Roses. The grave is next to that of Miss Susan Beever—the old friend to whom he had written a few years before, “Why should we wear black for the guests of God?”

1 Now in the Ruskin Museum at Coniston.
2 See the letter, October 26, 1874 (from Hortus Inclusus), in Vol. XXXVII.
Ruskin’s will (dated October 23, 1883) showed the affection which he had for his home at Coniston. It says:—

“I leave all my estate of Brantwood aforesaid and all other real estate of which I may die possessed to Joseph Arthur Palliser Severn, and Joanna Ruskin Severn, his wife, and to the survivor of them and their heirs for their very own, earnestly praying them never to sell the estate of Brantwood or any part thereof, nor to let upon building lease any part thereof, but to maintain the said estate and the buildings thereon in decent order and in good repair in like manner as I have done, and praying them further to accord during thirty consecutive days in every year such permission to strangers to see the house and pictures as I have done in my lifetime.”

In 1885 Ruskin made over Brantwood to Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Severn by deed of gift, confirming this settlement by a codicil of the same date. The formation of a fund for the maintenance of the estate was made a first charge upon the profits derived from his copyrights. He appointed Mrs. Severn, Professor Norton, and Mr. Wedderburn his literary executors. In the original will his pictures were bequeathed to Oxford, but this bequest was, as already stated, revoked in 1884.

The memorial stone, placed in the following year at the head of the grave, is a monolith of hard green stone of the neighbourhood, supplied from the Mossrigg quarries of Tilberthwaite, suggestive of an Iona cross, and carved by Mr. H. T. Miles of Ulverston, from Mr. Collingwood’s design, with symbols of Ruskin’s life and work. The side looking eastward and facing the grave has at the base a laurel-crowned figure with a lyre, typical of his early Poems and The Poetry of Architecture. Above is the inscription, “John Ruskin, 1819–1900,” surrounded by interlaced work. On the middle space of this face of the stone is the seated figure of an artist sketching; in the background are pines, and the outline of Mont Blanc, and the rays of the rising sun; this last symbol repeating the device which Ruskin placed on the cover of Modern Painters. Symbols of two more of his books occupy the remaining space; one, the winged lion of St. Mark, recalling The Stones of Venice; the other, the seven-branched candlestick of the Tabernacle, representing The Seven Lamps of Architecture. The west side of the shaft, looking towards Coniston Old Man, symbolises Ruskin’s social and ethical work. Three figures at

1 Vol. XXXIII. p. lvii.
the bottom show the labourers in the vineyard receiving each his penny from
the master—the text of Unto this Last. Immediately above is a mingled
device of Sesame and Lilies. The middle space is filled by the Angel of Fate,
Fors Clavigera, holding club, key, and nail. The Crown of Wild Olive comes
next; and at the top is St. George and the Dragon, to symbolise the St.
George’s Guild. The design of the narrow face towards the south is to signify
Ruskin’s love of nature. His favourite blossom, the wild rose, is combined
with animals of which he wrote familiarly, the squirrel, the robin, and the
kingfisher. On the opposite edge is a simple interlaced pattern—symbolical
of the mystery of life, even as his own closed in years of weakness and
weariness. The stone is surmounted by a cross of four equal arms; bearing on
one side a globe symbolising the Sun of Righteousness, and on the other the
fylfot, or revolving cross, the emblem of eternity. The cross was set up for
Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Severn on Ascension Day, 1901.

In Westminster Abbey the memorial of Ruskin took the form of a bronze
medallion, showing his face in profile, surrounded with a branch of Wild
Olive. The monument, executed by Onslow Ford, R. A., was erected by a
body of subscribers, and was unveiled by Mrs. Severn and the Dean on
February 8, 1902. The medallion is placed in Poets’ Corner, immediately
above the bust of Sir Walter Scott.

Of local memorials there were several. One, very simple and beautiful, is
a monolith, with a medallion portrait, which now stands on Friar’s Crag,
Derwentwater. This has already been described (Vol. II. p. 294 n.). It was
unveiled by Mrs. Arthur Severn on October 6, 1900. At Coniston itself the
memorial to Ruskin took the form, first, of a “Ruskin Exhibition” held from
July to September 1900. Various gifts and loans made to this exhibition, and
the sale of several of Ruskin’s

1 See Vol. XVII. p. 13.
2 Further details may be found in The Ruskin Cross at Coniston described and
illustrated (Ulverston: W. Holmes), 1902. An interesting appreciation of this admirable
The carving, it may be noticed, is purposely kept low and flat—a treatment of the hard
material which accords with a paragraph in Aratra Pentelici (§ 161, Vol. XX. p. 315).
3 The Committee for this memorial consisted of the Earl of Carlisle, the Dean of
Christ Church, Mr. Lionel Cust, Dr. Dawtrey Drewitt, Mr. Frederic Harrison, the Bishop
of London (Dr. Creighton), Mr. J. T. Micklethwaite, Mr. C. E. Norton, Mr. Edmund
Oldfield, Sir Edward Poynter, P. R. A., Mr. Arthur Severn, and Mrs. A. Murray Smith;
with Mr. Wedderburn as Hon. Treasurer, and Mr. Cook as Hon. Secretary.
4 Among the subscribers were Mrs. A. Severn, Mr. F. W. H. Myers, Mr. H. S.
Luxmore, the Master of Balliol, Lord Elgin, and Mr. T. C. Horsfall.
drawings shown at it, enabled the Committee to build a permanent “Ruskin Museum,” attached to the Coniston Institute. This was opened in August 1901, and has become a haunt of hero-worshippers in the Lake District.¹

Another memorial was a village library, art gallery, and museum at Mr. Cadbury’s model village at Bournville, near Birmingham. This scheme originated with the Ruskin Society of that city. The foundation-stone was laid by Lord Avebury in October 1902.²

Ruskin desired, as we have seen, to rest with his parents in Shirley Churchyard, in the event of his dying at Herne Hill. On the granite tombstone which Ruskin had inscribed in memory of his father and his mother,³ Mrs. Severn added on the north side this inscription to the son:—

John Ruskin
Son of John James Ruskin
And Margaret his wife
Who wrote thus of his parents
And ever spoke truth
Was born in London Feb. 8th 1819
Died at Brantwood Jan. 20th 1900
And rests in Coniston Churchyard.

In the Church of St. Paul’s, Herne Hill, a monumental tablet was also erected to Ruskin’s memory. This was designed by Messrs. Farmer and Brindley, approved by Sir William Richmond, and unveiled by Mr. Holman Hunt on Ruskin’s Birthday, February 8, 1901.⁴ The placing of a monument in this church was appropriate, for Ruskin was much interested in it. The church was built in 1844; burnt down in 1858, and then restored by G. E. Street. Ruskin refers to it in one of his lectures.⁵ Another local scheme which was promoted in part as a memorial to Ruskin was the acquisition—by grants from municipal bodies and by public subscription—of a “Ruskin Park.”

¹ It has been visited by some 9000 persons in each year.
² See the Times, October 23, 1902.
⁴ The inscription on the tablet is as follows: “John Ruskin, M. A., D. C. L., LL. D. Born in Bloomsbury, 8 Feb. 1819. Brought to 28 Herne Hill by his parents in 1823, he dwelt on Herne and Denmark Hill for 50 years. His later days were chiefly lived upon the shore of Coniston Lake. Yet under the roof where he grew up he had a home in this Parish to the end, the house having passed into the possession of his cousin and adopted daughter Joan and her husband Arthur Severn. Died at Brantwood, 20 Jan., Buried at Coniston, 25 Jan. 1900. The words of the wise are as goads, and as nails well fastened are the words of the masters of assemblies.”
⁵ See Vol. XVI. p. 463.
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near his old home at Denmark Hill. The Park was opened on February 2, 1907. A memorial, made by a private friend, Mr. Willett, took the form of the dedication of a tract of wild woodland; this has already been described.¹

At Oxford, no memorial to Ruskin was set up; but his bust, by Boehm, had already been presented to the University by a body of subscribers in 1880.² At the Encænia following his death, the Public Orator (Dr. Merry), in his address upon the events of the year, dwelt upon the loss which the University of Oxford had sustained:—

“Quotus quisque nostrum est superstes qui eum in Theatro Sheldoniano carmen Anglicum recitantem audiverit? Meminerint autem plures quanto ardore juventutem nostram ad opera fabrilia incitaverit, vel ad vias in rure suburbano lapidibus muniendas; quantam venustatem praelectionibus suis instillaverit; quanta benignitate novam pingendi scholam in hac Universitate fundaverit. Quid de singulari ingenio, quid de scriptis immortaliibus dicam? Etenim si ad orationis numeros et verborum elegantem delectum resperexeris, detulisse eum ‘amœno ex Helicone perenni fronde coronam’ credendum erit; sin ad sententiarum novitatem atque audacem censuram, confitendum est eum Aristarchi vel potius Zoili partes adsumpisses. Virum insignem si non omnia recte disputantem, si non semper suis ipsius judiciis stantem, si nimium ingenii sui amatorem aliquando improbaveris, illud tamen ad omnibus in laude est ponendum, nihil acque in animo eum habuit quam ut reverentiam, veritatem, justitiam, sanctitatem inculcaret. Quamobrem justo desiderio tenemur Magistri illustri altiora fortasse potenter quam quæ de generi hominum societate possent congruere, et simpliciorum commendantis vitam quam tum demum esset efficienda, cum universi cives fallacias, iniquitates, pecuniae questum ultro aspernati ætatis aureæ denuo restituere conarentur.”

Venice took occasion, in connexion with the International Art Congress held there in September 1905, to commemorate the author of The Stones.³ On September 21, a meeting in commemoration of Ruskin was held in the Sala dei Pregadi in the Ducal Palace, at which, in presence of the King and Queen of Italy, M. Robert de

¹ Vol. XXX. p. xxxv.
² Prince Leopold, the Marquis of Salisbury, Sir Henry Acland, Burne-Jones, Leighton, and Dean Liddell were among the committee formed for this purpose.
³ The municipality placed a memorial tablet upon the inn (now rebuilt) on the Zattere where Ruskin had stayed in 1876–1877; and in the English Church of St. George a memorial window is being placed by Mr. Horatio Brown and other subscribers.
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la Sizeranne delivered an eloquent discourse. Its concluding words may here be quoted:—

“Gentlemen, thanks to you, the sailors of the future will see again the Tower of St. Mark clear on the horizon—that wonderful straight column that our eyes always sought, that they seek, but in vain, to-day, which rises from the soil of your city like a beam of light sent from the earth to heaven. You have already begun the work; we can hear, from this place, the rattling of the hammers on the stone. We may hope that it will ere long be accomplished. To-day, however, you are engrossed with another monument. Your memory recalls the great figure of Ruskin to your imaginations, and from henceforth, so you will it, we shall meet that figure everywhere, at the threshold of St. Mark as at the Tower of Torcello, near the Madonna of the Garden as at the foot of the dead Doges at San Zanipolo.

“And this monument that you raise to Ruskin, immaterial as it is, has no need to fear the fate of the Campanile. Whatever earthquakes may befall, it will for ever appear clear, luminous to the navigator (and we are all navigators), to the men of the twentieth century who seek for a lighthouse and a port.

“Our eyes will see it—never. Our hearts will find it everywhere.”

Last among the memorials to Ruskin comes the present edition of his Life, Letters, and Works.²

II

We now turn to the book which is printed in the present volume—the last of Ruskin's works, Praeterita. For this work, the world is partly indebted to Professor Norton, at whose suggestion it was that Ruskin resolved to continue the autobiographical reminiscences, commenced incidentally in Fors Clavigera, and to make them into a separate book. The book as we have it is not carried so far as Ruskin had hoped and designed; but even in its design, it was never intended to be a complete and systematic account of the author's life. The full title explains the more modest scheme with which he took up the task. It was to give, of the Past,³ “Outlines of Scenes and Thoughts perhaps

¹ Ruskin at Venice: a Lecture given during the Ruskin Commemoration at Venice, September 21, 1905, by Robert de la Sizeranne, translated by Mrs. Frederic Harrison (George Allen, 1906), pp. 69, 70.
² The present volume concludes the Life and Works. The two next volumes are occupied by his Letters.
³ Praeterita, as the title of a book, had been anticipated in 1863, when it was given to a volume of verse by “W. P. Lancaster” (pseudonym for John Byrne Leicester Warren, Baron de Tabley).
worthy of Memory.” Ruskin had found already in writing *Fors* that such scenes “returned soothingly to his memory,”¹ and he now set himself each day to write down a piece of his Past. The book was published in chapters at irregular intervals; and a series of extracts from letters to various friends shows the pleasure and interest which Ruskin took in the work, if sometimes also the strain under which it was done:—

---

(To Kate Greenaway, January 7, 1885.) — “The autobiography won’t be a pretty book at all, but merely an account of the business and general meaning of my life. As I work at it every morning (about half-an-hour only), I have very bitter feelings about the waste of years and years in merely looking at things—all I’ve got to say is: I went there—and saw that. But did nothing. If only I had gone on drawing plants, or clouds, or—.”²

(To Grace Allen, April 22, 1885.) — “I am so sorry you’ve been wasting your time. I don’t want any of the personal bits, but just the three or four connected accounts of childhood—to which for this purpose the *Fors* containing them may surely be sacrificed and go marked to printer, without bothering you and him with writing and revising. Begin with this bit enclosed,³ and send the three or four numbers that tell about the child life, and nothing more is wanted.

“Tell your father, I think myself this autobiography will be popular. It has become far more interesting than I expected.”

“Tell your father, I think myself this autobiography will be popular. It has become far more interesting than I expected.”

(To Kate Greenaway, January 22, 1886.) — “I am so very thankful you like this eighth number so much, for I was afraid it would begin to shock people. I have great pleasure in the thing myself—it is so much easier and simpler to say things face to face like that, than as an author. The ninth has come out very prettily, I think.”

(To Kate Greenaway, January 27, 1886.) — “I am so very very glad you like *Præterita*, for it is, as you say, the ‘natural’ me—only, of course, peeled carefully. It is different from what else I write—because, you know, I seldom have had to describe any but heroic, or evil, characters, and this watercress character is so much easier to do, and credible and tasteable by everybody’s own lips.”

(To Kate Greenaway, February 23, 1886.) — “It is lovely of you thinking of illustrating the life—I am greatly set up in the thought of it. But wait a while. I hope it will be all more or less graceful.

---

¹ Letter 88, § 6 (Vol. XXIX. p. 385).
² No. 62 of the letters from Ruskin in *Kate Greenaway*, by M. H. Spielmann, 1905, p. 146. See also in Vol. XXXVII. letters to her of “January 4” and “Whit Monday” 1885.
³ The first section of *Præterita*. 
But I fear it will not be cheerful enough. I’ll try and keep it as Katish as—the very truth can be.

“Clotilde is still living, (I believe)—Baronne Du Quesne,—a managing châtelaine in mid-France.”

(To R. C. LESLIE, June 1, 1886.)—“I am turning the first of mid-summer days to good account by sending to printer your memories of Turner, and notes on Téméraire, to be the first number of a new serial of mine—(purer piracy never was done in New York!) to be called (I believe), for I’ve only thought of the name this morning, Manenta.\textsuperscript{2} It is to be a supplement to Præterita, giving friends’ letters, and collateral pieces of events or debate for which there is no room in the closely packed story, or which would make me jealous of their branching and often livelier interest. I shall be able thus to give pieces for reference out of diaries, and sometimes a bit of immediate Fors-fashion talk—which will be a relief from the please-your-worship and by-your-leave style of Præterita. The Téméraire sheets I shall send you to see right, for I’m muddled about that matter.”

(To KATE GREENAWAY, June 13, 1886.)—“I cannot say how thankful I am that you continue to like Præterita so much. I know you would not if it did not deserve to be liked—and it is very delicious to be liked by a Katie besides, and to feel more and more that sympathy and likeness between us—though you know there’s nothing in you of my grim side, and you never feel it is there! I fancy this vividness of description which you feel is merely caused by my analytic power of fastening on the points that separate that scene, whatever it be, from others; of course this is not unconscious nor without effort, and I have now a good command of English words also. But this vividness must be made also in the reader’s mind, and I don’t believe anybody but you and I know what an aspen is like. I didn’t ‘smile’ in that sense—at your saying this book would live. I do hope it will go to its mark better than the rest. But the difficult bits are all to come! However, my printer writes that the fifth\textsuperscript{3} is very nice too.”

(To MRS. ARTHUR SEVERN, January 19, 1887.)—“I only settled finally to-day the name of chap. i. of Vol. III.—‘Otterburn.’ It is to introduce Wallington and Connie at nine years old. The ninth chapter is to be ‘Joanna’s (Charge) Care’? unless I think of one not liable to make you like Joan of Arc at the head of her cavalry. That one number has to describe all relations between Auntie and

\textsuperscript{1} Nos. 79, 81 of the letters in Kate Greenaway, pp. 151, 152.

\textsuperscript{2} Ultimately called Dilecta.

\textsuperscript{3} Chapter v. of vol. ii.
you. I had no idea how I should have to compress in finishing. Dr.
Parsons here to-day; says I’m quite well—I think so too.”

(To his printer, Henry Jowett)—“I am so glad you like the last
number of Præterita. The feelings with which I write it are so many
and mixed that I am quite unable to judge of the effect it will have on
the readers I care most to please.

“The first chapter reads rather spicy. Dilecta is quite delightful. I
think I like the Christ Church Choir in print mightily.

“I think the ii. Præterita\(^1\) will bring the house down.

“I’ve rather enjoyed reading these slips myself.

“I have knocked off last sentence from Præterita; everybody wants
the more poetic ending.\(^2\) I wonder who will edit my diaries when I’m
ended myself.”\(^3\)

These extracts refer, as will have been seen, to the issue of successive
chapters of Præterita, and to the supplementary series called Dilecta, which
was to contain “Correspondence, Diary Notes, and Extracts from Books
illustrating Præterita.” In what way the book was hindered by ill-health, and
how it was ultimately left incompeleted, I have already told. Of the third
volume of Præterita, only four of the intended twelve chapters were written;
and of Dilecta (which was to have consisted of thirty-six chapters) only three.
I remember Ruskin showing me, in April 1888, a list of pretty titles for the
twelve intended chapters of the third volume of Præterita and for as many
parallel chapters of a third volume of Dilecta. This list is recoverable, as
follows, from his MSS:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRÆTERITA.—VOL. III.</th>
<th>DILECTA.—VOL. III.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAP.</td>
<td>CHAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. L’Esterelle.</td>
<td>3. Ara Celi.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The Source of the Arveron.</td>
<td>5. Rose Fluor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Regina Montium.</td>
<td>8. The Bay of Uri.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fairies’ Hollow.</td>
<td>10. St. Martin’s Bridge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Everything is written,” he said, with a smile, “except the chapters
themselves.” “But what,” I asked, “about the intervening chapters

\(^1\) Chapter ii. of vol. ii.

\(^2\) Probably ch. x. of vol. ii.

\(^3\) From John Ruskin: a Biographical Sketch, by R. Ed. Pengelly, pp. 107, 113.
“Ah,” he replied, “I don’t suppose I shall ever do those; but any kind friend or editor can do them for me when I am dead; the material is all at Brantwood.” The Introductions to the several volumes of this edition are the fulfilment of Ruskin’s wish.

His original idea had been to carry the story down to 1875 only; in some subsequent schemes for the book, it would have reached to 1882. His diaries contain numerous schemes, now collated in an Appendix (below, p. 633).

As it stands, then, the book is a fragment; yet, so far as it extends, it has an artistic completeness. One may wish for more of it, but not that any of it were written otherwise than it is. “The spirit and style of the book,” says Professor Norton, “are thoroughly delightful, and truly represent the finer characteristics of his nature. He has written nothing better, it seems to me, than some pages of this book, whether of description or reflection. The retrospect is seen through the mellowing atmosphere of age, the harshness of many an outline is softened by distance, and the old man looks back upon his own life with a feeling which permits him to delineate it with perfect candour, with exquisite tenderness, and a playful liveliness quickened by his humorous sense of its dramatic extravagances and individual eccentricities.”

Præterita, says Mr. Frederic Harrison, “is certainly the most charming thing that he ever gave to the world, and is one of the most pathetic and exquisite Confessions in the language.” It is, for one thing, a model of perfectly limpid English. The graceful ease and humour of his later style are nowhere better shown. It is also, I think, a model of literary tact. In some ways this last book by Ruskin was a revelation. What surprised many readers was the insight here displayed into human character and his happy skill in portraiture. “Ruskin,” wrote Miss Thackeray (Lady Ritchie), “should have been a novelist. It is true he says he never knew a child more incapable than himself of telling a tale, but when he chooses to describe a man or a woman, there stands the figure before us; when he tells a story, we live it. . . . How delightfully he remembers! . . . We get glimpses of the neighbours, and we seem to know them as we know the people out of Vanity Fair or out of Miss Austen’s novels. . . . It is English middle-class life for the most part, described with something of George Eliot’s racy reality.”

3 Records of Tennyson, Ruskin, and Browning, 1892, pp. 92–97. Among the brief character-sketches Lady Ritchie specially notices that of Joseph Severn (below, p. 278).
Præterita to be “one of the most charming examples of the most charming kind of literature. No autobiographer surpasses him in freshness and fulness of memory, nor in the power of giving interest to the apparently commonplace. There is an even remarkable absence of striking incident, but somehow or other the story fascinates.”¹ The freshness and fulness of memory is one of the secrets of the charm of Præterita; the zest which the author imparts to scenes and incidents is another.² “I must tell you,” wrote Jean Ingelow to him (December 21, 1885), “with how much delight I have read a great part of your Præterita. I think the lovely tour in chap. vi. gave me most joy: from page 193¹³ to the end brought back to me an ecstasy comparable to that when I first saw that excellent beauty in the remoteness of a grander world myself.” A letter from the same friend on one of the earlier chapters may be added:—

“The contentment of the lovely baby with a bunch of keys⁴ was chiefly remarkable in this, that you remember the sensation; no doubt we commoner mortals spent hours in making small observations and sage experiments, but have forgotten them. . . . But your new chapter appears to introduce one at a bound to genius of a rare kind, which I have often longed to see described. It belongs to the senses as well as to the reason. What child of seven years ever saw how a road went winding up and round a cliff before?⁵ The upper curve where the road goes behind the cliff you could hardly make more correctly now. Surely this is a gift of the eye.”

To other readers Præterita was a revelation, not so much of Ruskin’s gifts, as of the early limitations and struggles against which they had to contend, and of the romance which saddened his later years. The utter sincerity of the book, the frankness of its revelations, is another of its charms; and that may well have come easily to an author who was little given to concealment, and who now, in his old age, had no reason for illusionment or disguise. What may cause surprise, knowing as we do the circumstances in which the book was written, is its serenity of temper and vivacity of tone. “I do not mean this book,”

¹ “John Ruskin,” in the National Review, April 1900, p. 255.
² “I am just finishing the second volume of Præterita,” wrote Manning (April 17, 1887), “with great increase of interest, for I was in Rome with George Richmond in the year or the year before you were there, and your places and pictures in Italy are all known to me. But I am looking forward to your times at Assisi with S. Francis, and elsewhere with B. Angelico; that is, in the World of Christ’s Folk—very beautiful folk, and very unlike the folk now growing up under the influence of the three black R’s—Renaissance, Reformation, and Revolution.”
³ That is, in the first edition; pp. 114–119, below.
⁴ See below, p. 20 (§ 14).
⁵ See the woodcut on p. 54, below.
said Ruskin, “to be in any avoidable way disagreeable or querulous.”1 He also succeeded for the most part in keeping it free from the desultoriness which too often marked his later books. The tone in which Præterita is written is as if he had resolved in this last work of his pen to atone for past petulance by sustained gentleness, and “it affords beautiful and final testimony to the real sweetness of his nature.”2

It may be interesting to describe, in connexion with an account of the manuscripts, how Præterita was written. The manuscript of Præterita is at Brantwood. It consists of:—

(i.) A few sheets of the MS. of Fors Clavigera in which Ruskin began his autobiography. An unpublished passage written for Fors is now given in the Appendix “Galloway Ancestry” (p. 607).

(ii.) Three small books used as diaries from January 1 to July 14, 1885. In these, after a brief entry of diary, Ruskin wrote, with hardly any corrections, each day a bit of Præterita. Some of the unpublished passages in these books are added in the present edition. Of the hitherto published text of Præterita, these Diaries contain (in different order) the greater part of vol. i. and most of vol. ii. §§ 7–70, with several passages which were ultimately placed later. For he printed his MS. in an order very different from that in which it was written. In the Diaries, he wrote down from day to day pieces of reminiscence as they occurred to him. The material thus compiled was put into shape in the next two stages:—

(iii.) A fair copy by Ruskin of most of the first, and much of the second of the three diaries, with some additions; and, lastly,

(iv.) The main mass of the MS. of the book as printed, written in Ruskin’s hand on his usual lined foolscap.

Two facsimiles of pages of the MS. in this final form are given at pp. 326–327 and 562–563. The latter is of special interest as being the last page which Ruskin wrote for the press.

In his re-arrangement of the material, Ruskin omitted many interesting passages, either because they would not conveniently fit in, or because he meant to use them in the intended continuation of Præterita or Dilecta. Several of these additional passages are printed in the present volume—in three different ways:—(i.) where the passages are short and directly supplement a particular passage in the original text, they are printed as footnotes (e.g., pp. 97, 108, 112, 116, 155, 197, 204, 219, 233, 253, 254, 255, 258, 261, 272, 287, 293, 302, 308, 371, 417, 418, 465); it is sometimes the more desirable to have such additional

---

1 See i. § 58 (p. 49).
passages because Ruskin, forgetting that they had been omitted, refers to them in his text (e.g., pp. 81, 87, 157, 224, 244); (ii.) one long passage, which was obviously intended for a continuation of the book and which carries on the story of his life, is given as an appendix to vol. iii. ch. iii. (pp. 532–534). (iii.) Other passages, which are long and do not conveniently fit on to any one particular place in the text, are printed in the Appendix to the volume, arranged under various heads (pp. 607–627).

The Appendix contains, lastly (pp. 632–635)—printed from the MS. material—Ruskin’s scheme for the completion of Præterita and continuation of Dilecta, a scheme frustrated by the final breakdown of his health. Passages then follow (pp. 635–642) which were to have been issued, had he been able to carry out his scheme.

Præterita, as has been said, is fragmentary. It may be well, therefore, to go over the ground covered by the book, and add some particulars of interest.

Of Ruskin’s ancestry, he gives account at pp. 19, 62 on his father’s side, and on pp. 18, 122 on his mother’s. He regrets, however, that he did not, while his parents yet lived, learn more about his forebears (p. 122). In Dilecta (p. 593) Mrs. Arthur Severn’s uncle, Mr. John Ruskin Tweddale, traced the genealogy back for some generations through Catherine Tweddale, Ruskin’s paternal grandmother. A family tree based on these researches is given on p. 603. It is of interest to know that she was the daughter of the parish minister of Glenluce, and that Ruskin was thus (in the third generation) “a son of the Manse.”1 Subsequent researches have carried the history further back on the side of Ruskin’s grandfather.

This grandfather, John Thomas Ruskin (1761–1817?), made a runaway match, as Ruskin relates in Præterita (p. 62), with Catherine Tweddale. She was sixteen at the time, and Ruskin sketches her character as that of a bright and animated girl, of a robust cheerfulness which no trials could subdue. Her husband was established in 1786 as a grocer, and the Edinburgh Directory gives his address as “head of Kennedy’s Close,” in the old town near the Tron Church. He must have prospered in his business, for in 1800 he had moved to 15 St.

1 He refers to this ancestry in The Lord’s Prayer and the Church; Vol. XXXIV. p. 227; see also an additional passage now printed in the Appendix (below, p. 607).
James Square, and in 1805 he appears as “agent.”1 Ruskin’s father in a letter to Miss Mitford (January 5, 1852) thus describes the author’s grandfather and grandmother: “I had also a father more magnificent in his expenditure than mindful of his family; so indiscriminate and boundless in his hospitalities that, when the invited guests arrived, he would sometimes have to inquire their names. My mother, too, had a heart large enough to embrace the whole human race, but with universal love combined peculiar prudence.”2 John Thomas and his wife moved in the end in a cultivated society, being on friendly terms, for instance, with the renowned Professor Thomas Brown (p. 123).

John Thomas Ruskin was the son of John Ruskin (1732–1780), of whom little is known; but a writer in the *Celtic Review*3 traces the family back conjecturally to Muckairn, which lies along the shore of Loch Etive. A family of the MacCalmons of Barraglas had, it seems, a tanning-house, immediately below the present railway station of Tigh-an-uildt. They had to bark trees for tanning, and were known as “na Rusgain” (“the peelers”) and Clann Rusgain (“the bark-peeling family”), thus losing their clan name in an occupation name. This native industry was killed about 1750. One of the Muckairn Rusgains joined the Earl of Mar in 1715, and was severely wounded at the battle of Sheriffmuir. “His comrades carried him from the field to a farmhouse, where, being a young man of good presence, ability, and manners, he was hospitably entertained and nursed. And if every person was good to him, the daughter of the house was specially so. She was watching him by day and night till she brought him home from death. Then MacRuskin from Muckairn and the daughter of the farmer in the sheriffdom of Perth were married,” and from him, it is suggested that John Ruskin was descended—a genealogy in which Ruskin, when informed of it, we are told, was “intensely interested.”

Whether it is true is, however, another matter. For among Ruskin’s papers is the indenture of the apprenticeship of “John Thomas Ruskin,”4

---

1 See chapter xii. (“John Ruskin’s Grandfather, a Merchant at the West of the Tron Kirk”) in *The Tron Kirk of Edinburgh, or Christ’s Kirk at the Tron, a History*, by the Rev. D. Butler, Edinburgh, 1906.
2 W. G. Collingwood’s *Life and Work of John Ruskin*, 1900, p. 7 n.
4 “John Thomas Ruskin was alive in the early part of 1817, as in a letter addressed to his mother, Catherine Tweddale, at Bowerswell, Perth, dated April 1 in that year, John James Ruskin sends a message to his father. The year of his death and place of burial have not been ascertained. His health had failed in 1815.” See a letter by Mr. Wedderburn in the *Scottish Review*, March 21, 1904. There
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son of John Ruskin of St. Bartholomew the Great, London,” to Robert Walker, vintner, dated February 16, 1776. It is thus clear that the first known John Ruskin, the author’s great-grandfather, was settled in London, that John Thomas Ruskin was there apprenticed, and that he migrated some years later to Edinburgh. His ancestry has not been traced, and whether it was Scottish or English cannot be said. It is perhaps worth noting that Ruskin in a letter of 1882 spoke of his “English ancestors.”

The spelling of the name varies greatly, and its origin has been the subject of much conjecture. In the Edinburgh directories, John Thomas appears as Russken and Rusken; in the Register of Births as Risken and Rusken. According to the legend noticed above, it means “the Tanner”; according to others it is a case of metonymy, for “Erskine”; while yet a third derivation makes it mean “Little Red-head” (rus, red; French roux). Whatever may be the origin of the name, it has been traced back to the fourteenth century, when a Ruskin was captain of one of Edward III.’s ships; whilst in the sixteenth century, “Richerde Ruskyne” and his family were landowners at Dalton-in-Furness. The place-name Ruskington, in Lincolnshire, are at Brantwood a few letters written between 1805 and 1817 by Catherine Tweddale to her son John James Ruskin in London. From these it appears that John Thomas Ruskin’s conduct or misconduct of his affairs, as well as the condition of his health and mind, had long given anxiety to his family. His wife writes freely to her son on the subject, speaking of “a father so unstable as yours” who “seldom knows his own mind for two hours together.” This was in 1808. In 1805 he had objected to his son’s going to London, although his temper made it impossible for him to settle at home, and it devolved on his son to prepare without delay to be the mainstay of his family. Already, in his father’s lifetime and early in his own business career, John James Ruskin was contributing substantially to his mother’s income. The condition of his father’s affairs at length (1809) required the economy of departure from their house (15 St. James Square, Edinburgh), and settlement in a small house by the sea at Dysart. Her own daughter having married and gone to Perth, Mrs. John Thomas Ruskin now had with her, and as dear and helpful to her as any daughter, her niece, Margaret Cox, who afterwards became her son’s wife. The precise nature of John Thomas Ruskin’s occupation in later life does not appear, but in 1809 and 1811 he was away from home at Morpeth and in Newcastle, presumably on business. His mind failed in the summer of 1815; but the precise year of his death has not been ascertained.

1 When Ruskin speaks of his “English ancestors” (Vol. XXXIV. p. 561) he is referring to his mother’s family: see p. 465, below.

2 The following is the entry of the birth of Ruskin’s father (27th May 1785): “John Thomas Risken, Merchant, and Katherine Tweddale his Spouse, Old Kirk Parish, a son born 10th current named John James. Witnesses, Robert Stewart, Grocer, and William White, Iron Monger, Edinburgh.”

3 See, for these theories, Notes and Queries, August 22 and September 5, 1885.

4 Communicated by Mr. W. Hutton Brayshay, from the Record Office. See Ruskin Relics, p. 16, and Dr. Barber’s Furness and Cartmel Notes, p. 380. Richerde Ruskyn was churchwarden of Dalton-in-Furness in 1553.
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seems to point to a tribe of Anglian settlers, Ruskings, of whom this village was originally the tun, or homestead. Ruskin himself objected both to “Rough skin” and “Red skin,” and hoped that the learned would let him claim relationship with St. George through the Saxon Kin. Another etymology would, however, have pleased him, for it connects the name with one of his favourite animals, the squirrel. In a letter of A.D. 1385 mention is made of “two furs of rossel mixed with ruskyn,” and it has been ascertained that rossel was the fur of the squirrel in spring, and ruskyn the fur of the same animal in the winter.

On the side of his paternal grandmother, Catherine Tweddale, Ruskin was at any rate pure Scottish, and it is to her, as he says, that belongs “what dim gleam of ancestral honour I may claim for myself.” He was thus connected with two great Galloway families, the Agnews and the Adairs; some particulars of them are given below, pp. 602, 604.

Thus much, then, of Ruskin’s ancestry. Of his father and mother, there is little to add to that which he himself has told in Præterita, and which is incidentally revealed in the correspondence and diaries contained in the present edition of his Works. A few further remarks will be found in the Introduction to Vol. XXXVI.

Of the years of Ruskin’s childhood and boyhood (1819–1836), Præterita is again the fullest record (pp. 11–184). These years are covered in the Introduction to Vol. I, pp. i.–xxxiv.; and his prose works written before 1836 are printed in that volume. The story of his childhood and youth is told again by himself unconsciously in the Early Poems: see Vol. II. pp. 253–516. It may be noted that the certificates of his birth and baptism are now in the Ruskin Museum at

1 Mr. Wedderburn remembers being present at the Winter Assizes held at Hertford on February 8, 1887, when “James Ruskin,” a labourer, was tried for theft at St. Albans, and one of the jury who tried him was “Frederick Ruskin, of Cheshunt, farmer.”

2 See Fors Clavigera, Letters 24 and 30 (Vol. XXVII. pp. 417, 557). We may compare Ruskin’s “childish pleasure in the accidental resemblance to my own name in that of the architect whose opinion was first given in favour of the ancient fabric, Giovanni Rusconi” (Stones of Venice, vol. ii. ch. viii. § 28, Vol. X. p. 355). Another Rusconi (Carlo) translated Shakespeare’s plays into Italian prose (Padua, 1831).

3 See Dr. Reginald Sharpe’s Calendar of the MS. Letter Books at the Guildhall, Book G. p. 262 (1907), and H. T. Reilly’s Memorials of London and London Life, 1200–1500, p. 329. The name occurs also in an account of a siege of Calais in 1436 (“One Watkyn Ruskyn, a gentleman and a good spear”), in The Brut, or The Chronicles of England (in course of publication by the Early English Text Society from the Harleian MS. 53). I am indebted to Dr. Furnivall for these references.

4 See below, p. 607.
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Coniston; the former signed by his parents, and witnessed by his nurse Ann; the latter written by the Rev. James Boyd, in 1819, minister of the Caledonian Church, Cross Street, Hatton Garden.

His Oxford life (1836–1841) is told in ch. xi. of Præterita. Some further particulars—as, for instance, of his speeches at the Union Debating Society—are given in Vol. I. pp. xxxiv., xxxv.; whilst the story of his poems for the Newdigate Prize, only mentioned incidentally in Præterita,¹ will be found in Vol. II. pp. xxiii.–xxvi. His Letters to a College Friend (Vol. I.) also largely belong to his Oxford period. A few further notes may be added here.

The date of his matriculation is October 20, 1836. His reception by the undergraduates, when he went into residence in the following term, was—as Dean Kitchin notes, to the credit of the House—not unkindly. His position—as a “home boy,” as “a tradesman’s son,” and as utterly ignorant of athletics—was “all but hopeless. Still, somehow, he did make his way. The truth is that Christ Church is very like the House of Commons in temper; a man, however plain of origin, however humble in position, is tolerated and listened to with respect, if he is sincere, honest, and ‘knows his subject.’ This is why the Christ Church gentleman-commoners accepted Ruskin readily enough; they found that the boy was full of ingenious and really genuine thought, and that he had travelled widely, and had profited by his travels; they saw that he was in essentials a true gentleman.” He was tolerated, however, rather than popular. Dean Kitchin quotes a letter in which a contemporary of Ruskin at Christ Church briefly says of him that “at this time Ruskin was only famous as a sort of butt, and not a genius.” And Mr. Aubrey de Vere says of him, on the publication of the first volume of Modern Painters: “I am told that the author’s name is Ruskin, and that he was considered at College as an odd sort of man who would never do anything.” Dean Liddell’s description of Ruskin (in a letter written in 1837) is somewhat different: “I am going to drink tea with Adolphus Liddell tonight, and see the drawings of a very wonderful gentleman-commoner here who draws wonderfully. He is a very strange fellow, always dressing in a greatcoat with a brown velvet collar, and a large neck-cloth tied over his mouth, ² and living quite in his own way among

¹ At p. 422: see, however, the additional passages now added from the MS., pp. 613, 614.
² These were fashions to which Ruskin remained constant. On state occasions, however, he indulged, as a young man, in “a white satin waistcoat with gold sprigs, and a high dress-coat with bright buttons. Picture, then,” says Mr. Collingwood, “the young Ruskin in those dressy days. A portrait was once sent to Brantwood
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the odd set of hunting and sporting men that gentlemen-commoners usually are. One of them, for instance, rode to London and back the other day in five and a half hours, 108 miles. However, he got rusticated for his pains, so he had better have stayed at home. But Ruskin does not give in to such fancies as these, and tells them that they like their own way of living and he likes his; and so they go on, and I am glad to say they do not bully him, as I should have been afraid they would.1 He did not, however, escape some “ragging.” Osborne Gordon told Mr. Holman Hunt that “Ruskin had been made the subject of a great deal of horse-play on account of his avoidance of sports.”2 It has been reported that Acland’s attention was first directed to Ruskin by seeing him being ridden round Tom Quad by some of the rowdier gentlemen-commoners, and that he interfered to protect the victim.3 “Another version represents that Acland’s indignation was aroused by an attempt to make the boyish-looking freshman tipsy at a wine-party.”4 A third story describes how some noisy spirits invaded Ruskin’s rooms one night, breaking down his oak and rushing into his bedroom. Ruskin received them in his dressing-gown. “Gentlemen,” he said, with a sweet smile, “I am sorry I cannot now entertain you as I should wish; but my father, who is engaged in the sherry trade, has put it into my power to invite you all to wine to-morrow evening. Will you come?” The rioters withdrew with “Three cheers for Ruskin!”5 Thus early did he illustrate a power which he had throughout life of disarming any opponents with whom he came in personal contact. Ruskin’s mother, as he tells us (p. 199), kept watch and ward from her lodgings in the High Street, and her letters to her husband give us a few more glimpses of Ruskin at Oxford. She was insistent upon his keeping early hours; but she reckoned without the young men. “It does little good sporting his oak,” she reports in an account of how Lord Desart and Bob Grimston climbed in through his window; “they say midshipmen and Oxonians have more lives than a cat, and they have need of them if they run such risks.” After the incident of the essay, described so humorously in Preterita (p. 196), there was a big wine to celebrate the event. The guests “asked him

of a dandy in a green coat of wonderful cut, supposed to represent him in his youth, but suggesting Lord Lytton’s ‘Pelham’ rather than the homespun-suited seer of Coniston. ‘Did you ever wear a coat like that?’ I asked. ‘I’m not so sure that I didn’t,’ said he” (Life and Work of John Ruskin, 1900, p. 68).

1 Henry George Liddell, by the Rev. H. L. Thompson, 1899, p. 215 n.
2 Pre-Raphaelitism and the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, vol. i. p. 323.
4 J. B. Atlay’s Memoir of Sir Henry Acland, p. 41.
5 Obituary notice in the Times, January 22, 1900.
whether his essay cost 2s. 6d. or 5s., and proceeded to light a bonfire in Peckwater; presumably to make short work of Ruskin’s long-winded and offending production. But he judiciously escaped to bed, and on this occasion, it seems, was not molested. That he was capable of holding his own and making his way is clear from the fact of his election in his second term to the exclusive Christ Church Club (pp. 210–1). “Simeon, Acland, and Mr. Denison proposed him,” his mother reports; “Lord Carew and Broadhurst supported.” A few letters from Ruskin himself, describing undergraduate experiences, will be found in Vol. XXXVI. Dean Kitchin, in a passage quoted above, speaks of him being recognised as a man who “knew his subject.” His subject at this time was drawing. His mother sends word to his father of the way in which their son’s fame in this sort became noised abroad:—

“Mr. Liddell and Mr. Gaisford” (junior) turned up. “John was glad he had wine to offer, but they would not take any; they had come to see sketches. John says Mr. Liddell looked at them with the eye of a judge and the delight of an artist, and swore they were the best sketches he had ever seen. John accused him of quizzing, but he answered that he really thought them excellent.” John said that it was the scenes which made the pictures; Mr. Liddell knew better, and spread the fame of them over the college. Next morning “Lord Emlyn and Lord Ward called to look at the sketches,” and when the undergraduates had dropped in one after another, the Dean himself, even the terrible Gaisford, sent for the portfolio, and returned it with august approval.3

In this way Ruskin became, it seems, one of the “show” young men at Christ Church. Thomas Sopwith, a distinguished mining engineer and geologist, and an amateur draughtsman, has left record of a visit paid to Dr. Buckland at Oxford. Ruskin was invited to dinner to meet him as “an admirable artist.” Sopwith describes a long conversation

1 See the note quoted from Dean Kitchin, below, p. 196 n.
2 Sir John Simeon, Bart. (1815–1870), afterwards M. P. for the Isle of Wight. For Acland, see below, p. 197. Alfred Robert Denison, b. 1817; afterwards settled in New South Wales. Robert Shepland Carew (1819–1881) was the second Baron Carew. John Broadhurst, of Foston Hall, Derbyshire.
3 W. G. Collingwood, Life and Work of John Ruskin, p. 58. To like effect Dean Kitchin gives a letter from one of Ruskin’s contemporaries at Christ Church, Mr. W. Hughes Hughes: “I myself, on June 2, 1838, coming home from a late (or early) party, found Ruskin sitting near the central basin in Tom Quad; and looking over his shoulder, was charmed at the sight of his beautiful water-colour sketch, in what was then called Prout’s style, of the Tower. From that time I always felt great respect for Ruskin, having found that he had some talent” (Ruskin in Oxford, and other Papers, p. 28).
with Ruskin, in which the latter disclosed himself as the author of the essays on Perspective in *Loudon’s Architectural Magazine*.1 “It was truly delightful,” writes Sopwith, “to become acquainted with the ingenious author of those very able papers, and still more so to find that we exactly coincided in opinion.” A day or two later, Dr. Buckland again had young Ruskin to meet his guest, who thus recorded the occasion in his diary:—

“(February 6, 1839.)—Dr. Buckland invited Mr. Ruskin to breakfast, and requested him to send his drawings for me to look at. These are contained in four large folio volumes. They consist entirely of original sketches in England, Scotland, and various parts of the Continent. Most of them are in pencil, on tinted paper, and touched with a few slight effects of light or colour. Architectural subjects prevail, and comprise very clear, minute, and exceedingly beautiful details of some of the most celebrated cathedrals, churches, ruins, etc. There is great spirit, richness, and freedom of touch in his style of drawing; and some of his views, as Roslin Chapel, for instance, are one mass of sumptuous decoration arranged in just perspective and in good keeping. . . . Those who delight in seeing correct and vivid portraits of distant scenery, in beholding splendid architectural combinations, and in admiring the highest efforts of art, will readily appreciate my enjoyment in looking over these beautiful volumes. . . . The Apprentice’s Pillar at Roslin, an old oak hall, with a forest seen through the window, . . . these and many other drawings are inimitable examples of that accordance with nature which Mr. Ruskin has so ably and so eloquently advocated in *Loudon’s Architectural Magazine* under the signature of Kata Phusin. Many of the landscape views were commented upon by Dr. Buckland with reference to the geological features. I had a long and agreeable conversation with this excellent amateur artist, who is now residing at Oxford as a gentleman-commoner; and it was no ordinary gratification to lay the foundation of a further acquaintance with him under such favourable auspices as an introduction in the house of Dr. Buckland.”2

The episode of Ruskin’s early love for Adèle Domecq, which occurred during his Oxford period, is described in *Præterita* (pp. 178–183, 227–229, 258). It coloured much of his poetry, and in this connexion reference may be made to Vol. II. pp. 16–24, 449 n.

Of later chapters and passages in Ruskin’s life, touched upon in

---
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Præterita, successive Introductions have given full account. A part of the story, not yet fully dealt with in any Introduction, remains, however, to be told here. The romance and the real tragedy of Ruskin’s life are touched upon in the last chapter but one of Præterita, and hinted at in various passages of his books. Now that those to whom the tragedy was most poignant have passed away, the time has come when the story may briefly be told. Ruskin first saw Rose La Touche, then a girl of ten, in 1858. Her mother was a friend of Louisa, Lady Waterford, and it was through her introduction that Mrs. La Touche came to write to him about the education of her daughters in drawing. He has described in Præterita (pp. 525–532) the meeting, the home circle, the lessons, the affection of the girls, and especially of Rosie, for him, and his for her and the family. The lessons begun in London were often continued at Denmark Hill, and almost the last words of Præterita are memories of “Paradisical walks with Rosie,” by the little stream in his garden there (p. 560). The La Touches, though often in London for the season, lived in Ireland, at Harristown, Kildare, in which county Mr. La Touche occupied a position of considerable importance. The mother and her daughters were often abroad; but whether they were in Ireland or on the Riviera, Ruskin continued his correspondence and his lessons. He was a born teacher, and the education of girls was with him a favourite hobby. In Rose La Touche he began to see in imagination the perfect flower of womanly culture. In the child’s letter from her, printed in Præterita, a note of precocity, though Ruskin denies it (p. 533), will strike many readers. This did not escape the shrewd eyes of Ruskin’s mother, who warned her son against the danger of overpressure. But he had his theories, and set himself, among other things, to teach her Greek by correspondence. “I think you are both wrong,” he wrote to his parents (Bonneville, October 12, 1861),

“in thinking Rosie shouldn’t learn Greek. She shouldn’t overwork at anything, but if she learns any language at all, it should be that, on whatever ground you take it. If she is to be a Christian,

1 A reader desiring to follow the story consecutively should note that the chronological order of the Introductions (so far as their biographical matter is concerned) is as follows: I., II., III., IV., VIII., V., IX., X., XII., XIII., VII., XVII.

2 Miss Rose La Touche died in 1875; her father in 1904, at the age of ninety-one; her mother, at that of eighty-one, in 1906. Mrs. La Touche left it in Mrs. Arthur Severn’s discretion to tell so much as seemed to her desirable, in order that the truth of a story, already much bruited about, should be known.

3 Mrs. La Touche was half-sister to Ruskin’s friend, Lord Desart, her mother (Catherine O’Connor) having married first the second Earl of Desart (d. 1820),
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she can only read her Bible with complete understanding in the
Septuagint and Greek Testament; if she is to be a heathen, Greek is the
greatest language of mankind, the chief utterance of the nations. I have
warned her against ‘smattering’ either of that or anything else; a
‘smattering’ means an inaccurate knowledge, not a little knowledge.
To have learned one Greek verb accurately will make a difference in
her habits of thought for ever after. She is taken great care of as regards
overwork, and as long as she can leap ten feet with a short run, she will
do well enough. But she has been having headaches lately, and has
been stopped in several things, and sent out to play.”

Ruskin, it will be seen, was bent on training his pet in the ways that his ideal
woman should follow. His play with her was more wholesome, perhaps, than
the Greek verbs. When her mother was in London, he would call and spend an
afternoon with the children in the schoolroom; telling them stories, or
drawing pictures. In summer days they would come out to him at Denmark
Hill; to play in the garden, or be shown the wonders of his frames and
cabinets. In 1861 he paid his first visit to Mr. and Mrs. La Touche in Ireland.
Those were golden days for the children, when Ruskin took them out for
walks or paddles in the Liffey, which runs through the park at Harris-town, or
begged off formal lessons on their behalf in favour of talks about flowers or
stones or clouds. Rosie was but thirteen, but she had “such queer little fits
sometimes, like patience on a monument. She walked like a little white statue
through the twilight woods, talking solemnly.” Papa and mamma sometimes
went out to dinner, and then the children held high carnival with their
friend—pretending to be lords and ladies, with him to read “a canto of
Marmion” to them. When he went away he sent her little rhymes:—

“Rosie, Rosie—Rosie rare,
Rocks and woods and clouds and air
Are all the colour of my pet,
And yet, and yet, and yet, and yet
She is not here, but where?”

and secondly Mr. Rose Price (son of Sir Rose Price, Bart.). It was not until Ruskin’s first
visit to Harristown in 1861 that he found, to his surprise, that his new friend, Mrs. La
Touche, was nearly related to his old Oxford friend, Lord Desart.

1 Letter from Ruskin to his father (September 2, 1861).
Or, again, from Lucerne:—

“Rosie, pet, and Rosie, puss,
See, the moonlight’s on the Reuss:
O’er the Alps the clouds lie loose,
Tossed about in silver tangles,
In and out through all the angles,
Some obtuse and some acute;
Lakelet waves, though crisped, are mute
Only seen by moving spangles.
But, underneath, the Porter wrangles
With English wight who German mangles
And all the bells break out in jangles;
For here in old Lucerne the times
Of night and day are taught with chimes
And moralled in metallic rhymes,
And divers sorts of tingle-tangles,—
Hark, the watch-tower answers sprightly,
Saying, if I hear it rightly,
'Good night, Liffey; bad night, Reuss—
Good night, Rosie, Posie, Puss.’ ”

The child of thirteen and the man who petted her were “half a life asunder”; but the child treasured his letters, and told him so, prettily enough for a maiden of older years:—

“I got your letter,” she wrote (in a letter which he copied out for his father and mother to see), “just as I was going out riding. So I could only give it one peep, and then tucked it into my riding-habit pocket and pinned it down, so that it could be talking to me while I was riding. I had to shut up my mouth so tight when I met Mama, for she would have taken it and read it if I’d told her, and it wouldn’t have gone on riding with me. As it was, we ran rather a chance of me and pocket and letter and all being suddenly lodged in a stubble field, for Swallow (that’s Emily’s animal that I always ride now) was in such tremendous spirits about having your handwriting on his back that he took to kicking and jumping in such a way, till I felt like a Stormy Petrel riding a great wave, so you may imagine I could not spare a hand to unpin my dear pocket, and had to wait in patience, till Swallow had done ‘flying, flying South,’ and we were safe home again.”

Did child of thirteen ever write a sweeter letter? Could guide, philosopher, and friend, such as Ruskin was, have ever seen rosebud opening with richer promise? All the lovely fancies, all the “vital
feelings of delight,” which were associated with his ideal of girlhood were
seen unfolding in his little Irish pupil. Sesame and Lilies was written, he says,
for one girl; it was she from whom in real life he drew his ideal.

The girl, even in her teens, was deeply religious, and, though she learnt
much and gladly from her friend, she was perturbed not a little about his soul,
and grieved at his wandering in Bye-path Meadow. Among the materials
which were put in type for the intended continuation of Præterita is a letter
written from Rosie in London to Ruskin at Lucerne. Some extracts from it
will show how religious yearning was mingled with the affectionate
admiration which she felt towards him:—

“It is the day after Christmas Day and I have just got my Christmas letter;
and though I don’t know your address, I have been wanting to write to you so
much that I am answering it directly—and first St. C. you know you shdn’t
write to me when you ought to be getting yourself warm; couldn’t you have
thought of me just as well running up a hill and getting nice and warm, like a
good St. Crumpet, than sitting cold writing; you know you needn’t write to tell
me you have not forgotten me, need you St. C.? and yet I can’t help saying I
was looking for a letter, I wanted so much to know what you were doing and
thinking (I mean a very little bit of it) this Christmas. . . . I have told you I can
see some things quite plain, and I have been living at Lucerne all Christmas
week; am I not there still, talking to you, though I didn’t ‘yowl.’ You know I
only call ’yowling’ feeling like a dog with his nose up in the air outside a shut
door, because some one has kicked, or perhaps because some one has not
stroked you. Yowling is only for self; I do not call it yowling to be sorry for
those who are suffering, yowling is only right sometimes, but there is always
something to be sorrowful about for other people—sometimes also a great deal
to be yowful about for self, and even in Christmas times. But I did not yowl
about Harristown, hardly thought about it, it was almost all Lucerne, only just
dreamt about home and our cats and the people last night, and that was
somehow joined on to a dream about you. So our thoughts are crossing I
suppose St. C., and I thought particularly the day before Xmas, and Xmas day
evening, is it not curious? . . . I was sitting on my table opposite to the window
where I looked straight at the dark night, and one star Venus glowing straight
in front. When I leant my head a little I could see the long line of lamp lights
with a sort of bright haze over them getting smaller in

1 See Vol. XVIII. p. 47.
2 See Ruskin’s letter to C. E. Norton, of June 2, 1861 (Vol. XXXVI.).
the distance, but Venus was the brightest light of all. I did not see Orion, or any other star, only her. And then I was thinking of you; it made me think of the guide of the wise men, His star in the East, only this shone in the West. She looked down so brightly over the gaslights as if it was intended we should see how much purer and brighter, though at such—such—a distance, is the Heavenly light if we would only look for it, than our rows of yellow gaslights that we think so much of. Yes, we have a strange Peace on earth, because earth or its inhabitants do not all of them like the Peace that our Prince can give, do not all want it, do not all believe in it. Some think that Pleasure is Peace, and seek it for themselves; some think that following Satan is Peace, and some think there is no Peace given on earth, that God gives work to do and strength to do it, sore with sorrow and pain, but peace is only in heaven . . . but they are ready to give up their lives in His service, and live without joy, if it is His will. They are faithful, noble souls, but though they could die for God, they are beaten back and tossed with the waves of temptation and sorrow; they will not believe in the hope and joyful parts of Christianity and by rejecting God as the Comforter they reject all Peace. I believe we don’t believe in that Peace rightly—"

And then she goes on to send him a selection of texts. He and she were not to find earthly peace; but in after years, he often derived support and comfort from “Rosie’s texts” in a Bible which she had given him.

Thus for some years the idyll continued, until the girl ripened into the woman. Rosie’s sister had married in 1865, and henceforward Ruskin had seen the younger girl shining by her own separate light:—

“I saw her upon nearer view,
A spirit, yet a Woman too!
Her household motions light and free,
And steps of virgin liberty;
A countenance in which did meet
Sweet records, promises as sweet.”

Ruskin and Rosie met often in London; often also at Lady Mount Temple’s, at Broadlands. The day came, in 1866, when he told his love, and acquainted her parents with his hope to make her his wife. Rosie herself, though her girl’s heart can hardly have been unprepared, was irresolute. She showed no attraction towards any one else, and her affection for her master was strong, but she manifested no such clear and definite readiness to accept Ruskin’s proposal as should overcome the reluctance of her parents. The least that their
duty seemed to command was to interpose a period of delay. Rosie at the time was not yet of full age, and it was agreed that she and Ruskin should not meet for a while. He was to wait three years, Rosie had said; she would then be twenty-one, and would give her answer. Ruskin was in the habit, as we have seen, of numbering his days, and his diaries at this time count them as they diminished towards the appointed year.

Rosie’s uncertain health and mental development tended, however, to interpose fresh difficulties. Even as quite a young girl, she had been subject, as has been said, to severe headaches, and once she had been threatened with brain-fever. As she grew up, a certain restlessness and a constant desire for change betokened a neurotic tendency. She was from a child, as we have seen, intensely religious; and Ruskin recounts how, a little later, when she was a girl of eighteen, she astonished a party in a friend’s house by compelling them to kneel down and pray with her for a sick friend. The religious feeling passed into an almost morbid phase, and encouraged a strain of melancholy in her mind. In 1870 she had published a little volume of devotional prose and verse, entitled *Clouds and Light*. The title and the contents alike reveal the mingled texture of her thoughts. One of the pieces is particularly self-revealing:—

“I would look back upon my life to-night,
Whose years have scarcely numbered twenty-two;
I would recall the darkness and the light,
The hours of pain God’s angels led me through;
Out of His love He orders all things right,
I, slow of heart, would feel that this is true.

I, in those years, have learnt that life is sad,
Sad to heart-breaking did we walk alone.
I, who have lost much which I never had,
Yet which in ignorance I held mine own,
Would leave that clouded past, its good and bad,
Within His hands to whom all things are known.

Oh, dearer than my failing words express,
Is nature’s beauty to this heart of mine;
Yet for the soul’s most utter weariness
She has no balm nor any anodyne;
Her ‘changeful glories’ may not heal or bless
The human heart which cries for the divine.”

1 See in Vol. XXXVII. a letter to “M. G.” of 1st February, 1879. Meanwhile she had refused another lover (as appears from a letter of Ruskin’s to his mother, July 24, 1867).
2 In a letter to Miss Kate Greenaway, January 23, 1884 (Vol. XXXVII.).
There is a diary of Rosie’s in existence in which, in the same spirit, she made, at the age of nineteen, a review of her mental and spiritual life. There is many a reference in it to Ruskin. “I think it was Mr. Ruskin’s teaching when I was about twelve that made me first take to looking after the poor.” “Mr. Ruskin taught me that which was good.” “The letters Mr. Ruskin wrote me only helped me, and did me no harm, whatever others may say.” But the burden of the “review” is the revelation of deep religious feeling over-weighting the intellectual balance, and of mind and body alike tortured by questionings and perplexities. The appointed period of Ruskin’s probation had passed, but Rose was still irresolute. Sometimes she continued to hold out hopes; at others she would not even let him see her. The girl’s creed was intensely Evangelical, and this set up a barrier between her and her lover, a conflict between her conscience and her heart. Ruskin, intensely religious though he ever was, had now passed wholly away from the Evangelical faith; she shrunk back affrighted from the idea of being yoked to “an unbeliever.” “I had sought for human love,” she makes a character say in one of her tales, “and I had not loved Him.”

The years during which the opponent forces, thus indicated, were at work were to Ruskin a time of that intense strain which comes from hope alternately deferred, stimulated, and once more disappointed. There is a letter to W. H. Harrison, who was correcting some proofs of Love’s Meinie (in 1873), in which Ruskin says:

“...those are weary words of the girl’s to her lover.
If you knew what has happened to me, of such kind—the sorrow of it increasing every day during the last ten years—into a story as sad as that of the Bride of Lammermoor,—you wouldn’t wonder at mistakes in proof, sometimes.
If I hadn’t had good little Joanie to comfort me always, I shouldn’t have been proving anything now, having proved everything—I fancy—of pain, contrivable by the Destinies in such matters. And they can weave a fine web, wrong side outwards.”

Rosie’s moods sometimes succeeded one another very quickly. A few successive entries from Ruskin’s diary for 1872 tell their own story:

“(August 14, 1872.)—To-day came my consolation. I say ‘to-day.’ But it is two days past; for I could not write on the 14th, and scarcely since, for joy.”
“(August 17.)—Oh me, that ever such thought and rest should be granted me once more.”
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Then came a visit to the country, and the service in church with Rosie on a
day of perfect happiness. But she changed her mind:

“(September 7.)—The ending day.”
“(September 8.)—Fallen and wicked and lost in all thought; must
recover by work.”

Ruskin’s diaries and intimate letters show very poignantly the sorrows of his
heart—

“All of them craving pity in sore suspense,
Trembling with fears that the heart knoweth of.”

So wrote Dante in the *Vita Nuova*, and it is often of him that Ruskin’s
confidences remind us. Many of his closest friends believed that he idealised
his love, and that Rose was his Beatrice. So, I do not doubt, she was. It is
Dante’s language that, consciously or unconsciously, he sometimes adopts in
speaking of her. “Last Friday noon,” he once wrote to a friend, “my mistress
looked at us and passed silently”; it is Beatrice denying to Dante her
salutation. But though “a Spirit still, and bright With something of angelic
light,” the lady of his love was yet embodied in a real form. The *Vita Nuova* of
Dante was being discussed on one occasion in the Corpus Common Room.
Ruskin expressed with intensity his conviction that in that book we have “the
record of the poet’s real love for a real person, and not a mere allegory, as
some modern critics would have us believe.” When the clouds concealed the
heaven, Ruskin felt (as he wrote to a friend) “as a ship’s captain who may not
leave helm, but who shall never see land more; and sea only, not the sky.”

The sky was for a brief space to be revealed, in unclouded blue as it might
seem, before the end came. In the autumn of 1874 he had, as we have heard,3
“loveliest letters from Ireland.” Rose came to London. “She has come back to
me,” he wrote to a friend, “finding she can’t get on without some of the love
she used to have.” But the clouds quickly gathered. Rose’s health gave
ground for great anxiety. It was hoped for a while, as Ruskin wrote to Dr.
John Brown (October 19, 1874), that “by peace and time” her state might be
redeemable. But it was not to be. “The woman that he hoped to make his wife
was dying”; the words came from the bitterness of his heart into

1 See *Fors Clavigera*, Letter 41 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 88); and Vol. XXII. pp.
xxviii.–xxix.


3 Vol. XXIII. p. liii.
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Fors, and all that was left to him was to tend her in her sickness. Rosie died in May, 1875. Before a tragedy such as this, silence is best. A French writer has said enough: “Il faut s’incliner bien bas devant ces deux âmes, assez fortes pour sacrifier, l’une sa vie, l’autre son bonheur, à la sincérité absolue. Le grande Corneille les aurait trouvées dignes de ses héros.”¹

Men do not die of broken hearts, and Ruskin sought comfort, not in vain regrets, but in earnest duty. The spirit in which he faced the final loss on earth was that which had animated him during the long years of trial. He records it once in his diary:—

“(July 1, 1873.)—Yesterday, after reading Romance of Rose, thought much of the destruction of all my higher power of sentiment by late sorrow; and considered how far it might be possible to make love, though hopeless, still a guide and strength.”

But the death of Rose La Touche was, as he wrote,² “the seal of a great fountain of sadness which can now never ebb away.” He wonders in Præterita (p. 228) what at an earlier stage in his life might have happened to him if, “instead of the distracting and useless pain,” he “had had the joy of approved love. It seems to me,” he adds, “such things are not allowed in this world. The men capable of the highest imaginative passion are always tossed on fiery waves by it.” Upon those fiery waves Ruskin was now flung. We have traced already how he sought distraction in work, and comfort in communications with the unseen world.³ The mistress of his heart was identified, in his imagination, now with St. Ursula of Venice, and now, more definitely than before, with the Beatrice of Dante.⁴ The 2nd of February—the day on which Rose had fixed his period of probation—became a sacred day with him:—

“(VENICE, 1877.)—Eleven years, then, to-day, I have waited. How wonderful, the slow sadness! yet so fast! How weary the three seemed, half over; the eleven, what a dream! . . . Dreaming of

¹ Jacques Bardoux, John Ruskin, p. 139. The story has been told that at the end “Ruskin begged to see her once more. She sent to ask whether he could yet say that he loved God better than he loved her; and when he said ‘No,’ her door closed upon him for ever” (W. G. Collingwood’s Life and Work of John Ruskin, p. 299). Mrs. La Touche, on seeing the story in print, wrote to a friend that “nothing like the incident ever occurred at all.” If, unknown to her, something like it did ever occur, it was not at the end.
² To Dr. John Brown: see Vol. XXIV. p. xxv.
³ See Vol. XXIV. p. xxiv.
⁴ See Deucalion, i. ch. x. § 9 (Vol. XXVI. p. 225)—a passage written in 1876, which acquires its full significance when read in connexion with the death of Rose.
pictures by R. in sweet mosaic colour, of signs from her; but all confused and vague in waking. I recollect saying as I looked at the drawing, ‘Ah, what a creature lost!’ I did not mean lost to myself, but to the world.”

And so in Fors Clavigera of the same date he wrote: “It is eleven years to-day since the 2nd of February became a great festival to me: now, like all the days of all the years, a shadow; deeper, this, in beautiful shade.”¹ As the years of waiting lengthened, the lady whom he loved came perhaps “apparell’d in more precious habit,” and the pang of parting was so far assuaged that he could speak freely of his loss and his hope. To some intimates among his men friends, he used to talk of Rose; and to sympathetic women not a few he would open his heart very unreservedly. It is pleasant to know, as appears from letters printed in a later volume, that the estrangement, not unmixed with bitterness on his side, between Ruskin and Rose’s mother was healed by time. Mr. and Mrs. La Touche were in his later years honoured guests at Brantwood, and her letters were among those which he valued most. He did not die, then, of a broken heart; but it can hardly be doubted that the strain placed upon his emotions by the chequered course of this romance was one of the elements which contributed to overthrow his mental balance. He himself, in describing to a friend the course of his first attack, associated it expressly with imagined visions of his lost love.

Of Rose’s appearance, Ruskin has penned two pictures. One is the description in Præterita (p. 525) of her as a child of nine or ten. The other was written at the end of 1884 in a letter to a friend:—

“Rose was tall and brightly fair, her face of the most delicately chiselled beauty—too severe to be entirely delightful to all people—the eyes grey and, when she was young, full of play; after the sad times came, the face became nobly serene—and of a strange beauty—so that once a stranger seeing her for the first time said ‘she looked like a young sister of Christ’s.’”²

It is the Rose of this latter description that is shown in Ruskin’s pencil-drawing, of the year 1874, here reproduced.

¹ Letter 75, § 10 (Vol. XXIX. p. 66).
² “John Ruskin in the ‘Eighties,” in the Outlook, October 21, 1899; repeated in Scribner’s Magazine, November 1906, p. 565. A writer in the Freeman’s Journal (November 27, 1906), in a notice of the death of Mrs. La Touche, describes her daughter as “a very lovely girl, with deep blue eyes, flaxen hair, exquisitely chiselled features, somewhat aquiline nose, and mouth indicative of firmness. She
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Ruskin’s love-letters to Rose are not in existence. Communicative, expansive, un-reticent though Ruskin was, his literary executors felt that these letters, though perhaps the most beautiful things that he ever wrote, were too sacred for publicity. A letter from Rose to him, which he specially valued, he used to carry in his breast-pocket between plates of fine gold. After her death, he kept them all—his to her, and hers to him—in a rosewood box. On a day in autumn, Mrs. Severn and Professor Norton took them to the woodland garden above Brantwood, and gave them to the flames. A wind was blowing, and one letter fluttered away from the pyre. It was written from Brantwood, when Ruskin was first settling in his new home, and in it he wonders whether Rosie will ever give him the happiness of welcoming her there. But she never came to Brantwood. The garden, lake, and shore which became so dear to Ruskin were left without any memory of her presence, though often, as it seemed to him, graced by her spirit.

The Text of Præterita has been carefully revised for this edition, and some passages, of which the meaning has hitherto been obscured by misprints or mistakes, have been made intelligible.¹

Of the Illustrations in this volume, the Plates are either portraits; pictures of homes; or drawings by Ruskin. The frontispiece is a photogravure from the beautiful photograph of Ruskin taken by Mr. Frederick Hollyer at Brantwood in 1896. “He lifted his voice,” said Canon Scott Holland, in describing the portrait, “in praise of high and noble things through an evil and dark day; and now he sits there, silent and at peace, waiting for the word that will release him and open to him a world where he may gaze on the vision of Perfect Beauty unhindered and unashamed.”² Datur hora quieti.

The cameo-portrait of Ruskin in 1841 (XIIIA.) is described in the text (p. 280).

The portraits of Ruskin with Sir Henry Acland (Plate A) and of Miss Rose La Touche (Plate C) have been mentioned already. Plate B is a wood-engraving after Mr. Arthur Severn’s drawing of the

had chosen all knowledge for her province, and was an admirable scholar. She was very brilliant in conversation, and had an encyclopaedic memory. She was moreover an accomplished horsewoman. In politics she was a convinced Radical. Miss La Touche was, indeed, in the judgment of the writer, who had some little acquaintance of her, and whose recollection has not been dimmed by the mists of thirty years and more which have elapsed since her death, one of the most delightful personalities of her generation.” It will be noticed that there is some difference in the account of her eyes—“blue” (p. 525), “grey” (above); doubtless, as one of the poets has it, they were “the greyest of things blue, the bluest of things grey.”

¹ See, for instance, on p. xc. the notes on ii. §§ 9, 28, 57, 204, 233.
² The Commonwealth, July 1896.
bedroom at Brantwood, in which Ruskin died. The drawings shown on the walls can be identified. No. 1 (beginning at the left-hand corner) is the drawing of Conway Castle by Ruskin’s father, referred to in the text (p. 38, the foreground alone is discernible in the woodcut); below it, Grapes and Peaches by William Hunt. The other drawings are all by Turner. Below the Hunt, “Vesuvius in Action”; then “Carnarvon Castle,” and “The Shores of Wharfe”; next, one of the Bible illustrations, and “Vesuvius in Repose.” Then “Devonport” and (below it) “constance” Next “Gosport” and (below it)”The St. Gotthard”; and finally “Coblentz” and “Salisbury.”

The portrait of Ruskin’s Father in Early Manhood (Plate I.) is from the picture by Raeburn at Brantwood; those of his Father and Mother after marriage (Plate VII.) are from the pictures by Northcote, also at Brantwood. Ruskin, it seems, ¹ used to see some resemblance in this portrait of his father to Reynolds’s “Banished Lord.” The Plate of his “Two Aunts” (VI.) is engraved from miniatures; the Croydon aunt is on the left, the Scottish aunt on the right. The two portraits of Ruskin in childhood (Plates II. and III.) are from the pictures by Northcote, described in the text (pp. 21, 22).

Of the pictures of Ruskin’s homes, the first (Plate IV.) is a wood-engraving showing the front of the house at Herne Hill (No. 28), and the second (V.) a wood-engraving, after Mr. Arthur Severn’s drawing, showing the back and the garden. Ruskin’s father bought a long lease of the house in 1823; he moved out of it in 1843. In 1871 Ruskin gave the remainder of the lease to Mrs. Arthur Severn, on her marriage; the lease expired in 1886, when Mr. Arthur Severn renewed it until 1907. The house was thus connected with two periods of Ruskin’s life: (1) his early years from 1823 to 1843; and (2) the years from 1872 (when he left Denmark Hill) to 1888 (the year of his last sojourn in London). For, during Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Severn’s tenancy, a room was always reserved for him in his old home; and the Preface to Præterita was written there (pp. 11–12).

The house at Denmark Hill (No. 198), shown in the two views on Plate XXVII. (p. 380), was Ruskin’s home (with some few absences) from 1843 to 1872, when after his mother’s death he sold the remainder of the lease. This, therefore, is the house associated with Modern Painters and with all Ruskin’s work up to his Oxford period. The house adjoined the residence of Sir Henry Bessemer, the inventor; and still remains, with its gardens, much as it was in Ruskin’s time, though the views from the back are very different, owing to suburban

¹ Vol. XXXIV. p. 668.
building. The grounds behind the house are shown in a drawing by Ruskin, done in 1860 (Plate XXVIII.); the drawing is in pen and sepia (10 x 14) and is in Mrs. Severn’s possession; whilst one of his favourite walks and seats is shown in a wood-engraving (Plate XXXVII.).

Twenty-seven Plates remain to be noticed, here introduced to illustrate the author’s text from his own drawings. From his tour of 1835, two drawings are given: one of the “Ducal Palace”—a pen drawing (9½ x 13½; Plate VIII.)—is humorously described in the text (p. 182); it is now in the possession of Mr. F. Manson. The other (XXX.), of the “Belfry of Calais” (p. 416), is in pencil (13 x 7¼) and is at Brantwood.

Of Oxford, two drawings are given. One is a reproduction in colours of “Christ Church” (Plate IX.). The drawing, in water-colours (10x13), is in the possession of Mr. F. R. Hall. The other drawing shows the panelled room in the High Street (XL) where Ruskin’s mother lodged during her son’s residence in Christ Church (p. 199). The pencil drawing (10¾x7) is in the possession of Mrs. Menzies Jones.

A drawing of Roslyn (Plate X., p. 233) is of the year 1838. It is in pencil (13½x9¾), and hangs in the drawing-room at Brantwood.

Ruskin’s winter abroad 1841–1842 is represented by five drawings. That of Florence (Plate XII.) is described in the text (p. 270); it is in pencil and tint (12½x19) and is at Brantwood. “The Fountain of Trevi” (XIII.) is a characteristic example of the Proutesque work which Ruskin did at this period in Rome; the drawing, in pencil and body-colour (13¼x19), is at Brantwood. The drawing of “Naples and Vesuvius” (XIV.), in pencil and colour (13½x18), is in possession of Mr. G. D. Pratt (Brooklyn). That of “Itri” (XV.) is mentioned in the text (p. 290); it is in pencil and tint (13x18) and is at Brantwood. The drawing of “Amalfi” (XVI.) is mentioned in his diary (see p. 295 n.); it is also in pencil and tint (13 x 18) and is at Brantwood.

Of the year 1842, two characteristic drawings are given. One, showing “The Square of Cologne” (Plate XVII.), is mentioned in the text (p. 316); it is in pencil, wash, and body-colour (12 x 19), and is in the possession of Mr. W. Pritchard Gordon, by whose kind permission it is here reproduced. The drawing of “Chamouni” (XX.) is in water-colour (12½x 17½), and is in Mrs. Cunliffe’s collection.

The study of foreground “On the Old Road to Chamouni” (Plate XXI.) is of the year 1844. It was formerly in the possession of Sir John Simon, for whom Ruskin described it on the back as “Study of Rocks and Lichens in the glen below Les Montets, in the
ascent to Chamouni.” In the left-hand corner, the number “56” will be noticed; this identifies it as No. 56 in Ruskin’s list of his Chamouni drawings, given in Vol. V. p. xxi. The drawing, in water-colour (12½ x 16¼), is now in America.

Of the year 1845, four drawings are included. That of “The Castle of Annecy” (Plate XXII.) was engraved by Mr. George Allen for Præterita; the only thing Mr. Allen had to engrave from was a poor photograph; as the plate is now worn, it has been necessary to replace the steel-engraving by photogravure. The drawing at Lucca (XXIII.) is in pen and colour (13 x 17½) and is at Brantwood; whilst that of “San Miniato, Florence” (XXIV.), in water-colour (12½ x 19), is in the collection of Mr. H. Baldwin; the latter drawing shows a beautiful spot in the days, described by Ruskin (p. 359), before “restoration.” The study from Tintoret’s “Crucifixion” (Plate XXVI.) was photographed by Ruskin and placed on sale among other photographs by his agent, Mr. William Ward.

Of the following year, 1846, is the interesting “Study of Trees at Sens” (Plate XXXII.), in pen and sepia (10½ x 7); now at Brantwood.

Of 1847 is the “Study of Thistle at Crossmount” (Plate XXXI.); this study, also in pen and sepia (18 x 23), is at Brantwood.

The drawing of “The Grande Chartreuse” (Plate XXXV.), in sepia (12 x 18½), is in Mrs. Cunliffe’s collection; it must belong to the year 1849.

That of “Thun” (Plate XXXVI.), perhaps also of 1849, in pen and sepia (9 x 14½), is in the collection of Mr. T. F. Taylor.

The steel-engraving of “The Old Dover Packet’s Jib” (Plate XXIX.) was made by Mr. George Allen for Præterita from a photograph of a drawing by Ruskin of the year 1854. The drawing in water-colour (same size) is on a page of Ruskin’s diary and is at Brantwood.

The steel-engraving of “Old Houses at Geneva” (Plate XVIII.) was made in 1885 by Mr. Hugh Allen from a pencil drawing by Ruskin; the date of the drawing is 1862, and it is now in the collection of Mrs. Sydney Morse. The drawing of “The Salève” (XIX.), in colour (4¾ x 8½), is of uncertain date, but may be of the same period; it is at Brantwood. The view is taken from Geneva, and the drawing diminishes the distance of the mountain, which must be three or four miles. The building in the foreground is the Cathedral of St. Pierre, the turrets of which, it may be added, have during the last few years been rebuilt.

The fine architectural drawing of “Rouen” (Plate XXV.) probably
INTRODUCTION

belongs to the year 1868. It is in water-colour (19 x 12), and is in the possession of Mr. T. W. Jackson, Vice-Provost of Worcester College, Oxford (one of the trustees of the Ruskin Drawing School), by whose kind permission it is here reproduced. It may be noted that in the Architectural Review of December 1898 a reproduction was given of a drawing of the same subject, which was ascribed to Ruskin; this was an error, the drawing there reproduced being one by his assistant, J. J. Laing, which used to hang in the Drawing School at Oxford.

That of “St. Martin’s” (Plate XXXIII.), in pen and violet (7¼ x 11), is at Brantwood. The date is uncertain.

Lastly, the “Mont Blanc de St. Gervais” (Plate XXXIV.) is a memorial of the tour of 1882. The drawing is in water-colour (5 x 7).

Of the facsimiles, the first sheet (facing p. 72) shows (1) a page from one of Ruskin’s books of abstracts of sermons, written in his boyhood (about 1827), as described in the text. The book is in the Ruskin Museum at Coniston, and the page here given is also reproduced in W. G. Collingwood’s Ruskin Relics (p. 199), “to show the care of writing and choice of wording insisted upon.” (2) In the centre of the sheet is Ruskin’s “first map of Italy” (1827), reproduced from the coloured original. (3) The page of the MS. of The Poetry of Architecture (§§ 207, 208, Vol. I. p. 155) is of the year 1837; it is from “the draft scribbled in a sketch-book during vacation” (Ruskin Relics, p. 144).

The next sheet (facing p. 121) gives a page of a Dictionary of Minerals (1831); the page is in the Ruskin Museum. He refers to the Dictionary in Præterita (p. 121). “It shows,” says Mr. Collingwood, “his very early interest and diligence, at the time when he cared nothing for pictures or political economy, but loved nature in all her ways. This page begins his juvenile account of Galena, a word which in later days often brought out a smile and a story. For years, he said, he was wretched because his great and glorious specimen of this same Lead Glance had a flaw in it, an angular notch, breaking the dainty exactitude of the big, black, shining crystal, otherwise as regular as the most consummate art could plane and polish it. One day, with the lens, he noticed that the form of the notch corresponded with the shape of a crystal of calcite embedded in another specimen. His galena had not been damaged; it was nature’s work, and all the more wonderful now; and life was still worth living” (Ruskin Relics, p. 173).

The third sheet (facing p. 152) is photographed from a coloured
drawing. “The pale spaces,” says Mr. Collingwood, “are pink and yellow and
green, and the Lake of Geneva, which looks rather blotchy in the print, is
more pleasant in ultramarine. This is one of the set of geological maps made
to illustrate the course of the usual tour through France and the Alps, perhaps,
to judge by the handwriting, for the journey of 1835, when he made special
preparations to study geology. He could hardly carry a bulky sheet or atlas,
and so extracted just what he required, in a series of neat little pages, put
together into a home-made case, ready for use at any moment. . . . Ruskin on
a journey was never bored, unless he was ill; he looked out of the window and
poked you up: ‘Now, put away that book; we are just coming to the chalk’; or
‘Are you looking out for the great twist in the limestone?’” (ibid., pp.
111–112).

The two facsimiles of the MS. of Præterita have been already mentioned
(p. lvi.).

E. T. C.

xxxv.
Bibliographical Note.—Some portions of *Præterita* first appeared in *Fors Clavigera*, Letters 10, 28, 33, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56, 63, and 65. A collation of these portions is given below, p. xci.

**ISSUE IN PARTS**

*Præterita* was published in twenty-eight chapters, divided into three volumes; the first and second volumes contained twelve chapters each. Of the third volume, only four chapters appeared.

Each Part was furnished with a title-page, and chapter i. with a half-title. The title-page of chapter i. was as follows:—


The title-pages of subsequent Parts were similar, the chapters, titles, and dates alone being altered. After Part 12, “Volume II.” was added above the chapter, and after Part 24, “Volume III.”

The octavo Parts were issued in buff-coloured paper wrappers, with the title-page (enclosed in a double-ruled frame) repeated upon the front. The rose was added; as also “Price One Shilling” below the rule. Each Part had the number and title of the chapter as headline on each page. The paragraphs were not numbered. Of Parts 1–11, 4000 copies were printed; of each subsequent Part, 5000.

Large-paper quarto copies of each Part were also issued, to subscribers only. Price 2s. each Part. 600 copies. In these the Plates were pulled on India paper.

The twenty-eight Parts were as follow:—

*Volume I.*—Chapter I. (Part 1). July 1885. Preface, pp. v.–vii. Text, pp. 1–40. The steel engraving “My Two Aunts” was given with this Part as frontispiece: see now Plate VI. p. 62. The following “Advice” was inserted in this Part:—

“The two first numbers of *Præterita* are little more than reprints from *Fors Clavigera*; but the collected passages are here placed in better order, and in some cases retouched or further expanded.

“The numbers of *Præterita* will at first be published monthly, but it is hoped, soon fortnightly; and the whole book then completed without interruption.”

1 This was inaccurate, for Ruskin had resigned the Professorship before any Part of *Præterita* appeared. After Part 4, the description was changed to “Honorary Student of Christ Church, and Honorary Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Oxford.” Ixxxiii
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Chapter II. (Part 2). July 1885. Text, pp. 41–74.


VII. (7). December 4, 1885. Text, pp. 205–244.


IX. (9). February 9, 1886. Text, pp. 275–306.

X. (10). February 18, 1886. Text, pp. 307–344. With this chapter the following “Advice” was circulated:—

“Please note that each volume of Præterita will consist of twelve chapters, instead of ten as originally intended.”


XII. (12). April 1, 1886. Text, pp. 391–432. With this Part were issued the half-title, title, and Contents for Vol. I. These “Contents” gave the titles of the chapters only.


II. (14). May 14, 1886. Text, pp. 35–68.


VI. (18). October 22, 1886. Text, pp. 185–222.

The following “Advice” was inserted in this Chapter:—

“Mr. Ruskin, finding it impossible in the present state of his health to touch proofs of engravings, has determined that the Plate of the Castle of Annecy referred to on page 193 [§ 109] shall be given later on, in illustration of another section of Præterita.”


With this chapter were issued the half-title, title, and Contents for Vol. II.

Volume III.—Chapter I. (Part 25). May 19, 1888. Text, pp. 1–46. With this chapter, in the large-paper copies only, a steel engraving (drawn by Ruskin, engraved by G. Allen), “The Castle of Annecy,” was issued, its absence from the octavo copies being explained by the following “Publisher’s Notice” upon an inserted slip:—

“Owing to the defective quality of the steel, which only developed itself in process of printing, and the consequent early wearing of the Plate, the illustration (‘The Castle of Annecy’) can only be introduced into the 4to (large paper) edition of Præterita, Chapter 25. But
it is hoped that at some subsequent date a newly engraved Plate of the subject will be issued to take its place in the 8vo edition of the work.

“ORPINGTON, May 14th, 1888.”


A Second Edition of Part I was issued in 1885, and of Parts 2–12 in 1886. 3000 copies of each were printed. A Second Edition of Parts 13 and 14 was issued in December 1899 (300 copies); of Part 15 in June 1900 (275 copies); and of Part 16 in December 1903 (250 copies).

A Third Edition of Part I was issued in January 1898 (1400 copies). The price of the Parts was reduced to 8d in July 1900.

ISSUE IN VOLUME FORM

Upon the completion of chapter xii. in volumes i. and ii. respectively each set of twelve chapters was made up and issued in a bound volume.

Volume I.—The title-page of the volume was as shown here on p. 3, with the addition above the rose of “Volume I. | With Steel Engraving,” and the date 1886. Half-title (with blank reverse), pp. i.–ii.; title-page (with imprint, “Printed by Hazell, Watson, & Viney, Ld., London and Aylesbury,” at the foot of the reverse), pp. iii.–iv.; Contents (titles of chapters only), with blank reverse, unnumbered; Preface, pp. v.–vii.; p. viii., blank. Text, as shown above, pp. 1–432.

Issued in grey-paper boards, with white paper back-label; also in dark-green cloth, lettered across the back: “Ruskin | Præterita | Vol. I.” Price 13s.

Second Edition.—Of this volume, there are two forms of a Second Edition. One is made up of the second edition of the Parts, issued in 1885–1886; the preliminary matter being identical with that of the first edition described above. The other has the substituted preliminary matter which was issued in 1900 (see below). The title-page has on it the words “Second Edition,” and the publisher’s imprint is “George Allen, | Orpington and London. | 1900.” On the reverse of the title-page is the imprint, “Printed by Ballantyne, Hanson & Co. | At the Ballantyne Press.” The author’s Preface occupies pp. v.–vii.; p. viii. is blank; the Fuller Contents occupy pp. ix.–xv. The text of the volume is made up of such Parts as remained over from various editions. The price of the volume in this second edition of 1900 was 9s. 6d.


The Second Edition of this volume was issued in 1900. The title-page and imprints correspond with those of the Second Edition (1900) of volume I. The Fuller Contents occupy pp. v.–xi. Price 9s. 6d.

Volume III.—This was not issued till after Ruskin’s death, and it included Dilecta, which, therefore, must next be described.
DILECTA

Of this book, supplementary to *Præterita*, which Ruskin planned on an extensive scale (see above, p. lv.), but was unable to complete, only two Parts were originally issued, a third being published after an interval of twelve years.

The title-page issued with Part I., and repeated with Part II., was as follows:—


Part I. was issued on September 30, 1886, and Part II. on January 13, 1887. No large-paper copies were printed at this time.

Octavo (uniform with *Præterita*), pp. vi.+64. Part I. consists of half-title (with blank reverse), pp. i.–ii.; title-page (with imprint as in *Præterita*), pp. iii.–iv.; Preface, pp. v.–vi.; text of Chapter I., pp. 1–32. Part II. consists of title-page, and text of Chapter II., pp. 33–64. The headline is “Dilecta” on each page.

Each Part was issued in pale grey paper wrappers, with the title-page (enclosed in a double-ruled frame) repeated upon the front, with the addition of the *rose* above the publisher’s imprint, and “Price One Shilling” below the frame. 2000 copies.

In 1900, after Ruskin’s death, a Part III. of *Dilecta* was issued, including (besides chapter iii., which had been set up in type for himself) additional matter intended to complete the third volume of *Præterita*. The wrapper of this Part is lettered as follows:—


Octavo, issued in rough paper wrappers, in February 1900.

These wrappers contained a title-page for *Dilecta* (as shown here on p. 565), and, as frontispiece, “The Castle of Annecy. Sunset,” a photogravure by “Pellissier & Allen” from G. Allen’s engraving: see now Plate XXII. (p. 344). Next, title-page of *Dilecta*, Part III., as follows:—

Dilecta. | Correspondence, Diary Notes, and Extracts from books, illustrating Præterita. | Arranged by | John Ruskin, LL.D., | Honorary Student of Christ Church, | And Honorary Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Oxford. | Part III. | George Allen, | Orpington and London. | 1900. | All rights reserved.

At the foot of the reverse is the imprint, “Printed by Ballantyne, Hanson & Co. | At the Ballantyne Press.” Then comes “Dilecta, Part III.,” pp. 65–92; half-title “Index.” p. 93; Index (by Mr. Wedderburn), pp. 95–171. The imprint is repeated at the foot of p. 171. Then came half-title for “Præterita, Volume III.;” as frontispiece, “The Grande Chartreuse”
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(a photogravure by “Pellissier & Allen”); see now Plate XXXV. (p. 473). Title-page for Præterita, vol. iii., given with note, etc., as described below. Then came the following “Publisher’s Note”:—

“The Titles and fuller Contents are now given in order that purchasers of the original editions may have the work in as complete a form as possible. When binding up the volumes these are intended to take the place of those originally issued with the parts.”

The Titles and fuller Contents for Volumes I. and II. followed. These have been described under the Second Editions of those volumes.

At the same time large-paper copies of Dilecta were issued (Parts I. and II., price 2s.; Part III., 5s.), so that purchasers of the large-paper copies of Præterita might complete their sets of the combined book.

“PRÆTERITA” AND “DILECTA” COMBINED

IN OCTAVO FORM (VOL. III.)

The issue of the third Part of Dilecta, just described, made possible the issue of Volume III. of Præterita (including Dilecta), in 8vo and 4to form. The title-page (which, curiously, made mention of Præterita only) is as follows:—

Præterita. | Outlines of | Scenes and Thoughts | perhaps
| worthy of memory | in my past life. | By | John Ruskin, LL.D. | Honorary Student of Christ Church, | and Honorary Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Oxford. | Volume III. | With Two Plates.
| [Rose.] | George Allen, | Orpington and London. | 1900. | All rights reserved.

Octavo (uniform with Vols. I. and II.). Half-title (with blank reverse), pp. i.–ii.; title-page, p. iii. In the centre of p. iv. is the “Note” given below. “Contents of Vol. III.,” including Contents of Dilecta (with the preliminary “Note,” see below), pp. v.–viii. Text of Præterita, vol. iii. chaps. i.–iv., pp. 1–182. Then comes a half-title of Dilecta, p. i. (with blank reverse); there are no pp. iii.–iv.; Preface, pp. v.–vi. Text of Dilecta, pp. 1–92; half-title “Index” (with blank reverse), pp. 93–94; Index (to Præterita and Dilecta), pp. 95–171. Imprint (“Printed by Ballantyne, Hanson & Co. | Edinburgh and London”) at the foot of p. 171. The imprint is in fact only applicable to Dilecta, the portion of the volume devoted to Præterita having been printed by Messrs. Hazell, Watson & Viney.

Issued in February 1900; in green cloth uniform with Vols. I. and II. Price 9s. 6d.

The “Note” referred to above is as follows:—

“This volume of Præterita consists of the Four Chapters published by Mr. Ruskin in 1888–1889, together with the two of Dilecta published by him in 1886–1887. A further part of Dilecta hitherto unpublished, but set up in type, and revised by Mr. Ruskin, is now added, together with a full Index to all Three Volumes, and the plate ‘The Castle of Annecy’ originally included only in the large paper edition.”

This Note omitted to mention that the volume included also the Plate “The Grande Chartreuse,” intended as a frontispiece to the third volume of Præterita. (It was supplied with Part III. of Dilecta.)

The new List of Contents was compiled, as also the Index, by Mr. Wedderburn.
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FIRST EDITION (in small form).—The title-page of vol. i. is as follows:—

Præterita. | Outlines of Scenes and Thoughts | perhaps worthy of memory | in my past life. | By | John Ruskin, LL.D., D.C.L., | Honorary Student of Christ Church, and Honorary | Fellow of Corpus Christi College, Oxford. | Volume I. | With One Engraving. | George Allen, Sunnyside, Orpington | and | 156, Charing Cross Road, London. | 1899. | [All rights reserved.]

The title-pages of vols. ii. and iii. are the same, except for the alteration of volume, and in the case of vol. ii. of “With Two Engravings.” The title-page of vol. iii. makes no mention of the inclusion of Dilecta.

Crown 8vo, uniform with other volumes of the author’s works in the same form. Volumes i. and ii. were issued in May and June 1899; Volume iii., in February 1900. Price 5s. per vol., reduced (in January 1904) to 3s. 6d. 3000 copies of each volume.

The collation of the several volumes is as follows:—


In this edition the sections of Præterita and Dilecta were numbered, each volume of Præterita being separately numbered (as in the present edition), and the references in the Index (which in the 8vo edition were to pages) were made to the sections.

A SECOND EDITION (in small form) was issued of Vol. i. in February 1905, 1000 copies (“11th Thousand”); and of Vol. ii. in September 1906, 500 copies (“11th Thousand”).

POCKET EDITION

From the electrotype plates of the edition last described, a Pocket Edition was issued in May (vols. i. and ii.) and June (vol. iii.) 1907, uniform with other volumes in the same form (see Vol. XV. p. 6), except
BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE  lxxxix

that above the author’s signature on the front cover is added the device of “To-Day”
(as on the covers of this edition). The title-page is:—

Allen.

On the reverse of the title-page in vol. i. are the words, “With frontispiece and two 
facsimiles. ¹ May 1907. 12th to 16th Thousand. All rights reserved.” The Plates in this 
edition are given by half-tone process.
Price 2s. 6d. each volume.

_____________________

Unauthorised American editions have been numerous.

There are two German translations of Præterita. These do not include Dilecta, 
and thus give Præterita in two volumes.
(1) The title-page of one translation, by Anna Henschke, is as follows:—

John Ruskin | Præterita | Band I. [II.] | Was aus meiner 
|  Vergangenheit viel- | leicht der Erinnerung | wert. Erlebtes und 
ge- | dachtes im Umriss | Aus dem Englischen von Anna 
Henschke | Verlegt bei Eugen Diederichs, Leipzig 1903 [1904].

Vol. i., pp. 428, comprises vol. i. of the English book, with the first three chapters of 
vol. ii. Hollyer’s portrait is given as a frontispiece, and Northcote’s portrait (Plate II. in 
this volume) on p. 15.
Vol. ii., pp. 404, comprises the remainder of Præterita (pp. 1–393); a “Nachwort,” 
by the translator (pp. 394–398); and contents (pp. 399–404). Richmond’s portrait of 
1842 is given as a frontispiece (see frontispiece in Vol. III.). Facing p. 394 is a view of 
Brantwood, and facing p. 396 one of Ruskin’s study.
(2) The title-page of the other translation, by Theodor Knorr, is as follows:—

Præterita | Ansichten und Gedanken aus | meinem Leben, welche 
|  des | Gedenkens vielleicht wert sind | von | John Ruskin | Aus 
|  dem Englischen übersetzt und | herausgegeben von Theodor 
Knorr | Erster Band [Zweiter Band] | Strassburg im Elsass, 1903 
|  I. H. Ed. Heitz (Heitz & Mündel).

Vol. i., pp. xiv.+294, comprises vol. i. of the English book, with a Preface by the 
translator (pp. vii.–xi.).
Vol. ii., pp. 320, comprises the remainder of the English book.

Præterita was not sent by Ruskin to the press, but an exception was made in the 
case of the Pall Mall Gazette. Abstracts of the several Parts appeared in that journal on 
June 4, 30, August 1, October 3, 30, November 20, December 7, 1885; January 22, 
February 10, 20, 22, March 10, April 6, May 19, June 22, July 13, October 25, 
November 30, 1886; January 5, March 16, June 16, November 15, 1887; May 23, 
October 1, 1888; and July 10, 1889. No other notices of the book appeared in any of 
the daily or literary journals.

¹ That is, those on pp. 24, 54, below. On the reverse of the title-page in vol. ii., “With 
two illustrations”; in vol. iii., “With two illustrations” (though, in fact, there is only 
one).
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There was a review of *Præterita* (“Mr. Ruskin’s Early Years”) in the *Atlantic Monthly*, May 1888, vol. 61, pp. 706–710.

---

*Variæ Lectiones.*—The variations in the text between editions of *Præterita* hitherto published are very few. In the present edition, however, numerous corrections have been made. In a copy of *Præterita*, a few corrections were made by Ruskin himself. These, in addition to minor matters of punctuation, etc., are as follow:

i. § 9, line 1, “maternal” inserted before “grandfather.”
ii. § 46, line 17, “molesta est” for “molestat.”

§ 143, line 11, “even” inserted after “insight.”

iii. § 60, line 18, “and” after “fortune” was struck out by him.

The further corrections now made (in addition to minor matters of spelling, punctuation, etc.) are as follow:

---

*Volume i.*—§ 42, line 12, “Monro” is here a correction for “Munro.” § 49, line 6, “a helpful law” was a misprint for “and helpful law” (correctly printed in *Fors*).

§ 69, line 2, “Tweddale” for “Tweedale.”

§ 71, note, line 4, “Elizabeth” is here a correction for “Elspeth” (as noted in Vol. XXVIII. p. 634).

§ 79, line 6, “E.” Andrews for “D.”; line 8, see p. 72 n.

§ 90, line 16, “impressions” (as in the MS.) is a correction for “impression.”

§ 136, line 5, “Balsthal” is here a correction for “Balstal”; and in line 32, “preface” for “epilogue.”

§ 143, line 13, “Adam” is a correction for “Adams.”

*Volume ii.*—§ 9, line 11, “bitter” is a correction (as in the MS.) for a misprint “better.”

§§ 14, 15, “Griffith” is a correction for “Griffiths.”

§ 17, line 15, “Clark” is a correction for “Clarke.”

§ 28, in line 14 of the first diary-extract, “motion . . . figure” has hitherto been misprinted (to the destruction of the sense) for “notion . . . figures.”

§ 57, see p. 296 n.

§ 135, line 3, “courses” (as in the MS.) for “course.”

§ 163, p. 394, line 4, “1867” is a correction for “1857.”

§ 180, line 2, “elements” (as in the MS.) for “element.”

§ 204, fifth line from end, “brother’s brother-in-law” is a correction for “brother-in-law”: see p. 434 n.

§ 233, the lines following the quotation from *Guy Mannering*. These were altered in the text from the MS. and not very clearly patched together. Hitherto they have read: “That was twenty years before, for Bertram’s nurse; (compare Waverley’s and Morton’s;) Dr. Brown’s . . .” The present reading mends the sense and the construction.

*Volume iii.*—§ 8, line 26, “Henry II.” is a correction for “Henry I.”

§ 25, in the first line of the quotation, “browsing camels’ bells” was misprinted “drowsy camel-bells” in ed. 1.
Lastly, it remains to give a collation of the passages of Præterita which originally appeared in Fors Clavigera:—


§ 1, line 6, Fors has “my only reading” instead of “constant reading”; line 7, “on Sundays this effect” for “on Sunday their effect.”

§ 2, Fors has an additional passage after “every day of the week”; line 4, “but my mother” for “and my mother”; last lines, Fors originally had “of trying to write,” which, however, Ruskin altered in his copy to “to write”; in Præterita, he restored the original words.

§ 3, line 1, “chosen” is not in Fors; line 21, Fors has “to do less” for “to govern less”; at the end of § 3, Fors adds “. . . distant one, and my childish eyes wholly unacquainted with the splendour of courts.”

§ 4, line 6, “swift-eddying” was added in Præterita.

§ 6 = Fors, Letter 10, § 7 (much curtailed); see Vol. XXVII. p. 170.

§ 7 = Fors, Letter 10, § 8.

Line 17, Fors has “grew older” for “grew wiser,” and “red pippins” for “sweet pippins.” The note at the end of § 7 was added in Præterita.

§§ 8 (from “My maternal grandmother was the landlady . . .”)—12 = Fors, Letter 46, §§ 2–6.

§ 8, line 20, “(twig)” was added in Præterita.

§ 9, line 8, Fors has “sewer” for “needlewoman.”


§ 13, line 1, Fors has “Until I was more than four years old, we lived in . . .”

§ 16, line 6, Fors has “as it seemed to me” for “to my thinking.”

§ 18, line 10, Fors, “the other day” after “written,” instead of “written after an interval of fifty years.”


§ 31 = Fors, Letter 28, § 15.

Line 3, Fors has “fifty-four” for “past fifty”; line 7, Fors has “was a ‘menial,’ my father’s nurse, and mine”; the footnote was added in Præterita.


The first few lines were added in Præterita, § 10 in Fors beginning “Posting, in those days . . .”

§ 35 was new in Præterita.

Fors, § 3 begins, however, differently (see Vol. XXVIII. p. 343), and has “when I was about five years old”; line 12, Fors has “seven” for “four.”

§ 37, line 1, Fors has “was the fourth part of a group”; the footnote was added in Præterita.

§ 38, line 4, Fors adds after “ridge,” “which even within the time I remember, rose with no stinted beauty of wood and lawn above the Dulwich fields. The house itself . . .”; lines 9–12, Fors has “. . . valley of the Thames, with Windsor in the distance, on the other, and the summer sunset over these. It had . . .”

§ 42, the note at the end was added in Præterita.

§ 43, for an additional passage in Fors, see Vol. XXVIII. p. 347.

§ 46 = Fors, Letter 53, §§ 2, 3 (in part).

Fors, however, begins differently; see Vol. XXVIII. pp. 317–318; and the note at line 7 was added in Præterita; page 41, lines 1 and 2, Fors has “the chapters above enumerated (Letter 42)” for “the chapters thus gradually possessed from the first word to the last”; the last lines of § 46 are different in Fors (see Vol. XXVIII. p. 319), Ruskin removing from Præterita the note of controversial scorn characteristic of Fors.

§ 47 = Fors, Letter 33, § 13 (in part).

Line 4, Fors has “… to learn all the Scotch paraphrases by heart, and ever so many chapters of the Bible besides”; line 16, Fors has “on reciting it, ‘The ashes of the urn’”; line 19, “my mother got the accent laid upon the ashes, to her mind”; the last lines are, again, different in Fors: see Vol. XXVII. p. 617.

§ 48 (down to line 3 of p. 43) = Fors, Letter 42, § 12.

Line 1, Fors has “I opened my oldest Bible just now, to look,” etc.: see Vol. XXVIII. p. 101; line 11, the note was added in Præterita; for an additional passage in Fors, see Vol. XXVIII. p. 101.

§§ 48 (from line 4 of p. 43)–54 = Fors, Letter 54, §§ 13–19.

§ 53, last line, Fors has “elements” for “vortices.” For an additional passage in Fors, see Vol. XXVIII. p. 352.

§§ 69 (from line 2)–70 (down to line 13) = Fors, Letter 63, § 11.

§ 69, line 15, Fors has “married, or was married to—I never could make out exactly which, or why—a somewhat . . .”; line 17, “as aforesaid” after “visit them”; line 19, Fors omits “the house” and reads “their” for “its.”

§ 70, line 1, Fors is different, owing to particular allusions there (see Vol. XXVIII. p. 547); line 3, Fors has “I have it not” for “I have no such habit,” and then some lines omitted in Præterita.

§ 70 (line 14 to end) = Fors, Letter 63, §§ 13, 14 (in part): see Vol. XXVIII. pp. 548–549, for the variations, which are not so much revisions as fittings together.


§ 71, lines 2 and 3, Fors has not the words “before, . . . in Edinburgh”; in the footnote, Præterita omits a sentence (see ibid., p. 603).

§ 73, line 5, Fors has an additional passage referring to the death of William (see ibid., p. 604).
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PRÆTERITA—I
(1885, 1886)
PRÆTERITIA.

OUTLINES OF
SCENES AND THOUGHTS
PERHAPS
WORTHY OF MEMORY IN MY PAST LIFE.

BY
JOHN RUSKIN, LL.D.,
HONORARY STUDENT OF CHRIST CHURCH,
AND HONORARY FELLOW OF CORPUS CHRISTI COLLEGE, OXFORD.

VOLUME I.
WITH STEEL ENGRAVING.

GEORGE ALLEN,
SUNNYSIDE, ORPINGTON KENT.
1886.
### CONTENTS OF VOL. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preface</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CHAPTER I**

**The Springs of Wandel (1819–1824)**


**CHAPTER II**

**Herne-Hill Almond Blossoms (1824–1826)**

36. Removal to Herne Hill. 37. 38. The house and neighbourhood described. 39–43. Pleasures of author’s childhood. 44. 45. His father’s manner of life—Reading aloud. 46. 47. Bible and Latin lessons with his mother. 48. Home blessings—Peace, obedience, faith, habit of fixed attention (author’s analytical power), delicacy in taste. 50–53. Home deficiencies—No one to love: nothing to endure: untrained manners: want of independent

---

1 [The contents were first given in the edition of 1899, when the following note was prefixed:—

“The Tables of Contents which are now added to this edition of *Præterita* have been prepared by the compiler of the Index [Mr. Wedderburn]. The dates at the head of each chapter are those which the chapter mainly deals, although other topics, referring to later years, are often included in the same chapter.”

The numbers of the sections have here been inserted for greater facility of reference, and the Tables have been revised.]
action. 54. General effect on author’s character. 55. Præterita and Fors Clavigera. 56, 57. Herne Hill then and now further described. 58. Præterita to be amiable. 59. The Herne Hill garden.

CHAPTER III

THE BANKS OF TAY (1826–1828)

51


CHAPTER IV

UNDER NEW TUTORSHIPS (1828–1834)

70
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PREFACE

I HAVE written these sketches of effort and incident in former years for my friends; and for those of the public who have been pleased by my books.

I have written them therefore, frankly, garrulously, and at ease; speaking, of what it gives me joy to remember, at any length I like—sometimes very carefully of what I think it may be useful for others to know; and passing in total silence things which I have no pleasure in reviewing, and which the reader would find no help in the account of. My described life has thus become more amusing than I expected to myself, as I summoned its long past scenes for present scrutiny:—its main methods of study, and principles of work, I feel justified in commending to other students; and very certainly any habitual readers of my books will understand them better, for having knowledge as complete as I can give them of the personal character which, without endeavour to conceal, I yet have never taken pains to display, and even, now and then, felt some freakish pleasure in exposing to the chance of misinterpretation.¹

I write these few prefatory words on my father’s birthday, in what was once my nursery in his old house,—to which he brought my mother and me, sixty-two years since, I being then four years old. What would otherwise in the following pages have been little more than an old

¹ [On this subject, see a passage now printed in the Appendix; below, p. 628; and compare the Epilogue to Stones of Venice, Vol. XI. p. 232.]
man’s recreation in gathering visionary flowers in fields of youth, has taken, as I wrote, the nobler aspect of a dutiful offering at the grave of parents who trained my childhood to all the good it could attain, and whose memory makes declining life cheerful in the hope of being soon again with them.

Herne Hill, 10th May, 1885.
CHAPTER I

THE SPRINGS OF WANDEL

(The reader must be advised that the first two chapters are reprinted, with slight revision, from “Fors Clavigera,” having been written there chiefly for the political lessons, which appear now introduced somewhat violently.)

I AM, and my father was before me, a violent Tory of the old school;—Walter Scott’s school, that is to say, and Homer’s. I name these two out of the numberless great Tory writers, because they were my own two masters. I had Walter Scott’s novels, and the Iliad (Pope’s translation), for constant reading when I was a child, on week-days: on Sunday, their effect was tempered by Robinson Crusoe and the Pilgrim’s Progress; my mother having it deeply in her heart to make an evangelical clergyman of me. Fortunately, I had an aunt more evangelical than my mother; and my aunt gave me cold mutton for Sunday’s dinner, which—as I much preferred it hot—greatly diminished the influence of the Pilgrim’s Progress; and the end of the matter was, that I got all the noble imaginative teaching of Defoe and Bunyan, and yet—I am not an evangelical clergyman.

2. I had, however, still better teaching than theirs, and that compulsorily, and every day of the week.

Walter Scott and Pope’s Homer were reading of my

[1 This chapter is a collection of slightly revised passages from Fors Clavigera, Letters 10 (1871), 46 (1874), 51, 52, 56 (1875), and 28 (1873). For particulars, and note of the revision, see the Bibliographical Note; above, p. xci.]
own election, and my mother forced me, by steady daily toil, to learn long chapters of the Bible by heart; as well as to read it every syllable through, aloud, hard names and all, from Genesis to the Apocalypse, about once a year: and to that discipline—patient, accurate, and resolute—I owe, not only a knowledge of the book, which I find occasionally serviceable, but much of my general power of taking pains, and the best part of my taste in literature. From Walter Scott’s novels I might easily, as I grew older, have fallen to other people’s novels; and Pope might, perhaps, have led me to take Johnson’s English, or Gibbon’s, as types of language; but, once knowing the 32nd of Deuteronomy, the 119th Psalm, the 15th of 1st Corinthians, the Sermon on the Mount, and most of the Apocalypse, every syllable by heart, and having always a way of thinking with myself what words meant, it was not possible for me, even in the foolishest times of youth, to write entirely superficial or formal English;\(^1\) and the affectation of trying to write like Hooker\(^2\) and George Herbert was the most innocent I could have fallen into.

3. From my own chosen masters, then, Scott and Homer, I learned the Toryism which my best after-thought has only served to confirm.

That is to say, a most sincere love of kings,\(^3\) and dislike of everybody who attempted to disobey them. Only, both by Homer and Scott, I was taught strange ideas about kings, which I find for the present much obsolete; for, I perceived that both the author of the *Iliad* and the author of *Waverley* made their kings, or king-loving persons, do harder work than anybody else. Tydides or Idomeneus always killed twenty Trojans to other people’s one, and Redgauntlet speared more salmon than any of the Solway fishermen;\(^4\) and—which was particularly a subject of admiration

---

\(^1\) [Compare Vol. XXIV. p. 449.]
\(^2\) [Compare Vol. IV. pp. 334, and Vol. XVIII. p. 32.]
\(^3\) [Compare below, § 7.]
\(^4\) [See, for Diomed (son of Tydeus), such passages in Pope’s *Iliad* as x. 560; and for Idomeneus, xiii. 457 seq.; and for Redgauntlet, Letter 4 of Scott’s novel.]
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I observed that they not only did more, but in proportion to their doings got less, than other people—nay, that the best of them were even ready to govern for nothing! and let their followers divide any quantity of spoil or profit. Of late it has seemed to me that the idea of a king has become exactly the contrary of this, and that it has been supposed the duty of superior persons generally to govern less, and get more, than anybody else. So that it was, perhaps, quite as well that in those early days my contemplation of existent kingship was a very distant one.

4. The aunt who gave me cold mutton on Sundays was my father’s sister: she lived at Bridge-end, in the town of Perth, and had a garden full of gooseberry-bushes, sloping down to the Tay, with a door opening to the water, which ran past it, clear-brown over the pebbles three or four feet deep; swift-eddying—an infinite thing for a child to look down into.

5. My father began business as a wine-merchant, with no capital, and a considerable amount of debts bequeathed him by my grandfather. He accepted the bequest, and paid them all before he began to lay by anything for himself,—for which his best friends called him a fool, and I, without expressing any opinion as to his wisdom, which I knew in such matters to be at least equal to mine, have written on the granite slab over his grave that he was “an entirely honest merchant.” As days went on he was able to take a house in Hunter Street, Brunswick Square, No. 54, (the windows of it, fortunately for me, commanded a view of a marvellous iron post, out of which...
the water-carts were filled through beautiful little trapdoors, by pipes like boa-constrictors; and I was never weary of contemplating that mystery, and the delicious dripping consequent; and as years went on, and I came to be four or five years old, he could command a postchaise and pair for two months in the summer, by help of which, with my mother and me, he went the round of his country customers (who liked to see the principal of the house his own traveller); so that, at a jog-trot pace, and through the panoramic opening of the four windows of a post-chaise, made more panoramic still to me because my seat was a little bracket in front, (for we used to hire the chaise regularly for the two months out of Long Acre, and so could have it bracketed and pocketed as we liked,) I saw all the high-roads, and most of the cross ones, of England and Wales; and great part of lowland Scotland, as far as Perth, where every other year we spent the whole summer: and I used to read the Abbot at Kinross, and the Monastery in Glen Farg, which I confused with “Glendearg,” and thought that the White Lady had as certainly lived by the streamlet in that glen of the Ochils, as the Queen of Scots in the island of Loch Leven.

6. To my farther great benefit, as I grew older, I thus saw nearly all the noblemen’s houses in England; in reverent and healthy delight of uncovetous admiration,—perceiving, as soon as I could perceive any political truth at all, that it was probably much happier to live in a small house, and have Warwick Castle to be astonished at, than to live in Warwick Castle and have nothing to be astonished at; but that, at all events, it would not make Brunswick Square in the least more pleasantly habitable, to pull Warwick Castle down. And at this day, though

1 [Some further reminiscences of the “occupations of an exciting character in Hunter Street” are given in a passage of Fors, not embodied in Præterita; see Letter 53, § 1 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 316–317).]

2 [For another notice of these journeys, and the impressions left by them, see “Mending the Sieve,” § 1 (Vol. XXXIII. pp. 227–228).]

3 [For a reference to this passage, see Ruskin’s letter on Warwick Castle in Arrows of the Chace: Vol. XXXIV. p. 506.]
I have kind invitations enough to visit America, I could not, even for a couple of months, live in a country so miserable as to possess no castles.

7. Nevertheless, having formed my notion of kinghood chiefly from the FitzJames of the *Lady of the Lake*, and of noblesse from the Douglas there, and the Douglas in *Marmion*, a painful wonder soon arose in my child-mind, why the castles should now be always empty. Tantallon was there; but no Archibald of Angus:—Stirling, but no Knight of Snowdoun. The galleries and gardens of England were beautiful to see—but his Lordship and her Ladyship were always in town, said the housekeepers and gardeners. Deep yearning took hold of me for a kind of “Restoration,” which I began slowly to feel that Charles the Second had not altogether effected, though I always wore a gilded oak-apple very piously in my button-hole on the 29th of May. It seemed to me that Charles the Second’s Restoration had been, as compared with the Restoration I wanted, much as that gilded oak-apple to a real apple. And as I grew wiser, the desire for sweet pippins instead of bitter ones, and Living Kings instead of dead ones, appeared to me rational as well as romantic; and gradually it has become the main purpose of my life to grow pippins, and its chief hope, to see Kings.*

8. I have never been able to trace these prejudices to any royalty of descent: of my father’s ancestors I know nothing,¹ nor of my mother’s more than that my maternal grandmother was the landlady of the Old King’s Head in Market Street, Croydon; and I wish she were alive again, and I could paint her Simone Memmi’s King’s Head,² for a sign.

* The St. George’s Company was founded for the promotion of agricultural instead of town life: and my only hope of prosperity for England, or any other country, in whatever life they lead, is in their discovering and obeying men capable of Kinghood.

¹ [For further particulars, traced after this passage appeared, see the Introduction, pp. lviii.–lxi.; and below, pp. 601–604.)
² [The head of the Emperor in the fresco in the “Spanish Chapel,” at S. Maria Novella, Florence: see Ruskin’s drawing in Vol. XXIII. (p. 458), and compare *Fors*, Letter 46 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 169, 170).]
My maternal grandfather was, as I have said, a sailor, who used to embark, like Robinson Crusoe, at Yarmouth, and come back at rare intervals, making himself very delightful at home. I have an idea he had something to do with the herring business, but am not clear on that point; my mother never being much communicative concerning it. He spoiled her, and her (younger) sister, with all his heart, when he was at home; unless there appeared any tendency to equivocation, or imaginative statements, on the part of the children, which were always unforgiveable. My mother being once perceived by him to have distinctly told him a lie, he sent the servant out forthwith to buy an entire bundle of new broom twings to whip her with. “They did not hurt me so much as one” (twig) “would have done,” said my mother, “but I thought a good deal of it.”

9. My maternal grandfather was killed at two-and-thirty, by trying to ride, instead of walk, into Croydon; he got his leg crushed by his horse against a wall; and died of the hurt’s mortifying. My mother was then seven or eight years old, and, with her sister, was sent to quite a fashionable (for Croydon) day-school, Mrs. Rice’s: where my mother was taught evangelical principles, and became the pattern girl and best needlewoman in the school; and where my aunt absolutely refused evangelical principles, and became the plague and pet of it.

10. My mother, being a girl of great power, with not a little pride, grew more and more exemplary in her entirely conscientious career, much laughed at, though much beloved, by her sister; who had more wit, less pride, and no conscience. At last my mother, formed into a consummate housewife, was sent for to Scotland to take care of my paternal grandfather’s house; who was gradually ruining

---

1 [This is a slip. He has not said it before in Præterita; but the passage is reprinted from Fors, Letter 46, and there he had said it before in Letter 45 (see Vol. XXVIII. pp. 147, 170).]

2 [It will be remembered that Ruskin’s father and mother were first cousins; his mother being the daughter of his grandfather’s sister (see p. 603).]
himself; and who at last effectually ruined, and killed, himself. My father came up to London; was a clerk in a merchant’s house\(^1\) for nine years, without a holiday; then began business on his own account; paid his father’s debts; and married his exemplary Croydon cousin.

11. Meantime my aunt\(^2\) had remained in Croydon, and married a baker. By the time I was four years old, and beginning to recollect things,—my father rapidly taking higher commercial position in London,—there was traceable,—though to me, as a child, wholly incomprehensible,—just the least possible shade of shyness on the part of Hunter Street, Brunswick Square, towards Market Street, Croydon. But whenever my father was ill,—and hard work and sorrow had already set their mark on him,—we all went down to Croydon to be petted by my homely aunt; and walk on Duppas Hill, and on the heather of Addington.

12. My aunt lived in the little house still standing—or which was so four months ago\(^3\)—the fashionablest in Market Street, having actually two windows over the shop, in the second story; but I never troubled myself about that superior part of the mansion, unless my father happened to be making drawings in Indian ink, when I would sit reverently by and watch; my chosen domains being, at all other times, the shop, the bakehouse, and the stones round the spring of crystal water at the back door (long since let down into the modern sewer); and my chief companion, my aunt’s dog, Towzer, whom she had taken pity on when he was a snappish, starved vagrant; and made a brave and affectionate dog of: which was the kind of thing she did for every living creature that came in her way, all her life long.

---

\(^1\) [See below, § 26, p. 27.]
\(^2\) [Bridget Cox (his mother’s sister), who married Mr. Richardson of Croydon.]
\(^3\) [That is, in 1874, when Ruskin wrote this passage for Fors Clavigera; subsequently demolished (compare p. 122 \(n\).). The kind of house is shown in his coloured sketch, “Looking to end of Market Street from my aunt’s door,” given as frontispiece to Vol. I.]
13. Contented, by help of these occasional glimpses of the rivers of Paradise, I lived until I was more than four years old in Hunter Street, Brunswick Square, the greater part of the year; for a few weeks in the summer breathing country air by taking lodgings in small cottages (real cottages, not villas, so-called) either about Hampstead, or at Dulwich, at “Mrs. Ridley’s,” the last of a row in a lane which led out into the Dulwich fields on one side, and was itself full of buttercups in spring, and blackberries in autumn. But my chief remaining impressions of those days are attached to Hunter Street. My mother’s general principles of first treatment were, to guard me with steady watchfulness from all avoidable pain or danger; and, for the rest, to let me amuse myself as I liked, provided I was neither fretful nor troublesome. But the law was, that I should find my own amusement. No toys of any kind were at first allowed;—and the pity of my Croydon aunt for my monastic poverty in this respect was boundless. On one of my birthdays, thinking to overcome my mother’s resolution by splendour of temptation, she bought the most radiant Punch and Judy she could find in all the Soho bazaar—as big as a real Punch and Judy, all dressed in scarlet and gold, and that would dance, tied to the leg of a chair. I must have been greatly impressed, for I remember well the look of the two figures, as my aunt herself exhibited their virtues. My mother was obliged to accept them; but afterwards quietly told me it was not right that I should have them; and I never saw them again.¹

14. Nor did I painfully wish, what I was never permitted for an instant to hope, or even imagine, the possession of such things as one saw in toy-shops. I had a bunch of keys to play with, as long as I was capable only of pleasure in what glittered and jingled; as I grew older,

¹ [Compare Cestus of Aglaia, § 90 (Vol. XIX. p. 138). For a reference to Ruskin’s amusements as those of “a poor little Cockney wretch,” contrasted with the outdoor life of Scott, see Fors, Letter 67 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 645).]
I had a cart, and a ball; and when I was five or six years old, two boxes of well-cut wooden bricks. With these modest, but, I still think, entirely sufficient possessions, and being always summarily whipped if I cried, did not do as I was bid, or tumbled on the stairs, I soon attained serene and secure methods of life and motion; and could pass my days contentedly in tracing the squares and comparing the colours of my carpet;—examining the knots in the wood of the floor, or counting the bricks in the opposite houses; with rapturous intervals of excitement during the filling of the water-cart, through its leathern pipe, from the dripping iron post at the pavement edge; or the still more admirable proceedings of the turncock, when he turned and turned till a fountain sprang up in the middle of the street. But the carpet, and what patterns I could find in bed-covers, dresses, or wall-papers to be examined, were my chief resources, and my attention to the particulars in these was soon so accurate, that when at three and a half I was taken to have my portrait painted by Mr. Northcote, I had not been ten minutes alone with him before I asked him why there were holes in his carpet. The portrait in question represents a very pretty child with yellow hair, dressed in a white frock like a girl, with a broad light-blue sash and blue shoes to match; the feet of the child wholesomely large in proportion to its body; and the shoes still more wholesomely large in proportion to the feet.

15. These articles of my daily dress were all sent to the old painter for perfect realization; but they appear in the picture more remarkable than they were in my nursery, because I am represented as running in a field at the edge of a wood with the trunks of its trees striped across in the manner of Sir Joshua Reynolds; while two rounded hills, as blue as my shoes, appear in the distance, which were put in by the painter at my own request; for I had already been once, if not twice, taken to Scotland; and my

1 [Plate II.]
Portrait of Ruskin at the age of 3½ years.
Scottish nurse having always sung to me as we approached the Tweed or Esk,—

“For Scotland, my darling, lies full in thy view,
With her barefooted lassies, and mountains so blue,”

the idea of distant hills was connected in my mind with approach to the extreme felicities of life, in my Scottish aunt’s garden of gooseberry bushes, sloping to the Tay. But that, when old Mr. Northcote asked me (little thinking, I fancy, to get any answer so explicit) what I would like to have in the distance of my picture, I should have said “blue hills” instead of “gooseberry bushes,” appears to me—and I think without any morbid tendency to think over-much of myself—a fact sufficiently curious, and not without promise, in a child of that age.

16. I think it should be related also that having, as aforesaid, been steadily whipped if I was troublesome, my formed habit of serenity was greatly pleasing to the old painter; for I sat contentedly motionless, counting the holes in his carpet, or watching him squeeze his paint out of its bladders,—a beautiful operation, indeed, to my thinking;— but I do not remember taking any interest in Mr. North-cote’s application of the pigments to the canvas; my ideas of delightful art, in that respect, involving indispensably the possession of a large pot, filled with paint of the brightest green, and of a brush which would come out of it soppy. But my quietude was so pleasing to the old man that he begged my father and mother to let me sit to him for the face of a child which he was painting in a classical subject; where I was accordingly represented as reclining on a leopard skin, and having a thorn taken out of my foot by a wild man of the woods.

17. In all these particulars, I think the treatment, or

1 [Quoted also in Fors, Letter 92: Vol. XXIX. p. 449.]
2 [Plate III. For another reference to Northcote, see below, § 240 (p. 214). Dr. Birkbeck Hill makes Northcote a link in “the chain of genius,” tracing an “apostolic succession” from Dryden to Ruskin. Pope, when a boy of eleven, persuaded
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accidental conditions, of my childhood, entirely right, for a child of my temperament: but the mode of my introduction to literature appears to me questionable, and I am not prepared to carry it out in St. George’s schools, without much modification. I absolutely declined to learn to read by syllables; but would get an entire sentence by heart with great facility, and point with accuracy to every word in the page as I repeated it. As, however, when the words were once displaced, I had no more to say, my mother gave up, for the time, the endeavour to teach me to read, hoping only that I might consent, in process of years, to adopt the popular system of syllabic study. But I went on to amuse myself, in my own way, learnt whole words at a time, as I did patterns; and at five years old was sending for my “second volumes” to the circulating library.

18. This effort to learn the words in their collective aspect, was assisted by my real admiration of the look of printed type, which I began to copy for my pleasure, as other children draw dogs and horses. The following inscription, facsimile’d from the fly-leaf of my *Seven Champions of Christendom* (judging from the independent views taken in it of the character of the letter L, and the relative elevation of G,) I believe to be an extremely early art study of this class; and as by the will of Fors, the first lines of the note, written after an interval of fifty years, underneath my copy of it, in direction to Mr. Burgess, presented some notable points of correspondence with it, I thought it well he should engrave them together, as they stood.

1 [See p. 24.]
2 [For this book, see Vol. XXIV. p. 246.]
3 [For Ruskin’s notice of his assistant, Arthur Burgess, see Vol. XIV. pp. 349–356.]
4 [For reflections on the character of the handwriting here displayed, see Fors, Letter 51 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 275).]
19. My mother had, as she afterwards told me, solemnly “devoted me to God” before I was born; in imitation of Hannah.¹

Very good women are remarkably apt to make away with their children prematurely, in this manner: the real meaning of the pious act being, that, as the sons of Zebedee are not (or at least they hope not), to sit on the right and left of Christ, in His kingdom, their own

sons may perhaps, they think, in time be advanced to that respectable position in eternal life; especially if they ask Christ very humbly for it every day; and they always forget in the most naïve way that the position is not His to give!²

20. “Devoting me to God,” meant, as far as my mother knew herself what she meant, that she would try to send me to college, and make a clergyman of me: and I was accordingly bred for “the Church.” My father, who—rest be to his soul—had the exceedingly bad habit of yielding to his mother in large things and taking his own way

¹ [1 Samuel i. 11.]
² [Matthew xx, 20–23.]
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in little ones, allowed me, without saying a word, to be thus withdrawn from the sherry trade as an unclean thing; not without some pardonable participation in my mother’s ultimate views for me. For, many and many a year afterwards, I remember, while he was speaking to one of our artist friends, who admired Raphael, and greatly regretted my endeavours to interfere with that popular taste,—while my father and he were condoling with each other on my having been impudent enough to think I could tell the public about Turner and Raphael,—instead of contenting myself, as I ought, with explaining the way of their souls’ salvation to them—and what an amiable clergyman was lost in me,—“Yes,” said my father, with tears in his eyes — (true and tender tears, as ever father shed,) “he would have been a Bishop.”

21. Luckily for me, my mother, under these distinct impressions of her own duty, and with such latent hopes of my future eminence, took me very early to church;—where, in spite of my quiet habits, and my mother’s golden vinaigrette, always indulged to me there, and there only, with its lid unclasped that I might see the wreathed open pattern above the sponge, I found the bottom of the pew so extremely dull a place to keep quiet in, (my best story-books being also taken away from me in the morning,) that, as I have somewhere said before,¹ the horror of Sunday used even to cast its prescient gloom as far back in the week as Friday—and all the glory of Monday, with church seven days removed again, was no equivalent for it.

22. Notwithstanding, I arrived at some abstract in my own mind of the Rev. Mr. Howell’s sermons;² and occasionally, in imitation of him, preached a sermon at home over the red sofa cushions;—this performance being always called for by my mother’s dearest friends, as the great accomplishment of my childhood. The sermon was, I

¹ [Fors Clavigera, Letter 24, § 7 (Vol. XXVII. p. 421).]
² [His church or chapel was, no doubt, near to Hunter Street, Brunswick Square, but this has not been ascertained.]
believe, some eleven words long; very exemplary, it seems to me, in that respect—and I still think must have been the purest gospel, for I know it began with, “People, be good.”

23. We seldom had company, even on week days; and I was never allowed to come down to dessert, until much later in life—when I was able to crack nuts neatly. I was then permitted to come down to crack other people’s nuts for them—(I hope they liked the ministration)—but never to have any myself; nor anything else of dainty kind, either then or at other times. Once at Hunter Street, I recollect my mother giving me three raisins, in the forenoon, out of the store cabinet; and I remember perfectly the first time I tasted custard, in our lodgings in Norfolk Street—where we had gone while the house was being painted, or cleaned, or something. My father was dining in the front room, and did not finish his custard; and my mother brought me the bottom of it into the back room.

24. But for the reader’s better understanding of such further progress of my poor little life as I may trespass on his patience in describing, it is now needful that I give some account of my father’s mercantile position in London.

The firm of which he was head partner may be yet remembered by some of the older city houses, as carrying on their business in a small counting-house on the first floor of narrow premises, in as narrow a thoroughfare of East London,—Billiter Street, the principal traverse from Leadenhall Street into Fenchurch Street.

The names of the three partners were given in full on their brass plate under the counting-house bell,—Ruskin, Telford, and Domecq.

25. Mr. Domecq’s name should have been the first, by rights, for my father and Mr. Telford were only his agents. He was the sole proprietor of the estate which was the main capital of the firm,—the vineyard of Macharnudo, the most precious hillside, for growth of white wine, in the
Spanish peninsula. The quality of the Macharnudo vintage essentially fixed the standard of Xeres “sack,” or “dry”—secco—sherris, or sherry, from the days of Henry the Fifth\(^1\) to our own;—the unalterable and unrivalled chalk-marl of it putting a strength into the grape which age can only enrich and darken,—never impair.

26. Mr. Peter Domecq was, I believe, Spanish born; and partly French, partly English bred; a man of strictest honour, and kindly disposition; how descended, I do not know; how he became possessor of his vineyard, I do not know; what position he held, when young, in the firm of Gordon, Murphy, and Company, I do not know; but in their house he watched their head clerk, my father, during his nine years of duty, and when the house broke up, asked him to be his own agent in England. My father saw that he could fully trust Mr. Domecq’s honour, and feeling;—but not so fully either his sense, or his industry; and insisted, though taking only his agent’s commission, on being both nominally, and practically, the head-partner of the firm.

27. Mr. Domecq lived chiefly in Paris; rarely visiting his Spanish estate, but having perfect knowledge of the proper processes of its cultivation, and authority over its labourers almost like a chief’s over his clan. He kept the wines at the highest possible standard; and allowed my father to manage all matters concerning their sale, as he thought best. The second partner, Mr. Henry Telford, brought into the business what capital was necessary for its London branch. The premises in Billiter Street belonged to him; and he had a pleasant country house at Widmore, near Bromley; a quite far-away Kentish village in those days.

He was a perfect type of an English country gentleman of moderate fortune; unmarried, living with three unmarried sisters,—who, in the refinement of their highly educated,

\(^1\) [See Falstaff on the virtue of “sherris-sack”: 2 Henry \(iv\), Act iv. sc. 3.]
unpretending, benevolent, and felicitous lives, remain in my memory more like the figures in a beautiful story than realities. Neither in story, nor in reality, have I ever again heard of, or seen, anything like Mr. Henry Telford;—so gentle, so humble, so affectionate, so clear in common sense, so fond of horses,—and so entirely incapable of doing, thinking, or saying, anything that had the slightest taint in it of the racecourse or the stable.

28. Yet I believe he never missed any great race; passed the greater part of his life on horseback; and hunted during the whole Leicestershire season; but never made a bet, never had a serious fall, and never hurt a horse. Between him and my father there was absolute confidence, and the utmost friendship that could exist without community of pursuit. My father was greatly proud of Mr. Telford’s standing among the country gentlemen; and Mr. Telford was affectionately respectful to my father’s steady industry and infallible commercial instinct. Mr. Telford’s actual part in the conduct of the business was limited to attendance in the counting-house during two months at Midsummer, when my father took his holiday, and sometimes for a month at the beginning of the year, when he travelled for orders. At these times Mr. Telford rode into London daily from Widmore, signed what letters and bills needed signature, read the papers, and rode home again; any matters needing deliberation were referred to my father, or awaited his return. All the family at Widmore would have been limitlessly kind to my mother and me, if they had been permitted any opportunity; but my mother always felt, in cultivated society,—and was too proud to feel with patience,—the defects of her own early education; and therefore (which was the true and fatal sign of such defect) never familiarly visited any one whom she did not feel to be, in some sort, her inferior.

Nevertheless, Mr. Telford had a singularly important influence in my education. By, I believe, his sisters’ advice, he gave me, as soon as it was published, the illustrated
I. THE SPRINGS OF WANDEL

29. In a more direct, though less intended way, his help to me was important. For, before my father thought it right to hire a carriage for the above-mentioned Midsummer holiday, Mr. Telford always lent us his own travelling chariot.

Now the old English chariot is the most luxurious of travelling carriages, for two persons, or even for two persons and so much of third personage as I possessed at three years old. The one in question was hung high, so that we could see well over stone dykes and average hedges out of it; such elevation being attained by the old-fashioned folding steps, with a lovely padded cushion fitting into the recess of the door,—steps which it was one of my chief travelling delights to see the hostlers fold up and down; though my delight was painfully alloyed by envious ambition to be allowed to do it myself:—but I never was,—lest I should pinch my fingers.

30. The “dickey,”—(to think that I should never till this moment have asked myself the derivation of that word, and now be unable to get at it!)—being, typically, that

1 [See further, on this gift, below, p. 79. The book (1830) is preserved at Brantwood. It bears the inscription, “J. Ruskin, Junr., from his esteemed friend Henry Telford, Esq.;” and then (in Ruskin’s hand), “My Father’s writing—dateless, unusually with him (Brantwood, April 1887).” A copy of Rogers’s Poems (1834) is inscribed, “To John Ruskin, Esq., with the sincere regard of the author.”]

2 [For the derivation, see Dilecta, § 22; below, p. 585.]
commanding seat in her Majesty’s mail, occupied by the Guard; and classical, even in modern literature, as the scene of Mr. Bob Sawyer’s arrangements with Sam, 1 —was thrown far back in Mr. Telford’s chariot, so as to give perfectly comfortable room for the legs (if one chose to travel outside on fine days), and to afford beneath it spacious area to the boot, a storehouse of rearward miscellaneous luggage. Over which—with all the rest of forward and superficial luggage—my nurse Anne presided, both as guard and packer; unrivalled, she, in the flatness and precision of her in-laying of dresses, as in turning of pancakes; 2 the fine precision, observe, meaning also the easy wit and invention of her art; for, no more in packing a trunk than commanding a campaign, is precision possible without foresight.

31. Among the people whom one must miss out of one’s life, dead, or worse than dead, by the time one is past fifty, I can only say for my own part, that the one I practically and truly miss most next to father and mother, (and putting losses of imaginary good out of the question,) is this Anne, my father’s nurse, and mine. She was one of our “many”* (our many being always but few,) and from her girlhood to her old age, the entire ability of her life was given to serving us. She had a natural gift and speciality for doing disagreeable things; above all, the service of a sick room; so that she was never quite in her glory unless some of us were ill. She had also some parallel speciality for saying disagreeable things; and might be relied upon to give the extremely darkest view of any subject, before proceeding to ameliorative action upon it. And she had a very creditable and republican aversion to doing immediately, or in set terms, as she was bid; so that when my mother and she got old

* Formerly “Meinie,” “attendant company.” 3

1 [See Pickwick, ch. 1.]
2 [See Vol. XXVIII. p. 317, where Ruskin gives some reminiscences of these delights.]
3 [See the note on Ruskin’s title Love’s Meinie, Vol. XXV. p. xxix.]
together, and my mother became very imperative and particular about having her teacup set on one side of her little round table, Anne would observantly and punctiliously put it always on the other; which caused my mother to state to me, every morning after breakfast, gravely, that if ever a woman in this world was possessed by the Devil, Anne was that woman. But in spite of these momentary and petulant aspirations to liberality and independence of character, poor Anne remained very servile in soul all her days; and was altogether occupied, from the age of fifteen to seventy-two, in doing other people’s wills instead of her own, and seeking other people’s good instead of her own: nor did I ever hear on any occasion of her doing harm to a human being, except by saving two hundred and some odd pounds for her relations; in consequence of which some of them, after her funeral, did not speak to the rest for several months.

32. The dickey then aforesaid, being indispensable for our guard Anne, was made wide enough for two, that my father might go outside also when the scenery and day were fine. The entire equipage was not a light one of its

---

1 [For a later reference to this passage, see ii. § 233 (below, p. 465). In noting the death of Anne in his diary, Ruskin gives some characteristic sayings of his mother:—

“This morning (literal), ‘I think, of all the evil spirits I ever saw, she has acted worst to me. I blame myself entirely.’ (Pause, I wondering what was to come next.) ‘I ought to have sent her away three months after she came.’”

But, as we have seen, Mrs. Ruskin never sent away any servant (Vol. XIX. p. xxxvi.). For other references to Ruskin’s nurse, see Vol. XXII. p. xviii.; Vol. XXVIII. p. 317. Lady Burne-Jones, who saw Anne at Denmark Hill, thus describes her: “A white-haired, light-eyed, spare little figure, harsh and unattractive to our southern feeling. She had come as a bare-foot child into the service of the family, and was passionately devoted to her master and his son; but between her and her mistress relations were evidently strained, for I once heard Mrs. Ruskin address the aged dame in a tone such as one might use to a tiresome child, whilst Anne retorted with a want of deference that was certainly not the growth of the moment. But the best image to keep of the old nurse is that of her, having thrust all others aside, being first to mount the ladder reared in alarm against her old master’s window and to enter the locked room where he lay seized with mortal illness” (Memorials of Edward Burne-Jones, vol. i. pp. 300–301).]
kind; but, the luggage being carefully limited, went gaily behind good horses on the then perfectly smooth mail roads; and posting, in those days, being universal, so that at the leading inns in every country town, the cry “Horses out!” down the yard, as one drove up, was answered, often instantly, always within five minutes, by the merry trot through the archway of the booted and bright-jacketed rider, with his caparisoned pair,—there was no driver’s seat in front: and the four large, admirably fitting and sliding windows, admitting no drop of rain when they were up, and never sticking as they were let down, formed one large moving oriel, out of which one saw the country round, to the full half of the horizon. My own prospect was more extended still, for my seat was the little box containing my clothes, strongly made, with a cushion on one end of it; set upright in front (and well forward), between my father and mother. I was thus not the least in their way, and my horizon of sight the widest possible. When no object of particular interest presented itself, I trotted, keeping time with the postboy on my trunk cushion for a saddle, and whipped my father’s legs for horses; at first theoretically only, with dexterous motion of wrist; but ultimately in a quite practical and efficient manner, my father having presented me with a silver-mounted postillion’s whip.

33. The Midsummer holiday, for better enjoyment of which Mr. Telford provided us with these luxuries, began usually on the fifteenth of May, or thereabouts;—my father’s birthday was the tenth; on that day I was always allowed to gather the gooseberries for his first gooseberry pie of the year, from the tree between the buttresses on the north wall of the Herne Hill garden; so that we could not leave before that festa. The holiday itself consisted in a tour for orders through half the English counties; and a visit (if the counties lay northward) to my aunt in Scotland.

. The mode of journeying was as fixed as that of
our home life. We went from forty to fifty miles a day, starting always early enough in the morning to arrive comfortably to four o’clock dinner. Generally, therefore, getting off at six o’clock, a stage or two were done before breakfast, with the dew on the grass, and first scent from the hawthorns; if in the course of the midday drive there were any gentleman’s house to be seen,—or, better still, a lord’s—or, best of all, a duke’s,—my father baited the horses, and took my mother and me reverently through the state rooms; always speaking a little under our breath to the housekeeper, major-domo, or other authority in charge; and gleaning worshipfully what fragmentary illustrations of the history and domestic ways of the family might fall from their lips.

35. In analyzing above, page 16, the effect on my mind of all this, I have perhaps a little antedated the supposed resultant impression that it was probably happier to live in a small house than a large one. But assuredly, while I never to this day pass a lattice-windowed cottage without wishing to be its cottager, I never yet saw the castle which I envied to its lord; and although, in the course of these many worshipful pilgrimages, I gathered curiously extensive knowledge, both of art and natural scenery, afterwards infinitely useful, it is evident to me in retrospect that my own character and affections were little altered by them; and that the personal feeling and native instinct of me had been fastened, irrevocably, long before, to things modest, humble, and pure in peace, under the low red roofs of Croydon, and by the cress-set rivulets in which the sand danced and minnows darted above the Springs of Wandel.
CHAPTER II

HERNE-HILL ALMOND BLOSSOMS

36. When I was about four years old my father found himself able to buy the lease of a house on Herne Hill, a rustic eminence four miles south of the “Standard in Cornhill”; of which the leafy seclusion remains, in all essential points of character, unchanged to this day; certain Gothic splendours, lately indulged in by our wealthier neighbours, being the only serious innovations; and these are so graciously concealed by the fine trees of their grounds, that the passing viator remains unappalled by them; and I can still walk up and down the piece of road between the Fox tavern and the Herne Hill station, imagining myself four years old.

37. Our house was the northernmost of a group which stand accurately on the top or dome of the hill, where the ground is for a small space level, as the snows are, (I understand,) on the dome of Mont Blanc; presently falling, however, in what may be, in the London clay formation,
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considered a precipitous slope, to our valley of Chamouni (or of Dulwich) on the east; and with a softer descent into Cold Harbour-lane* on the west: on the south, no less beautifully declining to the dale of the Effra, (doubtless shortened from Effrena, signifying the “Unbridled” river; recently, I regret to say, bricked over for the convenience of Mr. Biffin, chemist, and others); while on the north, prolonged indeed with slight depression some half mile or so, and receiving, in the parish of Lambeth, the chivalric title of “Champion Hill,” it plunges down at last to efface itself in the plains of Peckham, and the rural barbarism of Goose Green.

38. The group, of which our house was the quarter, consisted of two precisely similar partner-couples of houses, gardens and all to match; still the two highest blocks of buildings seen from Norwood on the crest of the ridge; so that the house itself, three-storied, with garrets above, commanded, in those comparatively smokeless days, a very notable view from its garret windows, of the Norwood hills on one side, and the winter sunrise over them; and of the valley of the Thames on the other, with Windsor telescopically clear in the distance, and Harrow, conspicuous always in fine weather to open vision against the summer sunset. It had front and back garden in sufficient proportion to its size; the front, richly set with old evergreens, and well-grown lilac and laburnum; the back, seventy

* Said in the History of Croydon to be a name which has long puzzled antiquaries, and nearly always found near Roman military stations. 1

1 [“The hardships incident to travelling must have been much increased by the fewness of houses of entertainment along the roads. Where no religious house existed to receive the wayfarer, he would usually be compelled to content himself with the shelter of bare walls. The ruins of deserted Roman villas were no doubt often used by travellers who carried their own bedding and provisions, as is done by the frequenters of the khan and dak houses of the East. Such places seem commonly to have borne the name of COLD HARBOUR. (Compare the German Herberg, shelter, and the French auberge. See Notes and Queries, second series, vol. vi. pp. 143, 319.) In the neighbourhood of ancient lines of road we find no less than seventy places bearing this name, and about a dozen more bearing the analogous name of CALDICOT, or ‘cold cot.’ ” (Isaac Taylor’s Words and Places, 2nd edition, 1865, pp. 255–256.)]
yards long by twenty wide, renowned over all the hill for its
pears and apples, which had been chosen with extreme care by
our predecessor, (shame on me to forget the name of a man to
whom I owe so much!)—and possessing also a strong old
mulberry tree, a tall white-heart cherry tree, a black Kentish one,
and an almost unbroken hedge, all round, of alternate gooseberry
and currant bush; decked, in due season, (for the ground was
wholly beneficent,) with magical splendour of abundant fruit:
fresh green, soft amber, and rough-bristled crimson bending the
spinous branches; clustered pearl and pendant ruby joyfully
discernible under the large leaves that looked like vine.

39. The differences of primal importance which I observed
between the nature of this garden, and that of Eden, as I had
imagined it, were, that, in this one, all the fruit was forbidden;
and there were no companionable beasts: in other respects the
little domain answered every purpose of Paradise to me; and the
climate, in that cycle of our years, allowed me to pass most of
my life in it. My mother never gave me more to learn than she
knew I could easily get learnt, if I set myself honestly to work,
by twelve o’clock. She never allowed anything to disturb me
when my task was set; if it was not said rightly by twelve
o’clock, I was kept in till I knew it, and in general, even when
Latin Grammar came to supplement the Psalms, I was my own
master for at least an hour before half-past one dinner, and for
the rest of the afternoon.

40. My mother, herself finding her chief personal pleasure in
her flowers, was often planting or pruning beside me, at least if I
chose to stay beside her. I never thought of doing anything
behind her back which I would not have done before her face;
and her presence was therefore no restraint to me; but, also, no
particular pleasure, for, from having always been left so much
alone, I had generally my own little affairs to see after; and, on
the whole, by

---

1 [The house was taken in 1823 from Mr. John Jones, a linen-draper in Cheapside.]
2 [See below, § 59 (p. 50).]
the time I was seven years old, was already getting too independent, mentally, even of my father and mother; and, having nobody else to be dependent upon, began to lead a very small, perky, contented, conceited, Cock-Robinson-Crusoe sort of life, in the central point which it appeared to me, (as it must naturally appear to geometrical animals,) that I occupied in the universe.

41. This was partly the fault of my father’s modesty; and partly of his pride. He had so much more confidence in my mother’s judgment as to such matters than in his own, that he never ventured even to help, much less to cross her, in the conduct of my education; on the other hand, in the fixed purpose of making an ecclesiastical gentleman of me, with the superfinest of manners, and access to the highest circles of fleshly and spiritual society, the visits to Croydon, where I entirely loved my aunt, and young baker-cousins, became rarer and more rare: the society of our neighbours on the hill could not be had without breaking up our regular and sweetly selfish manner of living; and on the whole, I had nothing animate to care for, in a childish way, but myself, some nests of ants, which the gardener would never leave undisturbed for me, and a sociable bird or two; though I never had the sense or perseverance to make one really tame. But that was partly because, if ever I managed to bring one to be the least trustful of me, the cats got it.

Under these circumstances, what powers of imagination I possessed, either fastened themselves on inanimate things—the sky, the leaves, and pebbles, observable within the walls of Eden,—or caught at any opportunity of flight into regions of romance, compatible with the objective realities of existence in the nineteenth century, within a mile and a quarter of Camberwell Green.

42. Herein my father, happily, though with no definite intention other than of pleasing me, when he found he could do so without infringing any of my mother’s rules, became my guide. I was particularly fond of watching
him shave; and was always allowed to come into his room in the morning (under the one in which I am now writing\(^1\)), to be the motionless witness of that operation. Over his dressing-table hung one of his own water-colour drawings, made under the teaching of the elder Nasmyth; I believe, at the High School of Edinburgh. It was done in the early manner of tinting, which, just about the time when my father was at the High School, Dr. Monro was teaching Turner;\(^2\) namely, in grey under-tints of Prussian blue and British ink, washed with warm colour afterwards on the lights. It represented Conway Castle, with its Frith, and, in the foreground, a cottage, a fisherman, and a boat at the water’s edge.*

43. When my father had finished shaving, he always told me a story about this picture. The custom began without any initial purpose of his, in consequence of my troublesome curiosity whether the fisherman lived in the cottage, and where he was going to in the boat. It being settled, for peace’ sake, that he did live in the cottage, and was going in the boat to fish near the castle, the plot of the drama afterwards gradually thickened; and became, I believe, involved with that of the tragedy of Douglas,\(^3\) and of the Castle Spectre,\(^3\) in both of which pieces my father had performed in private theatricals, before my mother, and a select Edinburgh audience, when he was a boy of sixteen, and she, at grave twenty, a model housekeeper, and very scornful and religiously suspicious of theatricals. But she was never weary of telling me, in later years, how

* This drawing is still over the chimney-piece of my bedroom at Brantwood.\(^4\)

---

1 [That is, Ruskin’s old nursery; used by him as his study during Mr. and Mrs. Severn’s occupation of the house.]
2 [Thomas Monro (1759–1833), physician and connoisseur; Turner’s early patron: see Vol. XIII. pp. 255, 405.]
3 [Douglas, by John Home (1757), a Scottish minister; the play so offended the Presbytery, that its author left the ministry. The Castle Spectre, by “Monk” Lewis, brought out at Drury Lane in 1798.]
4 [It was shown by Ruskin at the Fine Art Society in 1878: see Vol. XIII. p. 489. For a further notice of it, see Fors, Letter 54 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 347).]
beautiful my father looked in his Highland dress, with the high black feathers.

44. In the afternoons, when my father returned (always punctually) from his business, he dined, at half-past four, in the front parlour, my mother sitting beside him to hear the events of the day, and give counsel and encouragement with respect to the same;—chiefly the last, for my father was apt to be vexed if orders for sherry fell the least short of their due standard, even for a day or two. I was never present at this time, however, and only avouch what I relate by hearsay and probable conjecture; for between four and six it would have been a grave misdemeanour in me if I so much as approached the parlour door. After that, in summer time, we were all in the garden as long as the day lasted; tea under the white-heart cherry tree; or in winter and rough weather,1 at six o’clock in the drawing-room,—I having my cup of milk, and slice of bread-and-butter, in a little recess, with a table in front of it, wholly sacred to me; and in which I remained in the evenings as an idol in a niche, while my mother knitted, and my father read to her,—and to me, so far as I chose to listen.

45. The series of the Waverley novels, then drawing towards its close, was still the chief source of delight in all households caring for literature; and I can no more recollect the time when I did not know them than when I did not know the Bible; but I have still a vivid remembrance of my father’s intense expression of sorrow mixed with scorn, as he threw down Count Robert of Paris, after reading three or four pages; and knew that the life of Scott was ended: the scorn being a very complex and bitter feeling in him,—partly, indeed, of the book itself, but chiefly of the wretches who were tormenting and selling the wrecked intellect, and not a little, deep down, of the subtle dishonesty which had essentially caused the ruin. My father never

1 [As You Like It, Act ii. sc. 5 (song).]
could forgive Scott his concealment of the Ballantyne partnership.

46. Such being the salutary pleasures of Herne Hill, I have next with deeper gratitude to chronicle what I owe to my mother for the resolutely consistent lessons which so exercised me in the Scriptures as to make every word of them familiar to my ear in habitual music,—yet in that familiarity reverenced, as transcending all thought, and ordaining all conduct.*

This she effected, not by her own sayings or personal authority; but simply by compelling me to read the book thoroughly, for myself. As soon as I was able to read with fluency, she began a course of Bible work with me, which never ceased till I went to Oxford. She read alternate verses with me, watching, at first, every intonation of my voice, and correcting the false ones, till she made me understand the verse, if within my reach, rightly, and energetically. It might be beyond me altogether; that she did not care about; but she made sure that as soon as I got hold of it at all, I should get hold of it by the right end.

In this way she began with the first verse of Genesis, and went straight through, to the last verse of the Apocalypse; hard names, numbers, Levitical law, and all; and began again at Genesis the next day. If a name was hard, the better the exercise in pronunciation,—if a chapter was tiresome, the better lesson in patience,—if loathsome, the better lesson in faith that there was some use in its being so outspoken. After our chapters, (from two to three a day, according to their length, the first thing after breakfast, and no interruption from servants allowed,—none from visitors, who either joined in the reading or had to stay upstairs,—and none from any visitings or excursions, except real travelling,) I had to learn a few verses by heart, or repeat, to make sure I had not lost, something of what

* Compare the 52nd paragraph of chapter iii. of The Bible of Amiens [Vol. XXXIII. p. 119.]
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was already known; and, with the chapters thus gradually possessed from the first word to the last, I had to learn the whole body of the fine old Scottish paraphrases, which are good, melodious, and forceful verse; and to which, together with the Bible itself, I owe the first cultivation of my ear in sound.

It is strange that of all the pieces of the Bible which my mother thus taught me, that which cost me most to learn, and which was, to my child’s mind, chiefly repulsive— the 119th Psalm—has now become of all the most precious to me, in its overflowing and glorious passion of love for the Law of God, in opposition to the abuse of it by modern preachers of what they imagine to be His gospel.1

47. But it is only by deliberate effort that I recall the long morning hours of toil, as regular as sunrise,—toil on both sides equal—by which, year after year, my mother forced me to learn these paraphrases, and chapters, (the eighth of 1st Kings being one—try it, good reader, in a leisure hour!) allowing not so much as a syllable to be missed or misplaced; while every sentence was required to be said over and over again till she was satisfied with the accent of it. I recollect a struggle between us of about three weeks, concerning the accent of the “of” in the lines

“Shall any following spring revive
The ashes of the urn?”—2

I insisting, partly in childish obstinacy, and partly in true instinct for rhythm, (being wholly careless on the subject both of urns and their contents,) on reciting it with an accented of. It was not, I say, till after three weeks’ labour, that my mother got the accent lightened on the “of” and laid on the ashes, to her mind. But had it taken three years she would have done it, having once

1 [Compare Fors, Letters 53 and 70 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 319, 719); and for Ruskin’s numerous references to the Psalm, see the General Index.]
2 [John Logan in one of the Scottish Church Paraphrases: see the note in Vol. XXVII. p. 617.]
undertaken to do it. And, assuredly, had she not done it,—well, there’s no knowing what would have happened; but I’m very thankful she did.

48. I have just opened my oldest (in use) Bible,—a small, closely, and very neatly printed volume it is, printed in Edinburgh by Sir D. Hunter Blair and J. Bruce, Printers to the King’s Most Excellent Majesty, in 1816. Yellow, now, with age; and flexible, but not unclean, with much use; except that the lower corners of the pages at 8th of 1st Kings, and 32nd Deuteronomy, are worn somewhat thin and dark, the learning of these two chapters having cost me much pains. My mother’s list of the chapters with which, thus learned, she established my soul in life,* has just fallen out of it. I will take what indulgence the incurious reader can give me, for printing the list thus accidentally occurrent:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Book</th>
<th>Chapters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exodus</td>
<td>15th and 20th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Samuel</td>
<td>1st, from 17th verse to the end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Kings</td>
<td>8th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psalms</td>
<td>23rd, 32nd, 90th, 91st, 103rd, 112th, 119th, 139th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proverbs</td>
<td>2nd, 3rd, 8th, 12th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaiah</td>
<td>58th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew</td>
<td>5th, 6th, 7th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acts</td>
<td>26th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Corinthians</td>
<td>13th, 15th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James</td>
<td>4th.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revelation</td>
<td>5th, 6th.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And truly, though I have picked up the elements of a little further knowledge—in mathematics, meteorology, and the like, in after life,—and owe not a little to the teaching of many people, this maternal installation of my mind

* This expression in *Fors*¹ has naturally been supposed by some readers to mean that my mother at this time made me vitally and evangelically religious. The fact was far otherwise. I meant only that she gave me secure ground for all future life, practical or spiritual. See the paragraph next following.

¹ [That is, in Letter 42, here embodied in *Præterita*: see Vol. XXVIII. p. 101.]
in that property of chapters I count very confidently the most precious, and, on the whole, the one essential part of all my education.¹

And it is perhaps already time to mark what advantage and mischief, by the chances of life up to seven years old, had been irrevocably determined for me.

I will first count my blessings (as a not unwise friend² once recommended me to do, continually; whereas I have a bad trick of always numbering the thorns in my fingers and not the bones in them).

And for best and truest beginning of all blessings, I had been taught the perfect meaning of Peace, in thought, act, and word.

I never had heard my father’s or mother’s voice once raised in any question with each other; nor seen an angry, or even slightly hurt or offended, glance in the eyes of either. I had never heard a servant scolded; nor even suddenly, passionately, or in any severe manner, blamed. I had never seen a moment’s trouble or disorder in any household matter; nor anything whatever either done in a hurry, or undone in due time.³ I had no conception of such a feeling as anxiety; my father’s occasional vexation in the afternoons, when he had only got an order for twelve butts after expecting one for fifteen, as I have just stated, was never manifested to me; and itself related only to the question whether his name would be a step higher or lower in the year’s list of sherry exporters; for the never spent more than half his income, and therefore found himself little incommoded by occasional variations in the total of it. I had never done any wrong that I knew of—beyond occasionally delaying the commitment to heart of some

¹ [See, again, Vol. XXVIII. p. 102, for a passage (not repeated in Præterita) in further illustration.]
² [In his copy of Fors, Ruskin here made the following marginal note:—“Mr. S. C. Hall! when I saw the ferns shake with Home at Séance.” For the séances in question, see Vol. XVIII. p. xxxi.]
³ [Compare the account of the quietude in Scott’s house, in Fors, Letter 33 (Vol. XXVII. p. 612).]
improving sentence, that I might watch a wasp on the window pane,\textsuperscript{1} or a bird in the cherry tree; and I had never seen any grief.

49. Next to this quite priceless gift of Peace, I had received the perfect understanding of the natures of Obedience and Faith. I obeyed word, or lifted finger, of father or mother, simply as a ship her helm; not only without idea of resistance, but receiving the direction as a part of my own life and force, and helpful law, as necessary to me in every moral action as the law of gravity in leaping. And my practice in Faith was soon complete: nothing was ever promised me that was not given; nothing ever threatened me that was not inflicted, and nothing ever told me that was not true.

Peace, obedience, faith; these three for chief good; next to these, the habit of fixed attention with both eyes and mind—on which I will not further enlarge at this moment, this being the main practical faculty of my life, causing Mazzini to say of me, in conversation authentically reported, a year or two before his death, that I had “the most analytic mind in Europe.” An opinion in which, so far as I am acquainted with Europe, I am myself entirely disposed to concur.\textsuperscript{2}

Lastly, an extreme perfection in palate and all other bodily senses, given by the utter prohibition of cake, wine, comfits, or, except in carefulllest restriction, fruit; and by fine preparation of what food was given me. Such I esteem the main blessings of my childhood;—next, let me count the equally dominant calamities.

50. First, that I had nothing to love.

My parents were—in a sort—visible powers of nature to me, no more loved than the sun and the moon: only I should have been annoyed and puzzled if either of them

\textsuperscript{1} [Here in his copy of Fors, Ruskin wrote:—

“I used to watch flies drowning in the ink-bottle with complacence, but saved them if they fell into the milk!”]

\textsuperscript{2} [For Ruskin’s MS. note on this passage, see Fors, Letter 54, § 14 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 350 n.).]
had gone out; (how much, now, when both are darkened!) —still less did I love God; not that I had any quarrel with Him, or fear of Him; but simply found what people told me was His service, disagreeable; and what people told me was His book, not entertaining. I had no companions to quarrel with, neither; nobody to assist, and nobody to thank. Not a servant was ever allowed to do anything for me, but what it was their duty to do; and why should I have been grateful to the cook for cooking, or the gardener for gardening,—when the one dared not give me a baked potato without asking leave, and the other would not let my ants’ nests alone, because they made the walks untidy? The evil consequence of all this was not, however, what might perhaps have been expected, that I grew up selfish or unaffectionate; but that, when affection did come, it came with violence utterly rampant and unmanageable, at least by me, who never before had anything to manage.  

51. For (second of chief calamities) I had nothing to endure. Danger or pain of any kind I knew not: my strength was never exercised, my patience never tried, and my courage never fortified. Not that I was ever afraid of anything,—either ghosts, thunder, or beasts; and one of the nearest approaches to insubordination which I was ever tempted into as a child, was in passionate effort to get leave to play with the lion’s cubs in Wombwell’s menagerie.

52. Thirdly. I was taught no precision nor etiquette of manners; it was enough if, in the little society we saw, I remained unobtrusive, and replied to a question without shyness: but the shyness came later, and increased as I grew conscious of the rudeness arising from the want of social discipline, and found it impossible to acquire, in advanced life, dexterity in any bodily exercise, skill in

1 [Here in Fors, Ruskin wrote in his copy:—
“Not even Shagram, my Shetland pony, without a leading string.” For Shagram, see Ruskin’s early verses, Vol. II. p. 276.]
any pleasing accomplishment, or ease and tact in ordinary behaviour.

53. Lastly, and chief of evils. My judgment of right and wrong, and powers of independent action,* were left entirely undeveloped; because the bridle and blinkers were never taken off me. Children should have their times of being off duty, like soldiers; and when once the obedience, if required, is certain, the little creature should be very early put for periods of practice in complete command of itself; set on the barebacked horse of its own will, and left to break it by its own strength.¹ But the ceaseless authority exercised over my youth left me, when cast out at last into the world, unable for some time to do more than drift with its vortices.²

54. My present verdict, therefore, on the general tenor of my education at that time, must be, that it was at once too formal and too luxurious; leaving my character, at the most important moment for its construction, cramped indeed, but not disciplined; and only by protection innocent, instead of by practice virtuous. My mother saw this herself, and but too clearly, in later years; and whenever I did anything wrong, stupid, or hard-hearted,—(and I have done many things that were all three,)—always said, “It is because you were too much indulged.”

55. Thus far, with some omissions, I have merely reprinted the account of these times given in Fors: and I fear the sequel may be more trivial, because much is concentrated in the foregoing broad statement, which I have now to continue by slower steps;—and yet less amusing,

* Action, observe, I say here: in thought I was too independent, as I said above.

¹ [Here in Fors, Ruskin wrote in his copy:—
"Independence praised for once!"
—the reference being to his constant deprecation of liberty; see Vol. XX. p. 173, Vol. XXVII. p. 96, and General Index.]

² [For a further passage in Fors (not embodied in Præterita), see Vol. XXVIII. p. 352.]
because I tried always in Fors to say things, if I could, a little piquantly; and the rest of the things related in this book will be told as plainly as I can. But whether I succeeded in writing piquantly in Fors or not, I certainly wrote often obscurely; and the description above given of Herne Hill seems to me to need at once some reduction to plainer terms.

56. The actual height of the long ridge of Herne Hill, above Thames,—at least above the nearly Thames-level of its base at Camberwell Green, is, I conceive, not more than one hundred and fifty feet: but it gives the whole of this fall on both sides of it in about a quarter of a mile; forming, east and west, a succession of quite beautiful pleasure-ground and gardens, instantly dry after rain, and in which, for children, running down is pleasant play, and rolling a roller up, vigorous work. The view from the ridge on both sides was, before railroads came, entirely lovely: westward at evening, almost sublime, over softly wreathing distances of domestic wood;—Thames herself not visible, nor any fields except immediately beneath; but the tops of twenty square miles of politely inhabited groves. On the other side, east and south, the Norwood hills, partly rough with furze, partly wooded with birch and oak, partly in pure green bramble copse, and rather steep pasture, rose with the promise of all the rustic loveliness of Surrey and Kent in them, and with so much of space and height in their sweep, as gave them some fellowship with hills of true hill-districts. Fellowship now inconceivable, for the Crystal Palace, without ever itself attaining any true aspect of size, and possessing no more sublimity than a cucumber frame between two chimneys, yet by its stupidity of hollow bulk dwarfs the hills at once; so that now one thinks of them no more but as three long lumps of clay, on lease for building. But then, the Nor-wood, or North wood, so called as it was seen from Croydon, in opposition to the South wood of the Surrey downs, drew itself in sweeping crescent good five miles round Dulwich to the south, broken
by lanes of ascent, Gipsy Hill, and others; and, from the top, commanding views towards Dartford, and over the plain of Croydon,—in contemplation of which I one day frightened my mother out of her wits by saying “the eyes were coming out of my head!” She thought it was an attack of coup-de-soleil.

57. Central in such amphitheatre, the crowning glory of Herne Hill was accordingly, that, after walking along its ridge southward from London through a mile of chestnut, lilac, and apple trees, hanging over the wooden palings on each side—suddenly the trees stopped on the left, and out one came on the top of a field sloping down to the south into Dulwich valley—open field animate with cow and buttercup, and below, the beautiful meadows and high avenues of Dulwich; and beyond, all that crescent of the Norwood hills; a footpath, entered by a turnstile, going down to the left, always so warm that invalids could be sheltered there in March, when to walk elsewhere would have been death to them; and so quiet, that whenever I had anything difficult to compose or think of, I used to do it rather there than in our own garden. The great field was separated from the path and road only by light wooden open palings, four feet high, needful to keep the cows in. Since I last composed, or meditated there, various improvements have taken place; first the neighbourhood wanted a new church, and built a meagre Gothic one with a useless spire, for the fashion of the thing, at the side of the field; then they built a parsonage behind it, the two stopping out half the view in that direction. Then the Crystal Palace came, for ever spoiling the view through all its compass, and bringing every show-day, from London, a flood of pedestrians down the footpath, who left it filthy with cigar ashes for the rest of the week: then the railroads came, and expatiating roughs by every excursion train, who knocked the palings about, roared at the cows, and tore down what branches of blossom they could reach over the palings on the enclosed side. Then the residents
on the enclosed side built a brick wall to defend themselves. Then the path got to be insufferably hot as well as dirty, and was gradually abandoned to the roughs, with a policeman on watch at the bottom. Finally, this year, a six foot high close paling has been put down the other side of it, and the processional excursionist has the liberty of obtaining what notion of the country air and prospect he may, between the wall and that, with one bad cigar before him, another behind him, and another in his mouth.¹

58. I do not mean this book to be in any avoidable way disagreeable or querulous; but expressive generally of my native disposition—which, though I say it, is extremely amiable, when I'm not bothered: I will grumble elsewhere when I must, and only notice this injury alike to the resident and excursionist at Herne Hill, because questions of right-of-way are now of constant occurrence; and in most cases, the mere path is the smallest part of the old Right, truly understood. The Right is of the cheerful view and sweet air which the path commanded.

Also, I may note in passing, that for all their talk about Magna Charta, very few Englishmen are aware that one of the main provisions of it is that Law should not be sold;* and it seems to me that the law of England might preserve Banstead and other downs free to the poor of England, without charging me, as it has just done, a hundred pounds for its temporary performance of that otherwise unremunerative duty.²

59. I shall have to return over the ground of these

*“To no one will We sell, to no one will. We deny or defer, Right, or Justice.”

¹ [Compare the description of the neighbourhood, past and present, at the beginning of Fiction, Fair and Foul: Vol. XXXIV, pp. 265–267.]
² [In December 1876 the lord of the manor of Banstead (Sir John Hartopp, Bart.) was proposing to build on, and enclose parts of, Banstead Downs and Heath. The freeholders and copyholders of the manor formed a Preservation Committee, a member of which, Mr. W. Hale White (see below, p. 582), wrote to Ruskin, who guaranteed £100 towards the expenses of the committee. An action (Robertson v. Hartopp) was commenced, and after long, costly, and complicated litigation, the committee gained its object. See an article “A Victory on the Downs” in the Pall Mall Gazette of August 16, 1886.]
early years, to fill gaps, after getting on a little first; but will yet venture here the tediousness of explaining that my saying “in Herne Hill garden all fruit was forbidden,” only meant, of course, forbidden unless under defined restriction; which made the various gatherings of each kind in its season a sort of harvest festival; and which had this further good in its apparent severity, that, although in the at last indulgent areas, the peach which my mother gathered for me when she was sure it was ripe, and the cherry pie for which I had chosen the cherries red all round, were, I suppose, of more ethereal flavour to me than they could have been to children allowed to pluck and eat at their will; still the unalloyed and long continuing pleasure given me by our fruit-tree avenue was in its blossom, not in its bearing. For the general epicurean enjoyment of existence, potatoes well browned, green pease well boiled,—broad beans of the true bitter,—and the pots of damson and currant for whose annual filling we were dependent more on the greengrocer than the garden, were a hundredfold more important to me than the dozen or two of nectarines of which perhaps I might get the halves of three,—(the other sides mouldy)—or the bushel or two of pears which went directly to the store-shelf. So that, very early indeed in my thoughts of trees, I had got at the principle given fifty years afterwards in Proserpina, that the seeds and fruits of them were for the sake of the flowers, not the flowers for the fruit. The first joy of the year being in its snowdrops, the second, and cardinal one, was in the almond blossom,—every other garden and woodland gladness following from that in an unbroken order of kindling flower and shadowy leaf; and for many and many a year to come,—until indeed, the whole of life became autumn to me,—my chief prayer for the kindness of heaven, in its flowerful seasons, was that the frost might not touch the almond blossom.

1 [See above, § 39 (p. 36).]
2 [See Proserpina, i. ch. iv. § 2 (Vol. XXV. p. 249); and, earlier, Queen of the Air, § 60 (Vol. XIX. pp. 357–8).]
CHAPTER III

THE BANKS OF TAY

60. The reader has, I hope, observed that in all I have hitherto said, emphasis has been laid only on the favourable conditions which surrounded the child whose history I am writing, and on the docile and impressionable quietness of its temper.

No claim has been made for it to any special power or capacity; for, indeed, none such existed, except that patience in looking, and precision in feeling, which afterwards, with due industry, formed my analytic power.

In all essential qualities of genius, except these, I was deficient; my memory only of average power. I have literally never known a child so incapable of acting a part, or telling a tale. On the other hand, I have never known one whose thirst for visible fact was at once so eager and so methodic.

61. I find also that in the foregoing accounts, modest as I meant them to be, higher literature is too boastfully spoken of as my first and exclusive study. My little Pope’s *Iliad*, and, in any understanding of them, my Genesis and Exodus, were certainly of little account with me till after I was ten. My calf milk of books was, on the lighter side, composed of *Dame Wiggins of Lee,*1 the *Peacock at Home*, and the like nursery rhymes; and on the graver side, of Miss Edgeworth’s *Frank*, and *Harry*.

1 [Edited by Ruskin in the year (1885) in which this chapter appeared: see Vol. II. pp. 518–526. *The Peacock “at Home,”* by “A Lady” (Mrs. Dorset), a little book of rhymes with coloured pictures, was issued about 1810, and was already in its 26th edition in 1812.]
and Lucy, combined with Joyce’s Scientific Dialogues.¹ The earliest dated efforts I can find, indicating incipient motion of brain-molecules, are six “poems” on subjects selected from those works; between the fourth and fifth of which my mother has written: “January, 1826. This book begun about September or October, 1826, finished about January, 1827.” The whole of it, therefore, was written and printed in imitation of book-print, in my seventh year. The book is a little red one, ruled with blue, six inches high by four wide, containing forty-five leaves pencilled in imitation of print on both sides,—the title-page, written in the form here approximately imitated, on the inside of the cover.²

62. Of the promised four volumes, it appears that (according to my practice to this day) I accomplished but one and a quarter, the first volume consisting only of forty leaves, the rest of the book being occupied by the aforesaid six “poems,” and the forty leaves losing ten of their pages in the “copper plates,” of which the one, purporting to represent “Harry’s new road,” is, I believe, my first effort at mountain drawing. The passage closing the first volume of this work is, I think, for several reasons, worth preservation. I print it, therefore, with its own divisions of line, and three variations of size in imitated type. Punctuation must be left to the reader’s kind conjecture. The hyphens, it is to be noticed, were put long or short, to make the print even, not that it ever succeeds in being so, but the variously spaced lines here imitate it pretty well.

Harry knew very well—what it was and went on with his drawing but

¹ [For Ruskin’s numerous references to Frank and Harry and Lucy, see the General Index; Jeremiah Joyce’s Scientific Dialogues were in seven vols., 1809.]
² [For an account of the MS. book containing “Harry and Lucy” and six “poems,” see Vol. II. p. 529; extracts from the poems are given in the same volume, pp. 254 seq.]
HARRY AND LUCY
CONCLUDED
BEING THE LAST
PART OF

EARLY LESSONS

in four volumes
vol I
with copper

plates

PRINTED and composed by a little boy
and also drawn
Lucy soon called him away and bid him observe a great black cloud from the north which seemed rather electrical. Harry ran for an electrical apparatus which his father had given him and the cloud electrified his apparatus positively after that another cloud came which electrified his apparatus negatively and then a long train of smaller ones but before this cloud came a great cloud of dust rose from the ground and followed the positive cloud and at length seemed to come in contact with it and when the other cloud came a flash of lightning was seen to dart through the cloud of dust upon which the negative
cloud spread very much and dissolved in rain which presently cleared the sky. After this phenomenon was over and also the surprise, Harry began to wonder how electricity could get where there was so much water but he soon-observed a rainbow and arising mist under it which his fancy soon transformed into a female form. He then remembered the witch of the waters at the Alps who was raised from them by taking some water in the-hand and throwing it into the air pronouncing some unintelligable words. And though it was a tale it-affected Harry now when he saw in

the clouds some-end of

Harry thing and
Lucy like it.

63. The several reasons aforesaid, which induce me to reprint this piece of, too literally, “composition,” are—the first, that it is a tolerable specimen of my seven years old spelling;—tolerable only, not *fair*, since it was extremely unusual with me to make a mistake at all, whereas here there are two (takeing and unintelligable), which I can only account for by supposing I was in too great a hurry
to finish my volume;—the second, that the adaptation of materials for my story out of Joyce’s *Scientific Dialogues* and *Manfred*, is an extremely perfect type of the inter-woven temper of my mind, at the beginning of days just as much as at their end—which has always made foolish scientific readers doubt my books because there was love of beauty in them, and foolish æsthetic readers doubt my books because there was love of science in them;—the third, that the extremely reasonable method of final judgment, upon which I found my claim to the sensible reader’s respect for these dipartite writings, cannot be better illustrated than by this proof, that, even at seven years old, no tale, however seductive, could “affect” Harry, until he had seen—in the clouds, or elsewhere “something like it.”

Of the six poems which follow, the first is on the Steam-engine, beginning,

“When furious up from mines the water pours,
And clears from rusty moisture all the ores;”

and the last on the Rainbow, “in blank verse,” as being

* The original passage is as follows, vol. vi., edition of 1821, p. 138:—

“Dr. Franklin mentions a remarkable appearance which occurred to Mr. Wilke, a considerable electrician. On the 20th of July, 1758, at three o’clock in the afternoon, he observed a great quantity of dust rising from the ground, and covering a field, and part of the town in which he then was. There was no wind, and the dust moved gently towards the east, where there appeared a great black cloud, which electrified his apparatus positively to a very high degree. This cloud went towards the west, the dust followed it, and continued to rise higher and higher, till it composed a thick pillar, in the form of a sugar-loaf, and at length it seemed to be in contact with the cloud. At some distance from this, there came another great cloud, with a long stream of smaller ones, which electrified his apparatus negatively; and when they came near the positive cloud, a flash of lightning was seen to dart through the cloud of dust, upon which the negative clouds spread very much, and dissolved in rain, which presently cleared the atmosphere.”

1 [For the rest of this “poem,” perhaps the author’s first piece, see Vol. II pp. 254–255 n.]
of a didactic character, with observations on the ignorant and unreflective dispositions of certain people.

“But those that do not know about that light,
Reflect not on it; and in all that light,
Not one of all the colours do they know.”

64. It was only, I think, after my seventh year had been fulfilled in these meditations, that my mother added the Latin lesson to the Bible-reading, and accurately established the daily routine which was sketched in the foregoing chapter. But it extremely surprises me, in trying, at least for my own amusement, if not the reader’s, to finish the sketch into its corners, that I can’t recollect now what used to happen first in the morning, except breakfasting in the nursery, and if my Croydon cousin Bridget happened to be staying with us, quarrelling with her which should have the brownest bits of toast. That must have been later on, though, for I could not have been promoted to toast at the time I am thinking of. Nothing is well clear to me of the day’s course, till, after my father had gone to the City by the coach, and my mother’s household orders been quickly given, lessons began at half-past nine, with the Bible readings above described, and the two or three verses to be learned by heart, with a verse of paraphrase;—then a Latin declension or a bit of verb, and eight words of vocabulary from Adam’s Latin Grammar, (the best that ever was,) and the rest of the day was my own. Arithmetic was wholesomely remitted till much later; geography I taught myself fast enough in my own way; history was never thought of, beyond what I chose to read of Scott’s *Tales of a Grandfather*. Thus, as aforesaid, by noon I was in the garden on fine days, or left to my own amusements on wet ones; of which I have farther at once to note that nearly as soon as I could crawl, my toy-bricks

1 [See above, p. 42.]
2 [For other allusions to the book, see below, pp. 83, 460.]
3 [Compare what Ruskin says in *Fors Clavigera*, Letters 94 and 95 (Vol. XXIX. pp. 479, 503).]
of lignum vitæ had been constant companions: and I am graceless in forgetting by what extravagant friend (I greatly suspect my Croydon aunt), I was afterwards gifted with a two-arched bridge, admirable in fittings of voussoir and keystone, and adjustment of the level courses of masonry with bevelled edges, into which they dovetailed, in the style of Waterloo Bridge. Well-made centreings, and a course of inlaid steps down to the water, made this model largely, as accurately, instructive: and I was never weary of building, unbuilding,—(it was too strong to be thrown down, but had always to be taken down)—and rebuilding it. This inconceivable passive—or rather impassive—contentment in doing, or reading, the same thing over and over again, I perceive to have been a great condition in my future power of getting thoroughly to the bottom of matters.

65. Some people would say that in getting these toys lay the chance that guided me to an early love of architecture; but I never saw or heard of another child so fond of its toy bricks, except Miss Edgeworth’s “Frank.”¹ To be sure, in this present age,—age of universal brickfield though it be,—people don’t give their children toy bricks, but toy puff-puffs; and the little things are always taking tickets and arriving at stations, without ever fathoming—none of them will take pains enough to do that,—the principle of a puff-puff! And what good could they get of it if they did,—unless they could learn also, that no principle of Puff-puff would ever supersede the principle of Breath?

But I not only mastered, with Harry and Lucy, the entire motive principle of puff-puff; but also, by help of my well-cut bricks, very utterly the laws of practical stability in towers and arches, by the time I was seven or eight years old: and these studies of structure were farther animated by my invariable habit of watching, with the closest attention, the proceedings of any bricklayers, stone-sawyers, or paviours,—whose work my nurse would allow me

¹[See p. 142 of Frank, Collected into one volume from the “Early Lessons,” 1856.]
to stop to contemplate in our walks; or, delight of delights, might be seen at ease from some fortunate window of inn or lodging on our journeys. In those cases the day was not long enough for my rapturous and riveted observation.

66. Constantly, as aforesaid, in the garden when the weather was fine, my time there was passed chiefly in the same kind of close watching of the ways of plants. I had not the smallest taste for growing them, or taking care of them, any more than for taking care of the birds, or the trees, or the sky, or the sea. My whole time passed in staring at them, or into them. In no morbid curiosity, but in admiring wonder, I pulled every flower to pieces till I knew all that could be seen of it with a child’s eyes; and used to lay up little treasures of seeds, by way of pearls and beads,—never with any thought of sowing them. The old gardener only came once a week, for what sweeping and weeding needed doing; I was fain to learn to sweep the walks with him, but was discouraged and shamed by his always doing the bits I had done over again. I was extremely fond of digging holes, but that form of gardening was not allowed.¹ Necessarily, I fell always back into my merely contemplative mind, and at nine years old began a poem, called Eudosia,—I forget wholly where I got hold of this name, or what I understood by it,—“On the Universe,”² though I could understand not a little by it, now. A couplet or two, as the real beginning at once of Deucalion and Proserpina, may be perhaps allowed, together with the preceding, a place in this grave memoir; the rather that I am again enabled to give accurate date—September 28th, 1828—for the beginning of its “First book,” as follows:—

“When first the wrath of heaven o’erwhelmed the world,  
And o’er the rocks, and hills, and mountains, hurl’d  
The waters’ gathering mass; and sea o’er shore,—  
Then mountains fell, and vales, unknown before,

¹ [Compare below, ii. § 197 (p. 426).]  
² [For “Eudosia, or A Poem on the Universe,” see Vol. II. pp. 269–271, where the meaning of the title is explained.]
Lay where they were. Far different was the Earth
When first the flood came down, than at its second birth.
Now for its produce!—Queen of flowers, O rose,
From whose fair coloured leaves such odour flows,
Thou must now be before thy subjects named,
Both for thy beauty and thy sweetness famed.
Thou art the flower of England, and the flow'r
Of Beauty too—of Venus' odorous bower.
And thou wilt often shed sweet odours round,
And often stooping, hide thy head on ground.*
And then the lily, towering up so proud,
And raising its gay head among the various crowd,
There the black spots upon a scarlet ground,
And there the taper-pointed leaves are found.”

67. In 220 lines, of such quality, the first book ascends from
the rose to the oak. The second begins—to my surprise, and in
extremely exceptional violation of my above-boasted
custom—with an ecstatic apostrophe to what I had never seen!

“I sing the Pine, which clothes high Switzer’s † head,
And high enthroned, grows on a rocky bed,
On gulfs so deep, on cliffs that are so high,
He that would dare to climb them dares to die.”

This enthusiasm, however, only lasts—mostly exhausting
itself in a description, verified out of Harry and Lucy, of the
slide of Alpnach,—through 76 lines, when the verses cease, and
the book being turned upside down, begins at the other end with
the information that “Rock-crystal is accompanied by
Actynolite, Axinite, and Epidote, at Bourg d’Oisans in
Dauphiny.” But the garden-meditations never ceased, and it is
impossible to say how much strength was gained, or how much
time uselessly given, except in pleasure, to these quiet hours and
foolish rhymes. Their happiness made all the duties of outer life
irksome, and their unprogressive reveries might, the reader may
think, if my mother had wished, have been changed into a

* An awkward way—chiefly for the rhyme’s sake—of saying that roses are
often too heavy for their stalks.
† Switzer, clearly short for Switzerland.
beginning of sound botanical knowledge. But, while there were books on geology and mineralogy which I could understand, all on botany were then,—and they are little mended now,—harder than the Latin grammar. The mineralogy was enough for me seriously to work at, and I am inclined finally to aver that the garden-time could not have been more rightly passed, unless in weeding.

68. At six punctually I joined my father and mother at tea, being, in the drawing-room, restricted to the inhabitation of the sacred niche above referred to, a recess beside the fireplace, well lighted from the lateral window in the summer evenings, and by the chimney-piece lamp in winter, and out of all inconvenient heat, or hurtful draught. A good writing-table before it shut me well in, and carried my plate and cup, or books in service. After tea, my father read to my mother what pleased themselves, I picking up what I could, or reading what I liked better instead. Thus I heard all the Shakespeare comedies and historical plays again and again,—all Scott, and all Don Quixote, a favourite book of my father’s, and at which I could then laugh to ecstasy; now, it is one of the saddest, and, in some things, the most offensive of books to me.

My father was an absolutely beautiful reader of the best poetry and prose;—of Shakespeare, Pope, Spenser, Byron, and Scott; as of Goldsmith, Addison, and Johnson. Lighter ballad poetry he had not fineness of ear to do justice to: his sense of the strength and wisdom of true meaning, and of the force of rightly ordered syllables, made his delivery of Hamlet, Lear, Caesar, or Marmion, melodiously grand and just; but he had no idea of modulating the refrain of a ballad, and had little patience with the tenor of its sentiment. He looked always, in the matter of what he read, for heroic will and consummate reason; never tolerated the morbid love of misery for its own sake, and never read, either for his own pleasure or my instruction, such ballads

1 [See above, § 44 (p. 39).]  
2 [Compare Lectures on Architecture and Painting, § 32 (Vol. XII. p. 56).]
as Burd Helen, the Twa Corbies,\(^1\) or any other rhyme or story which sought its interest in vain love or fruitless death.\(^2\)

But true, pure, and ennobling sadness began very early to mingle its undertone with the constant happiness of those days;—a ballad music, beautiful in sincerity, and hallowing them like cathedral chant. Concerning which,—I must go back now to the days I have only heard of with the hearing of the ear, and yet of which some are to me as if mine eyes had seen\(^3\) them.

69.\(^4\) It must have been a little after 1780\(^5\) that my paternal grandmother, Catherine Tweddale, ran away with my paternal grandfather when she was not quite sixteen; and my aunt Jessie, my father’s only sister, was born a year after this; a few weeks after which event, my grandmother, not yet seventeen, was surprised (by a friend who came into her room unannounced) dancing a threesome reel, with two chairs for her partners; she having found at the moment no other way of adequately expressing the pleasure she took in this mortal life, and its gifts and promises.

The latter failed somewhat afterwards; and my aunt Jessie, a very precious and perfect creature, beautiful in her dark-eyed, Highland way,—utterly religious, in her quiet Puritan way,—and very submissive to Fates mostly unkind, was married to a somewhat rough tanner, with a fairly good business in the good town of Perth: and, when I was old enough to be taken first to visit them, my aunt and my uncle the tanner lived in a square-built grey stone house in the suburb of Perth known as “Bridge-End,” the house some fifty yards north of the bridge; its garden sloping steeply to the Tay, which eddied, three or four feet

\(^{2}\) [In which respect, Ruskin shared his father’s distaste: see Fiction, Fair and Foul, passim and e.g. §§ 8, 9, 14 n. (Vol. XXXIV. pp. 271, 272, 278).]
\(^{3}\) [Psalms xviii. 44 (marginal version); 2 Chronicles ix. 6.]
\(^{4}\) [§§ 69–73 are put together, with revisions, from Fors Clavigera, Letters 63, §§ 11, 13, 14, and 65, §§ 17–19: see the Bibliographical Note, p. xcii.]
\(^{5}\) [The actual date is 1781.]
MY TWO AUNTS.
III. THE BANKS OF TAY

deep of sombre crystal, round the steps where the servants dipped their pails. ¹

70. A mistaken correspondent in Fors once complained of my coarse habit of sneering at people of no ancestry. ² I have no such habit; though not always entirely at ease in writing of my uncles the baker and the tanner. And my readers may trust me when I tell them that, in now remembering my dreams in the house of the entirely honest chief baker of Market Street, Croydon, and of Peter—not Simon—the tanner,³ whose house was by the riverside of Perth, I would not change the dreams, far less the tender realities, of those early days, for anything I hear now remembered by lords or dames, of their days of childhood in castle halls, and by sweet lawns and lakes in park-walled forest.

Lawn and lake enough indeed I had, in the North Inch of Perth, and pools of pausing Tay, before Rose Terrace, (where I used to live after my uncle died, briefly apoplectic, at Bridge-End,) in the peace of the fair Scotch summer days, with my widowed aunt, and my little cousin Jessie, then traversing a bright space between her sixth and ninth year; dark-eyed deeply,* like her mother, and similarly pious; so that she and I used to compete in the Sunday evening Scriptural examinations; and be as proud as two little peacocks because Jessie’s elder brothers, and sister Mary, used to get “put down,” and either Jessie or I was always “Dux.” We agreed upon this that we would be married when we were a little older; not considering it to be preparatorily necessary to be in any degree wiser.

71. Strangely, the kitchen servant-of-all-work⁴ in the house at Rose Terrace was a very old “Mause,”—before,

* As opposed to the darkness of mere iris, making the eyes like black cherries.

¹ [Compare above, § 4, p. 15.]
² [See Letters 57 and 63 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 457, 547.)
³ [Acts ix. 43.]
⁴ [For other references to this old servant, see below, pp. 70, 123, 465, 595.]
my grandfather’s servant in Edinburgh,—who might well have been the prototype of the Mause of *Old Mortality,* but had even a more solemn, fearless, and patient faith, fastened in her by extreme suffering; for she had been nearly starved to death when she was a girl, and had literally picked the bones out of cast-out dust-heaps to gnaw; and ever afterwards, to see the waste of an atom of food was as shocking to her as blasphemy. “Oh, Miss Margaret!” she said once to my mother, who had shaken some crumbs off a dirty plate out of the window, “I had rather you had knocked me down.” She would make her dinner upon anything in the house that the other servants wouldn’t eat;—often upon potato skins, giving her own dinner away to any poor person she saw; and would always stand during the whole church service, (though at least seventy years old when I knew her, and very feeble,) if she could persuade any wild Amorite\(^1\) out of the streets to take her seat. Her wrinkled and worn face, moveless in resolution and patience, incapable of smile, and knit sometimes perhaps too severely against Jessie and me, if we wanted more creamy milk to our porridge, or jumped off our favourite

* Vulgar modern Puritanism has shown its degeneracy in nothing more than in its incapability of understanding Scott’s exquisitely finished portraits of the Covenanter. In *Old Mortality* alone, there are four which cannot be surpassed; the typical one, Elizabeth, faultlessly sublime and pure; the second, Ephraim Macbriar, giving the too common phase of the character, which is touched with ascetic insanity; the third, Mause, coloured and made sometimes ludicrous by Scottish conceit, but utterly strong and pure at heart; the last, Balfour, a study of supreme interest, showing the effect of the Puritan faith, sincerely held, on a naturally and incurably cruel and base spirit. Add to these four studies, from this single novel, those in the *Heart of Midlothian,* and Nicol Jarvie and Andrew Fairservice from *Rob Roy,* and you have a series of theological analyses far beyond those of any other philosophical work that I know, of any period.\(^2\)

\(^1\)[“Amorite,” because in Letter 65 of *Fors,* where this passage first appeared, Ruskin was discussing the Amorites, and likening them to the “Highlander”: see Vol. XXVIII. p. 596.]

\(^2\)[For other references to Elizabeth MacClure, see *Fiction, Fair and Foul,* § 113 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 383); to Ephraim, *ibid.,* p. 382; to Mause Headrigg, *ibid.;* to Balfour of Burley, *ibid.;* to Nicol Jarvie, Vol. XXV. p. 296; and to Andrew Fairservice, Vol. XXXIV. pp. 383 seq.]
box on Sunday,—(“Never mind, John,” said Jessie to me, once seeing me in an unchristian state of provocation on this subject, “when we’re married, we’ll jump off boxes all day long, if we like!”)—may have been partly instrumental in giving me that slight bias against Evangelical religion, which I confess to be sometimes traceable in my later works;\(^1\) but I never can be thankful enough for having seen, in our own “Old Mause,” the Scottish Puritan spirit in its perfect faith and force; and been enabled therefore afterwards to trace its agency in the reforming policy of Scotland, with the reverence and honour it deserves.\(^2\)

72. My aunt, a pure dove-priestess, if ever there was one, of Highland Dodona, was of a far gentler temper; but still, to me, remained at a wistful distance. She had been much saddened by the loss of three of her children before her husband’s death. Little Peter, especially, had been the corner-stone of her love’s building; and it was thrown down swiftly:—white swelling came in the knee; he suffered much, and grew weaker gradually, dutiful always, and loving, and wholly patient. She wanted him one day to take half a glass of port wine, and took him on her knee, and put it to his lips. “Not now, mamma; in a minute,” said he; and put his head on her shoulder, and gave one long, low sigh, and died. Then there was Catherine; and—I forget the other little daughter’s name, I did not see them; my mother told me of them; —eagerly always about Catherine, who had been her own favourite. My aunt had been talking earnestly one day with her husband about these two children; planning this and that for their schooling and what not: at night, for a little while she could not sleep; and as she lay thinking, she saw the door of the room open, and two spades come into it, and stand at the foot of her bed. Both the children were dead within brief time afterwards. I

\(^1\) [See, for instance, such passages as Vol. XXII. p. 433; Vol. XXIV. p. 345; Vol. XXXIII. pp. 112, 116; and Vol. XXVII. p. 546.]

\(^2\) [See, for instance, Vol. XXIX. pp. 267–268.]
to write “within a fortnight”—but I cannot be sure of remembering my mother’s words accurately.

73. But when I was in Perth, there were still—Mary, her eldest daughter, who looked after us children when Mause was too busy; James and John, William and Andrew; (I can’t think whom the unapostolic William was named after). But the boys were then all at school or college,—the scholars, William and Andrew, only came home to tease Jessie and me, and eat the biggest jargonel pears; the collegians were wholly abstract; and the two girls and I played in our quiet ways on the North Inch, and by the “Lead,” a stream “led” from the Tay past Rose Terrace into the town for molinary purposes; and long ago, I suppose, bricked over or choked with rubbish;¹ but then lovely, and a perpetual treasure of flowing diamond to us children. Mary, by the way, was ascending towards twelve—fair, blue-eyed, and moderately pretty; and as pious as Jessie, without being quite so zealous.

74. My father rarely stayed with us in Perth, but went on business travel through Scotland, and even my mother became a curiously unimportant figure at Rose Terrace. I can’t understand how she so rarely walked with us children; she and my aunt seemed always to have their own secluded ways. Mary, Jessie, and I were allowed to do what we liked on the Inch;² and I don’t remember doing any lessons in these Perth times, except the above-described competitive divinity on Sunday.

Had there been anybody then to teach me anything about plants or pebbles, it had been good for me; as it

¹ [Not so, as late as 1876: see “Notes and Correspondence” in Fors Clavigera, Letter 66 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 637).]
² [For further reminiscences by Ruskin of these days, see Fors, Letter 52 (Vol. XXVII. p. 302), and Deucalion, i. ch. vii. § 21 (Vol. XXVI. p. 176). In one MS. Ruskin starts § 74 thus:—

“In Fors, I left off at the time of our summer journeys to Perth. One of the most important results of them was my familiarity with the look of the Northumbrian and Scottish east coast from Holy Island to the Bass —knowledge of use to me now in final work on history. My father . . .”

The “final work on history” was the intended continuation of Our Fathers have Told Us.]
was, I passed my days much as the thistles and tansy did, only with perpetual watching of all the ways of running water,—a singular awe developing itself in me, both of the pools of Tay, where the water changed from brown to blue-black, and of the precipices of Kinnoull; partly out of my own mind, and partly because the servants always became serious when we went up Kinnoull way, especially if I wanted to stay and look at the little crystal spring of Bower’s Well.

75. “But you say you were not afraid of anything?” writes a friend, anxious for the unassailable veracity of these memoirs. Well, I said, not of ghosts, thunder, or beasts,—meaning to specify the commonest terrors of mere childhood. Every day, as I grew wiser, taught me a reasonable fear; else I had not above described myself as the most reasonable person of my acquaintance. And by the swirls of smooth blackness, broken by no fleck of foam, where Tay gathered herself like Medusa,* I never passed without awe, even in those thoughtless days; neither do I in the least mean that I could walk among tombstones in the night (neither, for that matter, in the day), as if they were only paving stones set upright. Far the contrary; but it is important to the reader’s confidence in writings which have seemed inordinately impressional and emotional, that he should know I was never subject to—I should perhaps rather say, sorrowfully, never capable of—any manner of illusion or false imagination, nor in the least liable to have my nerves shaken by surprise. When I was about five years old, having been on amicable terms for a while with a black Newfoundland, then on probation for watch dog at Herne Hill, after one of our long summer journeys my first thought on getting home was to go to see Lion. My mother trusted me to go to the stable with

* I always think of Tay as a goddess river, as Greta a nymph one.

1 [See above, § 51 (p. 45).]
2 [See above, § 63 (p. 56), and compare § 49 (p. 44).]
our one serving-man, Thomas, giving him strict orders that I was not to be allowed within stretch of the dog’s chain. Thomas, for better security, carried me in his arms. Lion was at his dinner, and took no notice of either of us; on which I besought leave to pat him. Foolish Thomas stooped towards him that I might, when the dog instantly flew at me, and bit a piece clean out of the corner of my lip on the left side. I was brought up the back stairs, bleeding fast, but not a whit frightened, except lest Lion should be sent away. Lion indeed had to go; but not Thomas: my mother was sure he was sorry, and I think blamed herself the most. The bitten side of the (then really pretty) mouth, was spoiled for evermore, but the wound, drawn close, healed quickly; the last use I made of my moveable lips before Dr. Aveline drew them into ordered silence for a while, was to observe, “Mama, though I can’t speak, I can play upon the fiddle.” But the house was of another opinion, and I never attained any proficiency upon that instrument worthy of my genius. Not the slightest diminution of my love of dogs, nor the slightest nervousness in managing them, was induced by the accident.

I scarcely know whether I was in any real danger or not when, another day, in the same stable, quite by myself, I went head foremost into the large water-tub kept for the garden. I think I might have got awkwardly wedged if I had tried to draw my feet in after me: instead, I used the small watering-pot I had in my hand to give myself a good thrust up from the bottom, and caught the opposite edge of the tub with my left hand, getting not a little credit afterwards for my decision of method. Looking back to the few chances that have in any such manner tried my head, I believe it has never failed me when I wanted it, and that I am much more likely to be confused by sudden admiration than by sudden danger.

76. The dark pools of Tay, which have led me into this boasting, were under the high bank at the head of the North Inch,—the path above them being seldom traversed
by us children unless at harvest time, when we used to go
gleaning in the fields beyond; Jessie and I afterwards grinding
our corn in the kitchen pepper-mill, and kneading and toasting
for ourselves cakes of pepper bread, of quite unpurchaseable
quality.

In the general course of this my careful narration, I rebut
with as much indignation as may be permitted without ill
manners, the charge of partiality to anything merely because it
was seen when I was young. I hesitate, however, in recording as
a constant truth for the world, the impression left on me when I
went gleaning with Jessie, that Scottish sheaves are more golden
than are bound in other lands, and that no harvests elsewhere
visible to human eyes are so like the “corn of heaven”* as those
of Strath-Tay and Strath-Earn.

* Psalm lxxviii. 24.
77. **When** I was about eight or nine I had a bad feverish illness at Dunkeld, during which I believe I was in some danger, and am sure I was very uncomfortable. It came on after a long walk in which I had been gathering quantities of foxgloves, and pulling them to pieces to examine their seeds; and there were hints about their having poisoned me, very absurd, but which extended the gathering awe from river eddies\(^1\) to foxglove dells. Not long after that, when we were back at home, my cousin Jessie fell ill, and died very slowly, of water on the brain. I was very sorry, not so much in any strength of early affection, as in the feeling that the happy, happy days at Perth were for ever ended, since there was no more Jessie.\(^2\)

Before her illness took its fatal form,—before, indeed, I believe it had at all declared itself—my aunt dreamed one of her foresight dreams, simple and plain enough for any one’s interpretation;—that she was approaching the ford of a dark river, alone, when little Jessie came running up behind her, and passed her, and went through first. Then she passed through herself, and looking back from the other side, saw her old Mause approaching from the distance to the bank of the stream. And so it was, that Jessie, immediately afterwards, sickened rapidly and died; and a few months, or it might be nearly a year afterwards, my aunt died of decline; and Mause, some two or three years

\(^1\) [See above, § 74 (p. 67).]
\(^2\) [For an “Ossianic” poem written two or three years later, “On the Death of my Cousin Jessie,” see Vol. II. p. 285.]
IV. UNDER NEW TUTORSHIPS

later, having had no care after her mistress and Jessie were gone, but when she might go to them.

78. I was at Plymouth with my father and mother when my Scottish aunt died, and had been very happy with my nurse on the hill east of the town, looking out on the bay and breakwater; and came in to find my father, for the first time I had ever seen him, in deep distress of sobbing tears.

I was very sorry that my aunt was dead, but, at that time, (and a good deal since, also,) I lived mostly in the present, like an animal, and my principal sensation was,— What a pity it was to pass such an uncomfortable evening —and we at Plymouth!

The deaths of Jessie and her mother of course ended our Scottish days. The only surviving daughter, Mary, was thenceforward adopted by my father and mother, and brought up with me. She was fourteen when she came to us, and I four years younger;—so with the Perth days, closed the first decade of my life. Mary was a rather pretty, blue-eyed, clumsily-made girl, very amiable and affectionate in a quiet way, with no parts, but good sense and good principle, honestly and inoffensively pious, and equal tempered, but with no pretty girlish ways or fancies. She became a serene additional neutral tint in the household harmony; read alternate verses of the Bible with my mother and me in the mornings, and went to a day school in the forenoon. When we travelled she took somewhat of a governess position towards me, we being allowed to explore places together without my nurse;—but we generally took old Anne too for better company.

79. It began now to be of some importance what church I went to on Sunday morning. My father, who was still much broken in health, could not go to the long Church of England service, and, my mother being evangelical, he went contentedly, or at least submissively, with her and me to Beresford Chapel, Walworth, where the Rev. E. Andrews preached, regularly, a somewhat eloquent, forcible,
and ingenious sermon, not tiresome to hear:—the prayers were abridged from the Church Service, and we, being the grandest people in the congregation, were allowed—though, as I now remember, not without offended and reproachful glances from the more conscientious worshippers—to come in when even those short prayers were half over. Mary and I used each to write an abstract of the sermon in the afternoon, to please ourselves,—Mary dutifully, and I to show how well I could do it. We never went to church in afternoon or evening. I remember yet the amazed and appalling sensation, as of a vision preliminary to the Day of Judgment, of going, a year or two later, first into a church by candlelight.

80. We had no family worship, but our servants were better cared for than is often the case in ostentatiously religious houses. My mother used to take them, when girls, from families known to her, sister after sister, and we never had a bad one.

On the Sunday evening my father would sometimes read us a sermon of Blair’s, or it might be, a clerk or a customer would dine with us, when the conversation, in mere necessary courtesy, would take generally the direction of sherry. Mary and I got through the evening how we could, over the Pilgrim’s Progress, Bunyan’s Holy War, Quarles’s Emblems, Foxe’s Book of Martyrs, Mrs. Sherwood’s

---

1 [Hitherto misprinted “him,” but the MS. has “hear.” For another reference to these sermons, see St. Mark’s Rest, § 88 (Vol. XXIV. p. 277); and for the chapel, see below, p. 132. Burne-Jones, on reading these passages in Præterita—“that most heavenly book,” he called it—wrote saying that he too had worshipped in the same chapel. “How ineffably wonderful,” replied Ruskin, “that you and I both sate—and—behaved properly in Beresford Chapel!” Burne-Jones’s letter is accompanied by an amusing sketch of the “three-decker”: see Memorials of Edward Burne-Jones, vol. i. pp. 41–42. An oil-portrait of Dr. Andrews (died 1841) is in the Southwark Library, Walworth Road; a notice of him will be found in Basil Champneys’ Memoirs of Coventry Patmore, vol. i. pp. 126–128. His chapel in Beresford Street still stands.]

2 [A page of his “Sermon Book” is shown on the opposite sheet of facsimiles; for his early map-drawing, see p. 74 (§ 82); the MS. of The Poetry of Architecture is ten years later.]

3 [One dynasty was that of the Stones; another was that of Lucy and Harriet Tovey: see below, ii. § 108 (p. 343).]

4 [Hugh Blair (1718–1800), of Edinburgh; his Sermons are in five volumes (1777–1801).]
Lady of the Manor,—a very awful book to me, because of the stories in it of wicked girls who had gone to balls, dying immediately after of fever,—and Mrs. Sherwood’s Henry Milner,—of which more presently,¹—the Youth’s Magazine, Alfred Campbell the Young Pilgrim,² and, though rather as a profane indulgence, permitted because of the hardness of our hearts,³ Bingley’s Natural History.⁴ We none of us cared for singing hymns or psalms as such, and were too honest to amuse ourselves with them as sacred music, besides that we did not find their music amusing.

81. My father and mother,—though due cheques for charities were of course sent to Dr. Andrews, and various civilities at Christmas, in the way of turkeys or boxes of raisins, intimated their satisfaction with the style of his sermons and purity of his doctrine,—had yet, with their usual shyness, never asked for his acquaintance, or even permitted the state of their souls to be inquired after in pastoral visits. Mary and I, however, were charmed merely by the distant effect of him, and used to walk with Anne up and down in Walworth, merely in the hope of seeing him pass on the other side of the way. At last, one day, when, by extreme favour of Fortune, he met us in a great hurry on our own side of it, and nearly tumbled over me, Anne, as he recovered himself, dropped him a low curtsey; whereupon he stopped, inquired who we were, and was extremely gracious to us; and we, coming home in a fever of delight, announced, not much to my mother’s satisfaction, that the Doctor had said he would call some day! And so, little by little, the blissful acquaintance was made. I might be eleven or going on twelve by that time. Miss Andrews, the eldest sister of the “Angel in

¹ [See below, p. 94.]
³ [Matthew xviii. 8.]
⁴ [For references to this book (of which the true title is Animal Biography), see Vol. XXV. p. 32, and Vol. XXVIII. p. 278.]
the House,\footnote{[Emily Augusta Andrews (first wife of Coventry Patmore) was the fifth daughter. Her eldest sister became Mrs. Orme, whose house in St. John’s Wood was “a haunt of Rossetti, Swinburne, Woolner, Holman Hunt, and a crowd of other young artists, poets, men of letters, and thinkers” (Pall Mall Gazette, May 8, 1892). She died in that year.]} was an extremely beautiful girl of seventeen; she sang “Tambourgi, Tambourgi”\footnote{[For specimens of the “doggerel” of these years, see Vol. II. part iii. Some of his maps “examples of many done by the time I was ten years old”—were shown at the Fine Art Society in 1878 (see Vol. XIII. p. 503), and again in 1907. The copies from Cruikshank were also shown in 1878 (ibid.).]} with great spirit and a rich voice, went at blackberry time on rambles with us at the Norwood Spa, and made me feel generally that there was something in girls that I did not understand, and that was curiously agreeable. And at last, because I was so fond of the Doctor, and he had the reputation (in Walworth) of being a good scholar, my father thought he might pleasantly initiate me in Greek, such initiation having been already too long deferred. The Doctor, it afterwards turned out, knew little more of Greek than the letters, and declensions of nouns; but he wrote the letters prettily, and had an accurate and sensitive ear for rhythm. He began me with the odes of Anacreon, and made me scan both them and my Virgil thoroughly, sometimes, by way of interlude, reciting bits of Shakespeare to me with force and propriety. The Anacreontic metre entirely pleased me, nor less the Anacreontic sentiment. I learned half the odes by heart merely to please myself, and learned with certainty, what in later study of Greek art it has proved extremely advantageous to me to know, that the Greeks liked doves, swallows, and roses just as well as I did.

82. In the intervals of these unlaborious Greek lessons, I went on amusing myself—partly in writing English doggerel, partly in map drawing, or copying Cruikshank’s illustrations to Grimm,\footnote{[For specimens of the “doggerel” of these years, see Vol. II. part iii. Some of his maps “examples of many done by the time I was ten years old”—were shown at the Fine Art Society in 1878 (see Vol. XIII. p. 503), and again in 1907. The copies from Cruikshank were also shown in 1878 (ibid.).]} which I did with great, and to most people now incredible, exactness, a sheet of them being, by good hap, well preserved, done when I was

* Hebrew Melodies.
between ten and eleven. But I never saw any boy's work in my life showing so little original faculty, or grasp by memory. I could literally draw nothing, not a cat, not a mouse, not a boat, not a bush, “out of my head,” and there was, luckily, at present no idea on the part either of parents or preceptor, of teaching me to draw out of other people’s heads.

Nevertheless, Mary, at her day school, was getting drawing lessons with the other girls. Her report of the pleasantness and zeal of the master, and the frank and somewhat unusual execution of the drawings he gave her to copy, interested my father, and he was still more pleased by Mary’s copying, for a proof of industry while he was away on his winter's journey—copying, in pencil so as to produce the effect of a vigorous engraving, the little water-colour by Prout of a wayside cottage, which was the foundation of our future water-colour collection, being then our only possession in that kind—of other kind, two miniatures on ivory completed our gallery.

83. I perceive, in thinking over the good work of that patient black and white study, that Mary could have drawn, if she had been well taught and kindly encouraged. But her power of patient copying did not serve her in drawing from nature, and when, that same summer, I between ten and eleven (1829), we went to stay at Matlock in Derbyshire, all that she proved able to accomplish was an outline of Caxton’s New Bath Hotel, in which our efforts in the direction of art, for that year, ended.

But, in the glittering white broken spar, specked with galena, by which the walks of the hotel garden were made bright, and in the shops of the pretty village, and in many a happy walk among its cliffs, I pursued my mineralogical studies on fluor, calcite, and the ores of lead, with indescribable rapture when I was allowed to go into a cave. My father and mother showed far more kindness than I knew, in yielding to my subterranean passion; for my mother could not bear dirty places, and my father had a
nervous feeling that the ladders would break, or the roof fall, before we got out again. They went with me, nevertheless, wherever I wanted to go,—my father even into the terrible Speedwell mine at Castleton, where, for once, I was a little frightened myself.

From Matlock we must have gone on to Cumberland, for I find in my father’s writing the legend, “Begun 28th November, 1830, finished 11th January, 1832,” on the fly-leaf of the “Iteriad,” a poem in four books, which I indited, between those dates, on the subject of our journey among the Lakes, and of which some little notice may be taken farther on.¹

84. It must have been in the spring of 1831² that the important step was taken of giving me a drawing master. Mary showed no gift of representing any of the scenes of our travels, and I began to express some wish that I could draw myself. Whereupon, Mary’s pleasant drawing master, to whom my father and mother were equitable enough not to impute Mary’s want of genius, was invited to give me also an hour in the week.

I suppose a drawing master’s business can only become established by his assertion of himself to the public as the possessor of a style; and teaching in that only. Nevertheless, Mr. Runciman’s memory sustains disgrace in my mind in that he gave no impulse nor even indulgence to the extraordinary gift I had for drawing delicately with the pen point. Any work of that kind was done thenceforward only to please myself. Mr. Runciman gave me nothing but his own mannered and inefficient drawings to copy, and greatly broke the force both of my mind and hand.

Yet he taught me much, and suggested more. He taught me perspective, at once accurately and simply—an

¹ [See below, § 91 (p. 81).]
² [Of the summer, the MS. says:—
“The summer of 1831, when I was twelve years old, might perhaps have been varied only by a visit to Tunbridge Wells, where I was content enough with the common and its sandstone rocks.”]
invaluable bit of teaching. He compelled me into a swiftness and facility of hand which I found afterwards extremely useful, though what I have just called the “force,” the strong accuracy of my line, was lost. He cultivated in me,—indeed founded,—the habit of looking for the essential points in the things drawn, so as to abstract them decisively, and he explained to me the meaning and importance of composition, though he himself could not compose.

85. A very happy time followed, for about two years.

I was, of course, far behind Mary in touch-skill of pencil drawing, and it was good for her that this superiority was acknowledged, and due honour done her for the steady pains of her unimpulsive practice and unwearied attention. For, as she did not write poems like me, nor collect spars like me, nor exhibit any prevailing vivacity of mind in any direction, she was gradually sinking into far too subordinate a position to my high-mightiness. But I could make no pretence for some time to rival her in free-hand copying, and my first attempts from nature were not felt by my father to be the least flattering to his vanity.

These were made under the stimulus of a journey to Dover with the forethought of which my mother comforted me through an illness of 1829. I find my quite first sketch-book, an extremely inconvenient upright small octavo in mottled and flexible cover, the paper pure white, and ribbedly gritty, filled with outlines, irregularly defaced by impulsive efforts at finish, in arbitrary places and corners, of Dover and Tunbridge Castles and the main tower of Canterbury Cathedral. These, with a really good study, supplemented by detached detail, of Battle Abbey, I have set aside for preservation; the really first sketch I ever made from nature being No. 1, of a street in Sevenoaks.

1 [In the Elements of Drawing, 1857, Ruskin recommended him as a teacher of perspective: see Vol. XV. p. 18 n. For another reminiscence of him, see Ariadne Florentina, § 131 (Vol. XXII. p. 383). See also a letter of June 4, 1884, in Vol. XXXIV. p. 573.]

2 [See a passage from the MS. given below, p. 87 n.]
I got little satisfaction and less praise by these works; but the native architectural instinct is instantly developed in these,—highly notable for any one who cares to note such nativities. Two little pencillings from Canterbury south porch and central tower, I have given to Miss Gale, of Burgate House, Canterbury; the remnants of the book itself to Mrs. Talbot, of Tyn-y-Ffynon, Barmouth, both very dear friends.1

86. But before everything, at this time, came my pleasure in merely watching the sea. I was not allowed to row, far less to sail, nor to walk near the harbour alone; so that I learned nothing of shipping or anything else worth learning, but spent four or five hours every day in simply staring and wondering at the sea,—an occupation which never failed me till I was forty. Whenever I could get to a beach it was enough for me to have the waves to look at, and hear, and pursue and fly from. I never took to natural history of shells, or shrimps, or weeds, or jelly-fish. Pebbles?—yes, if there were any; otherwise, merely stared all day long at the tumbling and creaming strength of the sea. Idiotically, it now appears to me, wasting all that priceless youth in mere dream and trance of admiration; it had a certain strain of Byronesque passion in it, which meant something: but it was a fearful loss of time.

87. The summer of 1832 must, I think, have been passed at home, for my next sketch-book contains only some efforts at tree-drawing in Dulwich, and a view of the bridge over the now bricked-up “Effra,”2 by which the Norwood road then crossed it at the bottom of Herne Hill: the road itself, just at the place where, from the top of the bridge, one looked up and down the streamlet, bridged now into putridly damp shade by the railway, close to Herne Hill Station. This sketch was the first in which

---

1 [For Miss Gale, whose brother Frederick married a sister of Mr. Arthur Severn, see Vol. XXXIII. p. xxiv.; for Mrs. Talbot, and the name of her house, Vol. XXX. p. xxviii., and Vol. XXIX. p. 173 n.]

2 [See above, p. 35.]
I was ever supposed to show any talent for drawing. But on my thirteenth (?) birthday, 8th February, 1832, my father’s partner, Mr. Henry Telford, gave me Rogers’s Italy, and determined the main tenor of my life.

At that time I had never heard of Turner, except in the well-remembered saying of Mr. Runciman’s, that “the world had lately been much dazzled and led away by some splendid ideas thrown out by Turner.” But I had no sooner cast eyes on the Rogers vignettes than I took them for my only masters, and set myself to imitate them as far as I possibly could by fine pen shading.

88. I have told this story so often that I begin to doubt its time. It is curiously tiresome that Mr. Telford did not himself write my name in the book, and my father, who writes in it, “The gift of Henry Telford, Esq.,” still more curiously, for him, puts no date: if it was a year later, no matter; there is no doubt however that early in the spring of 1833 Prout published his Sketches in Flanders and Germany. I well remember going with my father into the shop where subscribers entered their names, and being referred to the specimen print, the turreted window over the Moselle, at Coblentz. We got the book home to Herne Hill before the time of our usual annual tour; and as my mother watched my father’s pleasure and mine in looking at the wonderful places, she said, why should not we go and see some of them in reality? My father hesitated a little, then with glittering eyes said—why not? And there were two or three weeks of entirely rapturous and amazed preparation. I recollect that very evening bringing down my big geography book, still most precious to me; (I take it down now, and for the first time put my own initials under my father’s name in it)—and looking with Mary at the outline of Mont Blanc,

1 [As recorded above: see p. 29 n.]
2 [Plate xvii. in the Sketches.]
3 [Geography, illustrated on a Popular Plan for the Use of Schools and Young Persons, with sixty-five Engravings. By the Rev. J. Goldsmith. London: 1820. The plate of Mont Blanc is opposite p. 201.]
copied from Saussure, at p. 201, and reading some of the very singular information about the Alps which it illustrates. So that Switzerland must have been at once included in the plans,—soon prosperously, and with result of all manner of good, by God’s help fulfilled.

89. We went by Calais and Brussels to Cologne; up the Rhine to Strasburg, across the Black Forest to Schaffhausen, then made a sweep through North Switzerland by Basle, Berne, Interlachen, Lucerne, Zurich, to Constance,—following the Rhine still to Coire, then over Splügen to Como, Milan, and Genoa; meaning, as I now remember, for Rome. But, it being June already, the heat of Genoa warned us of imprudence: we turned, and came back over the Simplon to Geneva, saw Chamouni, and so home by Lyons and Dijon.¹

To do all this in the then only possible way, with posthorses, and, on the lakes, with oared boats, needed careful calculation of time each day. My father liked to get to our sleeping place as early as he could, and never would stop the horses for me to draw anything (the extra pence to postillion for waiting being also an item of weight in his mind);—thus I got into the bad habit, yet not without its discipline, of making scrawls as the carriage went along, and working them up “out of my head” in the evening. I produced in this manner, throughout the journey, some thirty sheets or so of small pen and Indian ink drawings, four or five in a sheet; some not inelegant, all laborious, but for the most part one just like another, and without exception stupid and characterless to the last degree.

90. With these flying scrawls on the road, I made, when staying in towns, some elaborate pencil and pen outlines, of which perhaps half-a-dozen are worth register and preservation. My father’s pride in a study of the doubly-towered Renaissance church of Dijon was great. A still more laborious Hôtel de Ville of Brussels remains with it

¹ [For a fuller account, and the rhymed history, of this journey (not quite accurately given here), see Vol. II. pp. 340 seq.]
IV. UNDER NEW TUTORSHIPS

at Brantwood. The drawing of that Hôtel de Ville by me now at Oxford is a copy of Prout’s, which I made in illustration of the volume in which I wrote the beginning of a rhymed history of the tour.

For it had excited all the poor little faculties that were in me to their utmost strain, and I had certainly more passionate happiness, of a quality utterly indescribable to people who never felt the like, and more, in solid quantity, in those three months, than most people have in all their lives. The impressions of the Alps first seen from Schaffhausen, of Milan and of Geneva, I will try to give some account of afterwards,—my first business now is to get on.

91. The winter of ’33, and what time I could steal to amuse myself in, out of ’34, were spent in composing, writing fair, and drawing vignettes for the decoration of the aforesaid poetical account of our tour, in imitation of Rogers’s Italy. The drawings were made on separate pieces of paper and pasted into the books; many have since been taken out, others are there for which the verses were never written, for I had spent my fervour before I got up the Rhine. I leave the unfinished folly in Joanie’s care, that none but friends may see it.

Meantime, it having been perceived by my father and mother that Dr. Andrews could neither prepare me for the University, nor for the duties of a bishopric, I was sent as a day scholar to the private school kept by the

---

1 [This drawing was No. 9 in the Ruskin Exhibition at Manchester, 1904.]
2 [Afterwards removed: see Ariadne Florentina, § 113 (Vol. XXII. pp. 368–9).]
3 [See below, pp. 113, 117, for Schaffhausen and Milan; and pp. 320 seq. for Geneva.]
4 [Here in the MS. was the following passage:—

“In returning by Paris, July or August 1833, I first saw, dining with them in the Champs Elysées (very literally), the daughters of my father’s Spanish partner Mr. Domecq. This year was the first of three which the astrologer Varley afterwards fixed on as having been especially fatal to me,—‘when you were 14, 17, and 21.’”

Ruskin a little lower down (p. 85), forgetting that he had struck out this passage, referred to this “fatal dinner.” For another reference to Varley, see below, p. 298 n.]
5 [It was ultimately included in the collection of Ruskin’s Poems in 1891: see now Vol. II. pp. 340–387. Examples of the vignettes are there given at pp. 356, 380.]
Rev. Thomas Dale,\(^1\) in Grove Lane, within walking distance of Herne Hill. Walking down with my father after breakfast, carrying my blue bag of books, I came home to halfpast one dinner, and prepared my lessons in the evening for next day. Under these conditions I saw little of my fellow-scholars, the two sons of Mr. Dale, Tom and James;\(^2\) and three boarders, the sons of Colonel Matson, of Woolwich; of Alderman Key, of Denmark Hill; and a fine

\(^{1}\) [For some account of whom, see the Introduction to Vol. I. pp. xlix., 1.; and compare Ruskin's *Three Letters and an Essay*, ibid., pp. 357 seq. The following letter from Dr. Andrews to Mr. J. J. Ruskin may be read with interest here:—

“WALWORTH, May 22, 1830.

“My dear Sir,—I am anxious only that you should believe it was utterly impossible for me to continue my attendances on your son: the regularity of my visits, at first, demonstrated how honourably I would fulfil my engagements, while dire necessity kept at a little distance from me. But latterly, owing to a great increase of the church with other ministerial calls, I could not be regular, neither indeed had occasional and indefinite lessons been sufficient, which they would not have been, could I have continued them. I am gratified to see that you repose confidence in my opinion: the tutor was what I recommended: with reluctance but conscientiously. I say with reluctance because it was painful to me to be separated from Master Ruskin: a boy whose mind requires a peculiar management, and who excited in me a higher degree of interest than I ever felt for any other young gentleman with whose education I was honoured. It was not a little trial to me to contemplate the losing of your own conversation and that of Mrs. Ruskin; but I found it utterly useless to contend against inevitable difficulty; my time was invaded from more than one quarter.

“Permit me to recommend a continuation of his attention to the Greek Grammar — also to read over carefully and often the Alphabetismus Anomalorum in (about) page 149 to 176 of *Græcæ Grammaticæ Compendium. Londini Sumptibus G. Ginger ad Insignia Collegii Westmonasteriensis, juxta Scholam Regiam. 1814*. That is the famous Busby’s Greek Grammar as used at Westminster School. I copy here from the title, 1814 edition; but any subsequent one is the same.

“As to Latin exercises, he will now or soon be fit for Valpy’s *Elegantiæ Latinæ*. Both these books may be had immediately at Oxford (if not at Ginger’s, College Street, Westminster, and Law and Whitelaw, Ave Maria Lane). But the Alphabetismus I would get soon.

“A cash balance will be due to you, which can be easily arranged when you return to town.

“I most earnestly hope that the Divine Providence may protect you all in journeying, and convey His blessing in the salubrity of the air and the change of scene till we hear of your happy arrival in town. With very . . . [words torn off behind the seal] compts, to Mrs. Ruskin . . . family, and love to my dear . . . John, I remain most truly yours, with much respect and gratitude,

“EDWARD ANDREWS.

“JOHN J. RUSKIN, ESQ.

“POST OFFICE, LEAMINGTON.”]

\(^{2}\) [For references to these schoolfellows, see Vol. I. pp. 385, 394; and for Colonel Matson, below, ii. § 151 (p. 381). Sir Willoughby Jones (1820–1884), Cranmer, Norfolk, succeeded to the baronetcy in 1845.]
lively boy, Willoughby Jones, afterwards Sir W., and only lately, to my sorrow, dead.

92. Finding me in all respects what boys could only look upon as an innocent, they treated me as I suppose they would have treated a girl; they neither thrashed nor chaffed me,—finding, indeed, from the first that chaff had no effect on me. Generally I did not understand it, nor in the least mind it if I did, the fountain of pure conceit in my own heart sustaining me serenely against all deprecation, whether by master or companion. I was fairly intelligent of books, had a good quick and holding memory, learned whatever I was bid as fast as I could, and as well; and since all the other boys learned always as little as they could, though I was far in retard of them in real knowledge, I almost always knew the day’s lesson best. I have already described, in the fourth chapter of Fiction, Fair and Foul, Mr. Dale’s rejection of my clearly known old grammar as a “Scotch thing.” In that one action he rejected himself from being my master; and I thenceforward learned all he told me only because I had to do it.

93. While these steps were taken for my classical advancement, a master was found for me, still in that unlucky Walworth, to teach me mathematics. Mr. Rowbotham was an extremely industrious, deserving, and fairly well-informed person in his own branches, who, with his wife, and various impediments and inconveniences in the way of children, kept a “young gentleman’s Academy” near the Elephant and Castle, in one of the first houses which have black plots of grass in front, fenced by iron railings from the Walworth Road.

He knew Latin, German, and French grammar; was able to teach the “use of the globes” as far as needed in a preparatory school, and was, up to far beyond the point needed for me, a really sound mathematician. For the rest, utterly unacquainted with men or their history, with nature

---

1 [In § 95: Vol. XXXIV. p. 365.]
and its meanings; stupid and disconsolate, incapable of any manner of mirth or fancy, thinking mathematics the only proper occupation of human intellect, asthmatic to a degree causing often helpless suffering, and hopelessly poor, spending his evenings, after his school-drudgery was over, in writing manuals of arithmetic and algebra, and compiling French and German grammars, which he allowed the booksellers to cheat him out of,—adding perhaps, with all his year’s lamp-labour, fifteen or twenty pounds to his income; —a more wretched, innocent, patient, insensible, unadmirable, uncomfortable, intolerable being never was produced in this æra of England by the culture characteristic of her metropolis.¹

94. Under the tuition, twice a week in the evening, of Mr. Rowbotham, (invited always to substantial tea with us before the lesson as a really efficient help to his hungry science, after the walk up Herne Hill, painful to asthma,) I prospered fairly in 1834, picking up some bits of French grammar, of which I had really felt the want,—I had before got hold, somehow, of words enough to make my way about with,—and I don’t know how, but I recollect, at Paris, going to the Louvre under charge of Salvador;² (I wanted to make a sketch from Rembrandt’s Supper at Emmaus;³) and on Salvador’s application to the custode for permission, it appeared I was not old enough to have a ticket,—fifteen was then the earliest admission-age; but seeing me look woebegone, the good-natured custode said he thought if I went in to the “Board,” or whatever it was, of authorities, and asked for permission myself, they would give it me. Whereupon I instantly begged to be introduced to the Board, and the custode taking me in under his coat lappets, I did verily, in what broken French

¹ [John Rowbotham, author of The Geography of the Globe (1841, still current in 1870), An Abridgement of German Grammar (1833), Cours de Littérature Française (1831), Deutsches Lesebuch (1829), Lectiones Latinae (1832), and of numerous other school-books.]
² [The courier: see below, pp. 111, 112, 323.]
³ [For another reference to this early study, see Laws of Fésole, ch. vii. (Vol. XV. p. 419 n.).]
was feasible to me, represent my case to several gentlemen of an
official and impressive aspect, and got my permission, and
outlined the Supper at Emmaus with some real success in
expression, and was extremely proud of myself. But my narrow
knowledge of the language, though thus available for business,
left me sorrowful and ashamed after the fatal dinner at Mr.
Domecq’s,¹ when the little Elise, then just nine, seeing that her
erlder sisters did not choose to trouble themselves with me, and
being herself of an entirely benevolent and pitiful temper, came
across the drawingroom to me in my desolation, and leaning an
elbow on my knee, set herself deliberately to chatter to me
mellifluously for an hour and a half by the timepiece,—requiring
no answer, of which she saw I was incapable, but satisfied with
my grateful and respectful attention, and admiring interest, if not
exactly always in what she said, at least in the way she said it.
She gave me the entire history of her school, and of the
objectionable characters of her teachers, and of the delightful
characters of her companions, and of the mischief she got into,
and the surreptitious enjoyments they devised, and the joys of
coming back to the Champs Élysées, and the general likeness of
Paris to the Garden of Eden. And the hour and a half seemed but
too short, and left me resolved, anyhow, to do my best to learn
French.

95. So, as I said, I progressed in this study to the contentment
of Mr. Rowbotham, went easily through the three first books of
Euclid, and got as far as quadratics in Algebra. But there I
stopped, virtually, for ever. The moment I got into sums of
series, or symbols expressing the relations instead of the real
magnitudes of things,— partly in want of faculty, partly in an
already well-developed and healthy hatred of things vainly
bothering and intangible, —I jibbed—or stood stunned.
Afterwards at Oxford they

¹ [For explanation of this “casual sentence about fatal dinner,” see ch. x. § 201
(below, p. 174). The reference was to a previous passage in the first draft, which Ruskin
had omitted on revision: see above, p. 81 n.]
dragged me through some conic sections, of which the facts representable by drawing became afterwards of extreme value to me; and taught me as much trigonometry as made my mountain work, in plan and elevation, unaccusable. In elementary geometry I was always happy, and, for a boy, strong; and my conceit, developing now every hour more venomously as I began to perceive the weaknesses of my masters, led me to spend nearly every moment I could command for study in my own way, through the year 1835, in trying to trisect an angle. For some time afterwards I had the sense to reproach myself for the waste of thoughtful hours in that year, little knowing or dreaming how many a year to come, from that time forth, was to be worse wasted.

While the course of my education was thus daily gathering the growth of me into a stubborn little standard bush, various frost-stroke was stripping away from me the poor little flowers—or herbs—of the forest,¹ that had once grown, happily for me, at my side.

¹ [The reference is to Mrs. Cockburn’s song, founded on an old ballad, “The Flowers of the Forest”:—

“I’ve seen the forest adorn’d of the foremost,  
With flowers of the fairest, both pleasant and gay;  
Full sweet was their blooming, their scent the air perfuming,  
But now are they wither’d and a’ wede awae.”]
CHAPTER V

PARNASSUS AND PLYNLIMMON

96. I have allowed, in the last chapter, my record of bo"ish achievements and experiments in art to run on to a date much in advance of the early years which were most seriously eventful for me in good and evil. I resume the general story of them with the less hesitation, because, such as it is, nobody else can tell it; while, in later years, my friends in some respects know me better than I know myself.

The second decade of my life was cut away still more sharply from the perfectly happy time of childhood, by the death of my Croydon aunt; death of “cold” literally, caught in some homely washing operations in an east wind. Her brown and white spaniel, Dash, lay beside her body, and on her coffin, till they were taken away from him; then he was brought to Herne Hill, and I think had been my companion some time before Mary came to us.

With the death of my Croydon aunt ended for me all the days by Wandel streams, as at Perth by Tay; and thus when I was ten years old, an exclusively Herne Hilltop life set in (when we were not travelling), of no very beneficial character. 1

97. My Croydon aunt left four sons—John, William, George, and Charles; and two daughters—Margaret and

---

1 [For “the bard of Plynlimmon,” see below, p. 555.]
2 [The MS. adds:—
   “I had the measles somewhere about the same date; we were going to Dover that summer, and I recollect my mother’s keeping me quiet in the bed in my nursery by telling me to ‘think of Dash and Dover.’”
Ruskin, forgetting that he had omitted the passage on revise, refers to it below, p. 467.]
3 [The MS. adds: “In the first place the religious training became extremely vague and dim. My father, who was still much broken . . .” (as in § 79 above, p. 71).]
Bridget. All handsome lads and pretty lasses; but Margaret, in early youth, met with some mischance that twisted her spine, and hopelessly deformed her. She was clever, and witty, like her mother; but never of any interest to me, though I gave a kind of brotherly, rather than cousinly, affection to all my Croydon cousins. But I never liked invalids, and don’t to this day; and Margaret used to wear her hair in ringlets, which I couldn’t bear the sight of.

Bridget was a very different creature; a black-eyed, or, with precision, dark hazel-eyed, slim-made, lively girl; a little too sharp in the features to be quite pretty, a little too wiry-jointed to be quite graceful; capricious, and more or less selfish in temper, yet nice enough to be once or twice asked to Perth with us, or to stay for a month or two at Herne Hill; but never attaching herself much to us, neither us to her. I felt her an inconvenience in my nursery arrangements, the nursery having become my child’s study as I grew studious; and she had no mind, or, it might be, no leave, to work with me in the garden.

98. The four boys were all of them good, and steadily active. The eldest, John, with wider business habits than the rest, went soon to push his fortune in Australia, and did so; the second, William, prospered also in London.

The third brother, George, was the best of boys and men, but of small wit. He extremely resembled a rural George the Fourth, with an expansive, healthy, benevolent eagerness of simplicity in his face, greatly bettering him as a type of British character. He went into the business in Market Street, with his father, and both were a great joy to all of us in their affectionateness and truth: neither of them in all their lives ever did a dishonest, unkind, or otherwise faultful thing—but still less a clever one! For the present, I leave them happily filling and driving their cart of quartern loaves in morning round from Market Street.

99. The fourth, and youngest, Charles, was like the lastborn in a fairy tale, ruddy as the boy David,¹ bright of

¹ [1 Samuel xvi. 12.]
heart, not wanting in common sense, or even in good sense; and affectionate, like all the rest. He took to his schooling kindly, and became grammatical, polite, and presentable in our high Herne Hill circle. His elder brother, John, had taken care of his education in more important matters: very early in the child’s life he put him on a barebacked pony, with the simple elementary instruction that he should be thrashed if he came off. And he stayed on. Similarly, for first lesson in swimming, he pitched the boy like a pebble into the middle of the Croydon Canal, jumping in, of course, after him; but I believe the lad squatted to the bank without help, and became when he was only “that high” a fearless master of horse and wave.

100. My mother used to tell these two stories with the greater satisfaction, because, in her own son’s education, she had sacrificed her pride in his heroism to her anxiety for his safety; and never allowed me to go to the edge of a pond, or be in the same field with a pony. As ill-luck also would have it, there was no manner of farm or marsh near us, which might of necessity modify these restrictions; but I have already noted1 with thankfulness the good I got out of the tadpole-haunted ditch in Croxted Lane; while also, even between us and tutorial Walworth,2 there was one Elysian field for me in the neglected grass of Camberwell Green. There was a pond in the corner of it, of considerable size, and unknown depth,—probably, even in summer, full three feet in the middle; the sable opacity of its waters adding to the mystery of danger. Large, as I said, for a pond, perhaps sixty or seventy yards the long way of the Green, fifty the short; while on its western edge grew a stately elm, from whose boughs, it was currently reported, and conscientiously believed, a wicked boy had fallen into the pond on Sunday, and forthwith the soul of him into a deeper and darker pool.

It was one of the most valued privileges of my early

1 [In Fiction, Fair and Foul (Nineteenth Century, June 1880), § 1: see Vol. XXXIV, p. 265.]
2 [Tutorial, as the home of Dr. Andrews: see above, p. 71.]
life to be permitted by my nurse to contemplate this judicial pond with awe, from the other side of the way. The loss of it, by the sanitary conversion of Camberwell Green into a bouquet for Camberwell’s button-hole, is to this day matter of perennial lament to me.

101. In the carrying out of the precautionary laws above described I was, of course, never allowed, on my visits to Croydon, to go out with my cousins, lest they should lead me into mischief; and no more adventurous joys were ever possible to me there, than my walks with Anne or my mother where the stream from Scarborough pond ran across the road; or on the crisp turf of Duppas Hill; my watchings of the process of my father’s drawings in Indian ink,¹ and my own untired contemplations of the pump and gutter on the other side of the so-called street, but really lane,—not more than twelve feet from wall to wall. So that, when at last it was thought that Charles, with all his good natural gifts and graces, should be brought from Croydon town to London city, and initiated into the lofty life and work of its burgess orders; and when, accordingly, he was, after various taking of counsel and making of inquiry, apprenticed to Messrs. Smith, Elder, & Co., of 65, Cornhill, with the high privilege of coming out to dine at Herne Hill every Sunday, the new and beaming presence of cousin Charles became a vivid excitement, and admirable revelation of the activities of youth to me, and I began to get really attached to him.

I was not myself the sort of creature that a boy could care much for,—or indeed any human being, except papa and mama, and Mrs. Richard Gray (of whom more presently²); being indeed nothing more than a conceited and unentertainingly troublesome little monkey. But Charles was always kind to me, and naturally answered with some cousinly or even brotherly tenderness my admiration of him, and delight in him.

¹ [See above, § 12 (p. 19).]
² [See below, pp. 100 seq., 170, 221, 247.]
102. At Messrs. Smith & Elder’s he was an admittedly exemplary apprentice, rapidly becoming a serviceable shopman, taking orders intelligently, and knowing well both his books and his customers. As all right-minded apprentices and good shopmen do, he took personal pride in everything produced by the firm; and on Sundays always brought a volume or two in his pocket to show us the character of its most ambitious publications; especially choosing, on my behalf, any which chanced to contain good engravings. In this way I became familiar with Stanfield and Harding long before I possessed a single engraving myself from either of them; but the really most precious, and continuous in deep effect upon me, of all gifts to my childhood, was from my Croydon aunt, of the Forget-me-not of 1827, with a beautiful engraving in it of Prout’s “Sepulchral monument at Verona.”

Strange, that the true first impulse to the most refined instincts of my mind should have been given by my totally uneducated, but entirely good and right-minded, mother’s sister.

103. But more magnificent results came of Charles’s literary connection, through the interest we all took in the embossed and gilded small octavo which Smith & Elder published annually, by title Friendship’s Offering. This was edited by a pious Scotch missionary, and minor—very much minor—key, poet, Thomas Pringle; mentioned once or twice with a sprinkling of honour in Lockhart’s Life of Scott. A strictly conscientious and earnest, accurately trained, though narrowly learned, man, with all the Scottish conceit, restlessness for travel, and petulant courage of the Parks and Livingstones; with also some pretty tinges of romance and inklings of philosophy to mellow him, he was

---

1 [See below, § 162 (p. 140).]
2 [For another mention of Pringle (1789–1834), see Vol. XXXIV. p. 96. The mentions of him in Lockhart are at vol. iv. p. 64, and vol. vi. p. 363 (ed. 1). At the latter place, Lockhart gives a brief account of him, referring for fuller particulars to the Quarterly Review for December 1835.]
3 [For Mungo Park, see Fors Clavigera, Letters 92 and 95 (Vol. XXIX. pp. 452, 513).]
an admitted, though little regarded, member of the best literary circles, and acquainted, in the course of catering for his little embossed octavo, with everybody in the outer circles, and lower, down to little me. He had been patronised by Scott; was on terms of polite correspondence with Wordsworth and Rogers; of familiar intercourse with the Ettrick Shepherd;¹ and had himself written a book of poems on the subject of Africa, in which antelopes were called springboks, and other African manners and customs carefully observed.

104. Partly to oblige the good-natured and lively shopboy, who told wonderful things of his little student cousin; —partly in the look-out for thin compositions of tractable stucco, wherewith to fill interstices in the masonry of Friendship’s Offering, Mr. Pringle visited us at Herne Hill, heard the traditions of my literary life, expressed some interest in its farther progress,—and sometimes took a copy of verses away in his pocket. He was the first person who intimated to my father and mother, with some decision, that there were as yet no wholly trustworthy indications of my one day occupying a higher place in English literature than either Milton or Byron; and accordingly I think none of us attached much importance to his opinions. But he had the sense to recognize, through the parental vanity, my father’s high natural powers, and exquisitely romantic sensibility; nor less my mother’s tried sincerity in the evangelical faith, which he had set himself apart to preach: and he thus became an honoured, though never quite cordially welcomed, guest on occasions of state Sunday dinner; and more or less an adviser thenceforward of the mode of my education. He himself found interest enough in my real love of nature and ready faculty of rhyme, to induce him to read and criticize for me some of my verses with attention; and at last, as a sacred Eleusinian initiation

¹ [A letter from Pringle to “the Shepherd” will be found at p. 224 of Mrs. Garden’s Memorials of James Hogg, the Ettrick Shepherd (1884); and for Hogg himself, see Vol. I. p. xxvii., Vol. II. p. xix.]
and Delphic pilgrimage, to take me in his hand one day when he had a visit to pay to the poet Rogers.¹

105. The old man, previously warned of my admissible claims, in Mr. Pringle’s sight, to the beatitude of such introduction, was sufficiently gracious to me, though the cultivation of germinating genius was never held by Mr. Rogers to be an industry altogether delectable to genius in its zenith.² Moreover, I was unfortunate in the line of observations by which, in return for his notice, I endeavoured to show myself worthy of it. I congratulated him with enthusiasm on the beauty of the engravings by which his poems were illustrated,—but betrayed, I fear me, at the same time some lack of an equally vivid interest in the composition of the poems themselves.³ At all events, Mr. Pringle—I thought at the time, somewhat abruptly—diverted the conversation to subjects connected with Africa. These were doubtless more calculated to interest the polished minstrel of St. James’s Place; but again I fell into misdemeanours by allowing my own attention, as my wandering eyes too frankly confessed, to determine itself on the pictures glowing from the crimson-silken walls; and accordingly, after we had taken leave, Mr. Pringle took occasion to advise me that, in future, when I was in the company of distinguished men, I should listen more attentively to their conversation.

106. These, and such other—(I have elsewhere⁴ related the Ettrick Shepherd’s favouring visit to us, also obtained by Mr. Pringle(e)—glorifications and advancements being the reward of my literary efforts, I was nevertheless not beguiled by them into any abandonment of the scientific studies

¹ [This must have been before 1834, in which year Pringle died.]
² [Rogers, however, subsequently beamed on Ruskin, whose letters to the poet (see Vol. XXXVI.) show that he had learnt how to please the great man. Ruskin in later years was an occasional guest at Rogers’s breakfast parties in St. James’s Place.]
³ [Subsequently, however, Ruskin knew the poems well, and a reference to the General Index will show how frequently he quoted them.]
⁴ [Ruskin does not elsewhere relate it in his own books; but a record of the visit is contained in letters from himself and his father to Hogg, which he had permitted Mrs. Garden to print in her Memorials (published shortly before this chapter of Præterita), pp. 273–277.]
which were indeed natural and delightful to me. I have above
geristered their beginnings in the sparry walks at Matlock:¹ but
my father’s business also took him often to Bristol, where he
placed my mother, with Mary and me, at Clifton. Miss
Edgeworth’s story of Lazy Lawrence,² and the visit to Matlock
by Harry and Lucy, gave an almost romantic and visionary
charm to mineralogy in those dells; and the piece of iron oxide
with bright Bristol diamonds,³—No. 51 of the Brantwood
collection,—was I think the first stone on which I began my
studies of silica. The diamonds of it were bright with many an
association besides, since from Clifton we nearly always crossed
to Chepstow,—the rapture of being afloat, for half-an-hour even,
on that muddy sea, concentrating into these impressive minutes
the pleasures of a year of other boys’ boating,—and so round by
Tintern and Malvern, where the hills, extremely delightful in
themselves to me because I was allowed to run free on them,
there being no precipices to fall over nor streams to fall into,
were also classical to me through Mrs. Sherwood’s
Henry
Milner, a book which I loved long, and respect still.⁴ So that
there was this of curious and precious in the means of my
education in these years, that my romance was always ratified to
me by the seal of locality—and every charm of locality
spiritualized by the glow and the passion of romance.

107. There was one district, however, that of the Cumberland
lakes, which needed no charm of association to deepen the
appeal of its realities. I have said somewhere⁵ that my first
memory in life was of Friar’s Crag on Derwentwater;—meaning, I suppose, my first memory of things
afterwards chiefly precious to me; at all events,

¹ [See § 83, p. 75.]
² [The first story in The Parent’s Assistant; its scene is laid near Clifton. For “Harry
and Lucy’s” visit to Matlock, see Harry and Lucy Concluded, 1825, vol. i. pp. 252 seq.]
³ [Ruskin refers to this acquisition in Fors, Letter 3 (Vol. XXVII. p. 62). For another
reference to it, see ii. § 2 (below, p. 243).]
⁴ [See Ruskin’s appreciation of it in Modern Painters, vol. iv. (Vol. VI. p. 406 n.).]
of 1830 on the spot: Vol. II. p. 294.]
I knew Keswick before I knew Perth, and after the Perth days were ended, my mother and I stayed either there, at the Royal Oak, or at Lowwood Inn, or at Coniston Waterhead, while my father went on his business journeys to Whitehaven, Lancaster, Newcastle, and other northern towns. The inn at Coniston was then actually at the upper end of the lake, the road from Ambleside to the village passing just between it and the water; and the view of the long reach of lake, with its softly wooded lateral hills, had for my father a tender charm which excited the same feeling as that with which he afterwards regarded the lakes of Italy. Lowwood Inn also was then little more than a country cottage,—and Ambleside a rural village; and the absolute peace and bliss which any one who cared for grassy hills and for sweet waters might find at every footstep, and at every turn of crag or bend of bay, was totally unlike anything I ever saw, or read of, elsewhere.

108. My first sight of bolder scenery\(^1\) was in Wales; and I have written,\(^2\)—more than it would be wise to print,—about the drive from Hereford to Rhaiadyr, and under Plynlimmon to Pont-y-Monach: the joy of a walk with my father in the Sunday afternoon towards Hafod, dashed only with some alarmed sense of the sin of being so happy among the hills, instead of writing out a sermon at home; —my father’s presence and countenance not wholly comforting me, for we both of us had alike a subdued consciousness of being profane and rebellious characters, compared to my mother.

From Pont-y-Monach we went north, gathering pebbles on the beach at Aberystwith, and getting up Cader Idris with help of ponies:—it remained, and rightly, for many a year after, a king of mountains to me. Followed Harlech and its sands, Festiniog, the pass of Aberglaslyn, and

\(^1\) [Bolder, that is, than Skiddaw or Coniston Old Man as seen from below. Ruskin at this time had not seen the grander mountain-crag of the Lake District at close quarters from the Eskdale or Wastdale side. For Ruskin’s early verses of 1831, suggested by the scenery of Wales, see Vol. II. pp. 328, 330, 331.]
\(^2\) [Not now extant; another notice of the drive will be found below, p. 622.]
marvel of Menai Straits and Bridge, which I looked at, then, as Miss Edgeworth had taught me, with reverence for the mechanical skill of man,—little thinking, poor innocent, what use I should see the creature putting his skill to, in the half century to come.

The Menai Bridge it was, remember, good reader, not tube;¹—but the trim plank roadway swinging smooth between its iron cobwebs from tower to tower.

109. And so on to Llanberis and up Snowdon, of which ascent I remember, as the most exciting event, the finding for the first time in my life a real “mineral” for myself, a piece of copper pyrites! But the general impression of Welsh mountain form was so true and clear that subsequent journeys little changed or deepened it.

And if only then my father and mother had seen the real strengths and weaknesses of their little John;—if they had given me but a shaggy scrap of a Welsh pony, and left me in charge of a good Welsh guide, and of his wife, if I needed any coddling, they would have made a man of me there and then, and afterwards the comfort of their own hearts, and probably the first geologist of my time in Europe.

If only! But they could no more have done it than thrown me like my cousin Charles into Croydon Canal,² trusting me to find my way out by the laws of nature.

110. Instead, they took me back to London, and my father spared time from his business hours, once or twice a week, to take me to a four-square, sky-lighted, sawdust-floored prison of a riding-school in Moorfields, the smell of which, as we turned in at the gate of it, was a terror and horror and abomination to me: and there I was put on big horses that jumped, and reared, and circled, and sidled; and fell off them regularly whenever they did any of those things; and was a disgrace to my family, and a burning shame and misery to myself, till at last the riding-school was given up on my spraining my right-hand fore-finger

¹ [For other references to the tubular bridge, see Vol. IX. p. 456, and Vol. XIX.p. 24.]
² [See above, § 99, p. 89.]
V. PARNASSUS AND PLYNLIMMON

(it has never come straight again since),—and a well-broken Shetland pony bought for me, and the two of us led about the Norwood roads by a riding master with a leading string. I used to do pretty well as long as we went straight, and then get thinking of something, and fall off when we turned a corner. I might have got some inkling of a seat in Heaven’s good time, if no fuss had been made about me, nor inquiries instituted whether I had been off or on; but as my mother, the moment I got home, made searching scrutiny into the day’s disgraces, I merely got more and more nervous and helpless after every tumble; and this branch of my education was at last abandoned, my parents consoling themselves, as best they might, in the conclusion that my not being able to learn to ride was the sign of my being a singular genius.¹

111. The rest of the year² was passed in such home employment as I have above described;—but, either in that or the preceding year, my mineralogical taste received a new and very important impulse from a friend who entered afterwards intimately into our family life, but of whom I have not yet spoken.

My illness at Dunkeld, above noticed,³ was attended by two physicians,—my mother,—and Dr. Grant. The Doctor must then have been a youth who had just obtained his diploma. I do not know the origin of his acquaintance with my parents; but I know that my father had almost paterna l influence over him; and was of service to him, to what extent I know not, but certainly continued and effective, in beginning the world. And as I grew older I

¹ [One MS. has the following additional passage here:—

“It seems singular to me, looking back, that I made no attempt, on all that Welsh tour, to keep note of a single scene by drawing. No vestige of any such effort occurs after that rapturous divergence at Hereford. I suppose the excitement put me off work, for I cannot ascribe my idleness to any modest perception that Cader Idris and Plynlimmon were a little beyond my then attained pictorial faculty, since, only the following year, I set myself, unabashed, to limn my impression of the chain of the Alps and the plains of Lombardy.”

The “following year” is a mistake, as the foreign tour was in 1833.]

² [1831.]
³ [See above, p. 70.]
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used often to hear expressions of much affection and respect for Dr. Grant from my father and mother, coupled with others of regret or blame that he did not enough bring out his powers, or use his advantages.

Ever after the Dunkeld illness, Dr. Grant’s name was associated in my mind with a brown powder—rhubarb, or the like—of a gritty and acrid nature, which, by his orders, I had then to take. The name thenceforward always sounded to me gr-r-ish and granular; and a certain dread, not amounting to dislike—but, on the contrary, affectionate, (for me)—made the Doctor’s presence somewhat solemnizing to me; the rather as he never jested, and had a brownish, partly austere, and sere, wrinkled, and—rhubarby, in fact, sort of a face. For the rest, a man entirely kind and conscientious, much affectionate to my father, and acknowledging a sort of ward-to-guardian’s duty to him, together with the responsibility of a medical adviser, acquainted both with his imagination and his constitution.

I conjecture that it must have been owing to Dr. Grant’s being of fairly good family, and in every sense and every reality of the word a gentleman, that, soon after coming up to London, he got a surgeon’s appointment in one of His Majesty’s frigates commissioned for a cruise on the west coast of South America. Fortunately the health of her company gave the Doctor little to do professionally; and he was able to give most of his time to the study of the natural history of the coast of Chili and Peru. One of the results of these shore expeditions was the finding such a stag-beetle as had never before been seen. It had peculiar, or colossal, nippers, and—I forget what “chiasos” means in Greek, but its jaws were chiasoi. It was brought home beautifully packed in a box of cotton; and, when the box was opened, excited the admiration of all beholders, and was called the “Chiasognathos Grantii.”

[A “Description of Chiasognathus Grantii, a new Lucanideous Insect forming the type of an undescribed genus,” by J. F. Stephens, appeared with coloured illustrations in the Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 1833, vol. 4, pp. 209–216. The mandibles are incurved at the tips so as to cross over each other.]
A second result was his collection of a very perfect series of Valparaiso humming birds, out of which he spared, for a present to my mother, as many as filled with purple and golden flutter two glass cases as large as Mr. Gould’s at the British Museum, which became resplendent decorations of the drawing-room at Herne Hill,—were to me, as I grew older, conclusive standards of plume texture and colour,—and are now placed in the best lighted recess of the parish school at Coniston.

113. The third result was more important still. Dr. Grant had been presented by the Spanish masters of mines with characteristic and rich specimens of the most beautiful veinstones of Copiapo. It was a mighty fact for me, at the height of my child’s interest in minerals, to see our own parlour table loaded with foliated silver and arborescent gold. Not only the man of science, but the latent miser in me, was developed largely in an hour or two! In the pieces which Dr. Grant gave me, I counted my treasure grain by grain;¹ and recall to-day, in acute sympathy with it, the indignation I felt at seeing no instantly reverential change in cousin Charles’s countenance, when I informed him that the film on the surface of an unpresuming specimen, amounting in quantity to about the sixteenth part of a sixpence, was “native silver”!

Soon after his return from this prosperous voyage, Dr. Grant settled himself in a respectable house half-way down Richmond Hill, where gradually he obtained practice and accepted position among the gentry of that town and its parkly neighbourhood. And every now and then, in the summer mornings, or the gaily frost-white winter ones, we used, papa and mamma, and Mary and I, to drive over Clapham and Wandsworth Commons to a breakfast picnic with Dr. Grant at the “Star and Garter.”

breakfasts

other, “whence the origin of the name I have applied to the genus χιάζω decussa γνάθος maxilla.” “Dr. Grant,” it is stated, “who presented this interesting specimen to the Society, was surgeon on board H.M.S. Forte, when she returned to England in the summer of 1830 from the South American station.”

¹ [Compare Eagle’s Nest, § 83 (Vol. XXII. p. 183).]
much impressed on my mind, partly by the pretty view from the windows; but more, because while my orthodox breakfast, even in travelling, was of stale baker’s bread, at these starry picnics I was allowed new French roll.

114. Leaving Dr. Grant, for the nonce,1 under these pleasant and dignifiedly crescent circumstances, I must turn to the friends who of all others, not relatives, were most powerfully influential on my child life,—Mr. and Mrs. Richard Gray.

Some considerable time during my father’s clerkdom had been passed by him in Spain, in learning to know sherry, and seeing the ways of making and storing it at Xerez, Cadiz, and Lisbon. At Lisbon he became intimate with another young Scotsman of about his own age, also employed, I conceive, as a clerk, in some Spanish house, but himself of no narrow clerkly mind. On the contrary, Richard Gray went far beyond my father in the romantic sentiment, and scholarly love of good literature, which so strangely mingled with my father’s steady business habits. Equally energetic, industrious, and high-principled, Mr. Gray’s enthusiasm was nevertheless irregularly, and too often uselessly, coruscant; being to my father’s, as Carlyle says of French against English fire at D ettingen, “faggot against anthracite.”2 Yet, I will not venture absolutely to maintain that, under Richard’s erratic and effervescent influence, an expedition to Cintra, or an assistance at a village festa, or even at a bull-fight, might not sometimes, to that extent, invalidate my former general assertion that, during nine years, my father never had a holiday.3 At all events, the young men became close and affectionate friends; and the connection had a softening, cheering, and altogether beneficent effect on my father’s character. Nor was their brotherly friendship any whit flawed or dimmed, when, a little while before leaving Spain, Mr. Gray married an extremely good and beautiful Scotch girl, Mary Monro.

1 [For further mention of him, see ii. § 5 (p. 246).]
2 [See Friedrich, Book xiv. ch. v.]
3 [See above, p. 27 (§ 26).]
115. Extremely good, and, in the gentlest way;—entirely simple, meek, loving, and serious; not clever enough to be any way naughty, but saved from being stupid by a vivid nature, full of enthusiasm like her husband’s. Both of them evangelically pious, in a vivid, not virulent, way; and each of them sacresely, no less than passionately, in love with the other, they were the entirely best-matched pair I have yet seen in this match-making world and dispensation. Yet, as fate would have it, they had the one grief of having no children, which caused it, in years to come, to be Mrs. Gray’s principal occupation in life to spoil me. By the time I was old enough to be spoiled, Mr. Gray, having fairly prospered in business, and come to London, was established, with his wife, her mother, and her mother’s white French poodle, Petite, in a dignified house in Camberwell Grove. An entirely happy family; old Mrs. Monro¹ as sweet as her daughter, perhaps slightly wiser; Richard rejoicing in them both with all his heart; and Petite, having, perhaps, as much sense as any two of them, delighted in, and beloved by all three.

116. Their house was near the top of the Grove,— which was a real grove in those days, and a grand one, some three-quarters of a mile long, steepishly down hill,— beautiful in perspective as an unprecedentedly “long-drawn aisle”;² trees, elm, wych elm, sycamore and aspen, the branches meeting at top; the houses on each side with trim stone pathways up to them, through small plots of well-mown grass; three or four storied, mostly in grouped terraces,—well-built, of sober-coloured brick, with high and steep slated roof—not gabled, but polygonal; all well to do, well kept, well broomed, dignifiedly and pleasantly vulgar, and their own Grove-world all in all to them. It was a pleasant mile and a furlong or two’s walk from Herne Hill to the Grove; and whenever Mrs. Gray and my mother had anything to say to each other, they walked—

¹ [For her death, and for the subsequent fortunes of the Gray family, see ii. § 6 (below, p. 247).]
² [Gray’s Elegy, stanza 10: quoted also in Vol. XXIII. p. 28.]
up the hill or down—to say it; and Mr. Gray’s house was always
the same to us as our own at any time of day or night. But our
house not at all so to the Grays, having its formalities inviolable;
so that during the whole of childhood I had the sense that we
were, in some way or other, always above our friends and
relations,—more or less patronizing everybody, favouring them
by our advice, instructing them by our example, and called upon,
by what was due both to ourselves, and the constitution of
society, to keep them at a certain distance.

117. With one exception; which I have deep pleasure in
remembering. In the first chapter of the Antiquary, the landlord
at Queen’s Ferry sets down to his esteemed guest a bottle of
Robert Cockburn’s best port;¹ with which Robert Cockburn duly
supplied Sir Walter himself, being at that time, if not the largest,
the leading importer of the finest Portugal wine, as my father of
Spanish. But Mr. Cockburn was primarily an old Edinburgh
gentleman, and only by condescension a wine-merchant; a man
of great power and pleasant sarcastic wit, moving in the first
circles of Edinburgh; attached to my father by many links of
association with the “auld toon,” and sincerely respecting him.
He was much the stateliest and truest piece of character who ever
sate at our merchant feasts.

Mrs. Cockburn was even a little higher,—as representative
of the Scottish lady of the old school,—indulgent yet to the new.
She had been Lord Byron’s first of first loves;² she was the Mary
Duff of Lachin-y-Gair. When I first remember her, still
extremely beautiful in middle age, full of sense; and, though
with some mixture of proud severity, extremely kind.

118. They had two sons, Alexander and Archibald, both

¹ [This is not quite accurate. In chapter ii., when Monkbarns asks for port, the
landlord gives him fine claret.]
² [She was a distant cousin; and her “brown, dark hair and hazel eyes—her very
dress” were long years after “a perfect image” in his memory: see The Works of Lord
Byron: Poetry, edited by E. H. Coleridge, 1898, vol. i. p. 192 n., and in the Letters,
in business with their father, both clever and energetic, but both
distinctly resolute—as indeed their parents desired—that they
would be gentlemen first, salesmen second: a character much to
be honoured and retained among us; nor in their case the least
ambitious or affected: gentlemen they were,—born so, and more
at home on the hills than in the counting-house, and withal
attentive enough to their business. The house, nevertheless, did
not become all that it might have been in less well-bred hands.

The two sons, one or other, often dined with us, and were
more distinctly friends than most of our guests. Alexander had
much of his father's humour; Archibald, a fine, young, dark
Highlander, was extremely delightful to me, and took some
pains with me, for the sake of my love of Scott, telling me
anything about fishing or deerstalking that I cared to listen to.
For, even from the earliest days, I cared to listen to the
adventures of other people, though I never coveted any for
myself. I read all Captain Marryat's novels, without ever
wishing to go to sea; traversed the field of Waterloo\textsuperscript{1}
without the slightest inclination to be a soldier; went on ideal fishing with
Izaak Walton without ever casting a fly; and knew Cooper's
Deerslayer and Pathfinder almost by heart,\textsuperscript{2} without handling
anything but a pop-gun, or having any paths to find beyond the
solitudes of Gipsy-Hill. I used sometimes to tell myself stories of
campaigns in which I was an ingenious general, or caverns in
which I discovered veins of gold; but these were merely to fill
vacancies of fancy, and had no reference whatever to things
actual or feasible. I already disliked growing older,—never
expected to be wiser, and formed no more plans for the future
than a little black silkworm does in the middle of its first
mulberry leaf.

\textsuperscript{1}[In 1825: see next page.]
\textsuperscript{2} [There is an appreciative reference to another book by Fenimore Cooper in Modern
Painters, vol. i. (Vol. III. p. 569).]
CHAPTER VI
SCHAFFHAUSEN AND MILAN

119. The visit to the field of Waterloo, spoken of by chance in last chapter, must have been when I was five years old,—on the occasion of papa and mamma’s taking a fancy to see Paris in its festivities following the coronation of Charles X.\(^1\) We stayed several weeks in Paris, in a quiet family inn, and then some days at Brussels,—but I have no memory whatever of intermediate stages. It seems to me, on revision of those matin times, that I was very slow in receiving impressions, and needed to stop two or three days at least in a place, before I began to get a notion of it; but the notion, once got, was, as far as it went, always right; and since I had no occasion afterwards to modify it, other impressions fell away from that principal one, and disappeared altogether. Hence what people call my prejudiced views of things,—which are, in fact, the exact contrary, namely, post-judiced. (I do not mean to introduce this word for general service, but it saves time and print just now.)

120. Another character of my perceptions I find curiously steady—that I was only interested by things near me, or at least clearly visible and present. I suppose this is so with children generally; but it remained—and remains—a part of my grown-up temper. In this visit to Paris, I was extremely taken up with the soft red cushions of the arm-chairs, which it took one half-an-hour to subside into after sitting down,—with the exquisitely polished floor of the salon, and the good-natured French “Boots” (more properly “Brushes”), who skated over it in the morning.

\(^1\) [In September 1824.]
till it became as reflective as a mahogany table,—with the pretty court full of flowers and shrubs in beds and tubs between our rez-de-chaussée windows and the outer gate,—with a nice black servant belonging to another family, who used to catch the house-cat for me; and with an equally good-natured fille de chambre, who used to catch it back again, for fear I should tease it, (her experience of English boy-children having made her dubious of my intentions);—all these things and people I remember,—and the Tuileries garden, and the “Tivoli” gardens, where my father took me up and down a “Russian mountain,” and I saw fireworks of the finest. But I remember nothing of the Seine, nor of Notre Dame, nor of anything in or even out of the town, except the windmills on Mont Martre.

121. Similarly at Brussels. I recollect no Hotel de Ville, no stately streets, no surprises, or interests, except only the drive to Waterloo and slow walk over the field. The defacing mound was not then built—it was only nine years since the fight; and each bank and hollow of the ground was still a true exponent of the courses of charge or recoil. Fastened in my mind by later reading,¹ that sight of the slope of battle remains to me entirely distinct, while the results of a later examination of it after the building of the mound, have faded mostly away.

I must also note that the rapture of getting on board a steamer, spoken of in last letter,² was of later date; as a child I cared more for a beach on which the waves broke, or sands in which I could dig, than for wide sea.³ There was no “first sight” of the sea for me. I had gone to Scotland in Captain Spinks’ cutter, then a regular passage boat, when I was only three years old; but the weather was fine, and except for the pleasure of tattooing myself with tar among the ropes, I might as well have been

¹ [See Vol. XXXI. p. 477, where Ruskin refers to his constant study of military history.]
² [See above, p. 94.]
³ [Compare Modern Painters, vol. ii. (Vol. IV. p. 79).]
ashore; but I grew into the sense of ocean, as the Earth shaker,\(^1\) by the rattling beach, and lisping sand.\(^2\)

122. I had meant, also in this place, to give a word or two to another poor relative, Nanny Clowsley,\(^3\) an entirely cheerful old woman, who lived, with a Dutch clock and some old teacups, in a single room (with small bed in alcove) on the third story of a gabled house, part of the group of old ones lately pulled down on Chelsea side of Battersea bridge. But I had better keep what I have to say of Chelsea well together, early and late;\(^4\) only, in speaking of shingle, I must note the use to me of the view out of Nanny Clowsley’s window right down upon the Thames tide, with its tossing wherries at the flow, and stranded barges at ebb.

And now, I must get on, and come to the real first sights of several things.

123. I said that, for our English tours, Mr. Telford usually lent us his chariot.\(^5\) But for Switzerland, now taking Mary, we needed stronger wheels and more room; and for this, and all following tours abroad, the first preparation and the beginning of delight was the choosing a carriage to our fancy, from the hireable reserve at Mr. Hopkinson’s, of Long Acre.

The poor modern slaves and simpletons who let themselves be dragged like cattle, or felled timber, through the countries they imagine themselves visiting, can have no conception whatever of the complex joys, and ingenious hopes, connected with the choice and arrangement of the travelling carriage in old times. The mechanical questions first, of strength—easy rolling—steady and safe poise of persons and luggage; the general stateliness of effect to be obtained for the abashing of plebeian beholders; the cunning

\(^1\) [See Homer, \textit{Iliad}, xiii. 34, 65 (and \textit{passim}), for ‘\textit{Enosicqwn} as epithet of Poseidon.]

\(^2\) [The words “but I grew . . . lisping sand” were a final revision. The proof has:—

“. . . but the shingly beach at Brighton, Hastings, and Sandgate was as familiar to me as their baby’s rattle to other children.”]

\(^3\) [She is mentioned again in ii. § 32 (p. 271).]

\(^4\) [\textit{Præterita} came to an end, however, before this point was reached.]

\(^5\) [See above, § 29 (p. 29).]
design and distribution of store-cellars under the seats, secret
drawers under front windows, invisible pockets under padded
lining, safe from dust, and accessible only by insidious slits, or
necromantic valves like Aladdin’s trap-door; the fitting of
cushions where they would not slip, the rounding of corners for
more delicate repose; the prudent attachments and springs of
blinds; the perfect fitting of windows, on which one-half the
comfort of a travelling carriage really depends; and the
adaptation of all these concentrated luxuries to the probabilities
of who would sit where, in the little apartment which was to be
virtually one’s home for five or six months;—all this was an
imaginary journey in itself, with every pleasure, and none of the
discomfort, of practical travelling.

124. On the grand occasion of our first continental
journey—which was meant to be half a year long—the carriage
was chosen with, or in addition fitted with, a front seat outside
for my father and Mary, a dickey, unusually large, for Anne and
the courier, and four inside seats, though those in front very
small, that papa and Mary might be received inside in stress of
weather. 1 I recollect, when we had finally settled which carriage
we would have, the polite Mr. Hopkinson, advised of my
dawning literary reputation, asking me (to the joy of my father)
if I could translate the motto of the former possessor, under his
painted arms,—“Vix ea nostra voco,2”—which I accomplishing
successfully, farther wittily observed that however by r ight
belonging to the former possessor, the motto was with greater
propriety applicable to us.

125. For a family carriage of this solid construction, with its
luggage, and load of six or more persons, four

1 [A drawing of the “Interior of Mr. Hopkinson’s carriage,” done by Ruskin in 1835,
was No. 26 in the Exhibition at Coniston, 1900; it was lent by Mr. Bolding, son of Mary
Richardson.]
2 [Ovid’s Metamorphoses, xiii. 140:—
“Nam genus, et proavos, et quæ non fecimus ipsi,
Vix ea nostra voco”—
the motto of the Duke of Argyll (as Lord Sundridge), of the Earl of Warwick, and of
other families. Ruskin would have known it from Waverley, ch. x.]
horses were of course necessary to get any sufficient way on it; and half-a-dozen such teams were kept at every post-house. The modern reader may perhaps have as much difficulty in realizing these savagely and clumsily locomotive periods, though so recent, as any aspects of migratory Saxon or Goth; and may not think me vainly garrulous in their description.1

The French horses, and more or less those on all the great lines of European travelling, were properly stout trotting cart-horses, well up to their work and over it; untrimmed, long-tailed, good-humouredly licentious, whinnying and frolicking with each other when they had a chance; sagaciously steady to their work; obedient to the voice mostly, to the rein only for more explicitness; never touched by the whip, which was used merely to express the driver’s exultation in himself and them,—signal obstructive vehicles in front out of the way, and advise all the

1 [The first draft has the following additional description of the start for Dover:—

“It was a law at Herne Hill that my father’s birthday should be spent there, and that I should write him a poem upon it, and gather the first gooseberries from my own bushes for his gooseberry pie [see above, § 33]. Accordingly we never started on our tours till about the 15th of May, my father’s birthday being 10th. The beginning of the journey was therefore in the midst of hawthorn, laburnum, and lilac blossom, and the start for Dover was a wonderful thing, for all of us. With English posting on the old Dover road, one could reckon fairly on eight to nine miles an hour. Starting at eight, we could do the seventy miles by five P. M. easily. My father never would drive with four horses in sight of his sober neighbours—the second pair were always went on to wait at the foot of Greenwich Hill. But the fresh first pair used to trot down the hill and along the level of the Old Kent Road,—and that first trot through Camberwell, the turn by the pond on the Green, which was going to lead one—in a week or so—to the lake of Geneva,—the sense of pity for all the inhabitants of Peckham, who weren’t going—not proud pity, but pathetic and solemn, like the pity of lovers on their wedding day for everybody who is not being married,—the getting out to walk up Greenwich Hill, feeling that every step brought one geographically nearer to Mont Blanc,—and when we got upon Black Heath, with clear horizon, feeling that Mont Blanc really was there, in the south-east—only one couldn’t see it yet;—another walk up Shooter’s Hill—always partly confused with Gadshill, Falstaff, and Don Juan’s first sight of London—we rejoicingly taking our last sight of it for that summer and plunging down to Dartford, feeling as the horses changed that the last link with Camberwell was broken—that we were already in a new and miraculous world, in which one crowded day of glorious life was worth a year of vulgar days. As I have written once or twice already, I must write again. There are no words for such things.”

For Don Juan’s view of London from Shooter’s Hill, see canto xi. 8.]
inhabitants of the villages and towns traversed on the day’s journey, that persons of distinction were honouring them by their transitory presence. If everything was right, the four horses were driven by one postillion riding the shaft horse; but if the horses were young, or the riders unpractised, there was a postillion for the leaders also. As a rule, there were four steady horses and a good driver, rarely drunk, often very young, the men of stronger build being more useful for other work, and any clever young rider able to manage the well-trained and merry-minded beasts, besides being lighter on their backs. Half the weight of the cavalier, in such cases, was in the his boots, which were often brought out slug from the saddle like two buckets, the postillion, after the horses were harnessed, walking along the pole and getting into them.

126. Scarcely less official, for a travelling carriage of good class, than its postillions, was the courier, or properly, avant-courier, whose primary office it was to ride in advance at a steady gallop, and order the horses at each post-house to be harnessed and ready waiting, so that no time might be lost between stages. His higher function was to make all bargains and pay all bills, so as to save the family unbecoming cares and mean anxieties, besides the trouble and disgrace of trying to speak French or any other foreign language. He, farther, knew the good inns in each town, and all the good rooms in each inn, so that he could write beforehand to secure those suited to his family. He was also, if an intelligent man and high-class courier, well acquainted with the proper sights to be seen in each town, and with all the occult means to be used for getting sight of those that weren’t to be seen by the vulgar. Murray, the reader will remember, did not exist in those days; the courier was a private Murray, who knew, if he had any wit, not the things to be seen only, but those you would yourself best like to see, and gave instructions to your valet-de-place accordingly, interfering only as a higher power in cases of difficulty needing to be overcome by money or tact. He
invariably attended the ladies in their shopping expeditions, took them to the fashionable shops, and arranged as he thought proper the prices of articles. Lastly, he knew, of course, all the other high-class couriers on the road, and told you, if you wished to know, all the people of consideration who chanced to be with you in the inn.

127. My father would have considered it an insolent and revolutionary trespass on the privileges of the nobility to have mounted his courier to ride in advance of us; besides that, wisely liberal of his money for comfort and pleasure, he never would have paid the cost of an extra horse for show. The horses were, therefore, ordered in advance, when possible, by the postillions of any preceding carriage (or, otherwise, we did not mind waiting till they were harnessed), and we carried our courier behind us in the dickey with Anne, being in all his other functions and accomplishments an indispensable luxury to us. Indispensable, first, because none of us could speak anything but French, and that only enough to ask our way in; for all specialties of bargaining, or details of information, we were helpless, even in France,—and might as well have been migratory sheep, or geese, in Switzerland or Italy. Indispensable, secondly, to my father’s peace of mind, because, with perfect liberality of temper, he had a great dislike to being over-reached. He perfectly well knew that his courier would have his commission, and allowed it without question; but he knew also that his courier would not be cheated by other people, and was content in his representative. Not for ostentation, but for real enjoyment and change of sensation from his suburban life, my father liked large rooms; and my mother, in mere continuance of her ordinary and essential habits, liked clean ones; clean, and large means a good inn and a first floor. Also my father liked a view from his windows, and reasonably said, “Why should we travel to see less than we may?”—so that meant first floor front. Also my father liked delicate cookery, just because he was one of the smallest and rarest
eaters; and my mother liked good meat. That meant, dinner without limiting price, in reason. Also, though my father never went into society, he all the more enjoyed getting a glimpse, reverentially, of fashionable people—I mean, people of rank—he scorned fashion,—and it was a great thing to him to feel that Lord and Lady—were on the opposite landing, and that, at any moment, he might conceivably meet and pass them on the stairs. Salvador, duly advised, or penetratively perceptive of these dispositions of my father, entirely pleasing and admirable to the courier mind, had carte-blanche in all administrative functions and bargains. We found our pleasant rooms always ready, our good horses always waiting, everybody took their hats off when we arrived and departed. Salvador presented his accounts weekly, and they were settled without a word of demur.

128. To all these conditions of luxury and felicity, can the modern steam-puffed tourist conceive the added ruling and culminating one—that we were never in a hurry? coupled with the correlative power of always starting at the hour we chose, and that if we weren’t ready, the horses would wait? As a rule, we breakfasted at our own home time—eight; the horses were pawing and neighing at the door (under the archway, I should have said) by nine. Between nine and three,—reckoning seven miles an hour, including stoppages, for minimum pace,—we had done our forty to fifty miles of journey, sate down to dinner at four,—and I had two hours of delicious exploring by myself in the evening; ordered in punctually at seven to tea, and finishing my sketches till half-past nine,—bed-time.

On longer days of journey we started at six, and did twenty miles before breakfast, coming in for four o’clock dinner as usual. In a quite long day we made a second stop, dining at any nice village hostelry, and coming in for late tea, after doing our eighty or ninety miles. But these pushes were seldom made unless to get to some pleasant cathedral town for Sunday, or pleasant Alpine village. We
never travelled on Sunday; my father and I nearly always went—as philosophers—to mass, in the morning, and my mother, in pure good-nature to us, (I scarcely ever saw in her a trace of feminine curiosity,) would join with us in some such profanity as a drive on the Corso, or the like, in the afternoon. But we all, even my father, liked a walk in the fields better, round an Alpine chalet village.  

129. At page 81 I threatened more accurate note of my first impressions of Switzerland and Italy in 1833. Of customary Calais I have something to say later on, here I note only our going up Rhine to Strasburg, where, with all its miracles of building, I was already wise enough to feel the cathedral stiff and iron-worky; but was greatly excited and impressed by the high roofs and rich fronts of the wooden houses, in their sudden indication of nearness to Switzerland; and especially by finding the scene so admirably expressed by Prout in the 36th plate of his Flanders and Germany, still uninjured. And then, with Salvador was held council in the inn-parlour of Strasburg, whether—it was then the Friday afternoon—we should push on to-morrow for our Sunday’s rest to Basle, or to Schaffhausen.

130. How much depended—if ever anything “depends” on anything else,—on the issue of that debate! Salvador inclined to the straight and level Rhine-side road, with the luxury of the “Three Kings” attainable by sunset. But at Basle, it had to be admitted, there were no Alps in sight, no cataract within hearing, and Salvador honourably laid before us the splendid alternative possibility of reaching,

1 [The MS. adds here:—
"For the full tasting of all these enjoyments he and I were alike prepared to the finest degree of sensitiveness, by our home lives. My father had known the pinch of poverty, and borne the stress of steady toil; to find himself living, with unstinted power, in a palace at Genoa, or floating with absolutely nothing to do or to be anxious about, down the Grand Canal at Venice, was an extremely marvellous and romantic fact to him, giving a root of inner life to whatever was marvellous and romantic in the scenes themselves."
]

Then follows, somewhat varied, § 152.

2 [For Ruskin’s account of this tour written at the time, in prose and verse, see Vol. II. pp. 340–387.]

3 [See ii. §§ 185–187 (pp. 415–417).]

4 [“St. Omer, Strasbourg.”]
by traverse of the hilly road of the Black Forest, the gates of Schaffhausen itself, before they closed for the night.

The Black Forest! The fall of Schaffhausen! The chain of the Alps! within one’s grasp for Sunday! What a Sunday, instead of customary Walworth and the Dulwich fields! My impassioned petition at last carried it, and the earliest morning saw us trotting over the bridge of boats to Kehl, and in the eastern light I well remember watching the line of the Black Forest hills enlarge and rise, as we crossed the plain of the Rhine. “Gates of the hills”; opening for me to a new life—to cease no more, except at the Gates of the Hills whence one returns not.

131. And so, we reached the base of the Schwarzwald, and entered an ascending dingle; and scarcely, I think, a quarter of an hour after entering, saw our first “Swiss cottage.”* How much it meant to all of us,—how much prophesied to me, no modern traveller could the least conceive, if I spent days in trying to tell him. A sort of triumphant shriek—like all the railway whistles going off at once at Clapham Junction—has gone up from the Fooldom of Europe at the destruction of the myth of William Tell.2 To us, every word of it was true—but mythically luminous with more than mortal truth; and here, under the black woods, glowed the visible, beautiful, tangible testimony to it in the purple larch timber, carved to exquisiteness by the joy of peasant life, continuous, motionless there in the pine shadow on its ancestral turf,—unassailed and unassailing, in the blessedness of righteous poverty, of religious peace.

The myth of William Tell is destroyed forsooth? and

* Swiss, in character and real habit—the political boundaries are of no moment.3

1 [The title given by Ruskin to his engraving of Turner’s “Pass of Faido,” the frontispiece to the fourth volume of Modern Painters (Vol. VI.).]
3 [Compare what Ruskin says of a “Swiss” cottage in Prout’s drawing of Strassburg: Vol. XIV. p. 416.]

H
you have tunnelled Gothard, and filled, it may be, the Bay of Uri;—and it was all for you and your sake that the grapes dropped blood from the press of St. Jacob,\(^1\) and the pine club struck down horse and helm in Morgarten Glen?\(^2\)

132. Difficult enough for you to imagine, that old travellers’ time when Switzerland was yet the land of the Swiss, and the Alps had never been trod by foot of man. Steam, never heard of yet, but for short fair weather crossing at sea (were there paddle-packets across Atlantic? I forget\(^3\)). Any way, the roads by land were safe; and entered once into this mountain Paradise, we wound on through its balmy glens, past cottage after cottage on their lawns, still glistering in the dew.

The road got into more barren heights by the mid-day, the hills arduous; once or twice we had to wait for horses, and we were still twenty miles from Schaffhausen at sunset; it was past midnight when we reached her closed gates. The disturbed porter had the grace to open them—not quite wide enough; we carried away one of our lamps in collision with the slanting bar as we drove through the arch. How much happier the privilege of dreamily entering a mediæval city, though with the loss of a lamp, than the free ingress of being jammed between a dray and a tramcar at a railroad station!

133. It is strange that I but dimly recollect the following morning; I fancy we must have gone to some sort of church or other; and certainly, part of the day went in admiring the bow-windows projecting into the clean streets. None of us seem to have thought the Alps would be visible without profane exertion in climbing hills. We

\(^1\) [For another reference to “the Swiss Thermopylae”—the battle of St. Jacob, near Bâle, where on August 26, 1444, twelve hundred Swiss attacked and defeated a French army twenty-fold more numerous—see Sir Joshua and Holbein, § 14 (Vol. XIX. p. 12). The vineyards near the place produce a red wine, called “Schweizer Blut.”]

\(^2\) [For other references to the battle of Morgarten, see Vol. XVIII. p. 538 n.]

\(^3\) [A steamship first crossed the Atlantic in 1816, but there was no regular line till 1838. Paddles were first superseded by screws in 1843.]
dined at four, as usual, and the evening being entirely fine, went out to walk, all of us,—my father and mother and Mary and I.

We must have still spent some time in town-seeing, for it was drawing towards sunset, when we got up to some sort of garden promenade,—west of the town, I believe; and high above the Rhine, so as to command the open country across it to the south and west. At which open country of low undulation, far into blue,—gazing as at one of our own distances from Malvern of Worcestershire, or Dorking of Kent,—suddenly—behold—beyond!

134. There was no thought in any of us for a moment of their being clouds.¹ They were clear as crystal, sharp on the pure horizon sky, and already tinged with rose by the sinking sun. Infinitely beyond all that we had ever thought or dreamed,—the seen walls of lost Eden could not have been more beautiful to us; not more awful, round heaven, the walls of sacred Death.

It is not possible to imagine, in any time of the world, a more blessed entrance into life, for a child of such a temperament as mine. True, the temperament belonged to the age: a very few years,—within the hundred,—before that, no child could have been born to care for mountains, or for the men that lived among them, in that way. Till Rousseau’s time, there had been no “sentimental” love of nature;² and till Scott’s no such apprehensive love of “all sorts and conditions of men,”³ not in the soul merely, but in the flesh. St. Bernard of La Fontaine,⁴ looking out to Mont Blanc with his child’s eyes, sees above Mont Blanc the Madonna; St. Bernard of Talloires, not the Lake of Annecy, but the dead between Martigny and Aosta. But for me, the Alps and their people were alike beautiful in

¹ [See Ruskin’s verses—“The Alps! the Alps! it is no cloud,” etc., Vol. II. p. 367.]
² [Compare Lectures on Architecture and Painting, § 92 (Vol. XII. p. 120).]
³ [Book of Common Prayer (1662).]
⁴ [For the birthplace at La Fontaine, near Dijon, of St. Bernard of Clairvaux, see Vol. XXXIII. p. 247. Compare the anecdote referred to in Vol. V. p. 363, and Vol. XI. p. 51.]
their snow, and their humanity; and I wanted, neither for them nor myself, sight of any thrones in heaven but the rocks, or of any spirits in heaven but the clouds.

135. Thus, in perfect health of life and fire of heart, not wanting to be anything but the boy I was, not wanting to have anything more than I had; knowing of sorrow only just so much as to make life serious to me, not enough to slacken in the least its sinews; and with so much of science mixed with feeling as to make the sight of the Alps not only the revelation of the beauty of the earth, but the opening of the first page of its volume,—I went down that evening from the garden-terrace of Schaffhausen with my destiny fixed in all of it that was to be sacred and useful. To that terrace, and the shore of the Lake of Geneva, my heart and faith return to this day, in every impulse that is yet nobly alive in them, and every thought that has in it help or peace.¹

136. The morning after that Sunday’s eve at Schaffhausen was also cloudless, and we drove early to the falls, seeing again the chain of the Alps by morning light, and learning, at Lauffen, what an Alpine river was. Coming out of the gorge of Balsthal,² I got another ever memorable sight of the chain of the Alps, and these distant views, never seen by the modern traveller, taught me, and made me feel, more than the close marvels of Thun and Interlachen. It was again fortunate that we took the grandest pass into Italy,—that the first ravine of the main Alps I saw was the Via Mala, and the first lake of Italy, Como.

We took boat on the little recessed lake of Chiavenna,

¹ [Here in the MS. is the following additional passage:—
“This morning I read for the first time in Ernest Chesneau’s Chefs d’École the life and death of Géricault. It taught me, as nothing else could, the happiness of the circumstances surrounding my own boyhood, as distinguished from those which polluted and crushed the child’s existence of him whose after life was summed in the sentence, ‘He never painted a woman, a child, or the sun.’”]

The book is La Peinture Française au XIXᵉ Siècle: Les Chefs d’Ecole (Paris, 1862). The remark about Géricault (“Géricault n’a peint ni femme, ni enfant, ni Soleil”) is at p. 135.]

² [Near Soleure. Ruskin saw this view on his second visit to Switzerland in 1835.]
and rowed down the whole way of waters, passing another Sunday at Cadenabbia, and then, from villa to villa, across the lake, and across, to Como, and so to Milan by Monza.

It was then full, though early, summer time; and the first impression of Italy always ought to be in her summer. It was also well that, though my heart was with the Swiss cottager, the artificial taste in me had been mainly formed by Turner's rendering of those very scenes, in Rogers's *Italy*. The “Lake of Como,” the two moonlight villas, and the “Farewell,” had prepared me for all that was beautiful and right in the terraced gardens, proportioned arcades, and white spaces of sunny wall, which have in general no honest charm for the English mind. But to me, they were almost native through Turner,—familiar at once, and revered. I had no idea then of the Renaissance evil in them; they were associated only with what I had been told of the “divine art” of Raphael and Lionardo, and, by my ignorance of dates, associated with the stories of Shakespeare. Portia’s villa,—Juliet’s palace,—I thought to have been like these.

Also, as noticed in the preface to reprint of vol. ii. of *Modern Painters*, I had always a quite true perception of size, whether in mountains or buildings, and with the perception, joy in it; so that the vastness of scale in the Milanese palaces, and the “mount of marble, a hundred spires” of the duomo, impressed me to the full at once: and not having yet the taste to discern good Gothic from bad, the mere richness and fineness of lace-like tracery against the sky was a consummate rapture to me—how much more getting up to it and climbing among it, with the Monte Rosa seen between its pinnacles across the plain!

137. I had been partly prepared for this view by the admirable presentment of it in London, a year or two

1 [Compare the Notes on Prout, Vol. XIV. p. 397.]
2 [The engravings at pp. 32, 115, 223, 233 in the *Italy*. Turner's drawings for the subjects are Nos. 215, 221, 223, and 208 in the National Gallery: see Vol. XIII. pp. 617, 618.]
3 [That is, to the separate edition of 1883: see § 9 of it; in this edition, Vol. IV. p. 8 (where other passages to like effect are now noted).]
4 [Tennyson: *The Daisy.*]
before, in an exhibition, of which the vanishing has been in later
life a greatly felt loss to me,—Burford’s panorama in Leicester
Square,1 which was an educational institution of the highest and
purest value, and ought to have been supported by the
Government as one of the most beneficial school instruments in
London. There I had seen, exquisitely painted, the view from the
roof of Milan Cathedral, when I had no hope of ever seeing the
reality, but with a joy and wonder of the deepest;—and now to
be there indeed, made deep wonder become fathomless.

Again, most fortunately, the weather was clear and cloudless
all day long, and as the sun drew westward, we were able to
drive to the Corso, where, at that time, the higher Milanese were
happy and proud as ours in their park, and whence, no railway
station intervening, the whole chain of the Alps was visible on
one side, and the beautiful city with its dominant
frost-crystalline Duomo on the other. Then the drive home in the
open carriage through the quiet twilight, up the long streets, and
round the base of the Duomo, the smooth pavement under the
wheels adding with its silentness to the sense of dream wonder in
it all,—the perfect air in absolute calm, the just seen majesty of
encompassing Alps, the perfectness—so it seemed to me—and
purity, of the sweet, stately, stainless marble against the sky.
What more, what else, could be asked of seemingly immutable
good in this mutable world?

138. I wish in general to avoid interference with the reader’s
judgment on the matters which I endeavour serenely to narrate;
but may, I think, here be pardoned for observing to him the
advantage, in a certain way, of the contemplative abstraction
from the world which, during this early continental travelling,
was partly enforced by our ignorance, and partly secured by our
love of comfort. There is something peculiarly delightful—nay,
delightful inconceivably by the modern German-plated and
French-polished tourist, in passing through the streets of a
foreign city without

1 [See Vol. XXVI. p. 567.]
understanding a word that anybody says! One’s ear for all sound of voices then becomes entirely impartial; one is not diverted by the meaning of syllables from recognizing the absolute guttural, liquid, or honeyed quality of them: while the gesture of the body and the expression of the face have the same value for you that they have in a pantomime; every scene becomes a melodious opera to you, or a picturesquely inarticulate Punch. Consider, also, the gain in so consistent tranquillity. Most young people nowadays, or even lively old ones, travel more in search of adventures than of information. One of my most valued records of recent wandering is a series of sketches by an amiable and extremely clever girl, of the things that happened to her people and herself every day that they were abroad. Here it is brother Harry, and there it is mamma, and now paterfamilias, and now her little graceful self, and anon her merry or remonstrant sisterhood, who meet with enchanting hardships, and enviable misadventures; bind themselves with fetters of friendship, and glance into sparklings of amourette, with any sort of people in conical hats and fringy caps; and it is all very delightful and condescending; and, of course, things are learnt about the country that way which can be learned in no other way, but only about that part of it which interests itself in you, or which you have pleasure in being acquainted with. Virtually, you are thinking of yourself all the time; you necessarily talk to the cheerful people, not to the sad ones; and your head is for the most part vividly taken up with very little things. I don’t say that our isolation was meritorious, or that people in general should know no language but their own. Yet the meek ignorance has these advantages. We did not travel for adventures, nor for company, but to see with our eyes, and to measure with our hearts. If you have sympathy, the aspect of humanity is more true to the depths of it than its words; and even in my own land, the things in which I have been least deceived are those which I have learned as their Spectator.
CHAPTER VII
PAPA AND MAMMA

139. The work to which, as partly above described, I set myself
during the year 1834 under the excitement remaining from my
foreign travels, was in four distinct directions, in any one of
which my strength might at that time have been fixed by definite
encouragement. There was first the effort to express sentiment in
rhyme; the sentiment being really genuine, under all the
superficial vanities of its display; and the rhymes rhythmic, only
without any ideas in them. It was impossible to explain, either to
myself or other people, why I liked staring at the sea,1 or
scampering on a moor; but, one had pleasure in making some
sort of melodious noise about it, like the waves themselves, or
the peewits. Then, secondly, there was the real love of
engraving, and of such characters of surface and shade as it
could give. I have never seen drawing, by a youth, so entirely
industrious in delicate line; and there was really the making of a
fine landscape, or figure outline, engraver in me. But fate having
ordered otherwise, I mourn the loss to engraving less than that
before calculated, or rather incalculable, one, to geology.2 Then
there was, thirdly, the violent instinct for architecture; but I
never could have built or carved anything, because I was without
power of design;3 and have perhaps done as much in that
direction as it was worth doing with so limited faculty.4 And
then, fourthly, there was the unabated, never to be abated,
geological instinct, now fastened

1 [See above, § 86, p. 78.]
2 [See above, § 109, p. 96.]
3 [Compare below, p. 304 (§ 64).]
4 [In writing, the Seven Lamps and the Stones of Venice; in drawings of architectural
subjects, innumerable; and, perhaps he means also, in suggestions made for the Oxford
Museum (see Vol. XVI.).]
on the Alps. My fifteenth birthday gift being left to my choice, I asked for Saussure’s *Voyages dans les Alpes*, and thenceforward began progressive work, carrying on my mineralogical dictionary by the help of Jameson’s three-volume Mineralogy, (an entirely clear and serviceable book; comparing his descriptions with the minerals in the British Museum, and writing my own more eloquent and exhaustive accounts in a shorthand of many ingeniously symbolic characters, which it took me much longer to write my descriptions in, than in common text, and which neither I nor anybody else could read a word of, afterwards.

140. Such being the quadrilateral plan of my fortifiable dispositions, it is time now to explain, with such clue as I have found to them, the somewhat peculiar character and genius of both my parents; the influence of which was more important upon me, then, and far on into life, than any external conditions, either of friendship or tutorship, whether at the University, or in the world.

It was, in the first place, a matter of essential weight in the determination of subsequent lines, not only of labour but of thought, that while my father, as before told, gave me the best example of emotional reading,—reading, observe, proper; not recitation, which he disdained, and I disliked,—my mother was both able to teach me, and resolved that I should learn, absolute accuracy of diction and precision of accent in prose; and made me know, as soon as I could speak plain, what I have in all later years tried to enforce on my readers, that accuracy of diction means accuracy of sensation, and precision of accent, precision of feeling.

1 [For Saussure as his “master in geology,” see the passages noted at Vol. XXVI. p. xix.]
3 [A page of the “Dictionary,” begun about 1831, is here reproduced (from W.G. Collingwood’s *Ruskin Relics*, p. 171: for a note upon it, see the Introduction (above, p. lxxx.).]
4 [See above, p. 61.]
5 [See, for instance, on accuracy of diction, *Lectures on Art*, § 68 (Vol. XX. pp. 74, 75), and *The Storm-Cloud*, § 66 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 60); and on precision of accent, *Modern Painters*, vol. iv. (Vol. VI. p. 333), and *Fors Clavigera*, Letter 95 (Vol. XXIX. p. 501).]
A Page of Ruskin's "Mineralogical Dictionary"  
(about 1831)
country school, my mother had there learned severely right principles of truth, charity, and housewifery, with punctilious respect for the purity of that English which in her home surroundings she perceived to be by no means as undefiled as the ripples of Wandel. She was the daughter, as aforesaid,\(^1\) of the early widowed landlady of the King’s Head Inn and Tavern, which still exists, or existed a year or two since,\(^2\) presenting its side to Croydon market-place, its front and entrance door to the narrow alley which descends, steep for pedestrians, impassable to carriages, from the High Street to the lower town.

141. Thus native to the customs and dialect of Croydon Agora, my mother, as I now read her, must have been an extremely intelligent, admirably practical, and naïvely ambitious girl; keeping, without contention, the headship of her class, and availing herself with steady discretion of every advantage the country school and its modest mistress could offer her. I never in her after-life heard her speak with regret, and seldom without respectful praise, of any part of the discipline of Mrs. Rice.

I do not know for what reason, or under what conditions, my mother went to live with my Scottish grandfather and grandmother, first at Edinburgh, and then at the house of Bower’s Well, on the slope of the Hill of Kinnoul, above Perth. I was stupidly and heartlessly careless of the past history of my family as long as I could have learnt it; not till after my mother’s death did I begin to desire to know what I could never more be told.

But certainly the change, for her, was into a higher sphere of society,—that of real, though sometimes eccentric, and frequently poor, gentlemen and gentlewomen. She must then have been rapidly growing into a tall, handsome, and very finely made girl, with a beautiful mild firmness of expression; a faultless and accomplished housekeeper, and a natural, essential, unassailable, yet inoffensive, prude.

\(^1\) [See above, pp. 17, 18.]
\(^2\) [Subsequently demolished, as noted in E. A. Martin’s *Croydon: New and Old*, 1904, p. 28.]
I never heard a single word of any sentiment, accident, admiration, or affection disturbing the serene tenor of her Scottish stewardship; yet I noticed that she never spoke without some slight shyness before my father, nor without some pleasure, to other people, of Dr. Thomas Brown.  

142. That the Professor of Moral Philosophy was a frequent guest at my grandmother’s tea-table, and fond of benignantly arguing with Miss Margaret, is evidence enough of the position she held in Edinburgh circles; her household skills and duties never therefore neglected—rather, if anything, still too scrupulously practised. Once, when she had put her white frock on for dinner, and hurried to the kitchen to give final glance at the state and order of things there, old Mause,  

2 having run against the white frock with a black saucepan, and been, it seems, rebuked by her young mistress with too little resignation to the will of Providence in that matter, shook her head sorrowfully, saying, “Ah, Miss Margaret, ye are just like Martha, careful’ and troubled about mony things.”  

143. When my mother was thus, at twenty, in a Desdemona-like prime of womanhood, intent on highest moral philosophy,—“though still the house affairs would draw her thence”  

3—my father was a dark-eyed, brilliantly active, and sensitive youth of sixteen. Margaret became to him an absolutely respected and admired—mildly liked —governess and confidante. Her sympathy was necessary to him in all his flashingly transient amours; her advice in all domestic business or sorrow, and her encouragement in all his plans of life. These were already determined for commerce;—yet not to the abandonment of liberal study. He had learned Latin thoroughly, though with no large range of reading, under the noble traditions of Adam  

4 at

---

1 [1778–1820; Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh, 1810.]
2 [See above, §§ 71, 77 (pp. 63, 70).]
3 [Othello, Act i. sc. 3.]
4 [Author of the Latin Grammar above referred to (p. 83): for a notice of him in connexion with Scott, see Fors, Letter 31 (Vol. XXVII. p. 582); and see further, below, ii. § 229 (p. 460).]
the High School of Edinburgh: while, by the then living and universal influence of Sir Walter, every scene of his native city was exalted in his imagination by the purest poetry, and the proudest history, that ever hallowed or haunted the streets and rocks of a brightly inhabited capital.

144. I have neither space, nor wish, to extend my proposed account of things that have been, by records of correspondence;—it is too much the habit of modern biographers to confuse epistolary talk with vital fact. But the following letter from Dr. Thomas Brown to my father, at this critical juncture of his life, must be read, in part as a testimony to the position he already held among the youths of Edinburgh, and yet more as explaining some points of his blended character, of the deepest significance afterwards, both to himself and to me:—

“8, N. ST. DAVID’S STREET,
EDINBURGH, February 18th, 1807.

MY DEAR SIR,—When I look at the date of the letter which you did me the honour to send me as your adviser in literary matters—an office which a proficient like you scarcely requires—I am quite ashamed of the interval which I have suffered to elapse. I can truly assure you, however, that it has been unavoidable, and has not arisen from any want of interest in your intellectual progress. Even when you were a mere boy I was much delighted with your early zeal and attainments; and for your own sake, as well as for your excellent mother’s, I have always looked to you with great regard, and with the belief that you would distinguish yourself in whatever profession you might adopt.

“You seem, I think, to repent too much the time you have devoted to the Belles Lettres. I confess I do not regret this for you. You must, I am sure, have felt the effect which such studies have in giving a general refinement to the manners and to the heart, which, to any one who is not to be strictly a man of science, is the most valuable effect of literature. You must remember that there is a great difference between studying professionally, and studying for relaxation and ornament. In the society in which you are to mix, the writers in Belles Lettres will be mentioned fifty times, when more abstract science will not be mentioned once; and there is this great advantage in that sort of knowledge, that the display of it, unless very immoderate indeed, is not counted pedantry, when the display of other intellectual attainments might run some risk of the imputation. There is indeed one evil in the reading of poetry and other light productions, that it is apt to be indulged in to downright gluttony, and to occupy time which should be given to business; but I am sure I can rely
VII. PAPA AND MAMMA

on you that you will not so misapply your time. There is, however, one science, the first and greatest of sciences to all men, and to merchants particularly—the science of Political Economy. To this I think your chief attention should be directed. It is in truth the science of your own profession, which counteracts the—(word lost with seal)—and narrow habits which that profession is sometimes apt to produce; and which is of perpetual appeal in every discussion on mercantile and financial affairs. A merchant well instructed in Political Economy must always be fit to lead the views of his brother merchants—without it, he is a mere trader. Do not lose a day, therefore, without providing yourself with a copy of Adam Smith’s Wealth of Nations, and read and re-read it with attention—as I am sure you must read it with delight. In giving you this advice I consider you as a merchant, for as that is to be your profession in life, your test of the importance of any acquirement should be how far it will tend to render you an honourable and distinguished merchant;—a character of no small estimation in this commercial country. I therefore consider the physical sciences as greatly subordinate in relation to your prospects in life, and the society in which you will be called to mingle. All but chemistry require a greater preparation in mathematics than you probably have, and chemistry it is quite impossible to understand without some opportunity of seeing experiments systematically carried on. If, however, you have the opportunity to attend any of the lectures on that science in London, it will be well worth your while, and in that case I think you should purchase either Dr. Thompson’s or Mr. Murray’s new system of chemistry, so as to keep up constantly with your lecturer. Even of physics in general it is pleasant to have some view, however superficial, and therefore though you cannot expect without mathematics to have anything but a superficial view, you had better try to attain it. With this view you may read Gregory’s Economy of Nature, which though not a good book, and not always accurate, is, I believe, the best popular book we have, and sufficiently accurate for your purposes. Remember, however, that though you may be permitted to be a superficial natural philosopher, no such indulgence is to be given you in Political Economy.

“The only other circumstance remaining for me to request of you is that you will not suffer yourself to lose any of the languages you have acquired. Of the modern languages there is less fear, as your mercantile communications will in some measure keep them alive; but merchants do not correspond in Latin, and you may perhaps lose it unconsciously. Independently, however, of the admirable writers of whom you would thus deprive yourself, and considering the language merely as the accomplishment of a gentleman, it is of too great value to be carelessly resigned.

“Farewell, my dear sir. Accept the regard of all this family, and believe me, with every wish to be of service to you,

“Your sincere friend,

“T. BROWN.”

145. It may easily be conceived that a youth to whom such a letter as this was addressed by one of the chiefs of the purely intellectual circles of Edinburgh, would be
regarded with more respect by his Croydon cousin than is usually rendered by grown young women to their schoolboy friends.

Their frank, cousinly relation went on, however, without a thought on either side of any closer ties, until my father, at two or three and twenty, after various apprenticeship in London, was going finally to London to begin his career in his own business. By that time he had made up his mind that Margaret, though not the least an ideal heroine to him, was quite the best sort of person he could have for a wife, the rather as they were already so well used to each other; and in a quiet, but enough resolute way, asked her if she were of the same mind, and would wait until he had an independence to offer her. His early tutress consented with frankly confessed joy, not indeed in the Agnes Wickfield way, "I have loved you all my life," but feeling and admitting that it was great delight to be allowed to love him now. The relations between Grace Nugent and Lord Colambre in Miss Edgeworth's *Absentee* extremely resemble those between my father and mother, except that Lord Colambre is a more eager lover. My father chose his wife much with the same kind of serenity and decision with which afterwards he chose his clerks.

146. A time of active and hopeful contentment for both the young people followed, my mother being perhaps the more deeply in love, while John depended more absolutely on her sympathy and wise friendship than is at all usual with young men of the present day in their relations with admired young ladies. But neither of them ever permitted their feelings to degenerate into fretful or impatient passion. My mother showed her affection chiefly in steady endeavour to cultivate her powers of mind, and form her manners, so as to fit herself to be the undespised companion of a man whom she considered much her superior: my father in unremitting attention to the business on the success of

1 [David Copperfield, ch. lxii.]
The Author's Mother and Father.
From the portraits by James Northcote, R.A.
which his marriage depended: and in a methodical regularity of
conduct and correspondence which never left his mistress a
moment of avoidable anxiety, or gave her motive for any serious
displeasure.

On these terms the engagement lasted nine years; at the end
of which time, my grandfather’s debts having been all paid,¹ and
my father established in a business gradually increasing, and
liable to no grave contingency, the now not very young people
were married in Perth one evening after supper, the servants of
the house having no suspicion of the event until John and
Margaret drove away together next morning to Edinburgh.²

147. In looking back to my past thoughts and ways, nothing
astonishes me more than my want of curiosity about all these
matters; and that, often and often as my mother used to tell with
complacency the story of this carefully secret marriage, I never
asked, “But, mother, why so secret, when it was just what all the
friends of both of you so long expected, and what all your best
friends so heartily wished?”

But, until lately, I never thought of writing any more about
myself than was set down in diaries, nor of my family at all: and
thus too carelessly, and, as I now think, profanely, neglected the
traditions of my people. “What does it all matter, now?” I said;
“we are what we are, and shall be what we make ourselves.”

Also, until very lately, I had accustomed myself to consider
all that my parents had done, so far as their own happiness was
concerned, entirely wise and exemplary. Yet the reader must not
suppose that what I have said in my deliberate writings on the
propriety of long engagements³ had any reference to this
singular one in my own family. Of the heroism and patience with
which the sacrifice was made, on both sides, I cannot
judge:—but that it was

¹ [See above, §§ 5, 10 (pp. 15, 19).]
² [February 27, 1818; she was 37, and he 33.]
³ [See, for instance, Fos Clavigera, Letter 90 (Vol. XXIX. p. 429).]
greater than I should myself have been capable of, I know, and I believe that it was unwise. For during these years of waiting, my father fell gradually into a state of ill-health, from which he never entirely recovered; and in close of life, they both had to leave their child, just when he was beginning to satisfy the hopes they had formed for him.

148. I have allowed this tale of the little I knew of their early trials and virtues to be thus chance told, because I think my history will, in the end, be completest if I write as its connected subjects occur to me, and not with formal chronology of plan. My reason for telling it in this place was chiefly to explain how my mother obtained her perfect skill in English reading, through the hard effort which, through the years of waiting, she made to efface the faults, and supply the defects, of her early education; effort which was aided and directed unerringly by her natural—for its intensity I might justly call it supernatural—purity of heart and conduct, leading her always to take most delight in the right and clear language which only can relate lovely things. Her unquestioning evangelical faith in the literal truth of the Bible placed me, as soon as I could conceive or think, in the presence of an unseen world; and set my active analytic power early to work on the questions of conscience, free will, and responsibility,¹ which are easily determined in days of innocence; but are approached too often with prejudice, and always with disadvantage, after men become stupefied by the opinions, or tainted by the sins, of the outer world: while the gloom, and even terror, with which the restrictions of the Sunday, and the doctrines of the Pilgrim’s Progress, the Holy War, and Quarles’s Emblems, oppressed the seventh part of my time, was useful to me as the only form of vexation which I was called on to endure; and redeemed by the otherwise uninterrupted cheerfulness and tranquillity of a

¹ [Questions early resolved for him, Ruskin says elsewhere, by his childish experiences: see Fors Clavigera, Letter 37 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 15).]
household wherein the common ways were all of pleasantness, and its single and strait path, of perfect peace.¹

149. My father’s failure of health, following necessarily on the long years of responsibility and exertion, needed only this repose to effect its cure. Shy to an extreme degree in general company, all the more because he had natural powers which he was unable to his own satisfaction to express,—his business faculty was entirely superb and easy: he gave his full energy to counting-house work in the morning, and his afternoons to domestic rest. With instant perception and decision in all business questions, with principles of dealing which admitted of no infraction, and involved neither anxiety nor concealment, the counting-house work was more of an interest, or even an amusement, to him, than a care. His capital was either in the Bank, or in St. Catherine’s Docks, in the form of insured butts of the finest sherry in the world; his partner, Mr. Domecq, a Spaniard as proud as himself, as honourable, and having perfect trust in him,—not only in his probity, but his judgment,—accurately complying with all his directions in the preparation of wine for the English market, and no less anxious than he to make every variety of it, in its several rank, incomparably good. The letters to Spain therefore needed only brief statement that the public of that year wanted their wine young or old, a pale or brown, and the like; and the letters to customers were as brief in their assurances that if they found fault with their wine, they did not understand it, and if they wanted an extension of credit, they could not have it. These Spartan brevities of epistle were, however, always supported by the utmost care in executing his correspondents’ orders; and by the unusual attention shown them in travelling for those orders himself, instead of sending an agent or a clerk. His domiciliary visits of this kind were always conducted by him

¹ [See Proverbs iii. 17, a passage frequently quoted by Ruskin (see General Index).] xxxv.
with great savoir faire and pleasant courtesy, no less than the most attentive patience: and they were productive of the more confidence between him and the country merchant, that he was perfectly just and candid in appraisement of the wine of rival houses, while his fine palate enabled him always to sustain triumphantly any and every ordeal of blindfold question which the suspicious customer might put him to. Also, when correspondents of importance came up to town, my father would put himself so far out of his way as to ask them to dine at Herne Hill, and try the contents of his own cellar. These London visits fell into groups, on any occasions in the metropolis of interest more than usual to the provincial mind. Our business dinners were then arranged so as to collect two or three country visitors together, and the table made symmetrical by selections from the house’s customers in London, whose conversation might be most instructive to its rural friends.

Very early in my boy’s life I began much to dislike these commercial feasts, and to form, by carefully attending to their dialogue, when it chanced to turn on any other subject than wine, an extremely low estimate of the commercial mind as such;—estimate which I have never had the slightest reason to alter.

Of our neighbours on Herne Hill we saw nothing, with one exception only, afterwards to be noticed. They were for the most part well-to-do London tradesmen of the better class, who had little sympathy with my mother’s old-fashioned ways, and none with my father’s romantic sentiment.

150. There was probably the farther reason for our declining the intimacy of our immediate neighbours, that most of them were far more wealthy than we, and inclined to demonstrate their wealth by the magnificence of their establishments. My parents lived with strict economy, kept

1 [See below, p. 138.]
only female servants,* used only tallow candles in plated candlesticks, were content with the leasehold territory of their front and back gardens,—scarce an acre altogether,— and kept neither horse nor carriage. Our shop-keeping neighbours, on the contrary, had usually great cortège of footmen and glitter of plate, extensive pleasure grounds, costly hot-houses, and carriages driven by coachmen in wigs. It may be perhaps doubted by some of my readers whether the coldness of acquaintance was altogether on our side; but assuredly my father was too proud to join entertainments for which he could give no like return, and my mother did not care to leave her card on foot at the doors of ladies who dashed up to hers in their barouche.

151. Protected by these monastic severities and aristocratic dignities from the snares and disturbances of the outer world, the routine of my childish days became fixed, as of the sunrise and sunset to a nestling. It may seem singular to many of my readers that I remember with most pleasure the time when it was most regular and most solitary. The entrance of my cousin Mary into our household was coincident with the introduction of masters above described,¹ and with other changes in the aims and employments of the day, which, while they often increased its interest, disturbed its tranquillity. The ideas of success at school or college, put before me by my masters, were ignoble and comfortless, in comparison with my mother’s regretful blame, or simple praise: and Mary, though of a mildly cheerful and entirely amiable disposition, necessarily touched the household heart with the sadness of her orphanage, and something interrupted its harmony by the difference, which my mother could not help showing, between the feelings with which she regarded her niece and her child.

* Thomas left us, I think partly in shame for my permanently injured lip;² and we never had another indoor man-servant.

¹ [See above, pp. 74, 76.]
² [See above, pp. 67–68.]
152. And although I have dwelt with thankfulness on the many joys and advantages of these secluded years, the vigilant reader will not, I hope, have interpreted the accounts rendered of them into general praise of a like home education in the environs of London. But one farther good there was in it, hitherto unspoken; that great part of my acute perception and deep feeling of the beauty of architecture and scenery abroad, was owing to the well-formed habit of narrowing myself to happiness within the four brick walls of our fifty by one hundred yards of garden; and accepting with resignation the aesthetic external surroundings of a London suburb, and, yet more, of a London chapel. For Dr. Andrews¹ was the Londonian chapel in its perfect type, definable as accurately as a Roman basilica,—an oblong, flat-ceiled barn, lighted by windows with semi-circular heads, brick-arched, filled by small-paned glass held by iron bars, like fine threaded halves of cobwebs; galleries propped on iron pipes, up both sides; pews, well shut in, each of them, by partitions of plain deal, and neatly brass-latched deal doors, filling the barn floor, all but its two lateral straw-matted passages; pulpit, sublimely isolated, central from sides and clear of altar rails at end; a stout, four-legged box of well-grained wainscot, high as the level of front galleries, and decorated with a cushion of crimson velvet, padded six inches thick, with gold tassels at the corners; which was a great resource to me when I was tired of the sermon, because I liked watching the rich colour of the folds and creases that came in it when the clergyman thumped it.

153. Imagine the change between one Sunday and the next,—from the morning service in this building, attended by the families of the small shopkeepers of the Walworth Road, in their Sunday trimmings; (our plumber’s wife, fat, good, sensible Mrs. Goad, sat in the next pew in front of us, sternly sensitive to the interruption of her devotion by

¹ [See above, pp. 71–72.]
our late arrivals'); fancy the change from this, to high mass in Rouen Cathedral, its nave filled by the white-capped peasantry of half Normandy!

Nor was the contrast less enchanting or marvellous between the street architecture familiar to my eyes, and that of Flanders and Italy, as an exposition of mercantile taste and power. My father's counting-house was in the centre of Billiter Street, some years since effaced from sight and memory of men, but a type, then, of English city state in perfection. We now build house fronts as advertisements, spending a hundred thousand pounds in the lying mask of our bankruptcies. But in my father's time both trade and building were still honest. His counting-house was a room about fifteen feet by twenty, including desks for two clerks, and a small cupboard for sherry samples, on the first floor, with a larger room opposite for private polite receptions of elegant visitors, or the serving of a chop for himself if he had to stay late in town. The ground floor was occupied by friendly Messrs. Wardell and Co., a bottling retail firm, I believe. The only advertisement of the place of business was the brass plate under the bell-handle, inscribed "Ruskin, Telford, and Domecq," brightly scrubbed by the single female servant in charge of the establishment, old Maisie,—abbreviated or tenderly diminished into the "sie," from I know not what Christian name—Marion, I believe, as Mary into Mause. The whole house, three-storied, with garrets, was under her authority, with, doubtless, assistant morning charwoman,—cooking, waiting, and answering the door to distinguished visitors, all done by Maisie, the visitors being expected of course to announce themselves by the knocker with a flourish in proportion to their eminence in society. The business men rang the counting-house bell aforesaid, (round which the many coats of annual paint were cut into a beautiful slant section by daily scrubbing, like the coats of an agate;) and were

1 [See above, p. 72.]
admitted by lifting of latch, manipulated by the head clerk’s hand in the counting-house, without stirring from his seat.

154. This unpretending establishment, as I said, formed part of the western side of Billiter Street, a narrow trench—it may have been thirty feet wide—admitting, with careful and precise driving, the passing each other of two brewers’ drays. I am not sure that this was possible at the ends of the street, but only at a slight enlargement opposite the brewery in the middle. Effectively a mere trench between three-storied houses of prodigious brickwork, thoroughly well laid, and presenting no farther entertainment whatever to the æsthetic beholder than the alternation of the ends and sides of their beautifully level close courses of bricks, and the practised and skilful radiation of those which formed the window lintels.

Typical, I repeat, of the group of London edifices, east of the Mansion House, and extending to the Tower; the under-hill picturesqueness of which, however, were in early days an entirely forbidden district to me, lest I should tumble into the docks; but Fenchurch and Leadenhall Streets, familiar to me as the perfection of British mercantile state and grandeur,—the reader may by effort, though still dimly, conceive the effect on my imagination of the fantastic gables of Ghent, and orange-scented cortiles of Genoa.¹

155. I can scarcely account to myself, on any of the ordinary principles of resignation, for the undimmed tranquillity of pleasure with which, after these infinite excitements in foreign lands, my father would return to his desk opposite the brick wall of the brewery, and I to my niche behind the drawing-room chimney-piece. But to both of us, the steady occupations, the beloved samenesses, and the sacred customs of home were more precious than all the fervours of wonder in things new to us, or delight in scenes of incomparable beauty. Very early, indeed, I had found that novelty was soon exhausted, and beauty, though

¹ [For an additional passage which here follows in the MS, see the Appendix; below, p. 622.]
inexhaustible, beyond a certain point or time of enthusiasm, no
more to be enjoyed; but it is not so often observed by
philosophers that home, healthily organized, is always
enjoyable; nay, the sick thrill of pleasure through all the brain
and heart with which, after even so much as a month or two of
absence, I used to catch the first sight of the ridge of Herne Hill,
and watch for every turn of the well-known road and every
branch of the familiar trees, was—though not so deep or
overwhelming—more intimately and vitally powerful than the
brightest passions of joy in strange lands, or even in the
unaccustomed scenery of my own. To my mother, her ordinary
household cares, her reading with Mary and me, her chance of a
chat with Mrs. Gray, and the unperturbed preparation for my
father’s return, and for the quiet evening, were more than all the
splendours or wonders of the globe between poles and equator.

156. Thus we returned—full of new thoughts, and faithful to
the old, to this exulting rest of home in the close of 1833. An
unforeseen shadow was in the heaven of its charmed horizon.

Every day at Cornhill, Charles\(^1\) became more delightful and
satisfactory to everybody who knew him. How a boy living all
day in London could keep so bright a complexion, and so crisply
Achillean curls of hair—and all the gay spirit of his Croydon
mother—was not easily conceivable; but he became a perfect
combination of the sparkle of Jin Vin with the steadiness of
Tunstall,\(^2\) and was untroubled by the charms of any unattainable
Margaret, for his master had no daughter; but, as worse chance
would have it, a son: so that looking forward to possibilities as a
rising apprentice ought, Charles saw that there were none in the
house for him beyond the place of cashier, or perhaps only
head-clerk. His elder brother, who had taught him to swim by
throwing him into Croydon canal,\(^3\) was getting on fast as

\(^1\) [See above, p. 90.]
\(^2\) [Jenkin Vincent and Francis Tunstall, the two apprentices of David Ramsay (father
of Margaret) in The Fortunes of Nigel.]
\(^3\) [See above, p. 89.]
a general trader in Australia, and naturally longed to have his best-loved brother there for a partner. Bref, it was resolved that Charles should go to Australia. The Christmas time of 1833 passed heavily, for I was very sorry; Mary, a good deal more so: and my father and mother, though in their hearts caring for nobody in the world but me, were grave at the thought of Charles’s going so far away; but, honestly and justifiably, thought it for the lad’s good. I think the whole affair was decided, and Charles’s outfit furnished, and ship’s berth settled, and ship’s captain interested in his favour, in something less than a fortnight, and down he went to Portsmouth to join his ship joyfully, with the world to win. By due post came the news that he was at anchor off Cowes, but that the ship could not sail because of the west wind. And post succeeded post, and still the west wind blew. We liked the west wind for its own sake, but it was a prolonging of farewell which teased us, though Charles wrote that he was enjoying himself immensely, and the captain, that he had made friends with every sailor on board, besides the passengers.

157. And still the west wind blew. I do not remember how long—some ten days or fortnight, I believe. At last, one day my mother and Mary went with my father into town on some shopping or sight-seeing business of a cheerful character; and I was left at home, busy also about something that cheered me greatly, I know not what; but when I heard the others come in, and upstairs into the drawing-room, I ran eagerly down and into the room, beginning to tell them about this felicity that had befallen me, whatever it was. They all stood like statues, my father and mother very grave. Mary was looking out of the window—the farthest of the front three from the door. As I went on, boasting of myself, she turned round suddenly, her face all streaming with tears, and caught hold of me, and put her face close to mine, that I might hear the sobbing whisper, “Charles is gone.”

158. The west wind had still blown, clearly and strong,
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and the day before there had been a fresh breeze of it round the
isle, at Spithead, exactly the kind of breeze that drifts the clouds,
and ridges the waves, in Turner’s Gosport.

The ship was sending her boat on shore for some water, or
the like—her little cutter, or somehow sailing, boat. There was a
heavy sea running, and the sailors, and, I believe, also a
passenger or two, had some difficulty in getting on board. “May
I go, too?” said Charles to the captain, as he stood seeing them
down the side. “Are you not afraid?” said the captain. “I never
was afraid of anything in my life,” said Charles, and went down
the side and leaped in.

The boat had not got fifty yards from the ship before she
going over, but there were other boats sailing all about them, like
gnats in midsummer. Two or three scudded to the spot in a
minute, and every soul was saved, except Charles, who went
down like a stone.

22nd January, 1834.

All this we knew by little and little. For the first day or two
we would not believe it, but thought he must have been taken up
by some other boat and carried to sea. At last came word that his
body had been thrown ashore at Cowes: and his father went
down to see him buried. That done, and all the story heard, for
still the ship stayed, he came to Herne Hill, to tell Charles’s
“auntie” all about it. (The old man never called my mother
anything else than auntie.) It was in the morning, in the front
parlour—my mother knitting in her usual place at the fireside, I
at my drawing, or the like, in my own place also. My uncle told
all the story, in the quiet, steady sort of way that the common
English do, till just at the end he broke down into sobbing,
saying (I can hear the words now), “They caught the cap off of
his head, and yet they couldn’t save him.”
CHAPTER VIII

VESTER, CAMENAE

159. The death of Charles closed the doors of my heart again for that time; and the self-engrossed quiet of the Herne Hill life continued for another year, leaving little to be remembered, and less to be told. My parents made one effort, however, to obtain some healthy companionship for me, to which I probably owe more than I knew at the moment.

Some six or seven gates down the hill towards the field, (which I have to return most true thanks to its present owner, Mr. Sopper, for having again opened to the public sight in consequence of the passage above describing the greatness of its loss both to the neighbour and the stranger,) some six or seven gates down that way, a pretty lawn, shaded by a low spreading cedar, opened before an extremely neat and carefully kept house, where lived two people, modest in their ways as my father and mother themselves,—Mr. and Mrs. Fall; happier, however, in having son and daughter instead of an only child. Their son, Richard, was a year younger than I, but already at school at Shrewsbury, and somewhat in advance of me therefore in regular discipline; extremely gentle and good-natured,—his sister, still younger, a clever little girl, her mother’s constant companion: and both of them unpretending, but rigid, examples of all Herne Hill proprieties, true religions, and useful learnings. I shudder still at the recollection of Mrs. Fall’s raised eyebrows one day at my pronunciation of “ naïveté” as “naivette.”

1 [Horace, Odes, iii. 4, 21.]
2 [See above, p. 49.]
160. I think it must have been as early as 1832 that my father, noticing with great respect the conduct of all matters in this family, wrote to Mr. Fall in courteous request that “the two boys” might be permitted, when Richard was at home, to pursue their holiday tasks, or recreations, so far as it pleased them, together. The proposal was kindly taken: the two boys took stock of each other,—agreed to the arrangement,—and, as I had been promoted by that time to the possession of a study, all to myself, while Richard had only his own room, (and that liable to sisterly advice or intrusion,) the course which things fell into was that usually, when Richard was at home, he came up past the seven gates about ten in the morning; did what lessons he had to do at the same table with me, occasionally helping me a little with mine; and then we went together for afternoon walk with Dash, Gipsy, or whatever dog chanced to be dominant.

161. I do not venture to affirm that the snow of those Christmas holidays was whiter than it is now, though I might give some reasons for supposing that it remained longer white. But I affirm decisively that it used to fall deeper in the neighbourhood of London than has been seen for the last twenty or twenty-five years. It was quite usual to find in the hollows of the Norwood Hills the field fences buried under crested waves of snow, while, from the higher ridges, half the counties of Kent and Surrey shone to the horizon like a cloudless and terrorless Arctic sea.

Richard Fall was entirely good-humoured, sensible, and practical; but had no particular tastes; a distaste, if anything, for my styles both of art and poetry. He stiffly declined arbitration on the merits of my compositions; and though with pleasant cordiality in daily companionship, took rather the position of putting up with me, than of pride in his privilege of acquaintance with a rising author. He was never unkind or sarcastic; but laughed me inexorably out of writing bad English for rhyme’s sake, or demonstrable nonsense either in prose or rhyme. We got
gradually accustomed to be together, and far on into life were glad when any chance brought us together again.

162. The year 1834 passed innocuously enough, but with little profit, in the quadripartite industries before described, followed for my own pleasure;—with minglings of sapless effort in the classics, in which I neither felt, nor foresaw, the least good.

Innocuously enough, I say,—meaning, with as little mischief as a well-intentioned boy, virtually masterless, could suffer from having all his own way, and daily confirming himself in the serious impression that his own way was always the best.

I cannot analyse, at least without taking more trouble than I suppose any reader would care to take with me, the mixed good and evil in the third-rate literature which I preferred to the Latin classics. My volume of the Forget-me-not, which gave me that precious engraving of Verona, (curiously also another by Prout of St. Mark’s at Venice,) was somewhat above the general caste of annuals in its quality of letterpress; and contained three stories, “The Red-nosed Lieutenant,” by the Rev. George Croly, “Hans in Kelder,” by the author of “Chronicles of London Bridge”; and “The Comet,” by Henry Neele, Esq., which

1 [See above, p. 120. In (1) rhyme, he wrote during this year “The Crystal-Hunter” (Vol. II. p. 388); in (2) drawing, he continued the illustrations to his “Tour on the Continent”; in (3) architecture, he was presumably copying Prout; whilst (4) in science, he wrote on “Mont Blanc and Twisted Strata” (Vol. I. p. 194).]

2 [See above, § 102 (p. 91). The “Monument at Verona,” engraved by E. Finden after Samuel Prout, is at p. 207 of Forget-me-not; a Christmas and New Year’s Present for MDCCXXVII, edited by Frederic Shoberl (London: Published by R. Ackermann). The St. Mark’s (engraved by Freebairn) is at p. 359. “The Red-nosed Lieutenant” has no author’s name attached to it.]

3 [For whom, see Vol. XXXIV. p. 95. In a letter to W. H. Harrison, written in 1843, Ruskin says:—

“I am reading Salathiel. It is too fragmentary—bits of broken glass with the sun on them—too uniformly fine, too ceaselessly scenic, feverish. I don’t read it with pleasure. The doctor’s philosophy is not well based; there is dust at the foundation, and tinsel on the top.”

See also the letter to W. H. Harrison of November 1845 (Vol. XXXVI.).]

4 [Chronicles of Old London Bridge, an illustrated work published anonymously by Messrs. Smith & Elder.]

5 [Henry Neele (1798–1828), poet and miscellaneous writer.]
were in their several ways extremely impressive to me. The partly childish, partly dull, or even, as aforesaid, idiotic, way I had of staring at the same things all day long, carried itself out in reading, so that I could read the same things all the year round. As there was neither advantage nor credit to be got by remembering fictitious circumstances, I was, if anything, rather proud of my skill in forgetting, so as the sooner to recover the zest of the tales; and I suppose these favourites, and a good many less important ones of the sort, were read some twenty times a year, during the earlier epoch of teens.

163. I wonder a little at my having been allowed so long to sit in that drawing-room corner with only my Rogers’s *Italy*, my *Forget-me-not*, the *Continental Annual*, and *Friendship’s Offering*, for my working library; and I wonder a little more that my father, in his passionate hope that I might one day write like Byron, never noticed that Byron’s early power was founded on a course of general reading of the masters in every walk of literature, such as is, I think, utterly unparalleled in any other young life, whether of student or author. But I was entirely incapable of such brain-work, and the real gift I had in drawing involved the use in its practice of the best energy of the day. “Hans in Kelder,” and “The Comet,” were my manner of rest.

I do not know when my father first began to read Byron to me, with any expectation of my liking him—all primary training, after the *Iliad*, having been in Scott; but it must have been about the beginning of the teen period, else I should recollect the first effect of it. *Manfred* evidently I had got at, like *Macbeth*, for the sake of the witches. Various questionable changes were made, however, at that 1831 turning of twelve, in the\*

1 [See above, § 86 (p. 78).]
hermitage discipline of Herne Hill. I was allowed to taste wine; taken to the theatre; and, on festive days, even dined with my father and mother at four: and it was then generally at dessert that my father would read any otherwise suspected delight: the *Noctes Ambrosianæ* regularly when they came out1—without the least missing of the naughty words; and at last, the shipwreck in *Don Juan,*—of which, finding me rightly appreciative, my father went on with nearly all the rest. I recollect that he and my mother looked across the table at each other with something of alarm, when, on asking me, a few festas afterwards, what we should have for after-dinner reading, I instantly answered “Juan and Haidée.” My selection was not adopted, and feeling there was something wrong somewhere, I did not press it, attempting even some stutter of apology, which made matters worse. Perhaps I was given a bit of *Childe Harold* instead, which I liked at that time nearly as well; and, indeed, the story of Haidée soon became too sad for me. But very certainly, by the end of this year 1834, I knew my Byron pretty well all through, all but *Cain,* *Werner,* the *Deformed Transformed,* and *Vision of Judgment,* none of which I could understand, nor did papa and mamma think it would be well I should try to.

164. The ingenuous reader may perhaps be so much surprised that mamma fell in with all this, that it becomes here needful to mark for him some peculiarities in my mother’s prudery which he could not discover for himself, from anything hitherto told of her. He might indeed guess that, after taking me at least six times straight through the Bible, she was not afraid of plain words to, or for, me; but might not feel that in the energy and affectionateness of her character, she had as much sympathy with all that is noble and beautiful in Byron as my father himself; nor that her Puritanism was clear enough in common sense

1 [Papers by “Christopher North” (John Wilson) which appeared in *Blackwood’s Magazine,* collected in a book, 1843.]
to see that, while Shakespeare and Burns lay open on the table all day, there was no reason for much mystery with Byron (though until later I was not allowed to read him for myself). She had trust in my disposition and education, and was no more afraid of my turning out a Corsair or a Giaour than a Richard III., or a—Solomon. And she was perfectly right, so far. I never got the slightest harm from Byron: what harm came to me was from the facts of life, and from books of a baser kind, including a wide range of the works of authors popularly considered extremely instructive—from Victor Hugo down to Doctor Watts.

165. Farther, I will take leave to explain in this place what I meant by saying that my mother was an “inoffensive” prude. She was herself as strict as Alice Bridgenorth; but she understood the doctrine of the religion she had learnt, and, without ostentatiously calling herself a miserable sinner, knew that according to that doctrine, and probably in fact, Madge Wildfire was no worse a sinner than she. She was like her sister in universal charity—had sympathy with every passion, as well as every virtue, of true womanhood; and, in her heart of hearts, perhaps liked the real Margherita Cogni quite as well as the ideal wife of Faliero.

166. And there was one more feature in my mother’s character which must be here asserted at once, to put an end to the notion of which I see traces in some newspaper comments on my past descriptions of her, that she was in any wise like Esther’s religious aunt in *Bleak House*.8

---

1 [See above, p. 122.]
2 [For other references to Alice Bridgenorth (*Peveril of the Peak*), see Vol. XXXIV. p. 283; for Madge Wildfire, see *Heart of Midlothian*.]
3 [For Bridget, Ruskin’s Croydon aunt, see above, p. 19.]
4 [For Margherita Cogni, the Fornarina, with whom Byron had a *liaison* at Venice, see (in Prothero’s edition of his *Letters and Journals*) vol. iv. pp. 327 seq.; Angiolina, wife of Byron’s *Marino Faliero*.]
5 [Miss Barbary, aunt and godmother to Esther Summerson: “She went to church three times every Sunday, and to morning prayers on Wednesday and Fridays, and to lectures whenever there were lectures; and . . . she never smiled” (ch. iii.).]
Far on the contrary, there was a hearty, frank, and sometimes even irrepressible, laugh in my mother! Never sardonic, yet with a very definitely Smollettesque turn in it! so that, between themselves, she and my father enjoyed their Humphry Clinker extremely, long before I was able to understand either the jest or gist of it. Much more, she could exult in a harmless bit of Smollettesque reality. Years and years after this time, in one of our crossings of the Simplon, just at the top, where we had stopped to look about us, Nurse Anne sat down to rest herself on the railings at the roadside, just in front of the monastery; —the off roadside, from which the bank slopes steeply down outside the fence. Turning to observe the panoramic picturesque, Anne lost her balance, and went backwards over the railings down the bank. My father could not help suggesting that she had done it expressly for the entertainment of the Holy Fathers; and neither he nor my mother could ever speak of the “performance” (as they called it) afterwards, without laughing for a quarter of an hour.

167. If, however, there was the least bitterness or irony in a jest, my mother did not like it; but my father and I liked it all the more, if it were just; and, so far as I could understand it, I rejoiced in all the sarcasm of Don Juan. But my firm decision, as soon as I got well into the later cantos of it, that Byron was to be my master in verse, as Turner in colour, was made of course in that gosling (or say cygnet) epoch of existence, without consciousness of the deeper instincts that prompted it: only two things I consciously recognized, that his truth of observation was the most exact, and his chosen expression the most concentrated, that I had yet found in literature.¹ By that time my father had himself put me through the two first books of Livy,² and I knew, therefore, what

¹ [Compare what Ruskin says of Byron as “the most accurate of all modern describers” in The Storm-Cloud, § 44 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 44). See also in the same volume, pp. 333, 396.]
² [Compare Vol. XXXIV. p. 582 n.]
close-set language was; but I saw then that Livy, as afterwards
that Horace and Tacitus, were studiously, often laboriously, and
sometimes obscurely, concentrated: while Byron wrote, as
easily as a hawk flies and as clearly as a lake reflects, the exact
truth in the precisely narrowest terms; nor only the exact truth,
but the most central and useful one.

168. Of course I could no more measure Byron’s greater
powers at that time than I could Turner’s; but I saw that both
were right in all things that I knew right from wrong in; and that
they must thenceforth be my masters, each in his own domain.
The modern reader, not to say also, modern scholar, is usually so
ignorant of the essential qualities of Byron, that I cannot go
farther in the story of my own novitiate under him without
illustrating, by rapid example, the things which I saw to be
unrivalled in his work.

For this purpose I take his common prose, rather than his
verse, since his modes of rhythm involve other questions than
those with which I am now concerned. Read, for chance—first,
the sentence on Sheridan, in his letter to Thomas Moore, from
Venice, June 1st (or dawn of June 2nd!), 1818:¹—

“The Whigs abuse him; however, he never left them, and such blunderers deserve
neither credit nor compassion. As for his creditors—remember Sheridan never had a
shilling, and was thrown, with great powers and passions, into the thick of the world,
and placed upon the pinnacle of success, with no other external means to support him
in his elevation. Did Fox pay his debts? or did Sheridan take a subscription? Was—’s
drunkenness more excusable than his? Were his intrigues more notorious than those of
all his contemporaries? and is his memory to be blasted and theirs respected? Don’t let
yourself be led away by clamour, but compare him with the coalitioner Fox, and the
pensioner Burke, as a man of principle; and with ten hundred thousand in personal
views; and with none in talent, for he beat them all out and out. Without means,
without connection, without character (which might be false at first, and drive him
mad afterwards from desperation), he beat them all, in all he ever attempted. But, alas
poor human nature! Good-night, or rather morning. It is four, and the dawn gleams
over the Grand Canal, and unshadows the Rialto.”

¹ [Letters and Journals, vol. iv. p. 239, Prothero’s edition, 1900.]
169. Now, observe, that passage is noble, primarily because it contains the utmost number that will come together into the space, of absolutely just, wise, and kind thoughts. But it is more than noble, it is *perfect*, because the quantity it holds is not artificially or intricately concentrated, but with the serene swiftness of a smith’s hammer-strokes on hot iron; and with choice of terms which, each in its place, will convey far more than they mean in the dictionary. Thus, “however” is used instead of “yet,” because it stands for “howsoever,” or, in full, for “yet whatever they did.” “Thick” of society, because it means, not merely the crowd, but the *fog* of it; “ten hundred thousand” instead of “a million,” or “a thousand thousand,” to take the sublimity out of the number, and make us feel that it is a number of nobodies. Then the sentence in parenthesis, “which might be false,” etc., is indeed obscure, because it was impossible to clarify it without a regular pause, and much loss of time; and the reader’s sense is therefore left to expand it for himself into “it was, perhaps, falsely said of him at first, that he had no character,” etc. Finally, the dawn “unshadows”—lessens the shadow on—the Rialto, but does not *gleam* on that, as on the broad water.

170. Next, take the two sentences on poetry, in his letters to Murray of September 15th, 1817, and April 12th, 1818; (for the collected force of these compare the deliberate published statement in the answer to Blackwood in 1820.)¹

(1817.) “With regard to poetry in general, I am convinced, the more I think of it, that he (Moore), and *all of us*—Scott, Southey, Wordsworth, Moore, Campbell, I,—are all in the wrong, one as much as another; that we are upon a wrong revolutionary poetical system, or systems, not worth a damn in itself, and from which none but Rogers and Crabbe are free: and that the present and next generations will finally be of this opinion.

¹ [See vol. iv. p. 169 for the letter of September 15. In the MS. copy of it, “there is the following note in the handwriting of Mr. Gifford: ‘There is more good sense, and feeling and judgment in this passage, than in any other I ever read, or Lord Byron wrote.’” For the letter of April 12, see *ibid.*, p. 224. The “Reply to Blackwood’s Magazine” is in the same volume, pp. 474–495. For the words on Pope quoted (not quite textually) in § 171, see *ibid.*, p. 489.]
I am the more confirmed in this by having lately gone over some of our classics, particularly Pope, whom I tried in this way: I took Moore’s poems, and my own, and some others, and went over them side by side with Pope’s and I was really astonished (I ought not to have been so) and mortified, at the ineffable distance in point of sense, learning, effect, and even imagination, passion, and invention, between the little Queen Anne’s man, and us of the Lower Empire. Depend upon it, it is all Horace then, and Claudian now, among us; and if I had to begin again, I would mould myself accordingly. Crabbe’s the man; but he has got a coarse and impracticable subject, and . . . is retired upon half-pay, and has done enough, unless he were to do as he did formerly."

(1818.)"I thought of a preface, defending Lord Hervey against Pope’s attack, but Pope—quoad Pope, the poet,—against all the world, in the unjustifiable attempts begun by Warton, and carried on at this day by the new school of critics and scribblers, who think themselves poets because they do not write like Pope. I have no patience with such cursed humbug and bad taste; your whole generation are not worth a canto of the Rape of the Lock, or the Essay on Man, or the Dunciad, or ‘anything that is his.’"

171. There is nothing which needs explanation in the brevities and amenities of these two fragments, except, in the first of them, the distinctive and exhaustive enumeration of the qualities of great poetry,—and note especially the order in which he puts these.

(A.) Sense. That is to say, the first thing you have to think of is whether the would-be poet is a wise man—so also in the answer to Blackwood, “They call him (Pope) the poet of reason!—is that any reason why he should not be a poet?”

(B.) Learning. The Ayrshire ploughman may have good gifts, but he is out of court with relation to Homer, or Dante, or Milton.

(C.) Effect. Has he efficiency in his verse?—does it tell on the ear and the spirit in an instant? See the “effect” on her audience of Beatrice’s “ottave,” in the story at p. 286 of Miss Alexander’s Songs of Tuscany.2

(D.) Imagination. Put thus low because many novelists and artists have this faculty, yet are not poets, or even

1 [The reference to Burns is Ruskin’s not Byron’s; for Byron own view of Burns, see the Letters, vol. ii. pp. 320, 376.]
2 [The reference is to the first edition: see now Vol. XXXII. p. 209.]
good novelists or painters; because they have not sense to
manage it, nor the art to give it effect.

(E.) Passion. Lower yet, because all good men and women
have as much as either they or the poet ought to have.

(F.) Invention. And this lowest, because one may be a good
poet without having this at all. Byron had scarcely any himself,
while Scott had any quantity—yet never could write a play.¹

172. But neither the force and precision, nor the rhythm, of
Byron’s language, were at all the central reasons for my taking
him for master. Knowing the Song of Moses and the Sermon on
the Mount by heart, and half the Apocalypse besides, I was in no
need of tutorship either in the majesty or simplicity of English
words;² and for their logical arrangement, I had had Byron’s
own master, Pope, since I could lisp. But the thing wholly new
and precious to me in Byron was his measured and living
truth—measured, as compared with Homer; and living, as
compared with everybody else. My own inexorable measuring
wand,— not enchanter’s, but cloth-worker’s and
builder’s,—reduced to mere incredibility all the statements of
the poets usually called sublime. It was of no use for Homer to
tell me that Pelion was put on the top of Ossa.³ I knew perfectly
well it wouldn’t go on the top of Ossa. Of no use for Pope to tell
me that trees where his mistress looked would crowd into a
shade,⁴ because I was satisfied that they would do nothing of the
sort. Nay, the whole world, as it was described to me either by
poetry or theology, was

¹ [See Fors Clavigera, Letter 33 (Vol. XXVII. p. 621).]
² [Compare the early passage, § 2 (above, p. 14).]
³ [Odyssey, xi. 315, 316.]
⁴ [Pope: Pastorals, ii. (“Summer”), 74—

“Where’er you walk, cool gales shall fan the glade;
Trees, where you sit, shall crowd into a shade.”

The passage was set to music by Handel. There is another reference to it in the chapter
“Of the Pathetic Fallacy” in Modern Painters, vol. iii. (Vol. V. p. 216).]
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every hour becoming more and more shadowy and impossible. I rejoiced in all stories of Pallas and Venus, of Achilles and Aeneas, of Elijah and St. John: but, without doubting in my heart that there were real spirits of wisdom and beauty, nor that there had been invincible heroes and inspired prophets, I felt already, with fatal and increasing sadness, that there was no clear utterance about any of them—that there were for me neither Goddess guides nor prophetic teachers; and that the poetical histories, whether of this world or the next, were to me as the words of Peter to the shut up disciples—"as idle tales; and they believed them not."

173. But here at last I had found a man who spoke only of what he had seen, and known; and spoke without exaggeration, without mystery, without enmity, and without mercy. "That is so;—make what you will of it!" Shakespeare said the Alps voided their rheum on the valleys, which indeed is precisely true, with the final truth, in that matter, of James Forbes—but it was told in a mythic manner, and with an unpleasant British bias to the nasty. But Byron, saying that "the glacier's cold and restless mass moved onward day by day," said plainly what he saw and knew,—no more. So also, the Arabian Nights had told me of thieves who lived in enchanted caves, and beauties who fought with genii in the air; but Byron told me of thieves with whom he had ridden on their own hills, and of the fair Persians or Greeks who lived and died under the very sun that rose over my visible Norwood hills.

And in this narrow, but sure, truth, to Byron, as already to me, it appeared that Love was a transient thing, and Death a dreadful one. He did not attempt to console me

---

1 [See Luke xxiv. 11. Ruskin, quoting from memory, makes here one of his rare Bible slips; it is the words of the women, not of Peter, which were not believed.]
2 [Compare the chapter in Modern Painters on the "Pathetic Fallacy": Vol. V. pp. 213, 214.]
3 [Henry V., Act iii. sc. 5.]
4 [On this subject, see Vol. XXVI. pp. xxxiii. seq.]
for Jessie’s death,¹ by saying she was happier in Heaven; or for Charles’s by saying it was a Providential dispensation to me on Earth. He did not tell me that war was a just price for the glory of captains, or that the National command of murder diminished its guilt. Of all things within range of human thought he felt the facts, and discerned the natures with accurate justice.

But even all this he might have done, and yet been no master of mine, had not be sympathized with me in reverent love of beauty, and indignant recoil from ugliness. The witch of the Staubbach in her rainbow was a greatly more pleasant vision than Shakespeare’s, like a rat without a tail, or Burns’s, in her cutty sark.² The sea-king Conrad had an immediate advantage with me over Coleridge’s long, lank, brown, and ancient, mariner;³ and whatever Pope might have gracefully said, or honestly felt of Windsor woods and streams, was mere tinkling cymbal to me, compared with Byron’s love of Lachin-y-Gair.

174. I must pause here, in tracing the sources of his influence over me, lest the reader should mistake the analysis which I am now able to give them, for a description of the feelings possible to me at fifteen. Most of these, however, were assuredly within the knot of my unfolding mind—as the saffron of the crocus yet beneath the earth; and Byron—though he could not teach me to love mountains or sea more than I did in childhood, first animated them for me with the sense of real human nobleness and grief. He taught me the meaning of Chillon and of Meillerie, and bade me seek first in Venice—the ruined homes of Foscari and Falier.

And observe, the force with which he struck depended again on there being unquestionable reality of person in his stories, as of principle in his thoughts. Romance,

¹ [For Jessie’s death, see above, p. 70; and for that of Charles, p. 137.]
² [Manfred, Act ii. sc. 2; Macbeth, Act i. sc. 3; Tam o’ Shanter.]
enough and to spare, I had learnt from Scott—but his Lady of the Lake was as openly fictitious as his White Maid of Avenel: 1 while Rogers was a mere dilettante, who felt no difference between landing “where Tell leaped ashore,” or standing where “St. Preux has stood.” 2 Even Shakespeare’s Venice was visionary; and Portia as impossible as Miranda. But Byron told me of, and reanimated for me, the real people whose feet had worn the marble I trod on. 3

175. One word only, though it trenches on a future subject, 4 I must permit myself about his rhythm. Its natural flow in almost prosaic simplicity and tranquillity interested me extremely, in opposition alike to the symmetrical clauses of Pope’s logical metre, and to the balanced strophes of classic and Hebrew verse. But though I followed his manner instantly in what verses I wrote for my own amusement, my respect for the structural, as opposed to fluent, force of the classic measures, supported as it was partly by Byron’s contempt for his own work, and partly by my own architect’s instinct for “the principle of the pyramid,” made me long endeavour, in forming my prose style, to keep the cadences of Pope and Johnson for all serious statement. Of Johnson’s influence on me I have to give account in the last chapter of this volume; 5 meantime, I must get back to the days of mere rivulet-singing, in my poor little watercress life.

176. I had a sharp attack of pleurisy in the spring of ‘35, which gave me much gasping pain, and put me in some danger for three or four days, during which our old family physician, Dr. Walshman, and my mother, defended me against the wish of all other scientific people to have

1 [Compare Fors Clavigera, Letter 92 (Vol. XXIX. p. 458).]
2 [See the section headed “Meillerie”—on the Lake of Geneva, celebrated by Byron (note to Childe Harold, iii. 99) and by Rousseau (who in the Nouvelle Héloïse lands St. Preux and Mme. Wolmar there)—in Rogers’s Italy.]
4 [On Ruskin’s “rhythmic ear,” see below, p. 177.]
5 [See p. 225.]
me bled. “He wants all the blood he has in him to fight the illness,” said the old doctor, and brought me well through, weak enough, however, to claim a fortnight’s nursing and petting afterwards, during which I read the *Fair Maid of Perth*, learned the song of “Poor Louise,” and feasted on Stanfield’s drawing of St. Michael’s Mount, engraved in the *Coast Scenery*, and Turner’s Santa Saba, Pool of Bethesda, and Corinth, engraved in the Bible series, lent me by Richard Fall’s little sister. I got an immense quantity of useful learning out of those four plates, and am very thankful to possess now the originals of the Bethesda and Corinth.

Moreover, I planned all my proceedings on the journey to Switzerland, which was to begin the moment I was strong enough. I shaded in cobalt a “cyanometer” to measure the blue of the sky with; bought a ruled notebook for geological observations, and a large quarto for architectural sketches, with square rule and foot-rule ingeniously fastened outside. And I determined that the events and sentiments of this journey should be described in a poetical diary in the style of *Don Juan*, artfully combined with that of *Childe Harold*. Two cantos of this work were indeed finished—carrying me across France to Chamouni—where I broke down, finding that I had exhausted on the Jura all the descriptive terms at my disposal, and that none were left for the Alps. I must try to give, in the next chapter, some useful account of the same part of the journey in less exalted language.

1 [The “Lay of Poor Louise,” in ch. x. of the *Fair Maid of Perth*.]
2 [Stanfield’s *Coast Scenery. A Series of Views in the British Channel, from original drawings taken expressly for the work, by Clarkson Stanfield, Esq., R. A.: Smith, Elder and Co., 1836. St. Michael’s Mount, Cornwall, is Plate 3; the Norman, Plate 4 and 5.*]
3 [For the title of this book, see Vol. XIII. p. 447 n. “Santa Saba” is “Engedi and Convent of St. Saba”: see *ibid.*, pp. 447, 448. The drawing of Corinth was in Ruskin’s collection; see Vol. XII. p. 447 (No. 50).]
4 [See ii. § 212; below, p. 441.]
5 [Nos. 51 and 50 in Ruskin’s exhibition of 1878.]
6 [The facsimile opposite is of a geological map made for this journey: see the Introduction, above, p. lxxxi.]
7 [See Vol. I. pp. xxx–xxxii.]
8 [See Vol. II. pp. 396–428.]
9 [For the itinerary of the journey of 1835, see Vol. II. p. 395.]
CHAPTER IX

THE COL DE LA FAUCILLE

177. **About the moment in the forenoon when the modern fashionable traveller, intent on Paris, Nice, and Monaco, and started by the morning mail from Charing Cross, has a little recovered himself from the qualms of his crossing, and the irritation of fighting for seats at Boulogne, and begins to look at his watch to see how near he is to the buffet of Amiens, he is apt to be baulked and worried by the train’s useless stop at one inconsiderable station, lettered Abbeville.** As the carriage gets in motion again, he may see, if he cares to lift his eyes for an instant from his newspaper, two square towers, with a curiously attached bit of traceried arch, dominant over the poplars and osiers of the marshy level he is traversing. Such glimpse is probably all he will ever wish to get of them; and I scarcely know how far I can make even the most sympathetic reader understand their power over my own life.¹

178. The country town in which they are central,—once, like Croyland, a mere monk’s and peasant’s refuge (so for some time called “Refuge”),—among the swamps of Somme, received about the year 650 the name of “Abbatis Villa,”² —“Abbot’s-ford,” I had like to have written: house and village, I suppose we may rightly say,—as the chief dependence of the great monastery founded by St. Riquier at his native place, on the hillside five miles east of the present

¹ [For Ruskin’s numerous visits and references to Abbeville, see the General Index. The description in the lecture on the “Flamboyant Architecture of the Valley of Somme” may specially be noted: Vol. XIX. pp. 243 seq.]

² [For the early history of Abbeville, see (besides the book mentioned on the next page) A. Guilbert’s *Histoire des Villes de France*, vol. ii. pp. 78 seq.]
town. Concerning which saint I translate from the "Dict"re des Sciences Eccles"qies, what it may perhaps be well for the reader, in present political junctures, to remember for more weighty reasons than any arising out of such interest as he may take in my poor little nascent personality:

"St. Riquier, in Latin 'Sanctus Richarius,' born in the village of Centula, at two leagues from Abbeville, was so touched by the piety of two holy priests of Ireland, whom he had hospitably received, that he also embraced ‘la pénitence.’ Being ordained priest, he devoted himself to preaching, and so passed into England. Then, returning into Ponthieu, he became, by God’s help, powerful in work and word in leading the people to repentance. He preached at the court of Dagobert, and, a little while after that prince’s death, founded the monastery which bore his name, and another, called Forest-Montier, in the wood of Crécy, where he ended his life and penitence."

I find further in the Ecclesiastical History of Abbeville, published in 1646 at Paris by François Pelican, "Rue St. Jacques, à l’enseigne du Pelican," that St. Riquier was himself of royal blood, that St. Angilbert, the seventh abbot, had married Charlemagne’s second daughter Bertha—"qui se rendit aussi Religieuse de l’ordre de Saint Benoist." Louis, the eleventh abbot, was cousin-german to Charles the Bald; the twelfth was St. Angilbert’s son, Charlemagne’s grandson. Raoul, the thirteenth abbot, was the brother of the Empress Judith; and Carloman, the sixteenth, was the son of Charles the Bald.

179. Lifting again your eyes, good reader, as the train gets to its speed, you may see gleaming opposite on the hillside the white village and its abbey,—not, indeed, the walls of the home of these princes and princesses, (afterwards again and again ruined,) but the still beautiful abbey built on their foundations by the monks of St. Maur.

In the year when the above quoted history of Abbeville

1 [For the full title of this work, see Vol. XXXII. p. 67 n. Ruskin summarises from the article on the saint, in the Dictionary of Richard et Giraud, vol. xxi. p. 113 (ed. 1825).]
2 [The reference is to the Irish question, then prominent.]
3 [By Ignace de Jésus-Maria (i.e., Jacques Sanson).]
4 [For the Abbey Church of St. Riquier (Flamboyant style, early sixteenth century), see Vol. XIX. p. xxxix.]
was written (say 1600 for surety), the town, then familiarly called “Faithful Abbeville,” contained 40,000 souls,

“living in great unity among themselves, of a marvellous frankness, fearing to do wrong to their neighbour, the women modest, honest, full of faith and charity, and adorned with a goodness and beauty toute innocente: the noblesse numerous, hardy, and adroit in arms, the masterships (maistrises) of arts and trades, with excellent workers in every profession, under sixty-four Mayor-Bannerets, who are the chiefs of the trades, and elect the mayor of the city, who is an independent Home Ruler, de grande probité, d’authorité, et sans reproche, aided by four eschevins of the present, and four of the past year; having authority of justice, police, and war, and right to keep the weights and measures true and unchanged, and to punish those who abuse them, or sell by false weight or measure, or sell anything without the town’s mark on it.”

Moreover, the town contained, besides the great church of St. Vulfran,¹ thirteen parish churches, six monasteries, eight nunneries, and five hospitals, among which churches I am especially bound to name that of St. George, begun by our own Edward in 1368, on the 10th of January; transferred and reconsecrated in 1469 by the Bishop of Bethlehem, and enlarged by the marguilliers in 1536, “because the congregation had so increased that numbers had to remain outside on days of solemnity.”

These reconstructions took place with so great ease and rapidity at Abbeville, owing partly to the number of its unanimous workmen, partly to the easily workable quality of the stone they used, and partly to the uncertainty of a foundation always on piles, that there is now scarce vestige left of any building prior to the fifteenth century. St. Vulfran itself, with St. Riquier, and all that remain of the parish churches (four only, now, I believe, besides St. Vulfran), are of the same flamboyant Gothic,—walls and towers alike coeval with the gabled timber houses of which the busier streets chiefly consisted when first I saw them.”

¹ [Often mentioned and drawn by Ruskin: see Vol. II. p. 398, and Vol. XIX. pp. 245, 275, 276.]
² [Here in the proof is an additional passage marked by Ruskin “Take out and keep”:

“That first sight, after trotting down the chalk-hillside by the road from Montreuil, June 5th, 1835, was practically of more significance to me even than the sight of the Alps from Schaffhausen. I have wasted
180. I must here, in advance, tell the general reader that there have been, in sum, three centres of my life’s thought: Rouen, Geneva, and Pisa. All that I did at Venice was bye-work, because her history had been falsely written before, and not even by any of her own people understood; and because, in the world of painting, Tintoret was virtually unseen, Veronese unfelt, Carpaccio not so much as named, when I began to study them; something also was due to my love of gliding about in gondolas. But Rouen, Geneva,¹ and Pisa have been tutresses of all I know, and were mistresses of all I did, from the first moments I entered their gates.

In this journey of 1835 I first saw Rouen and Venice —Pisa not till 1840; nor could I understand the full power of any of those great scenes till much later. But for Abbeville, which is the preface and interpretation of Rouen, I was ready on that 5th of June, and felt that here was entrance for me into immediately healthy labour and joy.

181. For here I saw that art (of its local kind), religion, and present human life, were yet in perfect harmony. There were no dead six days and dismal seventh in those sculptured churches; there was no beadle to lock me out of them, or pew-shutter to shut me in. I might haunt them, fancying myself a ghost; peep round their pillars, like Rob Roy;² kneel in them, and scandalize nobody; draw in them, and disturb none. Outside, the faithful old town gathered itself, and nestled under their buttresses like a brood beneath the mother’s wings; the quiet, uninjurious aristocracy of the newer town opened into silent streets, between self-possessed and hidden dignities of dwelling,

¹ [Geneva, Ruskin explains further on, “is meant to include Chamouni”: see ii. § 57 (below, p. 296).]
² [See chap. 20 of Rob Roy.]
each with its courtyard and richly trellised garden. The commercial square, with the main street of traverse, consisted of uncompetitive shops, such as were needful, of the native wares: cloth and hosiery spun, woven, and knitted within the walls; cheese of neighbouring Neufchâtel;\(^1\) fruit of their own gardens, bread from the fields above the green coteaux; meat of their herds, untainted by American tin; smith’s work of sufficient scythe and ploughshare, hammered on the open anvil; groceries dainty, the coffee generally roasting odoriferously in the street, before the door; for the modistes,—well, perhaps a bonnet or two from Paris, the rest, wholesome dress for peasant and dame of Ponthieu.\(^2\) Above the prosperous, serenely busy and beneficent shop, the old dwelling-house of its ancestral masters; pleasantly carved, proudly roofed, keeping its place, and order, and recognized function, unfailing, unenlarging, for centuries. Round all, the breezy ramparts, with their long waving avenues; through all, in variously circuiting cleanness and sweetness of navigable river and active millstream, the green chalk-water of the Somme.

My most intense happinesses have of course been among mountains. But for cheerful, unalloyed, unwearying pleasure, the getting in sight of Abbeville on a fine summer afternoon, jumping out in the courtyard of the Hotel de l’Europe, and rushing down the street to see St. Wulfran again before the sun was off the towers, are things to cherish the past for,—to the end.\(^3\)

---

\(^1\) [Neufchâtel-en-Bray, some miles south-west of Abbeville; still celebrated for its cream-cheeses, called bondons.]

\(^2\) [The ancient district of France in which Abbeville is situated; comprising parts of the present departments of the Somme and Pas-de-Calais.]

\(^3\) [Here, again, the proof has an additional passage marked by Ruskin “Keep”:—
“One great part of the pleasure, however, depended on an idiosyncrasy which extremely wise people do not share,—my love of all sorts of filigree and embroidery, from hoarfrost to the high clouds. The intricacies of virgin silver, of arborescent gold, the weaving of birds’-nests, the netting of lace, the basket capitals of Byzantium, and most of all the tabernacle work of the French flamboyant school, possessed from the first, and possess still, a charm for me of which the force was entirely unbroken for ten years after the first sight of Rouen; and the fastidious structural knowledge of later time does not always repay the partial loss of it.”

Compare below, p. 623.]
182. Of Rouen, and its Cathedral, my saying remains yet to be said, if days be given me, in *Our Fathers have Told Us.*\(^1\) The sight of them, and following journey up the Seine to Paris, then to Soissons and Rheims, determined, as aforesaid,\(^2\) the first centre and circle of future life-work. Beyond Rheims, at Bar-le-Duc,\(^3\) I was brought again within the greater radius of the Alps, and my father was kind enough to go down by Plombières to Dijon, that I might approach them by the straightest pass of Jura.

The reader must pardon my relating so much as I think he may care to hear of this journey of 1835, rather as what used to happen, than as limitable to that date; for it is extremely difficult for me now to separate the circumstances of any one journey from those of subsequent days, in which we stayed at the same inns, with variation only from the blue room to the green, saw the same sights, and rejoiced the more in every pleasure—that it was not new.

And this latter part of the road from Paris to Geneva, beautiful without being the least terrific or pathetic, but in the most lovable and cheerful way, became afterwards so dear and so domestic to me, that I will not attempt here to check my gossip of it.

183. We used always to drive out of the yard of La Cloche at Dijon in early morning—seven, after joyful breakfast at half-past six. The small saloon on the first floor to the front had a bedroom across the passage at the west end of it, whose windows commanded the cathedral towers over a low roof on the opposite side of the street. This was always mine, and its bed was in an alcove at the back, separated only by a lath partition from an extremely narrow passage leading from the outer gallery to Anne’s room. It was a delight for Anne to which I think she

---

\(^1\) [See the scheme for the intended continuation of that work, Vol. XXXIII. p. 186.]
\(^2\) [See above, p. 156.]
\(^3\) [A favourite stopping-place of Ruskin’s—see Vol. II. pp. 402, 404; Vol. VII. pp. xxvii.–xxviii.; Vol. XXV. p. 350.]
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looked forward all across France, to open a little hidden door from this passage, at the back of the alcove exactly above my pillow, and surprise, or wake, me in the morning.

I think I only remember once starting in rain. Usually the morning sun shone through the misty spray and farthrown diamonds of the fountain in the south-eastern suburb, and threw long poplar shadows across the road to Genlis.

Genlis, Auxonne, Dôle, Mont-sous-Vaudrey—three stages of 12 or 14 kilometres each, two of 18; in all about 70 kilometres = 42 miles, from Dijon gate to Jura foot—we went straight for the hills always, lunching on French plums and bread.

Level plain of little interest to Auxonne. I used to wonder how any mortal creature could be content to live within actual sight of Jura, and never go to see them, all their lives! At Auxonne, cross the Saone, wide and beautiful in clear shallows of green stream—little more, yet, than a noble mountain torrent; one saw in an instant it came from Jura. Another hour of patience, and from the broken yellow limestone slopes of Dôle—there, at last, they were—the long blue surges of them fading as far as eye could see to the south, more abruptly near to the north-east, where the bold outlier, almost island, of them, rises like a precipitous Wrekin, above Salins. Beyond Dôle, a new wildness comes into the more undulating country, notable chiefly for its clay-built cottages with enormously high thatched gables of roof. Strange, that I never inquired into the special reason of that form, nor looked into a single cottage to see the mode of its inhabitation!

184. The village, or rural town, of Poligny, clustered out of well-built old stone houses with gardens and orchards, and gathering at the midst of it into some pretence or manner of a street, straggles along the roots of Jura at the opening of a little valley, which, in Yorkshire or Derbyshire
limestone, would have been a gorge between nodding cliffs, with a pretty pattering stream at the bottom, but, in Jura, is a far retiring theatre of rising terraces, with bits of field and garden getting foot on them at various heights; a spiry convent in its hollow, and well-built little nests of husbandry-building set in corners of meadow, and on juts of rock;—no stream, to speak of, nor springs in it, nor the smallest conceivable reason for its being there, but that God made it.

“Far” retiring, I said,—perhaps a mile into the hills from the outer plain, by half a mile across, permitting the main road from Paris to Geneva to serpentine and zigzag capriciously up the cliff terraces with innocent engineering, finding itself every now and then where it had no notion of getting to, and looking, in a circumflex of puzzled level, where it was to go next;—retrospect of the plain of Burgundy enlarging under its backward sweeps, till at last, under a broken bit of steep final crag, it got quite up the side, and out over the edge of the ravine, where said ravine closes as unreasonably as it had opened, and the surprised traveller finds himself, magically as if he were Jack of the Beanstalk, in a new plain of an upper world. A world of level rock, breaking at the surface into yellow soil, capable of scanty, but healthy, turf, and sprinkled copse and thicket; with here and there, beyond, a blue surge of pines, and over those, if the evening or morning were clear, always one small bright silvery likeness of a cloud.

185. These first tracts of Jura differ in many pleasant ways from the limestone levels round Ingleborough, which are their English types. The Yorkshire moors are mostly by a hundred or two feet higher, and exposed to drift of rain under violent, nearly constant, wind. They break into wide fields of loose blocks, and rugged slopes of shale; and are mixed with sands and clay from the millstone grit, which nourish rank grass, and lodge in occasional morass: the wild winds also forbidding any vestige or comfort of
tree, except here and there in a sheltered nook of new plantation. But the Jura sky is as calm and clear as that of the rest of France; if the day is bright on the plain, the bounding hills are bright also; the Jura rock, balanced in the make of it between chalk and marble, weathers indeed into curious rifts and furrows, but rarely breaks loose, and has long ago clothed itself either with forest flowers, or with sweet short grass, and all blossoms that love sunshine. The pure air, even on this lower ledge of a thousand feet above sea, cherishes their sweetest scents and liveliest colours, and the winter gives them rest under thawless serenity of snow.

186. A still greater and stranger difference exists in the system of streams. For all their losing themselves and hiding, and intermitting, their presence is distinctly felt on a Yorkshire moor; one sees the places they have been in yesterday, the wells where they will flow after the next shower, and a tricklet here at the bottom of a crag, or a tinkle there from the top of it, is always making one think whether this is one of the sources of Aire, or rootlets of Ribble, or beginnings of Bolton Strid, or threads of silver which are to be spun into Tees.

But no whisper, nor murmur, nor patter, nor song, of streamlet disturbs the enchanted silence of open Jura. The rain-cloud clasps her cliffs, and floats along her fields; it passes, and in an hour the rocks are dry, and only beads of dew left in the Alchemilla leaves,—but of rivulet, or brook,—no vestige yesterday, or to-day, or to-morrow. Through unseen fissures and filmy crannies the waters of cliff and plain have alike vanished, only far down in the depths of the main valley glides the strong river, unconscious of change.

187. One is taught thus much for one’s earliest lesson, in the two stages from Poligny to Champagnole, level over the absolutely crisp turf and sun-bright rock, without so much water anywhere as a cress could grow in, or a tadpole wag his tail in,—and then, by a zigzag of shady road,
forming the Park and Boulevard of the wistful little village, down to the single arched bridge that leaps the Ain, which pauses underneath in magnificent pools of clear pale green: the green of spring leaves; then clashes into foam, half weir, half natural cascade, and into a confused race of currents beneath hollow overhanging of crag festooned with leafage.

188. The only marvel is, to any one knowing Jura structure, that rivers should be visible anywhere at all, and that the rocks should be consistent enough to carry them in open air through the great valleys, without perpetual “pertes” like that of the Rhone. Below the Lac de Joux the Orbe thus loses itself indeed, reappearing seven hundred feet* beneath in a scene of which I permit myself to quote my Papa Saussure’s description:—

“A semicircular rock at least two hundred feet high, composed of great horizontal rocks hewn vertical, and divided † by ranks of pine which grow on their projecting ledges, closes to the west the valley of Valorbe. Mountains yet more elevated and covered with forests, form a circuit round this rock, which opens only to give passage to the Orbe, whose source is at its foot. Its waters, of a perfect limpidity, flow at first with a majestic tranquillity upon a bed tapestried with beautiful green moss, Fontinalis antipyretica; but soon, drawn into a steep slope, the thread of the current breaks itself in foam against the rocks which occupy the middle of its bed, while the borders, less agitated, flowing always on their green ground, set off the whiteness of the midst of the river; and thus it withdraws itself from sight, in following the course of a deep valley covered with pines, whose blackness is rendered more striking by the vivid green of the beeches which are scattered among them. . . .

“Ah, if Petrarch had seen this spring and had found there his Laura, how much would not he have preferred it to that of Vaucluse, more abundant, perhaps, and more rapid, but of which the sterile rocks have neither the greatness of ours, nor the rich parure, which embellishes them.”

I have never seen the source of the Orbe, but would commend to the reader’s notice the frequent beauty of —

* Six hundred and eighty French feet. Saussure, § 385.†
† “Taillées à pic, et entrecoupées.”

---

1 [Voyages dans les Alpes, Neuchatel, 1779, vol. i. pp. 311–312.]
these great springs in literally rising at the base of cliffs, instead of falling, as one would have imagined likely, out of clefts in the front of them. In our own English antitype of the source of Orbe, Malham Cove, the flow of water is, in like manner, wholly at the base of the rock, and seems to rise to the ledge of its outlet from a deeper interior pool.

189. The old Hotel de la Poste at Champagnole stood just above the bridge of Ain, opposite the town, where the road got level again as it darted away towards Geneva. I think the year 1842 was the first in which we lengthened the day from Dijon by the two stages beyond Poligny; but afterwards, the Hotel de la Poste at Champagnole became a kind of home to us: going out, we had so much delight there, and coming home, so many thoughts, that a great space of life seemed to be passed in its peace. No one was ever in the house but ourselves; if a family stopped every third day or so, it was enough to maintain the inn, which, besides, had its own farm; and those who did stop, rushed away for Geneva early in the morning. We, who were to sleep again at Morez, were in no hurry; and in returning always left Geneva on Friday, to get the Sunday at Champagnole.

190. But my own great joy was in the early June evening, when we had arrived from Dijon, and I got out after the quickly dressed trout and cutlet for the first walk on rock and under pine.

With all my Tory prejudice (I mean, principle), I have to confess that one great joy of Swiss—above all, Jurassic Swiss—ground to me, is in its effectual, not merely theoretic, liberty. Among the greater hills, one can’t always go just where one chooses,—all around is the too far, or too steep,—one wants to get to this, and climb that, and can’t do either;—but in Jura one can go every way, and

1 [See Ruskin’s mentions of it in this sense in Vol. IV. p. xxvii. (1845), and Vol. XXXIII. p. xxi. (1882). The description of Champagnole in Seven Lamps may also be recalled: see Vol. VIII. p. 221.]
be happy everywhere. Generally, if there was time, I used to climb the islet of crag to the north of the village, on which there are a few grey walls of ruined castle, and the yet traceable paths of its “pleasance,” whence to look if the likeness of white cloud were still on the horizon. Still there, in the clear evening, and again and again, each year more marvellous to me; the Derniers Rochers, and calotte of Mont Blanc. Only those; that is to say, just as much as may be seen over the Dôme du Goûter from St. Martin’s. But it looks as large from Champagnole as it does there—glowing in the last light like a harvest moon.

If there were not time to reach the castle rock, at least I could get into the woods above the Ain, and gather my first Alpine flowers. Again and again, I feel the duty of gratitude to the formalities and even vulgarities of Herne Hill, for making me to feel by contrast the divine wildness of Jura forest.

Then came the morning drive into the higher glen of the Ain, where the road began first to wind beside the falling stream. One never understands how those winding roads steal with their tranquil slope from height to height; it was but an hour’s walking beside the carriage,—an hour passed like a minute; and one emerged on the high plain of St. Laurent, and the gentians began to gleam among the roadside grass, and the pines swept round the horizon with the dark infinitude of ocean.

191. All Switzerland was there in hope and sensation, and what was less than Switzerland was in some sort better, in its meek simplicity and healthy purity. The Jura cottage is not carved with the stately richness of the Bernese, nor set together with the antique strength of Uri. It is covered with thin slit fine shingles, side-roofed as it were to the ground for mere dryness’ sake, a little crossing of laths here and there underneath the window its only ornament.

1 [Compare the description of “the ‘Derniers Rochers’ and the white square-set summit,” in Proserpina, ii. ch. iv. (Vol. XXV. p. 455).]
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It has no daintiness of garden nor wealth of farm about it, —is indeed little more than a delicately-built chalet, yet trim and domestic, mildly intelligent of things other than pastoral, watch-making and the like, though set in the midst of the meadows, the gentian at its door, the lily of the valley wild in the copses hard by.

My delight in these cottages, and in the sense of human industry and enjoyment through the whole scene, was at the root of all pleasure in its beauty; see the passage afterwards written in the *Seven Lamps*¹ insisting on this as if it were general to human nature thus to admire through sympathy. I have noticed since, with sorrowful accuracy, how many people there are who, wherever they find themselves, think only “of their position.”² But the feeling which gave me so much happiness, both then and through life, differed also curiously, in its impersonal character, from that of many even of the best and kindest persons.

192. In the beginning of the Carlyle-Emerson correspondence, edited with too little comment by my dear friend Charles Norton, I find at page 18 this—to me entirely disputable, and to my thought, so far as undisputed, much blameable and pitiable, exclamation of my master’s: “Not till we can think that here and there one is thinking of us, one is loving us, does this waste earth become a peopled garden.”³ My training, as the reader has perhaps enough perceived, produced in me the precisely opposite sentiment. My times of happiness had always been when nobody was thinking of me; and the main discomfort and drawback to all proceedings and designs, the attention and interference of the public—represented by my mother and the gardener. The garden was no waste place to me, because I did not

---

¹ [Ch. vi. § 1 (Vol. VIII. pp. 221 seq.); and compare Ruskin’s lecture on Landscape in Vol. XXXIII. p. 532.]
² [See *Sesame and Lilies*, Vol. XVIII. p. 54; and Vol. XXXIV. p. 75.]
³ [See *The Correspondence of Thomas Carlyle and Ralph Waldo Emerson, 1834–1872*: 2 vols., 1883. The remark occurs in a letter of Carlyle dated 12th August 1834, but is given by him as a quotation, presumably from Emerson himself.]
suppose myself an object of interest either to the ants or the butterflies; and the only qualification of the entire delight of my evening walk at Champagnole or St. Laurent was the sense that my father and mother were thinking of me, and would be frightened if I was five minutes late for tea.

I don’t mean in the least that I could have done without them. They were, to me, much more than Carlyle’s wife to him; and if Carlyle had written, instead of that he wanted Emerson to think of him in America, that he wanted his father and mother to be thinking of him at Ecclefechan, it had been well. But that the rest of the world was waste to him unless he had admirers in it, is a sorry state of sentiment enough; and I am somewhat tempted, for once, to admire the exactly opposite temper of my own solitude. My entire delight was in observing without being myself noticed,—if I could have been invisible, all the better. I was absolutely interested in men and their ways, as I was interested in marmots and chamois, in tomtits and trout. If only they would stay still and let me look at them, and not get into their holes and up their heights! The living inhabitation of the world—the grazing and nesting in it,—the spiritual power of the air, the rocks, the waters, to be in the midst of it, and rejoice and wonder at it, and help it if I could,—happier if it needed no help of mine,—this was the essential love of Nature in me, this the root of all that I have usefully become, and the light of all that I have rightly learned.

193. Whether we slept at St. Laurent or Morez, the morning of the next day was an eventful one. In ordinarily fine weather, the ascent from Morez to Les Rousses, walked most of the way, was mere enchantment; so also breakfast, and fringed-gentian gathering, at Les Rousses. Then came usually an hour of tortured watching the increase of the noon clouds; for, however early we had risen, it was impossible to reach the Col de la Faucille before two o’clock, or later if we had bad horses, and at
two o’clock, if there are clouds above Jura, there will be assuredly clouds on the Alps.

It is worth notice, Saussure himself not having noticed it, that this main pass of Jura, unlike the great passes of the Alps, reaches its traverse-point very nearly under the highest summit of that part of the chain. The col, separating the source of the Bienne, which runs down to Morez and St. Claude, from that of the Valserine, which winds through the midst of Jura to the Rhone at Bellegarde, is a spur of the Dôle itself, under whose prolonged masses the road is then carried six miles farther, ascending very slightly to the Col de la Faucille, where the chain opens suddenly, and a sweep of the road, traversed in five minutes at a trot, opens the whole Lake of Geneva, and the chain of the Alps along a hundred miles of horizon.

194. I have never seen that view perfectly but once— in this year 1835; when I drew it carefully in my then fashion, and have been content to look back to it as the confirming sequel of the first view of the Alps from Schaffhausen. Very few travellers, even in old times, saw it at all; tired of the long posting journey from Paris, by the time they got to the col they were mostly thinking only of their dinners and rest at Geneva; the guide books said nothing about it; and though, for everybody, it was an inevitable task to ascend the Righi, nobody ever thought there was anything to be seen from the Dôle.

Both mountains have had enormous influence on my whole life;—the Dôle continually and calmly; the Righi at sorrowful intervals, as will be seen. But the Col de la Faucille, on that day of 1835, opened to me in distinct vision the Holy Land of my future work and true home in this world. My eyes had been opened, and my heart with them, to see and to possess royally such a kingdom! Far as the eye could reach—that land and its moving or

1 [There is, however, no other reference to the Righi in Præterita; but it was to have formed the subject of one of the unwritten chapters: see below, p. 634.]
2 [Compare what Ruskin says to like effect in the Preface to Queen of the Air, Vol. XIX. p. 293.]
pausing waters; Arve, and his gates of Cluse, and his glacier fountains; Rhone, and the infinitude of his sapphire lake,—his peace beneath the narcissus meads of Vevay—his cruelty beneath the promontories of Sierre. And all that rose against and melted into the sky, of mountain and mountain snow; and all that living plain, burning with human gladness—studded with white homes,—a milky way of star-dwellings cast across its sunlit blue.
CHAPTER X

QUEM TU, MELPOMENE

195. WHETHER in the biography of a nation, or of a single person, it is alike impossible to trace it steadily through successive years. Some forces are failing while others strengthen, and most act irregularly, or else at uncorresponding periods of renewed enthusiasm after intervals of lassitude. For all clearness of exposition, it is necessary to follow first one, then another, without confusing notices of what is happening in other directions.

I must accordingly cease talk of pictorial and rhythmic efforts of the year 1835, at this point; and go back to give account of another segment of my learning, which might have had better consequence than ever came of it, had the stars so pleased.

196. I cannot, and perhaps the reader will be thankful, remember anything of the Apolline instincts under which I averred to incredulous papa and mamma that, “though I could not speak, I could play upon the fiddle.” But even to this day, I look back with starts of sorrow to a lost opportunity of showing what was in me, of that manner of genius, on the occasion of a grand military dinner in the state room of the Sussex, at Tunbridge Wells; where, when I was something about eight or nine years old, we were staying in an unadventurous manner, enjoying the pantiles, the common, the sight, if not the taste, of the lovely fountain, and drives to the High Rocks. After the military dinner there was military music, and by

---

1 [Horace, Odes, iv. 3, 1.]
2 [See above, § 75 (p. 68).]
3 [For another reminiscence of these days, see Two Paths, § 140 (Vol. XVI. p. 375), where, however, Ruskin refers to them as “dark days in my life—days of condemnation to the pantiles and band.”]
connivance of waiters, Anne and I got in, somehow, mixed up with the dessert. I believe I was rather a pretty boy then, and dressed in a not wholly civilian manner, in a sort of laced and buttoned surtout. My mind was extremely set on watching the instrumental manoeuvres of the band,—with admiration of all, but burning envy of the drummer.

The colonel took notice of my rapt attention, and sent an ensign to bring me round to him; and after getting, I know not how, at my mind in the matter, told me I might go and ask the drummer to give me his lovely round-headed sticks, and he would. I was in two minds to do it, having good confidence in my powers of keeping time. But the dismal shyness conquered,—I shook my head woefully, and my musical career was blighted. No one will ever know what I could then have brought out of that drum, or (if my father had perchance taken me to Spain) out of a tambourine.

197. My mother, busy in graver matters, had never cultivated the little she had been taught of music, though her natural sensibility to it was great. Mrs. Richard Gray used sometimes to play gracefully to me, but if ever she struck a false note, her husband used to put his fingers in his ears, and dance about the room, exclaiming, “O Mary, Mary dear!” and so extinguish her. Our own Perth Mary played dutifully her scales, and little more; but I got useful help, almost unconsciously, from a family of young people who ought, if my chronology had been systematic, to have been affectionately spoken of long ago.

In above describing my father’s counting-house,¹ I said the door was opened by a latch pulled by the head clerk. This head clerk, or, putting it more modestly, topmost of two clerks, Henry Watson, was a person of much import in my father’s life and mine; import which, I perceive, looking back, to have been as in many respects tender

¹ [See § 153 (p. 134).]
and fortunate, yet in others extremely doleful, both to us and himself.

The chief fault in my father’s mind, (I say so reverently, for its faults were few, but necessarily, for they were very fatal,) was his dislike of being excelled. He knew his own power—felt that he had not nerve to use or display it, in full measure; but all the more, could not bear, in his own sphere, any approach to equality. He chose his clerks first for trustworthiness, secondly for—incapacity. I am not sure that he would have sent away a clever one, if he had chanced on such a person; but he assuredly did not look for mercantile genius in them, but rather for subordinates who would be subordinate for ever. Frederick the Great chose his clerks in the same way; but then, his clerks never supposed themselves likely to be king, while a merchant’s clerks are apt to hope they may at least become partners, if not successors. Also, Friedrich’s clerks were absolutely fit for their business; but my father’s clerks were, in many ways, utterly unfit for theirs. Of which unfitness my father greatly complaining, nevertheless by no means bestirred himself to find fitter ones. He used to send Henry Watson on business tours, and assure him afterwards that he had done more harm than good: he would now and then leave Henry Ritchie to write a business letter; and, I think, find with some satisfaction that it was needful afterwards to write two, himself, in correction of it. There was scarcely a day when he did not come home in some irritation at something that one or other of them had done, or not done. But they stayed with him till his death.

198. Of the second in command, Mr. Ritchie, I will say what is needful in another place;¹ but the clerk of confidence, Henry Watson, has already been left unnoticed too long. He was, I believe, the principal support of a widowed mother and three grown-up sisters, amiable, well

¹ [For a further slight mention of him, see below, § 255 (p. 228).]
educated, and fairly sensible women, all of them; refined beyond the average tone of their position,—and desirous, not vulgarly, of keeping themselves in the upper-edge circle of the middle class. Not vulgarly, I say, as caring merely to have carriages stopping at their door, but with real sense of the good that is in good London society, in London society’s way. They liked, as they did not drop their own h’s, to talk with people who did not drop theirs; to hear what was going on in polite circles; and to have entrée to a pleasant dance, or rightly given concert. Being themselves both good and pleasing musicians, (the qualities are not united in all musicians,) this was not difficult for them;—nevertheless it meant necessarily having a house in a street of tone, near the Park, and being nicely dressed, and giving now and then a little reception themselves. On the whole, it meant the total absorption of Henry’s salary, and of the earnings, in some official, or otherwise plumaged occupations, of two brothers besides, David and William. The latter, now I think of it, was a West-End wine merchant, supplying the nobility with Clos-Vougeot, Hochheimer, dignifiedly still Champagne, and other nectareous drinks, of which the bottom fills up half the bottle, and which are only to be had out of the cellars of Grand Dukes and Counts of the Empire. The family lived, to the edge of their means,—not too narrowly: the young ladies enjoyed themselves, studied German—and at that time it was thought very fine and poetical to study German;—sang extremely well, gracefully and easily; had good taste in dress, the better for being a little matronly and old-fashioned: and the whole family thought themselves extremely élite, in a substantial and virtuous manner.

199. When Henry Watson was first taken, (then, I believe, a boy of sixteen,) I know not by what chance, or on what commendation, into my father’s counting-house, the opening was thought by his family a magnificent one; they were very thankful and happy, and, of course, in their brother’s interest, eager to do all they could to please
my father and mother. They found, however, my mother not
very easily pleased; and presently began themselves to be not a
little surprised and displeased by the way things went on, both in
the counting-house and at Herne Hill. At the one, there was
steady work; at the other, little show: the clerks could by no
means venture to leave their desks for a garden-party, and after
dark were allowed only tallow candles. That the head of the Firm
should live in the half of a party-walled house, beyond the
suburb of Camberwell, was a degradation and disgrace to
everybody connected with the business; and that Henry should
be obliged every morning to take omnibus into the eastern City,
and work within scent of Billingsgate, instead of walking
elegantly across Piccadilly to an office in St. James’s Street, was
alike injurious to him, and disparaging to my father’s taste and
knowledge of the world. Also, to the feminine circle, my mother
was a singular, and sorrowfully intractable, phenomenon.
Taking herself no interest in German studies, and being little
curious as to the events, and little respectful to the opinions, of
Mayfair, she was apt to look with some severity, perhaps a tinge
of jealousy, on what she thought pretentious in the
accomplishments, or affected in the manners, of the young
people: while they, on the other hand, though quite sensible of
my mother’s worth, grateful for her goodwill, and in time really
attached to her, were not disposed to pay much attention to the
opinions of a woman who knew only her own language;—and
were more restive than responsive under kindnesses which
frequently took the form of advice.

200. These differences in feeling, irreconcilable though they
were, did not hinder the growth of consistently pleasant and
sincerely affectionate relations between my mother and the
young housewives. With what best of girl nature was in them,
Fanny, Helen, and foolishest, cleverest little Juliet, enjoyed, in
spring time, exchanging for a day or two the dusty dignity of
their street of tone in Mayfair for the
lilacs and laburnums of Herne Hill: and held themselves, with
their brother Henry, always ready at call to come out on any
occasion of the hill’s hospitality to some respected
correspondent of the House, and sing to us the prettiest airs from
the new opera, with a due foundation and tonic intermixture of
classical German.

Henry had a singularly beautiful tenor voice; and the three
sisters, though not, any one of them, of special power, sang their
parts with sufficient precision, with intelligent taste, and with the
pretty unison of sisterly voices. In this way, from early
childhood, I was accustomed to hear a great range of good music
completely and rightly rendered, without breakings down,
missings out, affectations of manner, or vulgar prominence of
execution. Had the quartette sung me English glee s, or Scotch
ballads, or British saltwater ones, or had any one of the girls had
gift enough to render higher music with its proper splendour, I
might easily have been led to spare some time from my maps
and mineralogy for attentive listening. As it was, the scientific
German compositions were simply tiresome to me, and the
pretty modulations of Italian, which I understood no syllable of,
pleasant only as the trills of the blackbirds, who often listened,
and expressed their satisfaction by joining in the part-songs
through the window that opened to the back garden in the spring
evenings. Yet the education of my ear and taste went on without
trouble of mine. I do not think I ever heard any masterly
professional music, until, as good hap was, I heard the best, only
to be heard during a narrow space of those young days.

201. I too carelessly left without explanation the casual
sentence about “fatal dinner at Mr. Domecq’s” when I was
fourteen, above, Chap. IV., § 94.¹ My father’s Spanish partner
was at that time living in the Champs Élysées, with his English
wife and his five daughters; the eldest, Diana, on the eve of her
marriage with one of Napoleon’s

¹ [See above, p. 85 and n.]
officers, Count Maison; the four others, much younger, chanced to be at home on vacation from their convent school: and we had happy family dinner with them, and mamma and the girls and a delightful old French gentleman, Mr. Badell, played afterwards at “la toilette de Madame” with me; only I couldn’t remember whether I was the necklace or the garters; and then Clotilde and Cécile played “les Echos” and other fascinations of dancemelody,— only I couldn’t dance; and at last Elise had to take pity on me as above described. But the best, if not the largest, part of the conversation among the elders was of the recent death of Bellini,¹ the sorrow of all Paris for him, and the power with which his I Puritani was being rendered by the reigning four great singers for whom it was written.

202. It puzzles me that I have no recollection of any first sight and hearing of an opera. Not even, for that matter, of my first going to a theatre, though I was full twelve before being taken; and afterwards, it was a matter of intense rapture, of a common sort, to be taken to a pantomime. And I greatly enjoy theatre to this day—it is one of the pleasures that have least worn out; yet, while I remember Friar’s Crag at Derwentwater when I was four years old,² and the courtyard of our Paris inn at five,³ I have no memory whatever, and am a little proud to have none, of my first theatre. To be taken now at Paris to the feebly dramatic Puritani was no great joy to me; but I then heard, and it will always be a rare, and only once or twice in a century possible, thing to hear, four great musicians, all rightly to be called of genius, singing together, with sincere desire to assist each other, not eclipse; and to exhibit, not only their own power of singing, but the beauty of the music they sang.

¹ [Vincenzo Bellini, 1801–1835. I Puritani was written in 1834 for the Italian Opera in Paris, then comprising the four great singers, Grisi, Lablache, Rubini, and Tamburini.]
² [See Vol. V. p. 365; and compare Vol. II. p. 294.]
³ [See above, p. 104.]
203. Still more fortunately it happened that a woman of faultless genius led the following dances,—Taglioni;¹ a person of the highest natural faculties, and stainlessly simple character, gathered with sincerest ardour and reverence into her art. My mother, though she allowed me without serious remonstrance to be taken to the theatre by my father, had the strictest Puritan prejudice against the stage; yet enjoyed it so much that I think she felt the sacrifice she made in not going with us to be a sort of price accepted by the laws of virtue for what was sinful in her concession to my father and me. She went, however, to hear and see this group of players, renowned, without any rivals, through all the cities of Europe;—and, strange and pretty to say, her instinct of the innocence, beauty, and wonder, in every motion of the Grace of her century, was so strong, that from that time forth my mother would always, at a word, go with us to see Taglioni.

Afterwards, a season did not pass without my hearing twice or thrice, at least, those four singers; and I learned the better, because my ear was never jaded, the intention of the music written for them, or studied by them; and am extremely glad now that I heard their renderings of Mozart and Rossini, neither of whom can be now said ever to be heard at all, owing to the detestable quickening of the time. Grisi and Malibran sang at least one-third slower than any modern cantatrice;* and Patti, the last time I heard her, massacred Zerlina’s part in “La ci darem,” as if the audience and she had but the one object of getting Mozart’s air done with, as soon as possible.

204. Afterwards, (the confession may as well be got

*It is a pretty conceit of musical people to call themselves scientific, when they have not yet fixed their unit of time!

¹ [Marie Taglioni, 1809–1884. To like effect Thackeray says in The Newcomes that the young men of that epoch “will never see anything so graceful as Taglioni.” “Once after a lecture,” says Mr. Collingwood, “leading Taglioni to her carriage in the midst of a crowd of onlookers, I saw Ruskin cross the London pavement with an old-world minuet-step, hardly conscious, I am sure, of the quaint homage he was paying to the great dancer he had admired in his boyhood” (Ruskin Relics, p. 142).]
over at once,) when I had got settled in my furrow at Christ Church, it chanced that the better men of the college had founded a musical society, under instruction of the cathedral organist, Mr. Marshall, an extremely simple, good-natured, and good-humoured person, by whose encouragement I was brought to the point of trying to learn to sing “Come mai posso vivere se Rosina non m’ascolta,” and to play the two lines of prelude to the “A te o cara,” and what notes I could manage to read of accompaniments to other songs of similarly tender purport. In which, though never even getting so far as to read with ease, I nevertheless, between my fine rhythmic ear, and true lover’s sentiment, got to understand some principles of musical art, which I shall perhaps be able to enforce with benefit on the musical public mind, even to-day, if only I can get first done with this autobiography.

What the furrow at Christ Church was to be like, or where to lead, none of my people seem at this time to have been thinking. My mother, watching the naturalistic and methodic bent of me, was, I suppose, tranquil in the thought of my becoming another White of Selborne, or Vicar of Wakefield, victorious in Whistonian and every other controversy. My father perhaps conceived more cometic or meteoric career for me, but neither of them put the matter seriously in hand, however deeply laid up in heart: and I was allowed without remonstrance to go on measuring the blue of the sky, and watching the flight of the clouds, till I had forgotten most of the Latin I ever knew, and all the Greek, except Anacreon’s ode to the rose.

205. Some little effort was made to pull me together in 1836 by sending me to hear Mr. Dale’s lectures at King’s College, where I explained to Mr. Dale, on meeting him one day in the court of entrance, that porticoes should not be carried on the top of arches; and considered myself

1 [William Marshall (1806–1875), organist at Christ Church, and St. John’s College, Oxford; Mus. Doc. 1840; composer and compiler.]
2 [“Come mai” is from a “Canzonetta Fiorentina, with an accompaniment for the pianoforte by M. R. Lacy”; “A te o cara” is a song in Bellini’s opera I Puritani.]
3 [This, however, was not done.]
exalted because I went in at the same door with boys who had square caps on. The lectures were on early English literature, of which, though I had never read a word of any before Pope, I thought myself already a much better judge than Mr. Dale. His quotation of “Knut the king came sailing by” stayed with me;¹ and I think that was about all I learnt during the summer. For, as my adverse stars would have it, that year, my father’s partner, Mr. Domecq, thought it might for once be expedient that he should himself pay a complimentary round of visits to his British customers, and asked if meanwhile he might leave his daughters at Herne Hill to see the lions at the Tower, and so on. How we got them all into Herne Hill corners and cupboards would be inexplicable but with a plan of the three stories! The arrangements were half Noah’s ark, half Doll’s house, but we got them all in: Clotilde, a graceful oval-faced blonde of fifteen; Cécile, a dark, finely-browed, beautifully-featured girl of thirteen; Elise, again fair, round-faced like an English girl, a treasure of good nature and good sense; Caroline, a delicately quaint little thing of eleven. They had all been born abroad, Clotilde at Cadiz, and of course convent-bred; but lately accustomed to be much in society during vacation at Paris. Deeper than any one dreamed, the sight of them in the Champs Élysées had sealed itself in me, for they were the first well-bred and well-dressed girls I had ever seen—or at least spoken to. I mean of course, by well-dressed, perfectly simply dressed, with Parisian cutting and fitting. They were all “bigoted”—as Protestants would say; quietly firm, as they ought to say—Roman Catholics; spoke Spanish and French with perfect grace, and English with broken precision: were all fairly sensible, Clotilde sternly and accurately so, Elise gaily and kindly, Cécile serenely, Caroline keenly. A most curious galaxy, or southern cross, of unconceived stars, floating on a sudden into my obscure firmament of London suburb.

¹ [Ruskin quotes it in Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 69 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 338).]
206. How my parents could allow their young novice to be cast into the fiery furnace of the outer world in this helpless manner the reader may wonder, and only the Fates know; but there was this excuse for them, that they had never seen me the least interested or anxious about girls—never caring to stay in the promenades at Cheltenham or Bath, or on the parade at Dover; on the contrary, growling and mewing if I was ever kept there, and off to the sea or the fields the moment I got leave; and they had educated me in such extremely orthodox English Toryism and Evangelicalism that they could not conceive their scientific, religious, and George the Third revering youth, waver ing in his constitutional balance towards French Catholics. And I had never said anything about the Champs Élysées! Virtually convent-bred more closely than the maids themselves, without a single sisterly or cousinly affection for refuge or lightning rod, and having no athletic skill or pleasure to check my dreaming, I was thrown, bound hand and foot, in my unaccomplished simplicity, into the fiery furnace, or fiery cross, of these four girls,—who of course reduced me to a mere heap of white ashes in four days. Four days, at the most, it took to reduce me to ashes, but the Mercredi des cendres\(^1\) lasted four years.

Anything more comic in the externals of it, anything more tragic in the essence, could not have been invented by the skil fullest designer in either kind. In my social behaviour and mind I was a curious combination of Mr. Traddles, Mr. Toots, and Mr. Winkle. I had the real fidelity and single-mindedness of Mr. Traddles, with the conversational abilities of Mr. Toots, and the heroic ambition of Mr. Winkle;—all these illuminated by imagination like Mr. Copperfield’s, at his first Norwood dinner.\(^2\)

\(^1\) [Compare Fors Clavigera, Letter 53 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 316).]
\(^2\) [For Thomas Traddles, a “sort of hermit” at Mr. Creakle’s school, see David Copperfield, ch. vii. For the “first Norwood dinner,” see ch. xxvi. Mr. Toots, one of Doctor Blimber’s pupils (Dombey and Son), was, it will be remembered, not remarkable for conversational ability.]
207. Clotilde (Adèle Clotilde in full, but her sisters called her Clotilde, after the queen-saint, and I Adèle, because it rhymed to shell, spell, and knell) was only made more resplendent by the cirelet of her sisters’ beauty; while my own shyness and unpresentableness were farther stiffened, or rather sanded, by a patriotic and Protestant conceit, which was tempered neither by politeness nor sympathy; so that, while in company I sate jealously miserable like a stock fish (in truth, I imagine, looking like nothing so much as a skate in an aquarium trying to get up the glass), on any blessed occasion of tête-à-tête I endeavoured to entertain my Spanish-born, Paris-bred, and Catholic-hearted mistress with my own views upon the subjects of the Spanish Armada, the Battle of Waterloo, and the doctrine of Transubstantiation.

To these modes of recommending myself, however, I did not fail to add what display I could make of the talents I supposed myself to possess. I wrote with great pains, and straining of my invention, a story about Naples (which I had never seen), and “the Bandit Leoni,” whom I represented as typical of what my own sanguinary and adventurous disposition would have been had I been brought up a bandit; and “the Maiden Giuletta,” in whom I portrayed all the perfections of my mistress. Our connection with Messrs. Smith & Elder enabled me to get this story printed in *Friendship’s Offering*; and Adèle laughed over it in rippling ecstasies of derision, of which I bore the pain bravely, for the sake of seeing her thoroughly amused.

I dared not address any sonnets straight to herself; but when she went back to Paris, wrote her a French letter seven quarto pages long, descriptive of the desolations and solitudes of Herne Hill since her departure. This letter, either Elise or Caroline wrote to tell me she had really read, and “laughed immensely at the French of.”

---

1 [See *Bible of Amiens*, Vol. XXXIII. pp. 31, 32, 34.]
2 [In the number for 1837: see now Vol. 1. pp. 288–304.]
Caroline and Elise pitied me a little, and did not like to say she had also laughed at the contents.

208. The old people, meanwhile, saw little harm in all this. Mr. Domecq, who was extremely good-natured, and a good judge of character, rather liked me, because he saw that I was good-natured also, and had some seedling brains, which would come up in time: in the interests of the business he was perfectly ready to give me any of his daughters I liked, who could also be got to like me, but considered that the time was not come to talk of such things. My father was entirely of the same mind, besides being pleased at my getting a story printed in *Friendship’s Offering*, glad that I saw something of girls with good manners, and in hopes that if I wrote poetry about them, it might be as good as the *Hours of Idleness*.¹ My mother, who looked upon the idea of my marrying a Roman Catholic as too monstrous to be possible in the decrees of Heaven, and too preposterous to be even guarded against on earth, was rather annoyed at the whole business, as she would have been if one of her chimneys had begun smoking,—but had not the slightest notion her house was on fire. She saw more, however, than my father, into the depth of the feeling, but did not, in her motherly tenderness, like to grieve me by any serious check to it. She hoped, when the Domecqs went back to Paris, we might see no more of them, and that Adèle’s influence and memory would pass away—with next winter’s snow.

209. Under these indulgent circumstances,—bitterly ashamed of the figure I had made, but yet not a whit dashed back out of my daily swelling foam of furious conceit, supported as it was by real depth of feeling, and (note it well, good reader) by a true and glorious sense of the newly revealed miracle of human love, in its exaltation of the physical beauty of the world I had till then sought by its own light alone,—I set myself in that my

¹ [For Ruskin’s verses of 1836 to Adèle, see Vol. II. pp. xxi., 16–18, 461, 463, 465, 467.]
seventeenth year, in a state of majestic imbecility, to write a
tragedy on a Venetian subject, in which the sorrows of my soul
were to be enshrined in immortal verse,—the fair heroine,
Bianca, was to be endowed with the perfections of Desdemona
and the brightness of Juliet,—and Venice and Love were to be
described, as never had been thought of before.¹ I may note in
passing that on my first sight of the Ducal Palace, the year
before, I had deliberately announced to my father and mother,
and—it seemed to me stupidly incredulous—Mary, that I meant
to make such a drawing of the Ducal Palace as never had been
made before. This I proceeded to perform by collecting some
hasty memoranda on the spot, and finishing my design
elaborately out of my head at Treviso. The drawing still
exists,—for a wonder, out of perspective, which I had now got
too conceited to follow the rules of,²—and with the diaper
pattern of the red and white marbles represented as a bold
panelling in relief.³ No figure disturbs the solemn tranquillity of
the Riva, and the gondolas—each in the shape of a Turkish
crescent standing on its back on the water—float about without
the aid of gondoliers.

I remember nothing more of that year, 1836, than sitting
under the mulberry tree in the back garden, writing my tragedy. I
forget whether we went travelling or not, or what I did in the rest
of the day. It is all now blank to me, except Venice, Bianca, and
looking out over Shooter’s Hill, where I could see the last turn of
the road to Paris.

Some Greek, though I don’t know what, must have been
read, and some mathematics, for I certainly knew the difference
between a square and cube root when I went to Oxford, and was
put by my tutor into Herodotus, out of whom I immediately
gathered materials enough to write my Scythian drinking song,⁴
in imitation of the Giaour.

223, 614.]
² [For his learning them, see above, pp. 76–77.]
³ [Plate VIII.]
⁴ [See Vol. II. p. 57.]
210. The reflective reader can scarcely but have begun to
doubt, by this time, the accuracy of my statement that I took no
harm from Byron.¹ But he need not. The particular form of
expression which my folly took was indeed directed by him; but
this form was the best it could have taken. I got better practice in
English by imitating the Giaour and Bride of Abydos than I
could have had under any other master, (the tragedy was of
course Shakespearian!) and the state of my mind was—my
mind’s own fault, and that of surrounding mischance or
mismanagement—not Byron’s. In that same year, 1836, I took to
reading Shelley also, and wasted much time over the Sensitive
Plant and Epipsychidion; and I took a good deal of harm from
him, in trying to write lines like “prickly and pulpsus and
blistered and blue”; or “it was a little lawny islet by anemone and
vi’let,—like mosaic paven,” etc.;² but, in the state of frothy fever
I was in, there was little good for me to be got out of anything.
The perseverance with which I tried to wade through the Revolt
of Islam, and find out (I never did, and don’t know to this day)
who revolted against whom, or what, was creditable to me; and
the Prometheus really made me understand something of
Æschylus. I am not sure that, for what I was to turn out, my days
of ferment could have been got over much easier: at any rate, it
was better than if I had been learning to shoot, or hunt, or smoke,
or gamble. The entirely inscrutable thing to me, looking back on
myself, is my total want of all reason, will, or design in the
business: I had neither the resolution to win Adèle, the courage
to do without her, the sense to consider what was at last to come
of it all, or the grace to think how disagreeable I was making
myself at the time to everybody about me. There was really no
more capacity nor

¹ [See above, p. 143.]
² [See The Sensitive Plant, iii. 60; and The Isle, i. 3. On Ruskin’s admiration for
Shelley at this time, and his subsequent change of view, see Vol. I. pp. 253–254 n. See
also Fiction, Fair and Foul, Vol. XXXIV. p. 397.]
intelligence in me than in a just fledged owlet, or just open-eyed puppy, disconsolate at the existence of the moon.

211. Out of my feebly melodious complaints to that luminary, however, I was startled by a letter to my father from Christ Church, advising him that there was room for my residence in the January term of 1837, and that I must come up to matriculate in October of the instant year, 1836.

Strangely enough, my father had never inquired into the nature and manner of matriculation, till he took me up to display in Oxford;—he, very nearly as much a boy as I, for anything we knew of what we were about. He never had any doubt about putting me at the most fashionable college, and of course my name had been down at Christ Church years before I was called up; but it had never dawned on my father’s mind that there were two, fashionable and unfashionable, orders, or castes, of undergraduate at Christ Church, one of these being called Gentlemen-Commoners, the other Commoners; and that these last seemed to occupy an almost bisectional point between the Gentlemen-Commoners and the Servitors. All these “invidious” distinctions are now done away with in our Reformed University. Nobody sets up for the special rank of a gentleman, but nobody will be set down as a commoner; and though, of the old people, anybody will beg or canvass for a place for their children in a charity school, 1 everybody would be furious at the thought of his son’s wearing, at college, the gown of a Servitor.

212. How far I agree with the modern British citizen in these lofty sentiments, my general writings have enough shown; 2 but I leave the reader to form his own opinions

1 [For Ruskin’s experiences in this respect as a Governor of Christ’s Hospital, see Vol. I. p. 499; and Time and Tide, Vol. XVII. p. 418.]

2 [See, for instance, Vol. XVIII. p. 183, and Vol. XX. p. 111; and compare, below, p. 209. On this point Gladstone agreed with Ruskin. Dean Kitchin has recorded a dinner at the Deanery at Oxford, at which Gladstone and Lord Selborne were among the guests. “The matter discussed was an order issued by the Dean (Liddell) that in future all distinctive differences of dress, and all differences of fees, for Noblemen, Gentlemen-Commoners, or Servitors, should cease, and that]
without any contrary comment of mine, on the results of the exploded system of things in my own college life.

My father did not like the word “commoner,”—all the less, because our relationships in general were not uncommon. Also, though himself satisfying his pride enough in being the head of the sherry trade, he felt and saw in his son powers which had not their full scope in the sherry trade. His ideal of my future,—now entirely formed in conviction of my genius,—was that I should enter at college into the best society, take all the prizes every year, and a double first to finish with; marry Lady Clara Vere de Vere; write poetry as good as Byron’s, only pious; preach sermons as good as Bossuet’s, only Protestant; be made, at forty, Bishop of Winchester, and at fifty, Primate of England.

213. With all these hopes, and under all these temptations, my father was yet restrained and embarrassed in no small degree by his old and steady sense of what was becoming to his station in life: and he consulted anxiously, but honestly, the Dean of Christ Church, (Gaisford,) and my college tutor that was to be, Mr. Walter Brown, whether a person in his position might without impropriety enter his son as a gentleman-commoner. I did not hear the dialogues, but the old Dean must have answered with a grunt, that my father had every right to make me a gentleman-commoner if he liked, and could pay the fees; the tutor, more attentively laying before him the conditions of the question, may perhaps have said, with courtesy, that it would be good for the college to have a reading man among the gentlemen-commoners, who, as a rule, were not studiously inclined; but he was compelled also to give my

Undergraduates should be of two classes only: Scholars, wearing their comely gown, and Commoners, condemned to that sorry garment which all Undergraduates naturally despise. The great lawyer mildly defended this move; it was with characteristic vehemence opposed by the statesman. Mr. Gladstone held that the distinctions of the outer world should have their echo in Oxford; that it was a lesson in the structure of society; that it protected poor men from the temptations to high expenditure” (Ruskin in Oxford and other Papers, 1904, p. 2).

father a hint, that as far as my reading had already gone, it was
not altogether certain I could pass the entrance examination
which had to be sustained by commoners. This last suggestion
was conclusive. It was not to be endured that the boy who had
been expected to carry all before him, should get himself
jammed in the first turnstile. I was entered as a
Gentleman-Commoner without farther debate, and remember
still, as if it were yesterday, the pride of first walking out of the
Angel Hotel, and past University College, holding my father’s
arm, in my velvet cap and silk gown.

214. Yes, good reader, the velvet and silk made a difference,
not to my mother only, but to me! Quite one of the telling and
weighty points in the home debates concerning this choice of
Hercules, had been that the commoner’s gown was not only of
ugly stuff, but had no flowing lines in it, and was virtually only a
black rag tied to one’s shoulders. One was thrice a gownsman in
a flowing gown.

So little, indeed, am I disposed now in maturer years to
deride these unphilosophical feelings, that instead of effacing
distinction of dress at the University (except for the boating
clubs), I would fain have seen them extended into the entire
social order of the country. I think that nobody but duchesses
should be allowed to wear diamonds; that lords should be known
from common people by their stars, a quarter of a mile off; that
every peasant girl should boast her county by some dainty
ratification of cap or bodice; and that in the towns a vintner
should be known from a fishmonger by the cut of his jerkin.

That walk to the Schools, and the waiting, outside the
Divinity School, in comforting admiration of its door, my turn
for matriculation, continue still for me, at pleasure. But I
remember nothing more that year; nor anything of the first days
of the next, until early in January we drove down to Oxford, only
my mother and I, by the beautiful Henley road, weary a little as
we changed horses for the
last stage from Dorchester; solemnized, in spite of velvet and silk, as we entered among the towers in the twilight; and after one more rest under the domestic roof of the “Angel,” I found myself the next day at evening, alone, by the fireside, entered into command of my own life, in my own college room in Peckwater.¹

¹ [A large quadrangle, of Palladian architecture, built in 1705 from the design of Dean Aldrich, and named from the inn of one Radulph Peckwether, Mayor of Oxford in the time of Henry III., which occupied its site.]
CHAPTER XI
CHRIST CHURCH CHOIR

215. ALONE, by the fireside of the little back room, which looked into the narrow lane, chiefly then of stabling, I sate collecting my resolution for college life.

I had not much to collect; nor, so far as I knew, much to collect it against. I had about as clear understanding of my whereabouts, or foresight of my fortune, as Davie Gellatley might have had in my place; with these farther inferiorities to Davie, that I could neither dance, sing, nor roast eggs.1 There was not the slightest fear of my gambling, for I had never touched a card, and looked upon dice as people now do on dynamite. No fear of my being tempted by the strange woman, for was not I in love? and besides, never allowed to be out after half-past nine. No fear of my running in debt, for there were no Turners to be had in Oxford, and I cared for nothing else in the world of material possession. No fear of my breaking my neck out hunting, for I couldn’t have ridden a hack down the High Street; and no fear of my ruining myself at a race, for I never had been but at one race in my life, and had not the least wish to win anybody else’s money.

I expected some ridicule, indeed, for these my simple ways, but was safe against ridicule in my conceit: the only thing I doubted myself in, and very rightly, was the power of applying for three years to work in which I took not the slightest interest. I resolved, however, to do my parents and myself as much credit as I could, said my prayers very seriously, and went to bed in good hope.

1 [For the singing and dancing, see Waverley, chaps. 9, 15, 63, and 71; for the eggs, chap. 64.]
Christ Church, Oxford

From the Drawing in the possession of F. R. Hall, Esq.
216. And here I must stay, for a minute or two, to give some account of the state of mind I had got into during the above-described progress of my education, touching religious matters.

As far as I recollect, the steady Bible reading with my mother ended with our first continental journey, when I was fourteen; one could not read three chapters after breakfast while the horses were at the door. For this lesson was substituted my own private reading of a chapter, morning and evening, and, of course, saying the Lord’s Prayer after it, and asking for everything that was nice for myself and my family; after which I waked or slept, without much thought of anything but my earthly affairs, whether by night or day.

It had never entered into my head to doubt a word of the Bible, though I saw well enough already that its words were to be understood otherwise than I had been taught; but the more I believed it, the less it did me any good. It was all very well for Abraham to do what angels bid him,—so would I, if any angels bid me; but none had ever appeared to me that I knew of, not even Adèle, who couldn’t be an angel because she was a Roman Catholic.

217. Also, if I had lived in Christ’s time, of course I would have gone with Him up to the mountain, or sailed with Him on the Lake of Galilee; but that was quite another thing from going to Beresford chapel, Walworth, ¹ or St. Bride’s, Fleet Street. Also, though I felt myself somehow called to imitate Christian in the Pilgrim’s Progress, I couldn’t see that either Billiter Street and the Tower Wharf, where my father had his cellars, or the cherry-blossomed garden at Herne Hill, where my mother potted her flowers, could be places I was bound to fly from as in the City of Destruction. Without much reasoning on the matter, I had virtually concluded from my general Bible reading that, never having meant or done any harm

¹ [To sit under Dr. Andrews: see above, § 79 (pp. 71–72). Of St. Bride’s, another of Ruskin’s tutors (the Rev. T. Dale) was vicar.]
that I knew of, I could not be in danger of hell: while I saw also
that even the crème de la crème of religious people seemed to be
in no hurry to go to heaven. On the whole, it seemed to me, all
that was required of me was to say my prayers, go to church,
learn my lessons, obey my parents, and enjoy my dinner.

218. Thus minded, in the slowly granted light of the winter
morning I looked out upon the view from my college windows,
of Christ Church library and the smooth-gravelled square of
Peckwater, vexed a little because I was not in an oriel window
looking out on a Gothic chapel: but quite unconscious of the real
condemnation I had fallen under, or of the loss that was involved
to me in having nothing but Christ Church library, and a
gravelled square, to see out of window during the spring-times
of two years of youth.

At the moment I felt that, though dull, it was all very grand;
and that the architecture, though Renaissance, was bold, learned,
well-proportioned, and variously didactic. In reality, I might just
as well have been sent to the dungeon of Chillon, except for the
damp; better, indeed, if I could have seen the three small trees
from the window slit,1 and good groining and pavement, instead
of the modern vulgar upholstery of my room furniture.

Even the first sight of college chapel disappointed me, after
the large churches abroad; but its narrow vaults had very
different offices.

On the whole, of important places and services for the
Christian souls of England, the choir of Christ Church was at
that epoch of English history virtually the navel, and seat of life.
There remained in it the traditions of Saxon, Norman,
Elizabethan, religion unbroken,—the memory of loyalty, the
reality of learning, and, in nominal obedience at least, and in the
heart of them with true docility, stood

1 [See Byron’s The Prisoner of Chillon:—
“\text{A small green isle, it seem’d no more,}
Scarce broader than my dungeon floor;
But in it there were three small trees,}” etc.]
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every morning, to be animated for the highest duties owed to their country, the noblest of English youth. The greater number of the peers of England, and, as a rule, the best of her squirealty, passed necessarily through Christ Church.

The cathedral itself was an epitome of English history. Every stone, every pane of glass, every panel of woodwork, was true, and of its time,—not an accursed sham of architect’s job. The first shrine of St. Frideswide had indeed been destroyed, and her body rent and scattered on the dust by the Puritan; but her second shrine was still beautiful in its kind,—most lovely English work both of heart and hand. The Norman vaults above were true English Norman; bad and rude enough, but the best we could do with our own wits, and no French help. The roof was true Tudor,—grotesque, inventively constructive, delicately carved; it, with the roof of the hall staircase, summing the builder’s skill of the fifteenth century. The west window, with its clumsy painting of the Adoration of the Shepherds, a monument of the transition from window to picture which ended in Dutch pictures of the cattle without either shepherds or Christ,—but still, the best men could do of the day; and the plain final woodwork of the stalls represented still the last art of living England in the form of honest and comfortable carpentry.

219. In this choir, written so closely and consecutively with indisputable British history, met every morning a congregation representing the best of what Britain had become, —orderly, as the crew of a man-of-war, in the goodly ship

1 [The shrine of St. Frideswide seems to have been moved frequently to different parts of the building. The structure commonly called “the shrine of Frideswide” (date 1480) is now supposed to have been the “watching chamber” of the guard or keeper of the shrine and its offerings. The shrine was removed, but not destroyed, at the Reformation. A brass plate was placed in 1880 over the spot where the shrine once stood.]

2 [Of fan-tracery, groined, with pendants—an enrichment attributed to Wolsey.]

3 [With the famous fan-roof springing from a single pillar; built not in the fifteenth century, but for Dean Fell in 1640. Ruskin refers to it in one of his letters on The Oxford Museum: see Vol. XVI. p. 226.]

4 [New stalls, executed from Sir Gilbert Scott’s designs, were among the alterations made by Dean Liddell between 1872 and 1875.]
of their temple. Every man in his place, according to his rank, age, and learning; every man of sense or heart there recognizing that he was either fulfilling, or being prepared to fulfil, the gravest duties required of Englishmen. A well-educated foreigner, admitted to that morning service, might have learned and judged more quickly and justly what the country had been, and still had power to be, than by months of stay in court or city. There, in his stall, sat the greatest divine of England,\textsuperscript{1}—under his commandant niche, her greatest scholar,\textsuperscript{2}—among the tutors the present Dean Liddell, and a man of curious intellectual power and simple virtue, Osborne Gordon.\textsuperscript{3} The group of noblemen gave, in the Marquis of Kildare, Earl of Desart, Earl of Emlyn, and Francis Charteris, now Lord Wemyss,\textsuperscript{4}—the brightest types of high race and active power. Henry Acland and Charles Newton among the senior undergraduates, and I among the freshmen, showed, if one had known it, elements of curious possibilities in coming days. None of us then conscious of any need or chance of change, least of all the stern captain, who, with rounded brow and glittering dark eye, led in his old thunderous Latin the responses of the morning prayer.

For all that I saw, and was made to think, in that cathedral choir, I am most thankful to this day.

220. The influence on me of the next goodliest part of the college buildings,—the hall,—was of a different and curiously mixed character. Had it only been used, as it only ought to have been, for festivity and magnificence,—

\textsuperscript{1} [Edward Bouverie Pusey (1800–1882), Regius Professor of Hebrew and Canon of Christ Church.]  
\textsuperscript{2} [Dean Gaisford (1779–1855), Regius Professor of Greek.]  
\textsuperscript{3} [See below, p. 249.]  
\textsuperscript{4} [Charles William FitzGerald, Marquis of Kildare, M.P. for Kildare, 1847–1852; succeeded as fourth Duke of Leinster, 1874; died 1874. Otway O'Connor Cuffe, third Earl of Desart (1818–1865), M.P. for Ipswich, 1842; a representative peer of Ireland, 1846.  
"Earl of Emlyn" must be a mistake for Viscount Emlyn (1817–1898), who succeeded his father as second Earl Cawdor, 1860; M.P. for Pembrokeshire, 1841–1860.  
Francis Charteris, Lord Elcho, succeeded as ninth Earl of Wemyss, 1883; see further, below, p. 208.]
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for the refectory daily, the reception of guests, the delivery of speeches on state occasions, and the like,—the hall, like the cathedral, would have had an entirely salutary and beneficently solemnizing effect on me, hallowing to me my daily bread, or, if our Dean Abbot had condescended sometimes to dine with us, our incidental venison. But with the extremely bad taste (which, to my mind, is our cardinal modern sin, the staple to the hinge of our taste for money, and distaste for money’s worth, and every other worthiness) —in that bad taste, I say, the Abbot allowed our Hall to be used for “collections.” The word is wholly abominable to my mind, whether as expressing extorted charities in church, or extracted knowledge in examination. “Collections,” in scholastic sense, meant the college examination at the end of every term, at which the Abbot had always the worse than bad taste to be present as our inquisitor, though he had never once presided at our table as our host. Of course the collective quantity of Greek possessed by all the undergraduate heads in hall was, to him, infinitesimal. Scornful at once, and vindictive, thunderous always, more sullen and threatening as the day went on, he stalked with baleful emanation of Gorgonian cold from dais to door, and door to dais, of the majestic torture chamber,—vast as the great council hall of Venice, but degraded now by the mean terrors, swallow-like under its eaves, of doleful creatures who had no counsel in them, except how to hide their crib in time, at each fateful Abbot’s transit. Of course I never used a crib, but I believe the Dean would rather I had used fifty, than borne the puzzled and hopeless aspect which I presented towards the afternoon, over whatever I had to do. And as my Latin writing was, I suppose, the worst in the university,—as I never by any chance knew a first from a second future, or, even to the end of my Oxford career, could get into my head where the Pelasgi lived, or where the Heraclidae returned from,—it may be imagined with what sort of countenance the Dean gave me his first and second fingers to shake at our
parting, or with what comfort I met the inquiries of my father and mother as to the extent to which I was, in college opinion, carrying all before me.

221. As time went on, the aspect of my college hall to me meant little more than the fear and shame of those examination days; but even in the first surprise and sublimity of finding myself dining there, were many reasons for the qualification of my pleasure. The change from our front parlour at Herne Hill, some fifteen feet by eighteen, and meat and pudding with my mother and Mary, to a hall about as big as the nave of Canterbury Cathedral, with its extremity lost in mist, its roof in darkness, and its company, an innumerable, immeasurable vision in vanishing perspective, was in itself more appalling to me than appetizing; but also, from first to last, I had the clownish feeling of having no business there.

In the cathedral, however born or bred, I felt myself present by as good a right as its bishop,—nay, that in some of its lessons and uses, the building was less his than mine. But at table, with this learned and lordly perspective of guests, and state of worldly service, I had nothing to do; my own proper style of dining was for ever, I felt, divided from this—impassably. With baked potatoes under the mutton, just out of the oven, into the little parlour off the shop in Market Street, or beside a gipsy’s kettle on Addington Hill (not that I had ever been beside a gipsy’s kettle, but often wanted to be); or with an oat-cake and butter—for I was always a gourmand—in a Scotch shepherd’s cottage, to be divided with his collie, I was myself, and in my place: but at the gentlemen-commoners’ table, in Cardinal Wolsey’s diningroom,¹ I was, in all sorts of ways at once, less than myself, and in all sorts of wrong places at once, out of my place.

222. I may as well here record a somewhat comic

¹ [The Hall was built by Wolsey, 1529.]
incident, extremely trivial, which took place a little while afterwards; and which, in spite of its triviality, farther contributed to diminish in my own mind the charm of Christ Church Hall. I had been received as a good-humoured and inoffensive little cur, contemptuously, yet kindly, among the dogs of race at the gentlemen-commoners’ table; and my tutor, and the men who read in class with me, were beginning to recognize that I had some little gift in reading with good accent, thinking of what I read, and even asking troublesome questions about it, to the extent of being one day eagerly and admiringly congratulated by the whole class the moment we got out into quad, on the consummate manner in which I had floored our tutor. I having had no more intention to floor, or consciousness of flooring, the tutor, than a babe unborn! but had only happened, to the exquisite joy of my companions, to ask him something which he didn’t happen to know. But, a good while before attaining this degree of public approval, I had made a direct attempt to bring myself into favourable notice, which had been far less successful.

It was an institution of the college that every week the undergraduates should write an essay on a philosophical subject, explicative of some brief Latin text of Horace, Juvenal, or other accredited and pithy writer; and, I suppose, as a sort of guarantee to the men that what they wrote was really looked at, the essay pronounced the best was read aloud in hall on Saturday afternoon, with enforced attendance of the other undergraduates. Here, at least, was something in which I felt that my little faculties had some scope, and both conscientiously, and with real interest in the task, I wrote my weekly essay with all the sagacity and eloquence I possessed. And therefore, though much flattered, I was not surprised, when, a few weeks after coming up, my tutor announced to me, with a look of approval, that I was to read my essay in hall next Saturday.

223. Serenely, and on good grounds, confident in my
powers of reading rightly, and with a decent gravity which I felt to be becoming on this my first occasion of public distinction, I read my essay, I have reason to believe, not ungracefully; and descended from the rostrum to receive—as I doubted not—the thanks of the gentlemen-commoners for this creditable presentment of the wisdom of that body. But poor Clara, after her first ball, receiving her cousin’s compliments in the cloak-room, was less surprised than I by my welcome from my cousins of the long-table. Not in envy, truly, but in fiery disdain, varied in expression through every form and manner of English language, from the Olympian sarcasm of Charteris to the level-delivered volley of Grimston, they explained to me that I had committed grossest lèse-majesté against the order of gentlemen-commoners; that no gentleman-commoner’s essay ought ever to contain more than twelve lines, with four words in each; and that even indulging to my folly, and conceit, and want of savoir faire, the impropriety of writing an essay with any meaning in it, like vulgar students,—the thoughtlessness and audacity of writing one that would take at least a quarter of an hour to read, and then reading it all, might for this once be forgiven to such a greenhorn, but that Coventry wasn’t the word for the place I should be sent to if ever I did such a thing again. I am happy at least in remembering that I bore my fall from the clouds without much hurt, or even too ridiculous astonishment. I at once admitted the justice of these representations, yet do not remember that I modified the

---

1 [Robert Grimston (1816–1884), famous as boxer, swimmer, rider, and cricketer: mentioned below, § 236 (p. 210).]
2 [The enormity of Ruskin’s offence will be understood by what Dean Kitchin tells us of the usual practice with regard to these weekly exercises: “Randall, the great hosier of the High, who afterwards retired on a good fortune, or ‘Cicero’ Cook, the learned scout of Christ Church, used to undertake, for a consideration, to compose the views of the haughty undergraduate, and the young man condescended to sign the same, and poke it into the box in the tutor’s oak. The rest usually aimed at filling their regulation three pages with few words, long and well spread out; we all came to regard the whole thing as a useless nuisance” (Ruskin in Oxford and other Papers, 1904, p. 13).]
style of my future essays materially in consequence, neither do I remember what line of conduct I had proposed to myself in the event of again obtaining the privilege of edifying the Saturday’s congregation. Perhaps my essays really diminished in value, or perhaps even the tutors had enough of them. All I know is, I was never asked to.

224. I ought to have noticed that the first introductions to the men at my table were made easier by the chance of my having been shut up for two days of storm at the Hospice of the Grimsel, in 1835, with some thirty travellers from various countries, among whom a Christ Church gentleman-commoner, Mr. Strangways, had played chess with me, and been a little interested in the way I drew granite among the snow. He at once acknowledged me in Hall for a fellow-creature; and the rest of his set, finding they could get a good deal out of me in amusement without my knowing it, and that I did not take upon myself to reform their manners from any Evangelical, or otherwise impertinent, point of view, took me up kindly; so that, in a fortnight or so, I had fair choice of what companions I liked, out of the whole college.

Fortunately for me—beyond all words, fortunately—Henry Acland, by about a year and a half my senior, chose me; saw what helpless possibilities were in me, and took me affectionately in hand. His rooms, next the gate on the north side of Canterbury, were within fifty yards of mine, and became to me the only place where I was happy. He quietly showed me the manner of life of English youth

---

1 [The MS. here reads: —
   “I have heard it said that old men remember their youth, but not their yesterdays. I very sorrowfully find myself now old enough to forget both, but certainly the youth most. It puzzles me extremely that I cannot the least recall the feelings of first acquaintance with the men at my table, nor how the mere forms of introduction were arranged by them for me. My notion is that they were made easier . . .”]

2 [See Ruskin’s metrical “Letter from Abroad,” Vol. II. p. 434.]

3 [Stephen Fox Strangways, afterwards (on an elder brother’s death) Lord Stavordale; died 1848.]

4 [The Canterbury quadrangle, beyond Peckwater, occupies the site of “Canterbury Hall,” of which Wyckliffe is supposed to have been master.]
of good sense, good family, and enlarged education; we both of us already lived in elements far external to the college quadrangle. He told me of the plains of Troy; a year or two afterwards I showed him, on his marriage journey, the path up the Montanvert; and the friendship between us has never changed, but by deepening, to this day.

225. Of other friends, I had some sensible and many kind ones; an excellent college tutor; and later on, for a private one, the entirely right-minded and accomplished scholar already named, Osborne Gordon. At the corner of the great quadrangle lived Dr. Buckland, always ready to help me,—or, a greater favour still, to be helped by me, in diagram drawing for his lectures. My picture of the granite veins in Trewavas Head, with a cutter weathering the point in a squall, in the style of Copley Fielding, still, I believe, forms part of the resources of the geological department. Mr. Parker, then first founding the Architectural Society, and Charles Newton, already notable in his intense and curious way of looking into things, were there to sympathize with me, and to teach me more accurately the study of architecture. Within eight miles were the pictures of Blenheim. In all ways, opportunities, and privileges, it was not conceivable that a youth of my age could have been placed more favourably—if only he had had the wit to know them, and the will to use them. Alas! there I stood—or tottered—partly irresolute, partly idiotic, in the midst of them: nothing that I can think of among men, or birds, or beasts, quite the image of

1 [In 1846, at the end of August, Acland had visited the site of Troy a few years before; and published The Plains of Troy, illustrated by a Panoramic Drawing taken on the spot and a Map constructed after the latest survey: see J. B. Atlay's Memoir of Henry Acland, p. 72.]

2 [The Rev. W. L. Brown: see below, p. 200.]


4 [For later reference to him, see ii. § 155 (p. 385).]
me, except poor little Shepherdess Agnes’s picture of the “Duckling Astray.”

226. I count it is just a little to my credit that I was not ashamed, but pleased, that my mother came to Oxford with me to take such care of me as she could. Through all three years of residence, during term time, she had lodging in the High Street (first in Mr. Adams’s pretty house of sixteenth-century wood-work⁴), and my father lived alone all through the week at Herne Hill, parting with wife and son at once for the son’s sake. On the Saturday, he came down to us, and I went with him and my mother, in the old domestic way, to St. Peter’s, for the Sunday morning service: otherwise, they never appeared with me in public, lest my companions should laugh at me, or any one else ask malicious questions concerning vintner papa and his old-fashioned wife.

None of the men, through my whole college career, ever said one word in depreciation of either of them, or in sarcasm at my habitually spending my evenings with my mother. But once, when Adèle’s elder sister came with her husband to see Oxford, and I mentioned, somewhat unnecessarily, at dinner, that she was the Countess Diane de Maison, they had no mercy on me for a month afterwards.

The reader will please also note that my mother did not come to Oxford because she could not part with me,—

¹ [See Fors Clavigera, Letter 50 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 257). The MS. here adds:—

“And here I am partly tempted, and partly urged by a sense of duty, to digress into a treatise on what I might have made of the University if I had known better, or what it might have made of me, if it had known better. Resisting both impulse, and consciousness of philosophical power, I pursue my relation of what it did make of me, and I of myself. It is, I think, a little to my credit . . .”

Some pages of such a treatise will be found partly in passages now added in the Appendix (below, pp. 610–614); partly in a letter to the Rev. W. L. Brown in the next volume.]

² [The MS. adds: “Of our one chief sitting-room, in which my outline drawing, still extant, gives excellent idea.” The drawing is here reproduced (Plate XI.). The rooms are at 90 High Street, a house formerly in possession of Christ Church, and now occupied by University College. Ruskin’s sketch, which must have been made on the floor, magnifies the height, but is otherwise true to the panelled and ceiled room, as it still exists (1908).]
The Chapel of Roslin.
1838.
still less, because she distrusted me. She came simply that she might be at hand in case of accident or sudden illness. She had always been my physician as well as my nurse; on several occasions her timely watchfulness had saved me from the most serious danger; nor was her caution now, as will be seen, unjustified by the event. But for the first two years of my college life I caused her no anxiety; and my day was always happier because I could tell her at tea whatever had pleased or profited me in it.

227. The routine of day is perhaps worth telling. I never missed chapel; and in winter got an hour’s reading before it. Breakfast at nine,—half-an-hour allowed for it to a second, for Captain Marryat with my roll and butter. College lectures till one. Lunch, with a little talk to anybody who cared to come in, or share their own commons with me. At two, Buckland or other professor’s lecture. Walk till five, hall dinner, wine either given or accepted, and quiet chat over it with the reading men, or a frolic with those of my own table; but I always got round to the High Street to my mother’s tea at seven, and amused myself till Tom rang in, and I got with a run to Canterbury gate, and settled to a steady bit of final reading till ten. I can’t make out more than six hours’ real work in the day, but that was constantly and unflinchingly given.

228. My Herodotean history, at any rate, got well settled down into me, and remains a greatly precious possession to this day. Also my college tutor, Mr. Walter Brown, became somewhat loved by me, and with gentleness encouraged me into some small acquaintance with Greek.

* I try to do without notes, but for the sake of any not English reader must explain that “Tom” is the name of the great bell of Oxford, in Christ Church western tower.

1 [See below, pp. 259, 260.]
2 [See above, § 118 (p. 103).]
3 [For some passages which followed here in the first draft, see the Appendix; below, p. 610.]
verbs. My mathematics progressed well under another tutor whom I liked, Mr. Hill; the natural instinct in me for pure geometry being keen, and my grasp of it, as far as I had gone, thorough. At my “little go” in the spring of ’38, the diagrams of Euclid being given me, as was customary with the Euclid examination paper, I handed the book back to the examiner, saying scornfully, “I don’t want any figures, Sir.” “You had better take them,” replied he, mildly; which I did, as he bid me; but I could then, and can still, dictate blindfold the demonstration of any problem, with any letters, at any of its points. I just scraped through, and no more, with my Latin writing, came creditably off with what else had to be done, and my tutor was satisfied with me,—not enough recognizing that the “little go” had asked, and got out of me, pretty nearly all I had in me, or was ever likely to have in that kind.

229. It was extremely unfortunate for me that the two higher lecturers of the college, Kynaston (afterwards Master of St. Paul’s) in Greek, and Hussey, the censor, in I don’t recollect what of disagreeable, were both to my own feeling repellent. They both despised me, as a home-boy, to begin with; Kynaston with justice, for I had not Greek enough to understand anything he said; and when good-naturedly one day, in order to bring out as best he might my supposed peculiar genius and acquirements, he put me on at the ὤρα δὲ τριγλύφων, όποι κενον δέμας καθείναι, of the Iphigenia

1 [The MS. adds:—
   “Singularly, neither he nor any other person ever explained to me the meaning of the word ‘aorist,’ and I never thought of it myself till I was forty.”]
2 [The Rev. Edward Hill; student of Christ Church, 1827–1850; Hon. Canon of St. Albans.]
3 [The examination now known at Oxford as “Smalls” or “Responsions,” the term “little go” being in more recent slang confined to the corresponding examination at Cambridge.]
4 [Herbert Kynaston (1809–1878); tutor and Greek reader at Christ Church, 1836; D. D. 1849; high-master of St. Paul’s School, 1838–1876.]
5 [Robert Hussey (1801–1856); censor of Christ Church, 1835–1842; Professor of Ecclesiastical History, 1842–1856.]
in Tauris, and found, to his own and all the class’s astonishment and disgust, that I did not know what a triglyph was,—never spoke to me with any patience again, until long afterwards at St. Paul’s, where he received me, on an occasion of school ceremony, with affection and respect.

Hussey was, by all except the best men of the college, felt to be a censorious censor; and the manners of the college were unhappily such as to make any wise censor censorious. He had, by the judgment of heaven, a grim countenance; and was to me accordingly, from first to last, as a Christchurch Gorgon or Erinnys, whose passing cast a shadow on the air as well as on the gravel.

I am amused, as I look back, in now perceiving what an æsthetic view I had of all my tutors and companions,—how consistently they took to me the aspect of pictures, and how I from the first declined giving any attention to those which were not well painted enough. My ideal of a tutor was founded on what Holbein or Dürer had represented in Erasmus or Melancthon, or, even more solemnly, on Titian’s Magnificoes or Bonifazio’s Bishops. No presences of that kind appeared either in Tom or Peckwater; and even Doctor Pusey (who also never spoke to me) was not in the least a picturesque or tremendous figure, but only a sickly and rather ill put together English clerical gentleman, who never looked one in the face, or appeared aware of the state of the weather.

230. My own tutor was a dark-eyed, animated, pleasant, but not in the least impressive person, who walked with an unconscious air of assumption, noticeable by us juniors not to his advantage. Kynaston was ludicrously like a fat schoolboy. Hussey, grim and brown as I said, somewhat lank, incapable of jest, equally incapable of enthusiasm; for the rest, doing his duty thoroughly, and a most estimable member of the college and university,—but to me, a

1 [Ruskin made good use of the line, however, presently in his Poetry of Architecture, § 126: see Vol. I. p. 99.]

2 [See Plates XXXVI. and XXXVII. in Vol. XXII. (pp. 418, 419).]
resident calamity far greater than I knew, whose malefic influence I recognize in memory only.

Finally, the Dean himself, though venerable to me, from the first, in his evident honesty, self-respect, and real power of a rough kind, was yet in his general aspect too much like the sign of the Red Pig which I afterwards saw set up in pudding raisins, with black currants for eyes, by an imaginative grocer in Chartres fair; and in the total bodily and ghostly presence of him was to me only a rotundly progressive terror, or sternly enthroned and niched Anathema.

There was one tutor, however, out of my sphere, who reached my ideal, but disappointed my hope, then,—as perhaps his own, since;—a man sorrowfully under the dominion of the Greek anagkh—the present Dean. ¹ He was, and is, one of the rarest types of nobly-presenced

¹ [Dean Liddell, on reading this chapter of Præterita, wrote to Ruskin as follows:—

“Your ‘Christ Church Choir’ I have read with much interest. It calls back old times and revives the memory of many things. . . . As for myself, I have to thank you for your kindly expressions. Kindly I call them, though I am sensible that, under the kindliness, lies severe censure. But I think this censure is based upon an over-estimate of my umquhile capacities. To alter your phrase, I conceive you to say that by bowing my neck under some kind of anagkh, I have become a Philistine instead of becoming, as was possible, a true Israelite. Well, I hope I am not an absolute Philistine. But I am sure that I never could, with any success, have attempted a way

‘—qua me quoque possim
Tollere humo, victorque virum volitare per ora.’

This, I suppose, is what you mean.

“None of us, in looking back, but must say with old Samuel Johnson, ‘I have lived a life of which I do not like the review.’ But this is different from imagining that one might have done great things instead of little. Enough of myself.”

To this Ruskin replied:—

“I am very grateful for your letter. What was held back in my reference to you was chiefly my own mortified vanity, at your praising other people’s lectures, and never mine! and sorrow that you kept dictionary making, instead of drawing trees at Madeira in colour.

“I hope what further words may come, in after times, as I go on, will not pain you; though I was very furious about the iron railing through Christ Church meadow.”

(H. L. Thompson’s Memoir of Henry George Liddell, 1899, pp. 81–82.) Liddell, as therein appears, had considerable skill as a draughtsman, and was fond of visiting Madeira. The closing reference in Ruskin’s letter is to the new avenue (1872):
Englishmen, but I fancy it was his adverse star that made him an Englishman at all—the prosaic and practical element in him having prevailed over the sensitive one. He was the only man in Oxford among the masters of my day who knew anything of art; and his keen saying of Turner, that he “had got hold of a false ideal,” would have been infinitely helpful to me at that time, had he explained and enforced it. But I suppose he did not see enough in me to make him take trouble with me,—and, what was much more serious, he saw not enough in himself to take trouble, in that field, with himself.

231. There was a more humane and more living spirit, however, inhabitant of the north-west angle of the Cardinal’s Square: and a great many of the mischances which were only harmful to me through my own folly may be justly held, and to the full, counterbalanced by that one piece of good fortune, of which I had the wit to take advantage. Dr. Buckland was a Canon of the Cathedral, and he, with his wife and family, were all sensible and good-natured, with originality enough in the sense of them to give sap and savour to the whole college.

Originality—passing slightly into grotesqueness, and a

see ibid., p. 165. The MS. of Præterita gives a characterisation of Liddell at greater length, from which the following passages may be quoted:—

“Gifted with true taste for art in his youth, he did not love it enough to learn its elements; the unpractised faculties got first stunted, then vulgarised, and his powers in that kind finally expired in making monotonous sketches on his blotting paper at the debates in Convocation. . . . He was himself built into its wall when he was made its Dean. His honesty, balanced intellectual power, and lofty breeding and taste would have been of invaluable alloy in the baser metal of the British Parliament, and he would have made a magnificently picturesque and usefully practical Bishop—nay, conceivably, could his dictionary have been given up, a great historian or sound investigating scholar. . . . I have but further to say of Liddell at that time that he was always right and serviceable in what notice he took of me, though he took little, and his haughty and reserved, or more accurately annoyed and careless, manner hindered me from asking for more; so that as the presence of Hussey made the Carolinean symmetry of Peckwater terrific to me, that of Liddell made more rigid to me the perpendicular of Tom.”

In connexion with what Ruskin here says of Liddell being “always right and serviceable,” see Vol. XXXIII. p. 525, where he mentions Liddell’s advice to him to study religious art at Oxford; and the letters to Liddell written after the publication of the first volume of Modern Painters, Vol. III. pp. 667–676.]
little diminishing their effective power. The Doctor had too much humour ever to follow far enough the dull side of a subject. Frank\(^1\) was too fond of his bear cub to give attention enough to the training of the cubbish element in himself; and a day scarcely passed without Mit’s commit-ting herself in some manner disapproved by the statelier college demoiselles. But all were frank, kind, and clever, vital in the highest degree; to me, medicinal and saving.

Dr. Buckland was extremely like Sydney Smith in his staple of character; no rival with him in wit, but like him in humour, common sense, and benevolently cheerful doctrine of Divinity. At his breakfast-table I met the leading scientific men of the day, from Herschel\(^2\) downwards, and often intelligent and courteous foreigners,—with whom my stutter of French, refined by Adèle into some precision of accent, was sometimes useful. Every one was at ease and amused at that breakfast-table,—the menu and service of it usually in themselves interesting. I have always regretted a day of unlucky engagement on which I missed a delicate toast of mice; and remembered, with delight, being waited upon one hot summer morning by two graceful and polite little Carolina lizards, who kept off the flies.\(^3\)

---

\(^1\) [For Francis Trevelyan Buckland (1826–1880), son of Dr. William Buckland, see Vol. XXVIII. p. 176 n. “Mit,” one of Buckland’s daughters, is mentioned in a letter to Mrs. Buckland of February 10, 1856 (Vol. XXXVI.).]

\(^2\) [Sir John Herschel (1792–1871), the astronomer.]

\(^3\) [For another reference to this breakfast, see Notes on the Educational Series, 1878 (Vol. XXI. p. 153). Augustus Hare tells some curious tales of Buckland in this connexion: “Talk of strange relics led to mention of the heart of a French king preserved at Nuneham in a silver casket. Dr. Buckland, whilst looking at it, exclaimed, ‘I have eaten many strange things, but have never eaten the heart of a king before,’ and before any one could hinder him he had gobbled it up, and the precious relic was lost for ever. Dr. Buckland used to say that he had eaten his way straight through the whole animal creation, and that the worst thing was a mole—that was utterly horrible. Dr. Buckland afterwards told Lady Lyndhurst that there was one thing even worse than a mole, and that was a blue-bottle fly” (A. J. C. Hare, The Story of My Life, vol. v. p. 358). Ruskin had a story of Buckland sending a young lady to a ball with a live snake for her bracelet, and he stayed there! “Yes,” added Ruskin, “and well he might in such an honourable place; any snake might be proud of so delightful a position” (see Letters to M. G. and H. G., by John Ruskin, pp. 8–9). Other accounts of Buckland and his home may be found in The Life and Correspondence of William Buckland, by his daughter, Mrs. Gordon, 1894; and in the Life of Frank Buckland, by G. C. Bompas, 1885.]
232. I have above noticed\(^1\) the farther and incalculable good it was to me that Acland took me up in my first and foolishest days, and with pretty irony and loving insight,—or, rather, sympathy with what was best, and blindness to what was worst in me,—gave me the good of seeing a noble young English life in its purity, sagacity, honour, reckless daring, and happy piety; its English pride shining prettily through all, like a girl’s in her beauty. It is extremely interesting to me to contrast the Englishman’s silently conscious pride in what he \textit{is}, with the vexed restlessness and wretchedness of the Frenchman, in his thirst for “gloire,” to be gained by agonized effort to become something he is \textit{not}.

One day when the Cherwell was running deep over one of its most slippery weirs, question rising between Acland and me whether it were traversable, and I declaring it too positively to be impassable, Acland instantly took off boot and sock, and walked over and back. He ran no risk but of a sound ducking, being, of course, a strong swimmer: and I suppose him wise enough not to have done it had there be en real danger. But he would certainly have run the margin fine, and possessed in its quite highest, and in a certain sense, most laughable degree, the constitutional English serenity in danger, which, with the foolish of us, degenerates into delight in it, but with the wise, whether soldier or physician, is the basis of the most fortunate action and swiftest decision of deliberate skill. When, thirty years afterwards, Dr. Acland was wrecked in the steamer \textit{Tyne},\(^2\) off the coast of Dorset, the steamer having lain wedged on the rocks all night,—no one knew what rocks,—and the dawn breaking on half a mile of dangerous surf between the ship and shore—the officers, in anxious debate, the crew, in confusion, the passengers, in

\(^1\) [See p. 197.]

\(^2\) [A steamer belonging to the West India Mail Company, homeward bound from Rio Janeiro. She was ashore on January 10, 1857; the incident, therefore, was not “thirty” but twenty “years afterwards.” For further account of the incident, see J. B. Atlay’s \textit{Memoir of Sir Henry Acland}, pp. 235–236.]
hysterics or at prayers, were all astonished, and many scandalized, at the appearance of Dr. Acland from the saloon in punctilious morning dress, with the announcement that “breakfast was ready.” To the impatient clamour of indignation with which his unsympathetic conduct was greeted, he replied by pointing out that not a boat could go on shore, far less come out from it, in that state of the tide, and that in the meantime, as most of them were wet, all cold, and at the best must be dragged ashore through the surf, if not swim for their lives in it, they would be extremely prudent to begin the day, as usual, with breakfast. The hysterics ceased, the confusion calmed, what wits anybody had became available to them again, and not a life was ultimately lost.

233. In all this playful and proud heroism of his youth, Henry Acland delighted me as a leopard or a falcon would, without in the least affecting my own character by his example. I had been too often adjured and commanded to take care of myself, ever to think of following him over slippery weirs, or accompanying him in pilot boats through white-topped shoal water; but both in art and science he could pull me on, being years ahead of me, yet glad of my sympathy, for, till I came, he was literally alone in the university in caring for either. To Dr. Buckland, geology was only the pleasant occupation of his own merry life. To Henry Acland physiology was an entrusted gospel of which he was the solitary and first preacher to the heathen; and already in his undergraduate’s room in Canterbury he was designing—a few years later in his professional room in Tom quad, he was realizing,—the introduction of physiological study which has made the university what she has now become.

Indeed, the curious point in Acland’s character was its early completeness. Already in these yet boyish days, his judgment was unerring, his aims determined, his powers

1 [See chapter vi. (“Early Struggles for Science Teaching in Oxford”) of J. B. Atlay’s Memoir of Sir Henry Acland.]
developed; and had he not, as time went on, been bound to the routine of professional work, and satisfied in the serenity, not to say arrested by the interests, of a beautiful home life,—it is no use thinking or saying what he might have been; those who know him best are the most thankful that he is what he is.

234. Next to Acland, but with a many-feet-thick wall between, in my æsthetic choice of idols, which required primarily of man or woman that they should be comely, before I regarded any of their farther qualities, came Francis Charteris. I have always held Charteris the most ideal Scotsman, and on the whole the grandest type of European Circassian race hitherto visible to me; and his subtle, effortless, inevitable, unmalicious sarcasm, and generally sufficient and available sense, gave a constantly natural, and therefore inoffensive, hauteur to his delicate beauty. He could do what he liked with any one,—at least with any one of good humour and sympathy; and when one day, the old sub-dean coming out of Canterbury gate at the instant Charteris was dismounting at it in forbidden pink, and Charteris turned serenely to him, as he took his foot out of the stirrup, to inform him that “he had been out with the Dean’s hounds,” the old man and the boy were both alike pleased.

Charteris never failed in anything, but never troubled himself about anything. Naturally of high ability and activity, he did all he chose with ease,—neither had falls in hunting, nor toil in reading, nor ambition nor anxiety in examination,—nor disgrace in recklessness of life. He was partly checked, it may be in some measure weakened, by hectic danger in his constitution, possibly the real cause of his never having made his mark in after life.

235. The Earl of Desart, next to Charteris, interested me most of the men at my table. A youth of the same

---

1 [The ninth Earl of Wemyss, born a year before Ruskin (1818) and still hale and hearty (1908). See above, p. 192.]
2 [See, again, above, p. 192.]
bright promise, and of kind disposition, he had less natural activity, and less—being Irish,—common sense, than the Scot; and the University made no attempt to give him more. It has been the pride of recent days to equalize the position, and disguise the distinction of noble and servitor. Perhaps it might have been wiser, instead of effacing the distinction, to reverse the manner of it. In those days the happy servitor’s tenure of his college-room and revenue depended on his industry, while it was the privilege of the noble to support with lavish gifts the college, from which he expected no return, and to buy with sums equivalent to his dignity the privileges of rejecting alike its instruction and its control. It seems to me singular, and little suggestive of sagacity in the common English character, that it had never occurred to either an old dean, or a young duke, that possibly the Church of England and the House of Peers might hold a different position in the country in years to come if the entrance examination had been made severer for the rich than the poor; and the nobility and good breeding of a student expected to be blazoned consistently by the shield on his seal, the tassel on his cap, the grace of his conduct, and the accuracy of his learning.

In the last respect, indeed, Eton and Harrow boys are for ever distinguished,—whether idle or industrious in after life,—from youth of general England; but how much of the best capacity of her noblesse is lost by her carelessness of their university training, she may soon have more serious cause to calculate than I am willing to foretell.

I have little to record of my admired Irish fellow-student than that he gave the supper at which my freshman’s initiation into the body of gentlemen-commoners was to be duly and formally ratified. Curious glances were directed to me under the ordeal of the necessary toasts,—

1 [See above, § 211, p. 184.]
2 [Ruskin gives an account of this “wine” in The Crown of Wild Olive, § 148 (Vol. XVIII. p. 506); see also ibid., p. 169 n. See also Vol. XVII. p. 495. For some further account of Ruskin’s undergraduate experiences, see the Introduction; above, pp. lxi.–lxv.]
but it had not occurred to the hospitality of my entertainers that I probably knew as much about wine as they did. When we broke up at the small hours, I helped to carry the son of the head of my college downstairs, and walked across Peckwater to my own rooms, deliberating, as I went, whether there was any immediately practicable trigonometric method of determining whether I was walking straight towards the lamp over the door.

236. From this time—that is to say, from about the third week after I came into residence—it began to be recognized that, muff or milksop though I might be, I could hold my own on occasion; and in next term, when I had to return civilities, that I gave good wine, and that of curious quality, without any bush;¹ and saw with good-humour the fruit I had sent for from London thrown out of the window to the porter’s children: farther, that I could take any quantity of jests, though I could not make one, and could be extremely interested in hearing conversation on topics I knew nothing about,—to that degree that Bob Grimston condescended to take me with him one day to a tavern across Magdalen Bridge, to hear him elucidate from the landlord some points of the horses entered for the Derby, an object only to be properly accomplished by sitting with indifference on a corner of the kitchen table, and carrying on the dialogue with careful pauses, and more by winks than words.

The quieter men of the set were also some of them interested in my drawing; and one or two—Scott Murray,² for instance, and Lord Kildare—were as punctual as I in chapel, and had some thoughts concerning college life and its issues, which they were glad to share with me. In this second year of residence, my position in college was thus alike pleasant, and, satisfactorily to my parents, eminent: and I was received without demur into the Christ Church

¹ [As You Like It, Epilogue.]
² [Charles Robert Scott Murray, of Danesfield, Bucks; M. P. 1841–1845; died 1882.]
XI. CHRIST CHURCH CHOIR

Society, which had its quiet club-room at the corner of Oriel Lane, looking across to the “beautiful gate”\(^1\) of St. Mary’s; and on whose books were entered the names of most of the good men belonging to the upper table and its set, who had passed through Christ Church for the last ten or twelve years.

237. Under these luxurious, and—in the world’s sight—honourable, conditions, my mind gradually recovering its tranquillity and spring, and making some daily, though infinitesimal, progress towards the attainment of common sense, I believe that I did harder and better work in my college reading than I can at all remember. It seems to me now as if I had known Thucydidæs, as I knew Homer (Pope’s!),\(^2\) since I could spell; but the fact was, that for a youth who had so little Greek to bless himself with at seventeen, to know every syllable of his Thucydidæs at half past eighteen meant some steady sitting at it. The perfect honesty of the Greek soldier, his high breeding, his political insight, and the scorn of construction with which he knotted his meaning into a rhythmic strength that writhed and wrought every way at once, all interested me intensely in him as a writer; while his subject, the central tragedy of all the world, the suicide of Greece, was felt by me with a sympathy in which the best powers of my heart and brain were brought up to their fullest, for my years.\(^3\)

I open, and lay beside me as I write, the perfectly clean and well-preserved third volume of Arnold, over which I spent so much toil, and burnt with such sorrow; my close-written abstracts still dovetailed into its pages; and read with surprised gratitude the editor’s final sentence in the preface dated “Fox How, Ambleside, January, 1835.”

\(^1\) [Acts iii. 2. The MS. adds a note:—
“The South Porch, whose twisted pillars were copied from those in Raphael’s cartoon of Peter and John at the Beautiful Gate of the Temple.”
This Italian porch was erected by Morgan Owen, one of Laud’s chaplains, and the image of the Virgin and Child over it formed one of the articles on which the Archbishop was impeached.]

\(^2\) [See above, § 61 (p. 51).]

\(^3\) [For a passage that follows here in the MS., see below, p. 610.]
“Not the wildest extravagance of atheistic wickedness in modern times can go further than the sophists of Greece went before them. Whatever audacity can dare, and subtlety contrive, to make the words ‘good’ and ‘evil’ change their meaning, has been already tried in the days of Plato, and by his eloquence, and wisdom, and faith unshaken, put to shame.”
238. I MUST yet return, before closing the broken record of these first twenty years, to one or two scattered days in 1836, when things happened which led forward into phases of work to be given account of in next volume.

I cannot find the date of my father’s buying his first Copley Fielding,—“Between King’s House and Inveroran, Argyllshire.”¹ It cost a tremendous sum, for us—forty-seven guineas; and the day it came home was a festa, and many a day after, in looking at it, and fancying the hills and the rain were real.

My father and I were in absolute sympathy about Copley Fielding, and I could find it in my heart now to wish I had lived at the Land’s End, and never seen any art but Prout’s and his. We were very much set up at making his acquaintance, and then very happy in it: the modestest of presidents he was;² the simplest of painters, without a vestige of romance, but the purest love of daily sunshine and the constant hills. Fancy him, while Stanfield and Harding and Roberts were grand-touring in Italy, and Sicily, and Stiria, and Bohemia, and Illyria, and the Alps, and the Pyrenees, and the Sierra Morena,—Fielding never crossing to Calais, but year after year returning to

¹ [For an anecdote about this picture, see Art of England, §§ 168, 169 (Vol. XXXIII. pp. 379, 380). Ruskin placed it at one time in his Drawing School at Oxford: see Vol. XXI. p. 171.]
² [President of the old Water-Colour Society, 1831–1855: compare Art of England, § 158 (Vol. XXXIII. p. 373).]
Saddleback and Ben Venue, or, less ambitious yet, to Sandgate and the Sussex Downs.¹

239. The drawings I made in 1835² were really interesting even to artists, and appeared promising enough to my father to justify him in promoting me from Mr. Runciman’s tutelage³ to the higher privileges of art-instruction. Lessons from any of the members of the Water-Colour Society cost a guinea, and six were supposed to have efficiency for the production of an adequately skilled water-colour amateur. There was, of course, no question by what master they should be given; and I know not whether papa or I most enjoyed the six hours in Newman Street: my father’s intense delight in Fielding’s work making it a real pleasure to the painter that he should stay chatting while I had my lesson. Nor was my father’s talk (if he could be got to talk) unworthy any painter’s attention, though he never put out his strength but in writing.⁴

240. I chance in good time on a letter from Northcote in 1830, showing how much value the old painter put on my father’s judgment of a piece of literary work which remains classical to this day, and is indeed the best piece of existing criticism founded on the principles of Sir Joshua’s school:—

“Dear Sir,—I received your most kind and consoling letter, yet I was very sorry to find you had been so ill, but hope you have now recovered your health. The praise you are so good as to bestow on me and the Volume of Conversations gives me more pleasure than perhaps you apprehend, as the book was published against my consent, and, in its first appearance in the magazines, totally without my knowledge. I have done all in my power to prevent its coming before the public, because there are several hard and cruel opinions of persons that I would not have them see in a printed book; besides that, Hazlitt, although a man of real abilities, yet had a desire to give pain to others, and has also frequently exaggerated that which I had said in confidence to him.

¹ [Compare what Ruskin says in the Art of England, § 158 (Vol. XXXIII. p. 373.).]
² [Several examples of them have been given in this edition: see, e.g., Vol. I. pp. 8, 32, 520.]
³ [See above, p. 76.]
⁴ [That is, in letters and diaries: see Dilecta, below, pp. 589–592.]
However, I think God that this book, which made me tremble at its coming before the world, is received with unexpected favour on to my part, and the approbation of a mind like yours give (sic—short for “cannot but give”) me the greatest consolation I can receive, and sets my mind more at ease.

“Please to present my respectful compliments to Mrs. Ruskin, who I hope is well, and kind remembrances to your son.—I remain always, dear Sir, your most obliged friend* and very humble servant, 

“JAMES NORTHCOTE.

“ARGYLL HOUSE, October 13th, 1830.

“To JOHN J. RUSKIN, Esq.”

241. And thus the proposed six lessons in Newman Street ran on into perhaps eight or nine, during which Copley Fielding taught me to wash colour smoothly in successive tints, to shade cobalt through pink madder into yellow ochre for skies, to use a broken scraggy touch for the tops of mountains, to represent calm lakes by broad strips of shade with lines of light between them (usually at about the distance of the lines of this print¹), to produce dark clouds and rain with twelve or twenty successive washes, and to crumble burnt umber with a dry brush for foliage and foreground. With these instructions, I succeeded in copying a drawing which Fielding made before me, some twelve inches by nine, of Ben Venue and the

* In memory of the quiet old man who thus honoured us with his friendship, and in most true sense of their value, I hope to reprint the parts of the Conversations which I think he would have wished to be preserved.²

¹ [Somewhat wider in ed. 1 of Præterita.]
² [This intention of reprinting W. Hazlitt’s Conversations of James Northcote, R.A. (1830), was not carried out. In the Cottonian Library at Plymouth, there is a copy of the book which has inserted a letter from Ruskin’s father asking Northcote to oblige him with an early copy. Mr. Ernest Radford, on communicating this fact to Ruskin, received the following reply:—

“84 WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD, 29 Oct. ’84.—MY DEAR SIR,—You could not possibly have done me a greater kindness than in sending me that copy of my father’s letter. I would respectfully pray the Librarian that the original may not be lost. The letter comes, singularly, just when I am about to set down some notes of my own early acquaintance with Northcote, and debt to my father.—Ever faithfully and gratefully yours,

“J. RUSKIN.”]
Trossachs, with brown cows standing in Loch Achray,\(^1\) so much to my own satisfaction that I put my work up over my bedroom chimney-piece the last thing at night, and woke to its contemplation in the morning with a rapture, mixed of self-complacency and the sense of new faculty, in which I floated all that day, as in a newly-discovered and strongly buoyant species of air.

In a very little while, however, I found that this great first step did not mean consistent progress at the same pace. I saw that my washes, however careful or multitudinous, did not in the end look as smooth as Fielding’s, and that my crumblings of burnt umber became uninteresting after a certain number of repetitions.

With still greater discouragement, I perceived the Fielding processes to be inapplicable to the Alps. My scraggy touches did not to my satisfaction represent aiguilles, nor my ruled lines of shade, the Lake of Geneva. The watercolour drawing was abandoned, with a dim under-current of feeling that I had no gift for it,—and in truth I had none for colour arrangement,—and the pencil outline returned to with resolute energy.\(^2\)

242. I had never, up to this time, seen a Turner drawing, and scarcely know whether to lay to the score of dulness, or prudence, the tranquillity in which I copied the engravings of the Rogers vignettes, without so much as once asking where the originals were. The facts being that they lay at the bottom of an old drawer in Queen Anne Street,\(^3\) inaccessible to me as the bottom of the sea,—and that, if I had seen them, they would only have destroyed my pleasure in the engravings,—my rest in these was at least fortunate: and the more I consider of this and other such forms of failure in what most people would

\(^1\) [This drawing was exhibited at Coniston in 1900 (No. 34), and again at Manchester in 1904 (No. 33).]
\(^2\) [For a passage which here follows in the MS., see the Appendix; below, p. 624.]
\(^3\) [They thus became the property of the nation upon Turner’s death, as he bequeathed all his drawings to the National Gallery.]
call laudable curiosity, the more I am disposed to regard with thankfulness, and even respect, the habits which have remained with me during life, of always working resignedly at the thing under my hand till I could do it, and looking exclusively at the thing before my eyes till I could see it.

On the other hand, the Academy Turners were too far beyond all hope of imitation to disturb me, and the impressions they produced before 1836 were confused; many of them, like the Quillebœuf, or the “Keelmen heaving in coals,” being of little charm in colour; and the Fountain of Indolence, or Golden Bough,¹ perhaps seeming to me already fantastic, beside the naturalism of Landseer, and the human interest and intelligible finish of Wilkie.

243. But in 1836 Turner exhibited three pictures, in which the characteristics of his later manner were developed with his best skill and enthusiasm: Juliet and her Nurse, Rome from Mount Aventine, and Mercury and Argus. His freak in placing Juliet at Venice instead of Verona, and the mysteries of lamplight and rockets with which he had disguised Venice herself, gave occasion to an article in Blackwood’s Magazine of sufficiently telling ribaldry, expressing, with some force, and extreme discourtesy, the feelings of the pupils of Sir George Beaumont at the appearance of these unaccredited views of Nature.

The review raised me to the height of “black anger” in which I have remained pretty nearly ever since; and having by that time some confidence in my power of words, and—not merely judgment, but sincere experience—of the charm of Turner’s work, I wrote an answer to Blackwood, of which I wish I could now find any fragment.² But my father thought it right to ask Turner’s leave for its publication; it was copied in my best hand, and sent to Queen

¹ [“The Mouth of the Seine: Quillebœuf” was at the Academy in 1833; the “Keelmen” in 1835; the “Fountain of Indolence” and the “Golden Bough” in 1834.]
² [Printed in this edition: Vol. III. pp. 635–640. For particulars of the three pictures by Turner, and extracts from the article in Blackwood, see ibid., p. 636 n.]
Anne Street, and the old man returned kindly answer, as follows:—

“My dear sir,—I beg to thank you for your zeal, kindness, and the trouble you have taken in my behalf, in regard of the criticism of Blackwood’s Magazine for October, respecting my works; but I never move in these matters, they are of no import save mischief and the meal tub, which Maga fears for by my having invaded the flour tub.

“In the event of your wish to have the manuscript back, have the goodness to let me know. If not, with your sanction, I will send it on to the possessor of the picture of Juliet.”

I cannot give the signature of this letter, which has been cut off for some friend! In later years it used to be, to my father, “Yours most truly,” and to me, “Yours truly.”

The “possessor of the picture” was Mr. Munro of Novar, who never spoke to me of the first chapter of Modern Painters thus coming into his hands. Nor did I ever care to ask him about it; and still, for a year or two longer, I persevered in the study of Turner engravings only, and the use of Copley Fielding’s method for such efforts at colour as I made on the vacation journeys during Oxford days.

244. We made three tours in those summers, without crossing Channel. In 1837, to Yorkshire and the Lakes; in 1838, to Scotland; in 1839, to Cornwall.1

On the journey of 1837, when I was eighteen, I felt, for the last time, the pure childish love of nature which Wordsworth so idly takes for an intimation of immortality.2 We went down by the North Road, as usual; and on the fourth day arrived at Catterick Bridge, where there is a clear pebble-bedded stream, and both west and east some rising of hills, foretelling the moorlands and dells of upland

1 [The first draft of Præterita contains a passage (following on § 204) which gives a note of this tour: see the Appendix, below, p. 613.]
2 [For Ruskin’s numerous references to the Ode, see the General Index.]
Yorkshire; and there the feeling came back to me—as it could never return more.

It is a feeling only possible to youth, for all care, regret, or knowledge of evil destroys it; and it requires also the full sensibility of nerve and blood, the conscious strength of heart, and hope; not but that I suppose the purity of youth may feel what is best of it even through sickness and the waiting for death; but only in thinking death itself God’s sending.

245. In myself, it has always been quite exclusively confined to wild, that is to say, wholly natural places, and especially to scenery animated by streams, or by the sea. The sense of the freedom, spontaneous, unpolluted power of nature was essential in it. I enjoyed a lawn, a garden, a daisied field, a quiet pond, as other children do; but by the side of Wandel, or on the downs of Sandgate, or by a Yorkshire stream under a cliff, I was different from other children, that ever I have noticed: but the feeling cannot be described by any of us that have it. Wordsworth’s “haunted me like a passion” is no description of it, for it is not like, but is, a passion; the point is to define how it differs from other passions,—what sort of human, preeminently human, feeling it is that loves a stone for a stone’s sake, and a cloud for a cloud’s. A monkey loves a monkey for a monkey’s sake, and a nut for the kernel’s, but not a stone for a stone’s. I took stones for bread, but not certainly at the Devil’s bidding.

I was different, be it once more said, from other children even of my own type, not so much in the actual nature of

1 [The MS. has an additional passage here:—
   “... Yorkshire. Neither foolish vanity nor wasted love could there any more degrade or darken the recovered joy in Heaven and Earth. Inexplicable, infinite, sacred: the sense of an awful life in all things, an awful harmony; man made for Earth and Sky, and these for him;—no mere sense of receiving kindness, nor of perceiving wisdom, but of all things being naturally blessed and good, and all creatures with them. It is a feeling...”]

2 [Lines composed a few miles above Tintern Abbey: “The sounding cataract haunted me like a passion.”]

3 [Matthew iv. 3.]
the feeling, but in the mixture of it. I had, in my little clay pitcher, vials, as it were, of Wordsworth’s reverence, Shelley’s sensitiveness, Turner’s accuracy, all in one. A snowdrop was to me, as to Wordsworth, part of the Sermon on the Mount; but I never should have written sonnets to the celandine, because it is of a coarse yellow, and imperfect form. With Shelley, I loved blue sky and blue eyes, but never in the least confused the heavens with my own poor little Psychidion. And the reverence and passion were alike kept in their places by the constructive Turnerian element; and I did not weary myself in wishing that a daisy could see the beauty of its shadow, but in trying to draw the shadow rightly, myself.

246. But so stubborn and chemically inalterable the laws of the prescription were, that now, looking back from 1886 to that brook shore of 1837, whence I could see the whole of my youth, I find myself in nothing whatsoever changed. Some of me is dead, more of me stronger. I have learned a few things, forgotten many; in the total of me, I am but the same youth, disappointed and rheumatic.

And in illustration of this stubbornness, not by stiffening of the wood with age, but in the structure of the pith, let me insist a minute or two more on the curious joy I felt in 1837 in returning to the haunts of boyhood. No boy could possibly have been more excited than I was by seeing Italy and the Alps; neither boy nor man ever knew better the difference between a Cumberland cottage and Venetian palace, or a Cumberland stream and the Rhone:—my very knowledge of this difference will be found next year expressing itself in the first bit of promising literary

1 [For the references to Wordsworth, see—for a snowdrop circle suggesting “the Spirit of Paradise,” the lines quoted in Vol. XXXIV. p. 387; and for another reference to Wordsworth’s lines “To the Lesser Celandine,” Vol. IV. p. 150. And for the references to Shelley, see, e.g., Prometheus, ii. 1, 114 (“Thine eyes are like the deep, blue, boundless vault of heaven”), and the Epipsychidion generally.]

2 [Wordsworth, the lines beginning, “So fair, so sweet, withal so sensitive”; often quoted by Ruskin: see, e.g., Vol. III. p. 177.]

3 [For a passage which followed here in the MS., see the Appendix, p. 608.]
work I ever did; but, after all the furious excitement and wild joy of the Continent, the coming back to a Yorkshire streamside felt like returning to heaven. We went on into well known Cumberland; my father took me up Scawfell and Helvellyn, with a clever Keswick guide, who knew mineralogy, Mr. Wright; and the summer passed beneficently and peacefully.

247. A little incident which happened, I fancy in the beginning of ’38, shows that I had thus recovered some tranquillity and sense, and might at that time have been settled down to simple and healthy life, easily enough, had my parents seen the chance.

I forgot to say, when speaking of Mr. and Mrs. Richard Gray, that, when I was a child, my mother had another religious friend, who lived just at the top of Camberwell Grove, or between it and the White Gate,—Mrs. Withers; an extremely amiable and charitable person, with whom my mother organized, I imagine, such schemes of alms-giving as her own housekeeping prevented her seeing to herself. Mr. Withers was a coal-merchant, ultimately not a successful one. Of him I remember only a reddish and rather vacant face; of Mrs. Withers, no material aspect, only the above vague but certain facts; and that she was a familiar element in my mother’s life, dying out of it however without much notice or miss, before I was old enough to get any clear notion of her.

In this spring of ’38, however, the widowed Mr. Withers, having by that time retired to the rural districts in reduced circumstances, came up to town on some small vestige of carboniferous business, bringing his only daughter with him to show my mother;—who, for a wonder, asked her to stay with us, while her father visited his umquhile clientage at the coal-wharves. Charlotte Withers was a

1 [The Poetry of Architecture: see below, p. 224.]
2 [The MS. has additional matter here: see the Appendix, p. 609.]
3 [For another reference to him, see Vol. I. p. 415.]
4 [See above, pp. 100, 101.]
fragile, fair, freckled, sensitive slip of a girl about sixteen; graceful in an unfinished and small wild-flower sort of a way, extremely intelligent, affectionate, wholly right-minded, and mild in piety. An altogether sweet and delicate creature of ordinary sort, not pretty, but quite pleasant to see, especially if her eyes were looking your way, and her mind with them.

248. We got to like each other in a mildly confidential way in the course of a week. We disputed on the relative dignities of music and painting; and I wrote an essay nine foolscap pages long, proposing the entire establishment of my own opinions, and the total discomfiture and overthrow of hers, according to my usual manner of paying court to my mistresses. Charlotte Withers, however, thought I did her great honour, and carried away the essay as if it had been a school prize.

And, as I said, if my father and mother had chosen to keep her a month longer, we should have fallen quite melodiously and quietly in love; and they might have given me an excellently pleasant little wife, and set me up, geology and all, in the coal business, without any resistance or farther trouble on my part. I don’t suppose the idea ever occurred to them; Charlotte was not the kind of person they proposed for me. So Charlotte went away at the week’s end, when her father was ready for her. I walked with her to Camberwell Green, and we said good-bye, rather sorrowfully, at the corner of the New Road; and that possibility of meek happiness vanished for ever. A little while afterwards, her father “negotiated” a marriage for her with a well-to-do Newcastle trader, whom she took because she was bid. He treated her pretty much as one of his coal sacks, and in a year or two she died.

249. Very dimly, and rather against my own will, the incident showed me what my mother had once or twice

---

2 [See above, p. 180.]
observed to me, to my immense indignation, that Adèle was not
the only girl in the world; and my enjoyment of our tour in the
Trossachs was not described in any more Byronian heroics;¹ the
tragedy² also having been given up, because, when I had
described a gondola, a bravo, the heroine Bianca, and moonlight
on the Grand Canal, I found I had not much more to say.

Scott’s country took me at last well out of it all. It is of little
use to the reader now to tell him that still at that date the shore of
Loch Katrine, at the east extremity of the lake, was exactly as
Scott had seen it, and described,

“Onward, amid the copse ‘gan peep,
A narrow inlet, still and deep.”³

In literal and lovely truth, that was so:—by the side of the
footpath (it was no more) which wound through the Trossachs,
deep and calm under the blaeberry bushes, a dark winding
clear-brown pool, not five feet wide at first, reflected the
entangled moss of its margin, and arch of branches above, with
scarcely a gleam of sky.

That inlet of Loch Katrine was in itself an extremely rare
thing; I have never myself seen the like of it in lake shores. A
winding recess of deep water, without any entering stream to
account for it—possible only, I imagine, among rocks of the
quite abnormal confusion of the Trossachs; and besides the
natural sweetness and wonder of it, made sacred by the most
beautiful poem that Scotland ever sang by her stream sides. And
all that the nineteenth century conceived of wise and right to do
with this piece of mountain inheritance, was to thrust the nose of
a steamer into

¹ [The proof is different here:—

“... heroics. My papers on Architecture were written mostly on my knee as
we trotted through the sweet Scottish lowlands, and I saw, with some recall of
childish enthusiasm, the blue of Ben Venue and Ben Ledi from the ramparts of
Stirling.

“It is of little use to the reader now . . .”

A page of the papers, so written, is given above, facing p. 72.]

² [See above, p. 182.]

³ [Lady of the Lake, canto i. 13.]
it, plank its blaeberries over with a platform, and drive the populace headlong past it as fast as they can scuffle.¹

It had been well for me if I had climbed Ben Venue and Ben Ledi, hammer in hand, as Scawfell and Helvellyn. But I had given myself some literary work instead, to which I was farther urged by the sight of Roslyn and Melrose.

250. The idea had come into my head in the summer of ’37, and, I imagine, rose immediately out of my sense of the contrast between the cottages of Westmoreland and those of Italy. Anyhow, the November number of Loudon’s Architectural Magazine for 1837 opens with “Introduction to the Poetry of Architecture; or, The Architecture of the Nations of Europe considered in its Association with Natural Scenery and National Character,” by Kataphusin.² I could not have put in fewer, or more inclusive words, the definition of what half my future life was to be spent in discoursing of; while the nom-de-plume I chose, “According to Nature,” was equally expressive of the temper in which I was to discourse alike on that and every other subject. The adoption of a nom-de-plume at all, implied (as also the concealment of name on the first publication of Modern Painters) a sense of a power of judgment in myself, which it would not have been becoming in a youth of eighteen to claim. Had either my father or tutor then said to me, “Write as it is becoming in a youth to write,— let the reader discover what you know, and be persuaded to what you judge,” I perhaps might not now have been ashamed of my youth’s essays. Had they said to me more sternly, “Hold your tongue till you need not ask the reader’s condescension in listening to you,” I might perhaps have been satisfied with my work when it was mature.

¹ [The MS. here reads:—

“If only I had had the sense to say to papa and mama— the thought did vaguely come into my chrysalid head— ‘Leave me here in a shepherd’s bothie, where I can have peat fire and truckle bed and porridge and milk, and let me learn these hills instead of any more Greek this summer’ — Parcis, not Dis, aliter visum.”

For the reference to the Æneid, see Vol. XIV. p. 351; compare, below, p. 626.]

² [See now Vol. I. pp. 1–188.]
As it is, these youthful essays, though deformed by assumption, and shallow in contents, are curiously right up to the points they reach; and already distinguished above most of the literature of the time, for the skill of language which the public at once felt for a pleasant gift in me.  

251. I have above said\(^2\) that had it not been for constant reading of the Bible, I might probably have taken Johnson for my model of English. To a useful extent I have always done so;\(^3\) in these first essays, partly because I could not help it, partly of set, and well-set, purpose.

On our foreign journeys, it being of course desirable to keep the luggage as light as possible, my father had judged that four little volumes of Johnson—the *Idler* and the *Rambler*—did, under names wholly appropriate to the circumstances, contain more substantial literary nourishment than could be, from any other author, packed into so portable compass. And accordingly, in spare hours, and on wet days, the turns and returns of reiterated *Rambler* and iterated *Idler* fastened themselves in my ears and mind; nor was it possible for me, till long afterwards, to quit myself of Johnsonian symmetry and balance in sentences intended, either with swordsman’s or paviour’s blow, to cleave an enemy’s crest, or drive down the oaken pile of a principle. I never for an instant compared Johnson to Scott, Pope, Byron, or any of the really great writers whom I loved. But I at once and for ever recognized in him a man entirely sincere, and infallibly wise in the view and estimate he gave of the common questions, business, and ways of the world. I valued his sentences not primarily because they were symmetrical, but because they were just, and clear; it is a method of judgment rarely used by the average public, who ask from an author always, in the first place, arguments in favour of their own opinions,

\(^1\) [For some additional passages about the essays, see the Appendix; below, p. 615.]
\(^2\) [In ch. i. § 2; above, p. 14.]
\(^3\) [Compare what Ruskin says in *Proserpina* of his prose as “honest English, of good Johnsonian lineage,” Vol. XXV. p. 430.]
in elegant terms; and are just as ready with their applause for a sentence of Macaulay’s, which may have no more sense in it than a blot pinched between doubled paper, as to reject one of Johnson’s, telling against their own prejudice,—though its symmetry be as of thunder answering from two horizons.

252. I hold it more than happy that, during those continental journeys, in which the vivid excitement of the greater part of the day left me glad to give spare half-hours to the study of a thoughtful book, Johnson was the one author accessible to me. No other writer could have secured me, as he did, against all chance of being misled by my own sanguine and metaphysical temperament. He taught me carefully to measure life, and distrust fortune; and he secured me, by his adamantine common-sense, for ever, from being caught in the cobwebs of German metaphysics,¹ or sloughed in the English drainage of them.

I open, at this moment, the larger of the volumes of the Idler to which I owe so much. After turning over a few leaves, I chance on the closing sentence of No. 65; which transcribing, I may show the reader in sum what it taught me,—in words which, writing this account of myself, I conclusively obey:—

“Of these learned men, let those who aspire to the same praise imitate the diligence, and avoid the scrupulosity. Let it be always remembered that life is short, that knowledge is endless, and that many doubts deserve not to be cleared. Let those whom nature and study have qualified to teach mankind, tell us what they have learned while they are yet able to tell it, and trust their reputation only to themselves.”

It is impossible for me now to know how far my own honest desire for truth, and compassionate sense of what is instantly helpful to creatures who are every instant perishing, might have brought me, in their own time, to think and judge as Johnson thought and measured,—even had I never learned of him. He at least set me in the

¹ [For Ruskin’s skit on these, see Modern Painters, vol. iii. (Vol. V. pp. 203–204); and compare ibid., p. 424.]
straight path from the beginning, and, whatever time I might waste in vain pleasure, or weak effort, he saved me for ever from false thoughts and futile speculations.\(^1\)

253. Why, I know not,—for Mr. London was certainly not tired of me,—the Kataphusin papers close abruptly,\(^2\) as if their business was at its natural end, without a word of allusion in any part of them, or apology for the want of allusion, to the higher forms of civil and religious architecture. I find, indeed, a casual indication of some ulterior purpose in a ponderous sentence of the paper on the Westmoreland cottage, announcing that “it will be seen hereafter, when we leave the lowly valley for the torn ravine, and the grassy knoll for the ribbed precipice, that if the continental architects cannot adorn the pasture with the humble roof, they can crest the crag with eternal battlements.”\(^3\) But this magnificent promise ends in nothing more tremendous than a “chapter on chimneys,” illustrated, as I find this morning to my extreme surprise, by a fairly good drawing of the building which is now the principal feature in the view from my study window,—Coniston Hall.

On the whole, however, these papers, written at intervals during 1838, indicate a fairly progressive and rightly consolidated range of thought on these subjects, within the chrysalid torpor of me.

254. From the Trosachs we drove to Edinburgh: and, somewhere on the road near Linlithgow, my father, reading some letters got by that day’s post, coolly announced to my mother and me that Mr. Domecq was going to bring his four daughters to England again, to finish their schooling at New Hall, near Chelmsford.

And I am unconscious of anything more in that journey, or of anything after it, until I found myself driving down to Chelmsford. My mother had no business of course to

---

\(^1\) [For an additional passage which here followed in the first draft, see the Appendix; below, p. 615.]
\(^2\) [The Architectural Magazine itself came to an end: see Vol. I. p. xlv.]
\(^3\) [See Vol. I. p. 52.]
take me with her to pay a visit to a convent; but I suppose felt it would be too cruel to leave me behind. The young ladies were allowed a chat with us in the parlour, and invited (with acceptance) to spend their vacations always at Herne Hill. And so began a second æra of that part of my life which is not “worthy of memory,”¹ but only of the “Guarda e Passa.”²

There was some solace during my autumnal studies in thinking that she was really in England, really over there,—I could see the sky over Chelmsford from my study window,—and that she was shut up in a convent and couldn’t be seen by anybody, or spoken to, but by nuns; and that perhaps she wouldn’t quite like it, and would like to come to Herne Hill again, and bear with me a little.

255. I wonder mightily now what sort of a creature I should have turned out, if at this time Love had been with me instead of against me; and instead of the distracting and useless pain, I had had the joy of approved love, and the untellable, incalculable motive of its sympathy and praise.

It seems to me such things are not allowed in this world. The men capable of the highest imaginative passion are always tossed on fiery waves by it: the men who find it smooth water, and not scalding, are of another sort. My father’s second clerk, Mr. Ritchie, wrote unfeelingly to his colleague, bachelor Henry, who would not marry for his mother’s and sister’s sakes, “If you want to know what happiness is, get a wife, and half a dozen children, and come to Margate.” But Mr. Ritchie remained all his life nothing more than a portly gentleman with gooseberry eyes, of the Irvingite persuasion.

There must be great happiness in the love-matches of the typical English squire. Yet English squires make their happy lives only a portion for foxes.³

¹ [See the full title of Præterita.]
² [Inferno, iii. 51.]
³ [Psalms lxiii. 10.]
256. Of course, when Adèle and her sisters came back at Christmas, and stayed with us four or five weeks, every feeling and folly, that had been subdued or forgotten, returned in redoubled force. I don’t know what would have happened if Adèle had been a perfectly beautiful and amiable girl, and had herself in the least liked me. I suppose then my mother would have been overcome. But though extremely lovely at fifteen, Adèle was not prettier than French girls in general at eighteen; she was firm, and fiery, and high principled; but, as the light traits already noticed of her enough show,¹ not in the least amiable; and although she would have married me, had her father wished it, was always glad to have me out of her way. My love was much too high and fantastic to be diminished by her loss of beauty; but I perfectly well saw and admitted it, having never at any time been in the slightest degree blinded by love, as I perceive other men are, out of my critic nature. And day followed on day, and month to month, of complex absurdity, pain, error, wasted affection, and rewardless semi-virtue, which I am content to sweep out of the way of what better things I can recollect at this time, into the smallest possible size of dust heap, and wish the Dustman Oblivion good clearance of them.

With this one general note, concerning children’s conduct to their parents, that a great quantity of external and irksome obedience may be shown them, which virtually is no obedience, because it is not cheerful and total. The wish to disobey is already disobedience; and although at this time I was really doing a great many things I did not like, to please my parents, I have not now one self-approving thought or consolation in having done so, so much did its sullenness and maimedness pollute the meagre sacrifice.

257. But, before I quit, for this time, the field of

¹ [See above, p. 180.]
romance, let me write the epitaph of one of its sweet shadows, which some who knew the shadow may be glad I should write. The ground floor, under my father’s counting-house at Billiter Street, I have already said was occupied by Messrs. Wardell & Co. The head of this firm was an extremely intelligent and refined elderly gentleman, darkish, with spiritedly curling and projecting dark hair, and bright eyes; good-natured and amiable in a high degree, well educated, not over wise, always well pleased with himself, happy in a sensible wife, and a very beautiful, and entirely gentle and good, only daughter. Not over wise, I repeat, but an excellent man of business; older, and, I suppose, already considerably richer, than my father. He had a handsome house at Hampstead, and spared no pains on his daughter’s education.

It must have been some time about this year 1839, or the previous one, that my father having been deploring to Mr. Wardell the uncomfortable state of mind I had got into about Adèle, Mr. Wardell proposed to him to try whether some slight diversion of my thoughts might not be effected by a visit to Hampstead. My father’s fancy was still set on Lady Clara Vere de Vere; but Miss Wardell was everything that a girl should be, and an heiress,—of perhaps something more than my own fortune was likely to come to. And the two fathers agreed that nothing could be more fit, rational, and desirable, than such an arrangement. So I was sent to pass a summer afternoon, and dine at Hampstead.

258. It would have been an extremely delightful afternoon for any youth not a simpleton. Miss Wardell had often enough heard me spoken of by her father as a well-conducted youth, already of some literary reputation,—author of *The Poetry of Architecture*—winner of the Newdigate, —First class man in expectation. She herself had been brought up in a way closely resembling my own, in severe

[1] [See above, p. 133.]
seclusion by devoted parents, at a suburban villa with a pretty garden, to skip, and gather flowers, in. The chief difference was that, from the first, Miss Wardell had had excellent masters, and was now an extremely accomplished, intelligent, and faultless maid of seventeen; fragile and delicate to a degree enhancing her beauty with some solemnity of fear, yet in perfect health, as far as a fast-growing girl could be; a softly moulded slender brunette, with her father’s dark curling hair transfigured into playful grace round the pretty, modest, not unthoughtful, grey-eyed face. Of the afternoon at Hampstead, I remember only that it was a fine day, and that we walked in the garden; mamma, as her mere duty to me in politeness at a first visit, superintending,—it would have been wiser to have left us to get on how we could. I very heartily and reverently admired the pretty creature, and would fain have done, or said, anything I could to please her. Literally to please her, for that is, indeed, my hope with all girls, in spite of what I have above related of my mistaken ways of recommending myself. My primary thought is how to serve them, and make them happy, and if they could use me for a plank bridge over a stream, or set me up for a post to tie a swing to, or anything of the sort not requiring me to talk, I should be always quite happy in such promotion. This sincere devotion to them, with intense delight in whatever beauty or grace they chance to have, and in most cases, perceptive sympathy, heightened by faith in their right feelings, for the most part gives me considerable power with girls: but all this prevents me from ever being in the least at ease with them,—and I have no doubt that during the whole afternoon at Hampstead, I gave little pleasure to my companion. For the rest, though I extremely admired Miss Wardell, she was not my sort of beauty. I like oval faces, crystalline blonde, with straightish, at the utmost wavy, (or, in length, wreathed) hair, and the form elastic, and foot firm. Miss Wardell’s dark and tender grace had no power over me, except to make me extremely afraid of
being tiresome to her. On the whole, I suppose I came off pretty well, for she afterwards allowed herself to be brought out to Herne Hill to see the pictures, and so on; and I recollect her looking a little frightenedly pleased at my kneeling down to hold a book for her, or some such matter.

259. After this second interview, however, my father and mother asking me seriously what I thought of her, and I explaining to them that though I saw all her beauty, and merit, and niceness, she yet was not my sort of girl,—the negotiations went no farther at that time, and a little while after, were ended for all time; for at Hampstead they went on teaching the tender creature High German, and French of Paris, and Kant’s *Metaphysics*, and Newton’s *Principia*; and then they took her to Paris, and tired her out with seeing everything every day, all day long, besides the dazzle and excitement of such a first outing from Hampstead; and she at last getting too pale and weak, they brought her back to some English seaside place, I forget where: and there she fell into nervous fever and faded away, with the light of death flickering clearer and clearer in her soft eyes, and never skipped in Hampstead garden more.

How the parents, especially the father, lived on, I never could understand; but I suppose they were honestly religious without talking of it, and they had nothing to blame themselves in, except not having known better. The father, though with grave lines altering his face for ever, went steadily on with his business, and lived to be old.

260. I cannot be sure of the date of either Miss Withers’ or Miss Wardell’s death; that of Sybilla Dowie (told in *Fors*¹), more sad than either, was much later; but the loss of her sweet spirit, following her lover’s, had been felt by us before the time of which I am now writing. I had never myself seen Death, nor had any part in the grief or anxiety of a sick chamber; nor had I ever seen, far less

¹ [Letter 90, § 3 (Vol. XXIX. pp. 426–428). The date of her death was after 1849: see ii. § 223 (p. 454).]
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conceived, the misery of unaided poverty.\footnote{The MS. has an additional passage here:—

"... poverty; while my own disposition, modestly sanguine and cheerful, and
till I was fifteen capable of the most acute phases of pleasure, was still, however
lowered by moroseness or the vexation of work which I disliked, in the main
industrious and happy. But ..."}

But I had been made to think of it; and in the deaths of the creatures whom I had seen
joyful, the sense of deep pity, not sorrow for myself, but for them, began to mingle with all the thoughts, which, founded on
the Homeric, Æschylean, and Shakespearian tragedy, had now begun to modify the untried faith of childhood. The blue of the
mountains became deep to me with the purple of mourning,—the clouds that gather round the setting sun,\footnote{Wordsworth, \textit{Intimations of Immortality}:—

\begin{quote}
\textit{The clouds that gather round the setting sun}
\textit{Do take a sober colouring from an eye}
\textit{That hath kept watch o\textquotesingle er man\textquotesingle s mortality.}
\end{quote}

\footnote{[The Plate opposite is made not from the drawing in possession of Mr. Wedderburn (as promised at Vol. I. p. 129), but from another of the same subject, which was found to give a better result.]}

not subdued, but raised in awe as the harmonies of a Miserere,—and all the strength and framework of my mind, lurid, like the vaults
of Roslyn,\footnote{\textit{Lay of the Last Minstrel}, canto vi. 23: see Vol. XIX. p. 261 n.} when weird fire gleamed on its pillars,
foliage-bound, and far in the depth of twilight, “blazed every
rose-carved buttress fair.”\footnote{[The Plate opposite is made not from the drawing in possession of Mr. Wedderburn (as promised at Vol. I. p. 129), but from another of the same subject, which was found to give a better result.]}
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CHAPTER I
OF AGE

1. This second volume must, I fear, be less pleasing to the general reader, with whom the first has found more favour than I had hoped,—not because I tire of talking, but that the talk must be less of other persons, and more of myself. For as I look deeper into the mirror, I find myself a more curious person than I had thought. I used to fancy that everybody would like clouds and rocks as well as I did, if once told to look at them; whereas, after fifty years of trial, I find that is not so, even in modern days; having long ago known that, in ancient ones, the clouds and mountains which have been life to me, were mere inconvenience and horror to most of mankind.1

2. I related, in the first volume, § 106, some small part of my pleasures under St. Vincent’s rock at Clifton, and the beginning of quartz-study there with the now No. 51 of the Brantwood series. Compare with these childish sentiments, those of the maturely judging John Evelyn, at the same place, 30th June, 1654:—

“The city” (Bristol) “wholly mercantile, as standing neere the famous Severne, commodiously for Ireland and the Western world. Here I first saw the manner of refining suggar, and casting it into loaves, where we had a collation of eggs fried in the suggar furnace,* together with excellent Spanish wine: but what appeared most stupendious to me, was the rock

* Note (by Evelyn’s editor in 1827): “A kind of entertainment like that we now have of eating beefsteaks drest on the stoker’s shovel, and drinking porter at the famous brewhouses of London.”

1 [Compare Modern Painters, vol. iii. (Vol. V. pp. 253 seq., 295).]
of St. Vincent, a little distance from the town, the precipice whereof is equal to anything of that nature I have seen in the most confragose cataracts of the Alpes, the river gliding between them at an extraordinary depth. Here we went searching for diamonds, and to the Hot Wells at its foot. There is also on the side of this horrid Alp a very romantic seat: and so we returned to Bath in the evening."

3. Of course Evelyn uses the word “horrid” only in its Latin sense; but his mind is evidently relieved by returning to Bath; and although, farther on, he describes without alarm “the town and county” of Nottingham as seeming “to be but one entire rock, as it were,” he explains his toleration of that structure in the close of his sentence—“an exceeding pleasant shire, full of gentry.” Of his impressions of the “stupendous” rocks of Fontainebleau, and ungentle people of the Simplon, I have to speak in another place.

In these and many other such particulars I find the typical English mind, both then and now, so adverse to my own, as also to those of my few companions through the sorrows of this world, that it becomes for me a matter of acute Darwinian interest to trace my species from origin to extinction: and I have, therefore, to warn the reader, and ask his pardon, that while a modest person writes his

1 [Of rough, shaggy, bristly. So Dryden, “horrid with fern”; and Gray (in a letter), “The Apennines are not so horrid as the Alps, though pretty nearly as high.”]
2 [August 14, 1654.]
3 [For an incidental reference to Evelyn at Fontainebleau, see below, p. 313. To Evelyn’s passage of the Simplon, Ruskin does not return in Præterita as published (though he had already briefly referred to the subject in his last Oxford lectures; see Vol. XXXII. p. 535). But among the MSS. for Præterita, there is a passage in which Ruskin redeems the promise here made. He quotes from the diary (1646) Evelyn’s account of Lago Maggiore and the Simplon Pass, and then continues:—

“Of this passage of course, the first great interest is this evidence it gives that Evelyn had no pleasure whatever in mountain scenery, nor, which is more curious still, in mountain forest, pasture, or flowers. The author of the best book on Forest trees of any European language or time sees nothing in the chestnut woods of Isella, nor the pine forests of Gondo, to merit a word of notice;—the designer of gardens and pleasances innumerable perceives in mid-April on the Simplon neither primula nor soldanella. But the second and far greatest interest is the cruelty and brutality with which this party of three English gentlemen—namely, John Evelyn, the poet Waller, and Captain, son of Sir Christopher, Wray—regard, and behave to, the entirely noble peasants over whose land they pass in absolute security from any manner of unjust and unkind treatment. . . . But my object at present is to discover as far as I may
autobiography chiefly by giving accounts of the people he has
met, I find it only possible, within my planned limits, to take
note of those who have had distinct power in the training or the
pruning of little me to any good.

4. I return first to my true master in mathematics, poor Mr.
Rowbotham. Of course he missed his Herne Hill evenings sadly
when I went to Oxford. But always, when we came home, it was
understood that once in the fortnight, or so, as he felt himself
able, he should still toil up the hill to tea. We were always sorry
to see him at the gate; but felt that it was our clear small duty to
put up with his sighing for an hour or two in such rest as his
woeful life could find. Nor were we without some real affection
for him. His face had a certain grandeur, from its constancy of
patience, bewildered innocence, and firm lines of faculty in
geometric sort. Also he brought us news from the mathematical
and grammatical world, and told us some interesting details of
manufacture, if he had been on a visit to his friend Mr.
Crawshay. His own home became yearly more wretched, till
one day its little ten-years-old Peepy choked himself with his
teetotum. The

the meaning of the total want of any sense of what we now call sublimity, either
in scenery or circumstance, which at this period characterises alike the art,
literature, and life of civilized Europe. . . . Concerning which the point which I
have to note is that 'gentry,' living in chateaux and seats, taking their pleasure
in gardens and parks, wearing wigs and hoops, and reading the Roman Catholic
literature corresponding, were necessarily incapable of receiving any idea of
'the sublime' from nature or art; that a rock could be nothing but a nuisance to
them, a fountain nothing till it was taught its fountain manège, a tree nothing till
it was taught to stand with others in an avenue, and their own valour and beauty
nothing till its shoes are tied and its cheeks painted. This the reader of any
sagacity may see for himself. What he will neither at once see, nor at all on the
first hearing believe, is that the sense of sublimity and beauty in nature is
correlative with the Justice and Charity of the human heart; that the Heavens are
sublime when we believe there is a God of Justice to rule them or to rend; that
the Rocks are sublime when we believe that their foundations are laid by God's
plummets and their crests bowed by His will; and that the Seas and Rivers are
sublime when we know that their Master has bound them with their beaches, or
by their living waters led forth His flocks.”

To Evelyn’s Sylva, Ruskin refers below, p. 557. ]

1 [See i. § 93; above, p. 83.]
2 [William Crawshay, ironmaster (1788–1867); father of R. T. Crawshay mentioned
in Fors Clavigera, Letters 85 and 86 (Vol. XXIX. pp. 328, 353).]
father told us, with real sorrow, the stages of the child’s protracted suffering before he died; but observed, finally, that it was better he should have been taken away,—both for him and his parents. Evidently the poor mathematical mind was relieved from one of its least soluble burdens, and the sad face, that evening, had an expression of more than usual repose.

I never forgot the lesson it taught me of what human life meant in the suburbs of London.

5. The rigidly moral muse of Mr. Pringle had by this time gone to Africa, or, let us hope, Arabia Felix, in the other world;¹ and the reins of my poetical genius had been given into the hand of kindly Mr. W. H. Harrison in the Vauxhall Road, of whom account has already been given in the first chapter of On the Old Road² enough to carry us on for the present.

I must next bring up to time the history of my father’s affectionate physician, Dr. Grant.³ Increasing steadily in reputation, he married a widowed lady, Mrs. Sidney, of good position in Richmond; and became the guardian of her two extremely nice and clever daughters, Augusta and Emma, who both felt great respect, and soon great regard, for their step-father, and were every day more dutiful and pleasing children to him. Estimating my mother’s character also as they ought, later on, they were familiar visitors to us; the younger, Emma,⁴ having good taste for drawing, and other quiet accomplishments and pursuits. At the time I am now looking back to, however, the Star and Garter breakfasts had become rarer, and were connected mostly with visits to Hampton Court, where the great vine, and

¹ [Pringle died in 1834; he was succeeded in the editorship of Friendship’s Offering by W. H. Harrison. The Preface to the volume of that miscellany for 1836 says: “The gentle spirit which, for so long a period, presided over Friendship’s Offering, is now a denizen of a happier memory ... Africa has raised an enduring monument to him.” For Pringle’s connexion with Africa, see Vol. XXXIV. p. 97.]
² [“My First Editor”: see Vol. XXXIV. pp. 93–104.]
³ [See i. § 111; above, p. 97.]
⁴ [Afterwards married to Sir Herbert Edwardes: see Vol. XXXI. p. xxxix.]
the maze, were of thrilling attraction to me; and the Cartoons began to take the aspect of mild nightmare and nuisance which they have ever since retained.

My runs with cousin Mary in the maze, (once, as in Dantesque alleys of lucent verdure in the Moon, with Adèle and Elise,) always had something of an enchanted and Faery-Queen glamour in them: and I went on designing more and more complicated mazes in the blank leaves of my lesson books—wasting, I suppose, nearly as much time that way as in the trisection of the angle. Howbeit, afterwards, the coins of Cnossus, and characters of Dædalus, Theseus, and the Minotaur, became intelligible to me as to few: and I have much unprinted MSS. about them, intended for expansion in Ariadne Florentina, and other labyrinthine volumes, but which the world must get on now without the benefit of, as it can.

6. Meantime, from the Grove, whitehaired mamma Monro, and silvery-fringed Petite, had gone to their rest. Mrs. Gray cared no longer for the pride of her house, or shade of her avenue; while more and more, Mr. Gray’s devotion to Don Quixote, and to my poetry in Friendship’s Offering, interfered with his business habits. At last it was thought that, being true Scots both of them, they might better prosper over the Border. They went to Glasgow, where Mr. Gray took up some sort of a wine business, and read Rob Roy instead of Don Quixote. We went to Glasgow to see them, on our Scottish tour, and sorrowfully perceived them to be going downwards, even in their Scottish world. For a little change, they were

---

1 [Of Raphael; afterwards removed to the South Kensington Museum. Ruskin criticised them in the first volume of Modern Painters: see Vol. III. p. 29 n.]
2 [For coins of Cnossus, see Plate XVIII. in Vol. XX. and Fig. 7 in Vol. XXVII.; for discussion of Dædalus, Theseus, and the Minotaur, see Aratra Pentelici (Vol. XX. pp. 351–354), and Fors Clavigera, Letter 23 (Vol. XXVII. pp. 400 seq.).]
3 [Some of Ruskin’s hitherto unprinted MSS. on Greek coins are now given at the end of Vol. XX.]
4 [Mrs. Gray’s mother: see i. § 115; above, p. 101.]
5 [See i. § 116; above, p. 101.]
6 [In 1838: see above, p. 223.]
asked to Oxford that autumn, to see their spoiled Johnnie carrying all before him: and the good couple being seated in Christ Church Cathedral under the organ, and seeing me walk in with my companions in our silken sleeves, and with accompanying flourishes by Mr. Marshall\(^1\) on the trumpet stop, and Rembrandtesque effects of candlelight upon the Norman columns, were both of them melted into tears; and remained speechless with reverent delight all the evening afterwards.

7. I have left too long without word the continual benevolence towards us of the family at Widmore,\(^2\) Mr. Telford and his three sisters; the latter absolutely well-educated women—wise, without either severity or ostentation, using all they knew for the good of their neighbours, and exhibiting in their own lives every joy of sisterly love and active homeliness. Mr. Henry Telford’s perfectly quiet, slightly melancholy, exquisitely sensitive face, browned by continual riding from Bromley to Billiter Street, remains with me, among the most precious of the pictures which, unseen of any guest, hang on the walls of my refectory.

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Cockburn,\(^3\) as the years drew on, became more and more kindly, but less and less approvingly, interested in our monastic ways at Herne Hill; and in my partly thwarted and uncomfortable, partly singular development of literary character. Mrs. Cockburn took earnest pains with my mother to get her to send me more into society, that I might be licked a little into shape. But my mother was satisfied with me as I was; and besides, Mrs. Cockburn and she never got quite well on together. My mother, according to her established manner, would no more dine with her than with any one else, and was even careless in returning calls; and Mrs. Cockburn—which was wonderful in a woman of so much sense—instead of being merely sorry for my mother’s shyness, and trying

\(^1\) [William Marshall (1806–1875), organist at Christ Church and St. John’s College, Oxford; Mus. Doc. Oxford, 1840; composer.]
\(^2\) [See i. § 27; above, p. 27.]
\(^3\) [See i. § 117; above, p. 102.]
to efface her sense of inferiority in education and position, took this somewhat in pique. But among the fateful chances of my own life in her endeavours to do something for me, and somehow break the shell of me, she one day asked me to dine with Lockhart, and see his little harebell-like daintiness of a daughter. I suppose Mrs. Cockburn must have told him of my love of Scott, yet I do not remember manifesting that sentiment in any wise during dinner: I recollect only, over the wine, making some small effort to display my Oxonian orthodoxy and sound learning, with respect to the principles of Church Establishment; and being surprised, and somewhat discomfited, by finding that Mr. Lockhart knew the Greek for “bishop” and “elder” as well as I did. On going into the drawing-room, however, I made every effort to ingratiate myself with the little dark-eyed, high-foreheaded Charlotte, and was very sorry,—but I don’t think the child was,—when she was sent to bed. 1

8. But the most happy turn of Fortune’s wheel for me, in this year ’39, was the coming of Osborne Gordon 2 to Herne Hill to be my private tutor, and read with me in our little nursery. Taking up the ravelled ends of yet workable and spinnable flax in me, he began to twist

1 [For Miss Charlotte Lockhart, see again, below, §§ 192, 198 (pp. 422, 428).] 2 [See above, pp. 192, 198; and for later references, see below, pp. 333, 414, 436, 522 n. The Rev. Osborne Gordon (1813–1883) was censor at Christ Church and reader in Greek; a prominent member of the University till presented to the living of Easthampstead, Berks, in 1860. Ruskin’s father gave £5000 for the augmentation of poor Christ Church livings, as a tribute to Gordon; and Ruskin himself wrote his epitaph (Vol. XXXIV. p. 647). There is also a memorial to him (by C. Dressler) in the cloisters of Christ Church. A Memoir with a Selection of his Writings (by G. Marshall) was published in 1885. There is reference in Sir Algernon West’s Recollections (vol. i. pp. 64–65) to his “overpowering love for a lord,” which Dean Kitchin dismisses as too harsh. He was, says the latter writer, “a Shropshire student, lean and haggard, with bright eyes, long reddish nose, untidy air, odd voice, and uncertain aspirates. Of quaint wit, exquisite scholarly tastes, extraordinary mathematical gifts, and a very kind heart. He always depreciated what he knew, and pretended to take no interest in the subjects in which he excelled. We all wondered how he would do as a country parson. When, however, he died, one of his Berkshire farmers said at his funeral, ‘Well, we have lost a real friend; we’ve had before parsons who could preach, and parsons who could varn; but ne’er one before who could both preach and varn as Mr. Gordon did ’’ (Ruskin in Oxford and other Studies, p. 24).]
them, at first through much wholesome pain, into such tenor as they were really capable of.

The first thing he did was to stop all pressure in reading. His inaugural sentence was, “When you have got too much to do, don’t do it.”—a golden saying which I have often repeated since, but not enough obeyed.

To Gordon himself, his own proverb was less serviceable. He was a man of quite exceptional power, and there is no saying what he might have done, with any strong motive. Very early, a keen, though entirely benevolent, sense of the absurdity of the world took away his heart in working for it:—perhaps I should rather have said, the density and unmalleability of the world, than absurdity. He thought there was nothing to be done with it, and that after all it would get on by itself. Chiefly, that autumn, in our walks over the Norwood hills, he, being then an ordained, or on the point of being ordained, priest, surprised me greatly by avoiding, evidently with the sense of its being useless bother, my favourite topic of conversation, namely, the torpor of the Protestant churches, and their duty, as it to me appeared, before any thought of missionary work, out of Europe, or comfortable settling to pastoral work at home, to trample finally out the smouldering “diabolic fire” of the Papacy, in all Papal-Catholic lands. For I was then by training, thinking, and the teaching of such small experience as I had, as zealous, pugnacious, and self-sure a Protestant as you please. The first condition of my being so was, of course, total ignorance of Christian history; the second,—one for which the Roman Church is indeed guiltily responsible,—that all the Catholic Cantons of Switzerland, counting Savoy also as a main point of Alpine territory, are idle and dirty, and all Protestant ones busy and clean—a most impressive fact to my evangelical mother, whose first duty and first luxury of life consisted in purity of person and surroundings; while she and my father alike looked on idleness as indisputably Satanic. They failed not, therefore, to look carefully on the map for the bridge, or
gate, or vale, or ridge, which marked the separation of Protestant from the benighted Catholic cantons; and it was rare if the first or second field and cottage, beyond the border, did not too clearly justify their exulting,—though also indignant and partly sorrowful,—enforcement upon me of the natural consequences of Popery.

9. The third reason for my strength of feeling at this time was a curious one. In proportion to the delight I felt in the ceremonial of foreign churches,¹ was my conviction of the falseness of religious sentiment founded on these enjoyments. I had no foolish scorn of them, as the proper expressions of the Catholic Faith; but infinite scorn of the lascivious sensibility which could change its beliefs because it delighted in these, and be “piped into a new creed by the whine of an organ pipe.”² So that alike my reason, and romantic pleasure, on the Continent, combined to make a bitter Protestant of me;—yet not a malicious nor ungenerous one. I never suspected Catholic priests of dishonesty, nor doubted the purity of the former Catholic Church. I was a Protestant Cavalier, not Protestant Round-head,—entirely desirous of keeping all that was noble and traditional in religious ritual, and reverent to the existing piety of the Catholic peasantry. So that the “diabolic fire” which I wanted trampled out, was only the corrupt Catholicism which rendered the vice of Paris and the dirt of Savoy possible; and which I was quite right in thinking it the duty of every Christian priest to attack, and end the schism and scandal of it.

10. Osborne, on the contrary, was a practical Englishman, of the shrewdest, yet gentlest type; keenly perceptive of folly, but disposed to pardon most human failings as little more. His ambition was restricted to the walls of Christ Church; he was already the chiefly trusted aid of the old Dean; probably, next to him, the best Greek scholar in

¹ [The MS. adds: “See above my note on the difference between Beresford Chapel and Rouen Cathedral;” pp. 132–133.]
² [Ruskin quotes (from memory) from his note on “Romanist Modern Art,” in Appendix 12 to vol. i. of The Stones of Venice: see Vol. IX. p. 437.]
Oxford, and perfectly practised in all the college routine of business. He thought that the Church of England had—even in Oxford—enough to do in looking after her own faults; and addressed himself, in our conversations on Forest Hill, mainly to mollify my Protestant animosities, enlarge my small acquaintance with ecclesiastical history, and recall my attention to the immediate business in hand, of enjoying our walk, and recollecting what we had read in the morning.

In his proper work with me, no tutor could have been more diligent or patient. His own scholarly power was of the highest order; his memory (the necessary instrument of great scholarship) errorless and effortless; his judgment and feeling in literature sound; his interpretation of political events always rational, and founded on wide detail of well-balanced knowledge; and all this without in the least priding himself on his classic power, or wishing to check any of my impulses in other directions. He had taken his double first with the half of his strength, and would have taken a triple one without priding himself on it: he was amused by my facility in rhyming, recognized my true instinct in painting, and sympathised with me in love of country life and picturesque towns, but always in a quieting and reposeful manner. Once in after life, provoked at finding myself still unable to read Greek easily, I intimated to him a half-formed purpose to throw everything else aside, for a time, and make myself a sound Greek scholar. “I think it would give you more trouble than it is worth,” said he. Another time, as I was making the drawing of “Chamouni in afternoon sunshine” for him, (now at his sister’s,) I spoke of the constant vexation I suffered because I could not draw better. “And I,” he said simply, “should be very content if I could draw at all.”

11. During Gordon’s stay with us, this 1839 autumn, we got our second Turner drawing. Certainly the most

1 [This drawing, made in 1844, is reproduced on Plate 4 in Vol. III. (p. 240).]
curious failure of memory—among the many I find—is that I don’t know when I saw my first! I feel as if Mr. Windus’s parlour at Tottenham had been familiar to me since the dawn of existence in Brunswick Square.

Mr. Godfrey Windus was a retired coachmaker, living in a cheerful little villa, with low rooms on the ground floor opening pleasantly into each other, like a sort of grouped conservatory, between his front and back gardens: their walls beset, but not crowded, with Turner drawings of the England series; while in his portfolio-stands, coming there straight from the publishers of the books they illustrated, were the entire series of the illustrations to Scott, to Byron, to the South Coast, and to Finden’s Bible.

Nobody, in all England, at that time,—and Turner was already sixty,—cared, in the true sense of the word, for Turner, but the retired coachmaker of Tottenham, and I.

Nor, indeed, could the public ever see the drawings, so as to begin to care for them. Mr. Fawkes’s were shut up at Farnley, Sir Peregrine Acland’s, perishing of damp in his passages, and Mr. Windus bought all that were made for engravers as soon as the engraver had done with them. The advantage, however, of seeing them all collected at

1 [The MS. has an additional passage here:—
  “All my delighted early study and imitation of him had been of the engravings only, and it is wholly amazing to me to find that there is not, nor has been for years, trace in my mind of the day when first I saw a drawing, any more than of the first story I read in the Arabian Nights, or the first time I read ‘Achilles’ wrath.’ Of Academy pictures, there is no memory whatever in me until the ‘Juliet and her Nurse,’ which I understood then just as well as I do now. But I believe the really first sight must have been the bewildering one of the great collection at Mr. Windus’s—Godfrey Windus of Tottenham—bewilderment repeating itself every time I entered the house, and at last expanding and losing itself in the general knowledge to which it led. Mr. Windus was a retired coachmaker . . .”]

2 [See Vol. III. p. 234 n. An account of a visit to a Mr. Windus’s collection in his “very pretty old-fashioned house on Tottenham Green” may be found in Dr. G. Birkbeck Hill’s Letters of Rossetti to William Allingham, 1897, p. 91.]

3 [Sir Peregrine Palmer Fuller Maitland Acland (1789–1871), of Fairfield, Somerset, second baronet; representative of a junior branch of the Aclands. His only child and heiress married (1849) Sir Alexander Hood. The drawings of Sussex, painted by Turner for J. Fuller, Esq., of Rosehill, Sussex, were sold by Sir Alexander Acland-Hood, Bart., M.P., at Christie’s in March 1908. Ruskin refers to them in a letter to Sir Henry Acland of January 18, 1863 (Vol. XXXVI.).]
his house,—he gave an open day each week, and to me the run of his rooms at any time,—was, to the general student, inestimable, and, for me, the means of writing *Modern Painters*.

12. It is, I think, noteworthy that, although first attracted to Turner by the mountain truth in Rogers’s *Italy*,—when I saw the drawings, it was almost wholly the pure artistic quality that fascinated me, whatever the subject; so that I was not in the least hindered by the beauty of Mr. Windus’s Llanberis or Melrose from being quite happy when my father at last gave me, not for a beginning of Turner collection, but for a specimen of Turner’s work, which was all—as it was supposed—I should ever need or aspire to possess, the “Richmond Bridge, Surrey.”

The triumphant talk between us over it, when we brought it home, consisted, as I remember, greatly in commendation of the quantity of Turnerian subject and character which this single specimen united:—“it had trees, architecture, water, a lovely sky, and a clustered bouquet of brilliant figures.”

And verily the Surrey Richmond remained for at least two years our only Turner possession, and the second we bought, the “Gosport,” which came home when Gordon was staying with us, had still none of the delicate beauty of Turner except in its sky; nor were either my father or I the least offended by the ill-made bonnets of the lady-passengers in the cutter, nor by the helmsman’s head being put on the wrong way.

1 [No. 33 in Ruskin’s Exhibition of 1878: see Vol. XIII. pp. 436, 603.]
2 [The MS. has an additional passage here:—

> “Which makes it evident that already both my father and I had seen, and reconciled ourselves to, the clustered absurdities of the figures in the Academy pictures of that Turner period. This came partly of our both being so fond of Rubens; but I never could understand how my father so easily forgave the bad drawing for the sake of the brilliant colour. On the other hand, his love of strength and visible stroke in the brush prevented him from ever appreciating the finest passages of the stippled drawings—nor for a long time did I enough reverence them myself. However it was, the Surrey Richmond remained ... “

For Ruskin’s discussion of the figures in Turner’s landscapes, see Vol. XIII. p. 151.]
3 [No. 37 in the Exhibition: see *ibid.*, pp. 439, 600.]
The reader is not to think, because I speak thus frankly of Turner’s faults, that I judge them greater, or know them better; now, than I did then. I knew them at this time of getting “Richmond” and “Gosport” just as well as other people; but knew also the power shown through these faults, to a degree quite wonderful for a boy;—it being my chief recreation, after Greek or trigonometry in the nursery-study, to go down and feast on my “Gosport.”

13. And so, after Christmas, I went back to Oxford for the last push, in January 1840, and did very steady work with Gordon, in St. Aldate’s,* the sense that I was coming of age somewhat increasing the feeling of responsibility for one’s time. On my twenty-first birthday my father brought me for a present the drawing of Winchelsea,¹—a curious choice, and an unlucky one. The thundrous sky

* The street, named from its parish church, going down past Christ Church to the river. It was the regular course of a gentleman-commoner’s residence to be promoted from Peckwater to Tom Quad, and turned out into the street for his last term.² I have no notion at this minute who St. Aldate was:—American visitors may be advised that in Oxford it will be expected of them to call him St. Old.

¹ [No. 34 in the Exhibition: see Vol. XIII. pp. 437, 606.]
² [The first draft had a further passage (in the main text) in this connexion:—

“I returned to Oxford—yes—but not to college. The entirely absurd and stupid custom of turning men out of doors in last term sent me into lodgings in St. Aldate’s—after a previous change—of supposed promotion from Peckwater to Tom the year before. The proper law of college life is that a man should never quit the rooms he first is received in, till his university career is over.

“What feeble associations of any pathetic and helpful character I had with Christ Church were finally swept away in St. Aldate’s lodgings. They had been deadened, as I above noticed [p. 190] from the beginning, by the dulness of Peckwater—they were vulgarized by the modern sham Gothic furniture of my rooms in Tom (first floor left, No. 4)—and abolished wholly in St. Aldate’s.

“Respecting college furniture I note in passing the vicious liberty given to the men to furnish them to their own liking. The rooms should be rightly and simply furnished by the college—never changed till worn out, and extremely heavy fines inflicted for wilful damage of it. No prints or pictures should be allowed on room walls without the college seal on them. What the men choose to keep in portfolios, they must of course be left to their choice of. I do not leave the business here in hand to argue in defence of the opinions given in passing—but no opinion will be expressed which I am not well able to defend nor which I have only light reason for expressing. I did very steady work . . .”

(as in § 13).]
and broken white light of storm round the distant gate and scarcely visible church, were but too true symbols of the time that was coming upon us; but neither he nor I were given to reading omens, or dreading them. I suppose he had been struck by the power of the drawing, and he always liked soldiers. I was disappointed, and saw for the first time clearly that my father’s joy in Rubens and Sir Joshua could never become sentient of Turner’s microscopic touch. But I was entirely grateful for his purpose, and very thankful to have any new Turner drawing whatsoever; and as at home the “Gosport,” so in St. Aldate’s the “Winchelsea,” was the chief recreation of my fatigued hours.

14. This Turner gift, however, was only complimentary. The same day my father transferred into my name in the stocks as much as would bring in at least £200 a year, and watched with some anxiety the use I should make of this first command of money. Not that I had ever been under definite restriction about it: at Oxford I ran what accounts with the tradesmen I liked, and the bills were sent in to my mother weekly; there was never any difficulty or demur on either side, and there was nothing out of the common way in Oxford I wanted to buy, except the engraving of Turner’s Grand Canal, for my room wall,—and Monsieur Jabot, the first I ever saw of Töpffer’s rival-less caricatures,1 one day when I had a headache. For anything on which my state or comfort in the least depended, my father was more disposed to be extravagant than I; but he had always the most curious suspicion of my taste for minerals, and only the year before, in the summer term, was entirely vexed and discomfited at my giving eleven shillings for a piece of Cornish chalcedony. That I never thought of buying a mineral without telling him

1 [Histoire de M. Jabot. The character reappears in the Voyages en Zigzag. For a further reference to Töpffer, see below, § 210 n.; and for other notices of him, see Love’s Meinie (Vol. XXV. p. 115 n.) and Art of England, § 145 (Vol. XXXIII. p. 362).]
what I had paid for it, besides advising him duly of the fact, curiously marks the intimate confidence between us: but alas, my respect for his judgment was at this time by these little esses gradually diminished; and my confidence in my own painfully manifested to him a very little while after he had permitted me the above stated measure of independence. The Turner drawings hitherto bought,—“Richmond,” “Gosport,” “Winchelsea,”—were all supplied by Mr. Griffith, an agent in whom Turner had perfect confidence, and my father none. Both were fatally wrong. Had Turner dealt straight with my father, there is no saying how much happiness might have come of it for all three of us; had my father not been always afraid of being taken in by Mr. Griffith, he might at that time have bought some of the loveliest drawings that Turner ever made, at entirely fair prices. But Mr. Griffith’s art-salesmanship entirely offended my father from the first, and the best drawings were always let pass, because Mr. Griffith recommended them, while “Winchelsea” and “Gosport” were both bought—among other reasons—because Mr. Griffith said they were not drawings which we ought to have!

15. Among those of purest quality in his folios at this time was one I especially coveted, the “Harlech.”² There had been a good deal of dealers’ yea and nay about it, whether it was for sale or not; it was a smaller drawing than most of the England and Wales series, and there were many hints in the market about its being iniquitous in price. The private view day of the Old Water Colour came; and, arm in arm with my father, I met Mr. Griffith in the crowd. After the proper five minutes of how we liked the exhibition, he turned specially to me. “I have some good news for you; the Harlech is really for sale.” “I’ll take it then,” I replied, without so much as a glance at my father, and without asking the price. Smiling a little ironically, Mr. Griffith went on, “And—seventy,”—

¹ [For Mr. Griffith, see again, below, p. 305; and Vol. XIII. pp. 477 seq.]
² [The drawing was afterwards sold by Ruskin: see Vol. XIII. p. 601.]
implying that seventy was a low price, at once told me in answer to my confidence. But it was thirty above the “Winchelsea,” twenty-four above “Gosport,” and my father was of course sure that Mr. Griffith had put twenty pounds on at the instant.

The mingled grief and scorn on his face told me what I had done; but I was too happy on pouncing on my “Harlech” to feel for him. All sorts of blindness and error on both sides, but, on his side, inevitable,—on mine, more foolish than culpable; fatal every way, beyond words.

16. I can scarcely understand my eagerness and delight in getting the “Harlech” at this time, because, during the winter, negotiations had been carried on in Paris for Adèle’s marriage; and, it does not seem as if I had been really so much crushed by that event as I expected to be. There are expressions, however, in the foolish diaries I began to write, soon after, of general disdain of life, and all that it could in future bestow on me, which seem inconsistent with extreme satisfaction in getting a water-colour drawing, sixteen inches by nine. But whatever germs of better things remained in me, were then all centred in this love of Turner. It was not a piece of painted paper, but a Welsh castle and village, and Snowdon in blue cloud, that I bought for my seventy pounds. This must have been in the Easter holidays;—“Harlech” was brought home and

1 [The first draft here continued as follows:—

1 I do not quote any of the bits of diary written at this time, because I am heavily ashamed of them, and they would only discomfort, and partly mislead the reader—representing the exactly worst part of me. What strength I had went still into my college work and into variously progressive study of art, which I took no record of. The things I wrote were passing feelings of discontent which I partly wondered at myself, and partly wanted other people to sympathise with, some day or other—these mixed with notes about the people I met, mostly arrogant, and of no value. The thoughtful reader may ask me—and with good reason—what at this time had become of all my well-learned chapters, my college taught orthodoxy, my zeal for the Protestant religion. If he will look back to what I have told of the chapter-learning, he will not find it spoken of as immediately delightful or resultful. For any effect it had on my own character hitherto, I might as well have learned the Koran in Arabic. The effect up to this time had been merely literary and imaginative, forming my taste, and securing my belief in the supernatural—or quasi-belief —gradating into the kind of credit I gave the Arabian Nights.]
safely installed in the drawing-room on the other side of the fireplace from my idol-niche; and I went triumphantly back to St. Aldate’s and “Winchelsea.”

In spite of Gordon’s wholesome moderatorship, the work had come by that time to high pressure, until twelve at night from six in the morning, with little exercise, no cheerfulness, and no sense of any use in what I read, to myself or anybody else: things progressing also smoothly in Paris, to the abyss. One evening, after Gordon had left me, about ten o’clock, a short tickling cough surprised me, because preceded by a curious sensation in the throat, and followed by a curious taste in the mouth, which I presently perceived to be that of blood. It must have been on a Saturday or Sunday evening, for my father, as well as my mother, was in the High Street lodgings. I walked round to them and told them what had happened.

17. My mother, an entirely skilled physician in all forms of consumptive disease, was not frightened, but sent round to the Deanery to ask leave for me to sleep out of my lodgings. Morning consultations ended in our going up to town, and town consultations in my being forbid any farther reading under pressure, and in the Dean’s giving me, with many growls, permission to put off taking my degree for a year. During the month or two following, passed at Herne Hill, my father’s disappointment at the end of his hopes of my obtaining distinction in Oxford was

Divinity reading for the schools was as little tonic in moral manners as any other literary analysis—and I quite forget, now, how much vestige of conscience, or resolution, mingled with the vague devotion, ceremonial always with me of morning and evening, and church service formally attended. There was, however, a certain vital force in these habits greater than I now remember, and a steady respect for whatever was holy and true, so far as I knew or saw it, and daily increase also of such knowledge and sight, however little availing.

“In this—now unintelligible to me—state of mind, hard and stupid, and sufficiently miserable—a state of mental mildew not worth farther analysis or memory—I went back to Oxford for my last push of reading. In spite of Gordon’s wholesome moderatorship, it had come by that time to work till twelve at night, rising at six in the morning, with little exercise, no cheerfulness, and no sense of any use in what I read to myself or anybody else, but to get a class with. One evening after Gordon had left me. . .”

1 [See above, p. 199.]
sorrowfully silenced by his anxiety for my life. Once or twice the short cough, and mouth-taste—it was no more—of blood, returned; but my mother steadily maintained there was nothing serious the matter, and that I only wanted rest and fresh air. The doctors, almost unanimously,—Sir James Clark\(^1\) excepted,—gave gloomier views. Sir James cheerfully, but decidedly, ordered me abroad before autumn, to be as much in open carriages as possible, and to winter in Italy.

And Mr. Telford consented to sit in the counting-house, and the clerks promised to be diligent; and my father, to whom the business was nothing, but for me, left his desk, and all other cares of life, but that of nursing me.

18. Of his own feelings, he said little; mine, in the sickly fermentation of temper I was in, were little deserving of utterance, describable indeed less as feelings than as the want of them, in all wholesome directions but one; —magnetic pointing to all presence of natural beauty, and to the poles of such art and science as interpreted it. My preparations for the journey were made with some renewal of spirit, my mother was steadily, bravely, habitually cheerful; while my father, capable to the utmost of every wise enjoyment in travelling, and most of all, of that in lovely landscape, had some personal joy in the thought of seeing South Italy. The attacks of the throat cough seemed to have ceased, and the line of our journey began to be planned with some of the old exultation.

That we might not go through Paris, the route was arranged by Rouen and the Loire to Tours, then across France by Auvergne, and down the Rhone to Avignon; thence, by the Riviera and Florence, to the South.

19. “And is there to be no more Oxford?” asks Froude, a little reproachfully, in a recent letter concerning these memoranda; for he was at Oriel while I was at Christ Church, and does not think I have given an exhaustive view either of the studies or manners of the University in our day.

\(^1\) [Sir James Clark (1788–1870), court physician; created baronet 1837.]
No, dear friend. I have no space in this story to describe the advantages I never used; nor does my own failure give me right to blame, even were there any use in blaming, a system now passed away.¹ Oxford taught me as much Greek and Latin as she could; and though I think she might also have told me that fritillaries grew in Iffley meadow, it was better that she left me to find them for myself, than that she should have told me, as nowadays she would, that the painting on them was only to amuse the midges. For the rest, the whole time I was there, my mind was simply in the state of a squash before 'tis a peascod,—and remained so yet a year or two afterwards, I grieve to say;—so that for any account of my real life, the gossip hitherto given to its codling or cocoon condition has brought us but a little way. I must get on to the days of opening sight, and effective labour; and to the scenes of nobler education which all men, who keep their hearts open, receive in the End of Days.

¹ [Some additional passages on the subject are now given in the Appendix; below, p. 610.]
CHAPTER II
ROME

20. HOWEVER dearly bought, the permission to cease reading, and put what strength was left into my sketching again, gave healthy stimulus to all faculties which had been latently progressive in me; and the sketch-books and rulers were prepared for this journey on hitherto unexampled stateliness of system.

It had chanced, in the spring of the year, that David Roberts had brought home and exhibited his sketches in Egypt and the Holy Land.¹ They were the first studies ever made conscientiously by an English painter, not to exhibit his own skill, or make capital out of his subjects, but to give true portraiture of scenes of historical and religious interest. They were faithful and laborious beyond any outlines from nature I had ever seen, and I felt also that their severely restricted method was within reach of my own skill, and applicable to all my own purposes.

With Roberts’s deficiencies or mannerism I have here no concern. He taught me, of absolute good, the use of the fine point instead of the blunt one; attention and indefatigable correctness in detail; and the simplest means of expressing ordinary light and shade on grey ground, flat wash for the full shadows, and heightening of the gradated lights by warm white.

21. I tried these adopted principles first in the courtyard of the Château de Blois:² and came in to papa and

¹ [Afterwards published in lithography, with Historical Descriptions by the Rev. Dr. Croly and W. Brockedon, as Roberts’s Sketches in the Holy Land and Syria (1842–1849). At this point the first draft has a long passage, now given in the Appendix: see below, p. 625.]
² [The editors have not traced this drawing.]
mamma declaring that “Prout would give his ears to make such a drawing as that.”

With some truth and modesty, I might have said he “would have changed eyes with me”; for Prout’s manner was gravely restricted by his nearness of sight. But also this Blois sketch showed some dawning notions of grace in proportion, and largeness of effect, which enabled me for the first time that year, to render continental subjects with just expression of their character and scale, and well-rounded solidification of pillars and sculpture.

22. The last days of the summer were well spent at Amboise, Tours, Aubusson, Pont Gibaud, and Le Puy;¹ but as we emerged into the Rhone valley, autumn broke angrily on us; and the journey by Valence to Avignon was all made gloomy by the ravage of a just past inundation, of which the main mass at Montelimar had risen from six to eight feet in the streets, and the slime remained, instead of fields, over—I forget in fact, and can scarcely venture to conceive,—what extent of plain. The Rhone, through these vast gravelly levels a mere driving weight of discoloured water;—the Alps, on the other side, now in late autumn snowless up to their lower peaks, and showing few eminent ones;—the bise, now first letting one feel what malignant wind could be,—might, perhaps, all be more depressing to me in my then state of temper; but I have never cared to see the lower Rhone any more; and to my love of cottage rather than castle, added at this time another strong moral principle, that if ever one was metamorphosed into a river, and could choose one’s own size, it would be out of all doubt more prudent and delightful to be Tees or Wharfe than Rhone.

And then, for the first time, at Fréjus, and on the Esterelle and the Western Riviera, I saw some initial letters of Italy, as distinct from Lombardy,—Italy of the stone pine and orange and palm, white villa and blue sea; and

¹ [For the full itinerary of Ruskin’s sojourn in the Continent in 1840–1841, see Vol. I. p. xxxviii. n.]
saw it with right judgment, as a wreck, and a viciously neglected one.

23. I don’t think the reader has yet been informed that I inherited to the full my mother’s love of tidiness and cleanliness; so that quite one of the most poetical charms of Switzerland to me, next to her white snows, was her white sleeves. Also I had my father’s love of solidity and soundness,—of unveneered, unrouged, and well-finished things; and here on the Riviera there were lemons and palms, yes,—but the lemons pale, and mostly skin; the palms not much larger than parasols; the sea,—blue, yes, but its beach nasty; the buildings, pompous, luxurious, painted like Grimaldi,¹,—usually broken down at the ends, and in the middle, having sham architraves daubed over windows with no glass in them; the rocks shaly and ragged, the people filthy: and over everything, a coat of plaster dust.

I was in a bad humour? Yes, but everything I have described is as I say, for all that; and though the last time I was at Sestri² I wanted to stay there, the ladies with me wouldn’t and couldn’t, because of the filth of the inn; and the last time I was at Genoa, 1882, my walk round the ramparts was only to study what uglinesses of plants liked to grow in dust, and crawl, like the lizards, into clefts of ruin.³

24. At Genoa I saw then for the first time the circular Pietà by Michael Angelo,⁴ which was my initiation in all Italian art. For at this time I understood no jot of Italian painting, but only Rubens, Vandyke, and Velasquez. At Genoa, I did not even hunt down the Vandykes, but went into the confused frontage of the city at its port, (no traversing blank quay blocking out the sea, then,) and drew the crescent of houses round the harbour, borne on

¹ [Joseph Grimaldi (1779–1837), the reigning Clown in Ruskin’s youth.]
² [In 1872, with Mr. and Mrs. Arthur Severn and Mrs. and Miss Hilliard: Vol. XXII. p. xxvi.]
³ [For Ruskin’s notes on the plants, see Vol. XXXIII. p. xxxvi.]
⁴ [For references to it, see Vol. IV. pp. 138, 285 n.]
their ancient arches;—a noble subject, and one of the best sketches I ever made.¹

From Genoa, more happy journey by the Eastern Riviera began to restore my spring of heart. I am just in time, in writing these memories, to catch the vision of the crossing Magra, in old time, and some of the other mountain streams of the two Rivieras.

It seems unbelievable to myself, as I set it down, but there were then only narrow mule bridges over the greater streams on either side of which were grouped the villages, where the river slackened behind its sea bar. Of course, in the large towns, Albenga, Savona, Ventimiglia, and so on, there were proper bridges; but at the intermediate hamlets (and the torrents round whose embouchures they grew were often formidable), the country people trusted to the slack of the water at the bar, and its frequent failure altogether in summer, for traverse of their own carrioles: and had neither mind nor means to build Waterloo bridges for the convenience of English carriages and four. The English carriage got across the shingle how it could; the boys of the village, if the horses could not pull it through, harnessed themselves in front; and in windy weather, with deep water on the inside of the bar, and blue breakers on the other, one really began sometimes to think of the slackening wheels of Pharaoh.²

25. It chanced that there were two days of rain as we passed the Western Riviera; there was a hot night at Albenga before they came on, and my father wrote— which was extremely wrong of him—a parody of “Woe is me, Alhama,”³ the refrain being instead, “Woe is me, Albenga”; the Moorish minarets of the old town and its Saracen legends, I suppose, having brought “the Moorish King rode up and down” into his head. Then the rain, with wild sirocco, came on; and somewhere near Savona

¹ [This drawing, also, is unknown to the editors.]
² [Exodus xiv. 25.]
³ [The refrain of Byron’s “Very Mournful Ballad on the Siege and Conquest of Alhama” (a version of a Spanish ballad).]
there was a pause at the brink of one of the streams, in rather angry flood, and some question if the carriage could get through. Loaded, it could not, and everybody was ordered to get out and be carried across, the carriage to follow, in such shifts as it might. Everybody obeyed these orders, and submitted to the national customs with great hilarity, except my mother, who absolutely refused to be carried in the arms of an Italian ragged opera hero, more or less resembling the figures whom she had seen carrying off into the mountains the terrified Taglioni, or Cerito.  

1 Out of the carriage she would not move, on any solicitation;—if they could pull the carriage through, they could pull her too, she said. My father was alike alarmed and angry, but as the surrounding opera corps de ballet seemed to look on the whole thing rather as a jest, and an occasion for bajocco gathering, than any crisis of fate, my mother had her way; a good team of bare-legged youngsters was put to, and she and the carriage entered the stream with shouting. Two-thirds through, the sand was soft, and horses and boys stopped to breathe. There was another, and really now serious, remonstrance with my mother, we being all nervous about quicksands, as if it had been the middle of Lancaster Bay. But stir she would not; the horses got their wind again, and the boys their way, and with much whip cracking and splashing, carriage and dama Inglese were victoriously dragged to dry land, with general promotion of goodwill between the two nations.

26. Of the passage of Magra, a day or two afterwards, my memory is vague as its own waves. There were all sorts of paths across the tract of troubled shingle, and I was thinking of the Carrara mountains beyond, all the while. Most of the streams fordable easily enough; a plank or two, loosely propped with a heap of stones, for pier and buttress, replaced after every storm, served the foot passenger. The main stream could neither be bridged

---

1 [For Taglioni, see above, p. 176. Francesca Cerito (born at Naples, 1821) was another ballet-dancer of the time; a *pas de quatre* in which she joined with Fanny Elssler, Taglioni, and Carlotta Grisi used to be famous.]
nor forded, but was clumsily ferried, and at one place my mother
had no choice really but between wading or being carried. She
suffered the indignity, I think with some feeling of its being a
consequence of the French Revolution, and remained cross all
the way to Carrara.

We were going on to Massa to sleep, but had time to stop and
walk up the dazzling white road to the lower quarry, and even to
look into one or two “studios,”— beginnings of my fixed
contempt for rooms so called, ever since. Nevertheless, partly in
my father’s sense of what was kind and proper to be
done,—partly by way of buying “a trifle from Matlock,”—and
partly because he and I both liked the fancy of the group, we
bought a two-feet high “Bacchus and Ariadne,” copied from I
know not what (we supposed classic) original, and with as much
art in it as usually goes to a French timepiece. It remained long
on a pedestal in the library at Denmark Hill, till it got smoked,
and was put out of the way.

With the passage of the Magra, and the purchase of the
“Bacchus and Ariadne,” to remain for a sort of monument of the
two-feet high knowledge of classic art then possessed by me,
ended the state of mind in which my notions of sculpture lay
between Chantrey and Roubilliac. Across Magra I felt that I was
in Italy proper; the next day we drove over the bridge of Serchio
into Lucca.

27. I am wrong in saying I “felt,” then, I was in Italy proper.
It is only in looking back that I can mark the exact point where
the tide began to turn for me; and total ignorance of what early
Christian art meant, and of what living sculpture meant, were
first pierced by vague wonder and embarrassed awe, at the new
mystery round me. The effect of Lucca on me at this time is now
quite confused with the far greater one in 1845.¹ Not so that of
the first sight of Pisa, where the solemnity and purity of its
architecture impressed me deeply;—yet chiefly in connection
with Byron and Shelley. A masked brother of the

¹ [For which, see below, p. 346.]
Misericordia first met us in the cathedral of Lucca; but the possible occurrence of the dark figures in the open sunlight of the streets added greatly to the imaginative effect of Pisa on my then nervous and depressed fancy. I drew the Spina Chapel with the Ponte-a-Mare beyond, very usefully and well;\textsuperscript{1} but the languor of the muddy Arno as against Reuss, or Genevoise Rhone, made me suspect all past or future description of Italian rivers. Singularly, I never saw Arno in full flood till 1882, nor understood till then that all the rivers of Italy are mountain torrents.

28. I am ashamed, myself, to read, but feel it an inevitable duty to print, the piece of diary which records my first impression of Florence:

“November 13th, 1840.—I have just been walking, or sauntering, in the square of the statues, the air perfectly balmy; and I shall not soon forget, I hope, the impression left by this square as it opened from the river, with the enormous mass of tower above,—or of the Duomo itself. I had not expected any mass of a church, rather something graceful, like La Salute at Venice; and, luckily, coming on it at the south-east angle, where the gallery round the dome is complete, got nearly run over before I recovered from the stun of the effect. Not that it is good as architecture even in its own barbarous style. I cannot tell what to think of it; but the wealth of exterior marble is quite overwhelming, and the notion of magnificent figures in marble and bronze about the great square, thrilling.

“Nov. 15th.—I still cannot make up my mind about this place, though my present feelings are of grievous disappointment. The galleries, which I walked through yesterday, are impressive enough; but I had as soon be in the British Museum, as far as enjoyment goes, except for the Raphaels. I can understand nothing else, and not much of them.”

\textsuperscript{1}[The drawing is reproduced on a Plate in Vol. IV. p. 136.]
II. ROME

29. At Florence then, this time, the Newgate-like palaces were rightly hateful to me; the old shop and market-streets rightly pleasant; the inside of the Duomo a horror, the outside a Chinese puzzle. All sacred art,—frescoes, tempera, what not, mere zero, as they were to the Italians themselves; the country round, dead wall and dusty olive;— the whole, a provocation and weariness, except for one master, M. Angelo.

I saw at once in him that there was emotion and human life, more than in the Greeks; and a severity and meaning which were not in Rubens. Everybody about me swearing that Michael Angelo was the finest thing in the world, I was extremely proud of being pleased with him; confirmed greatly in my notion of my own infallibility, and with help of Rogers in the Lorenzo Chapel, and long sittings and standings about the Bacchus in the Uffizi, progressed greatly and vitally in Michael-Angelesque directions. But I at once pronounced the knife grinder in the Tribune a vulgar nuisance, as I do still; the Venus de’ Medici, an uninteresting little person; Raphael’s St. John, a piece of black bombast; and the Uffizi collection in general, an unbecoming medley, got together by people who knew nothing, and cared less than nothing,* about the arts. On the whole, when I last walked through the Uffizi in 1882 I was precisely of the same opinion, and proud of having arrived at it so quickly. It was not to be expected of me at that time to like either Angelico or Botticelli; and if I had, the upper corridor of the Uffizi was an entirely vile and contemptible place wherein to see the great Madonna of the one, or the Venus Marina of

* That is, cared the wrong way,—liked them for their meanest skills, and worst uses.

1 [See Modern Painters, vol. ii. (1846), for Ruskin’s admiration of the Medicean tombs in San Lorenzo and of the Bacchus: Vol. IV. pp. 118, 281. Compare with the account in this chapter of his artistic impressions in 1840, the note of 1883 at Vol. IV. p. 117.]

2 [The “Arrotino”: see Vol. XXIII. p. 325. For other references to the Venus de’ Medici, see Vol. V. p. 98, and vol. VI. p. 143; and to the St. John, Vol. IV. p. 85.]
the other. Both were then in the outer passage from the entrance to the Tribune.

These conclusions being comfortably arrived at, I sate myself down in the middle of the Ponte Vechio, and made a very true and valuable sketch of the general perspective of its shops and the buildings beyond, looking towards the Duomo. I seem to have had time or will for no more in Florence; the Mercato Vecchio was too crowded to work in, and the carving of the Duomo could not be disengaged from its colour. Hopeful, but now somewhat doubtful, of finding things more to our mind in the south, we drove through the Porta Romana.

30. Siena, Radicofani, Viterbo, and the fourth day, Rome;—a gloomy journey, with gloomier rests. I had a bad weary headache at Siena; and the cathedral seemed to me every way absurd—over-cut, over-striped, over-crocketed, over-gabled, a piece of costly confectionery, and faithless vanity. In the main it is so; the power of Siena was in her old cathedral, her Edward the Confessor’s Westminster. Is the ruin of it yet spared?

The volcanic desert of Radicofani, with gathering storm, and an ominously Æolian keyhole in a vile inn, remained long to all of us a terrific memory. At Viterbo I was better, and made a sketch of the convent on one side of the square, rightly felt and done. On the fourth day papa and mamma observed with triumph, though much worried by the jolting, that every mile nearer Rome the road got worse!

31. My stock of Latin learning, with which to begin my studies of the city, consisted of the two first books of Livy, never well known, and the names of places

1 [The “great” Angelico in the Uffizi is presumably the “Coronation of the Virgin,” No. 1290 (now in the “Hall of Lorenzo Monaco”). For another reference to Botticelli’s “Venus Rising from the Sea” (now in the “Hall of Botticelli”), see Vol. XXII. p. 430.]

2 [The drawing is here reproduced: Plate XII.]

3 [Ruskin apparently refers to the unfinished nave (for the present cathedral is only a transept of a much vaster edifice as originally planned). The Opera del Duomo is now housed in it.]

4 [And as such was noticed in Modern Painters, vol. iii. (Vol. V. p. 106).]

5 [See above, p. 144.]
remembered without ever looking where they were on a map; Juvenal, a page or two of Tacitus, and in Virgil the burning of Troy, the story of Dido, the episode of Euryalus, and the last battle. Of course, I had nominally read the whole *Aeneid*, but thought most of it nonsense. Of later Roman history, I had read English abstracts of the imperial vices, and supposed the malaria in the Campagna to be the consequence of the Papacy. I had never heard of a good Roman emperor, or a good pope; was not quite sure whether Trajan lived before Christ or after, and would have thanked, with a sense of relieved satisfaction, anybody who might have told me that Marcus Antoninus was a Roman philosopher contemporary with Socrates.

32. The first sight of St. Peter’s dome, twenty miles away, was little more to any of us than the apparition of a grey milestone, announcing twenty miles yet of stony road before rest. The first sluggish reach of Tiber, with its mud shore and ochreous water, was a quite vile and saddening sight to me,—as compared with breezy tide of Thames, seen from Nanny Clowsley’s. The Piazza del Popolo was as familiar to me, from paintings, as Cheapside, and much less interesting. We went, of course, to some hotel in the Piazza di Spagna, and I went to bed tired and sulky at finding myself in a big street of a big modern town, with nothing to draw, and no end of things to be bothered with. Next day, waking refreshed, of course I said, “I am in Rome,” after Mr. Rogers; and accompanied papa and mamma, with a tinge of curiosity, to St. Peter’s.

Most people and books had told me I should be disappointed in its appearance of size. But I have not vainly boasted my habit and faculty of measuring magnitudes, and there was no question to me how big it was. The characters I was not prepared for were the clumsy dulness

---

1 [See i. § 122; above, p. 106.]
2 [Rogers’s *Italy*, p. 137.]
3 [See i. § 136; above, p. 117.]
of the façade, and the entirely vile taste and vapid design of the interior. We walked round it, saw the mosaic copies of pictures we did not care for, the pompous tombs of people whose names we did not know, got out to the fresh air and fountains again with infinite sense of relief, and never again went near the place, any of us, except to hear music, or see processions and paraphernalia.

33. So we went home to lunch, and of course drove about the town in the afternoon, and saw the Forum, Coliseum, and so on. I had no distinct idea what the Forum was or ever had been, or how the three pillars, or the seven, were connected with it, or the Arch of Severus, standing without any road underneath, or the ragged block of buildings above, with their tower of the commonest possible eighteenth-century type. There was, however, one extreme good in all this, that I saw things, with whatever faculty was in me, exactly for what they were; and though my religious instruction, as aforesaid, led me to suppose the malaria in the Campagna was the consequence of the Papacy, that did not in the least affect my clear and invincible perception that the outline of Soracte was good, and the outlines of tufa and pozzolana foregrounds bad, whether it was Papal or Protestant pozzolana. What the Forum or Capitol had been, I did not in the least care; the pillars of the Forum I saw were on a small scale, and their capitals rudely carved, and the houses above them nothing like so interesting as the side of any close in the “Auld toun” of Edinburgh.¹

34. Having ascertained these general facts about the city and its ruins, I had to begin my gallery work. Of

¹ [The MS. has the following additional passage here:—

“And I saw also that the whole thing as it was, considered as a picture subject, was a vile discord and wretchedness. I could draw the choir of Bolton Abbey with its wild fresh grass over the altar, and the banks of Wharfe seen through its traceriless window, in entire peace and pensiveness of mind and eye—profited, there, by all I could see or think. But if only a few buttresses had been left of one side of it—and the back of a block of modern houses built on the foundation of the other—adieu, alike, meditation or work at Bolton Abbey—and the Capitol was simply this, with bad columns left instead of good buttresses. Having ascertained . . .”]
II. ROME

course all the great religious paintings, Perugino’s antechamber, Angelico’s chapel, and the whole lower story of the Sistine, 1 were entirely useless to me. No soul ever bade me look at them, and I had no sense yet to find them out for myself. Everybody told me to look at the roof of the Sistine chapel, and I liked it; but everybody also told me to look at Raphael’s Transfiguration, and Domenichino’s St. Jerome; which also I did attentively, as I was bid, and pronounced—without the smallest hesitation—Domenichino’s a bad picture, and Raphael’s an ugly one; 2 and thenceforward paid no more attention to what anybody said, (unless I happened to agree with it) on the subject of painting.

Sir Joshua’s verdict on the Stanze 3 was a different matter, and I studied them long and carefully, admitting at once that there was more in them than I was the least able to see or understand, but decisively ascertaining that they could not give me the least pleasure, and contained a mixture of Paganism and Papacy wholly inconsistent with the religious instruction I had received in Walworth.

Having laid these foundations of future study, I never afterwards had occasion seriously to interfere with them. Domenichino is always spoken of—as long as, in deference to Sir Joshua, 4 I name him at all—as an entirely bad painter; the Stanze, as never giving, or likely to give, anybody in a healthy state of mind,—that is to say, desirous of knowing what sibyls were really like, or how a Greek conceived the Muses,—the slightest pleasure; and the

1 [It is not clear what Ruskin means by “Perugino’s antechamber”; the Anticamera delle Stanze, originally painted by Raphael, contains paintings by G. da Udine (restored by C. Maratta). For Angelico’s frescoes in the Cappella di Niccolò V., see Vol. XV. p. 421 n., Vol. XVI. p. 272, Vol. XXI. p. 105; and for the paintings in the Sistine Chapel, Vol. XXII. p. 442.]

2 [For Ruskin’s criticism of Domenichino, see Vol. III. p. 184 and the other places there noted. Of Raphael’s “Transfiguration,” though he often criticizes it in the sense here indicated (see, e.g., Vol. V. pp. 82–83 n.), he elsewhere speaks as containing a summary of elementary theology; see Vol. XXIII. pp. 254–256.]

3 [See the Fifth of the Discourses.

4 [See No. 76 of the Idler, where Sir Joshua enumerates “the purity of Domenichino” among the accepted commonplaces of criticism.]}
opposition of the Parnassus to the Disputa, shown, in *The Stones of Venice,* to foretell the fall of Catholic Theology.

35. The main wonders of Rome thus taken stock of, and the course of minor sight-seeing begun, we thought it time to present a letter of introduction which Henry Acland had given me to Mr. Joseph Severn.

Although in the large octavo volume containing the works of Coleridge, Shelley, and Keats, which so often lay on my niche-table at Herne Hill, the Keats part had never attracted me, and always puzzled, I had got quite enough perception of his natural power, and felt enough regret for his death, to make me wait with reverence on his guardian friend. I forget exactly where Mr. Severn lived at that time, but his door was at the right of the landing at the top of a long flight of squarely reverting stair,—broad, to about the span of an English lane that would allow two carts to pass; and broad-stepped also, its gentle incline attained by some three inches of fall to a foot of flat. Up this I was advancing slowly,—it being forbidden me ever to strain breath,—and was within eighteen or twenty steps of Mr. Severn’s door, when it opened, and two gentlemen came out, closed it behind them with an expression of excluding the world for evermore from that side of the house, and began to descend the stairs to meet me, holding to my left. One was a rather short, rubicund, serenely beaming person; the other, not much taller, but paler, with a beautifully modelled forehead, and extremely vivid, though kind, dark eyes.

36. They looked hard at me as they passed, but in

* I have authorized the republication of this book in its original text and form, chiefly for the sake of its clear, and the reader will find, wholly incontrovertible, statement of the deadly influence of Renaissance Theology on the Arts in Italy, and on the religion of the World. 2

1 [See above, p. 39 (§ 44).]
2 [The reference to *Stones of Venice* above is a slip on Ruskin’s part for *Lectures on Architecture and Painting*; see Vol. XII. pp. 148, 149. The republication of the *Stones* in its original form was the edition of 1886: see Vol. IX. p. liv.]
my usual shyness, and also because I have held it a first principle of manners not to waylay people;—above all, not to stop them when they are going out, I made no sign, and leaving them to descend the reverting stair in peace, climbed, at still slackening pace, the remaining steps to Mr. Severn’s door, and left my card and letter of introduction with the servant, who told me he had just gone out. His dark-eyed companion was George Richmond, to whom, also, Acland had given me a letter. Both Mr. Severn and he came immediately to see us. My father and mother’s quiet out-of-the-wayness at first interested, soon pleased, and at last won them, so completely, that before Christmas came, out of all people in Rome they chose us to eat their Christmas dinner with. Much more for my father’s sake and mother’s, than mine; not that they were uninterested in me also, but as my ways of out-of-the-wayness were by no means quiet, but perpetually firing up under their feet in little splutters and spitfires of the most appalling heresy; and those not only troublesome in immediate crackle, but carried out into steady, and not always refutable, objection to nearly everything sacred in their sight, of the autocratic masters and authentic splendours of Rome, their dialogues with me were apt to resolve themselves into delicate disguises of necessary reproof; and even with my father and mother, into consultation as to what was best to be done to bring me to anything like a right mind. The old people’s confidence in them had been unbounded from the first, in consequence of Mr. Severn’s having said to Mr. Richmond when they met me on the stairs, “What a poetical countenance!”—and my recently fanatical misbehaviour in the affair of the “Harlech,”1 coupled with my now irrepressible impertinences to Raphael and Domenichino, began to give me in my parents’ eyes something of the distant aspect of the Prodigal Son.

37. The weight of adverse authority which I had thus to

1 [See above, pp. 257–8.]
support was soon increased by the zeal of Mr. Richmond’s younger brother, Tom, whom I found, on the first occasion of my visiting them in their common studio, eagerly painting a torso with shadows of smalt blue, which, it was explained to me, were afterwards to be glazed so as to change into the flesh colour of Titian. As I did not at that time see anything particular in the flesh colour of Titian, and did not see the slightest probability—if there were—of its being imitable by that process, here was at once another chasm of separation opened between my friends and me, virtually never closed to the end of time; and in its immediately volcanic effect, decisive of the manner in which I spent the rest of my time in Rome and Italy. For, making up my mind thenceforward that the sentiment of Raphael and tints of Titian were alike beyond me, if not wholly out of my way; and that the sculpture galleries of the Vatican were mere bewilderment and worry, I took the bit in my teeth, and proceeded to sketch what I could find in Rome to represent in my own way, bringing in primarily,—by way of defiance to Raphael, Titian, and the Apollo Belvidere all in one,—a careful study of old clothes hanging out of old windows in the Jews’ quarter.

38. The gauntlet being thus thrown, the two Mr. Richmonds and my father had nothing for it but to amuse themselves as best they could with my unclassical efforts, not, taken on my own terms, without interest. I did the best I could for the Forum, in a careful general view; a study of the aqueducts of the Campagna from St. John Lateran, and of the Aventine from the Ponte Rotto, were extremely pleasant to most beholders; and at last even Mr. Richmond was so far mollified as to ask me to draw

---

1 [See below, § 101 (p. 337).]
2 [See the drawing of the Piazza Santa Maria del Pianto, reproduced on a Plate in Vol. I. p. 382.]
3 [See a Plate in Vol. I. p. 454. The other drawings mentioned in the text are unknown to the editors. The drawing here reproduced (Plate XIII.) is of another subject, done at the same time.]
The Fountain of Trevi, Rome.
1841.
the street of the Trinita di Monte for him, with which he had many happy associations. There was another practical chance for me in life at this crisis,—I might have made the most precious records of all the cities in Italy. But all my chances of being anything but what I am were thrown away, or broken short, one after another. An entirely mocking and mirage-coloured one, as it seemed then, yet became, many a year later, a great and beautiful influence on my life.

39. Between my Protestantism and, as Tom Richmond rightly called it, Proutism, I had now abjured Roman shows altogether, and was equally rude and restive, whether I was asked to go to a church, a palace, or a gallery,—when papa and mamma began to perceive some dawn of docility in me about going to hear musical church services. This they naturally attributed to my native taste for Gregorian chants, and my increasing aptitude for musical composition. But the fact was, that at services of this kind there was always a chance of seeing, at intervals, above the bowed heads of the Italian crowd, for an instant or two before she also stooped—or sometimes, eminent in her grace above a stunted group of them,—a fair English girl, who was not only the admitted Queen of beauty in the English circle of that winter in Rome, but was so, in the kind of beauty which I had only hitherto dreamed of as possible, but never yet seen living: statuesque severity with womanly sweetness joined.¹ I don’t think I ever succeeded in getting nearer than within fifty yards of her; but she was the light and solace of all the Roman winter to me, in the mere chance glimpses of her far away, and the hope of them.

40. Meantime, my father, to whom our Roman physician had given an encouraging report of me, recovered some of his natural cheerfulness, and enjoyed, with his niece, who

¹ [Miss Tollemache, afterwards Mrs. Cowper Temple (Lady Mount Temple): see below, p. 349—the friend to whom he dedicated Sesame and Lilies in 1871 (Vol. XVIII. p. 47), and who, as he says in Vol. XVII. p. 145, “aided him in chief sorrow”: see Vol. XXIV. p. xxi.]
if not an enthusiastic, was an indefatigable and attentive sight-seeker and seer, everything that Rome had to show; the musical festas especially, whenever his cross-grained boy consented, for Miss Tollemache’s secret sake, to go with him; while Mr. Severn and George Richmond became every day more kindly—nor, we felt, without real pleasure to themselves—helpful to us all. No habitué of the brightest circles of present London Society will doubt the privilege we had in better and better knowing George Richmond. But there is nothing in any circle that ever I saw or heard of, like what Mr. Joseph Severn then was in Rome.¹ He understood everybody, native and foreign, civil and ecclesiastic, in what was nicest in them, and never saw anything else than the nicest; or saw what other people got angry about as only a humorous part of the nature of things. It was the nature of things that the Pope should be at St. Peter’s, and the beggars on the Pincian steps. He forgave the Pope his papacy, reverenced the beggar’s beard, and felt that alike the steps of the Pincian, and the Araceli, and the Lateran, and the Capitol, led to heaven, and everybody was going up, somehow; but might be happy where they were in the meantime. Lightly sagacious, lovingly humorous, daintily sentimental, he was in council with the cardinals to-day, and at picnic in Campagna with the brightest English belles to-morrow; and caught the hearts of all in the golden net of his good will and good understanding, as if life were but for him the rippling chant of his favourite song,—

“Gente, e qui l’uccellatore.”²

¹ [Joseph Severn (1793–1879), painter; gold medallist, Royal Academy, 1818; accompanied Keats to Italy, 1820, and attended him at his death, 1821; settled at Rome; returned to England, 1841–1860; British Consul at Rome, 1860–1872.]
² [From the Zauberflöte of Mozart.]
CHAPTER III

CUMÆ

41. In my needful and fixed resolve to set the facts down continuously, leaving the reader to his reflections on them, I am slipping a little too fast over the surfaces of things; and it becomes at this point desirable that I should know, or at least try to guess, something of what the reader’s reflections are! and whether in the main he is getting at the sense of the facts I tell him.

Does he think me a lucky or unlucky youth, I wonder? Commendable, on the whole, and exemplary—or the reverse? Of promising gifts—or merely glitter of morning, to pass at noon? I ask him at this point, because several letters from pleased acquaintances have announced to me, of late, that they have obtained quite new lights upon my character from these jottings, and like me much better than they ever did before. Which was not the least the effect I intended to produce on them; and which moreover is the exact opposite of the effect on my own mind of meeting myself, by turning back, face to face.

42. On the contrary, I suffer great pain, and shame, in perceiving with better knowledge the little that I was, and the much that I lost—of time, chance, and—duty, (a duty missed is the worst of loss); and I cannot in the least understand what my acquaintances have found, in anything hitherto told them of my childhood, more amiable than they might have guessed of the author of Time and Tide, or Unto this Last. The real fact being, whatever they make of it, that hitherto, and for a year or two on, yet, I was simply a little floppy and soppy tadpole,—little more
than a stomach with a tail to it, flattening and wriggling itself up the crystal ripples and in the pure sands of the spring-head of youth.

But there were always good eyes in me, and a good habit of keeping head up stream; and now the time was coming when I began to think about helping princesses by fetching up their balls from the bottom;¹ when I got a sudden glimpse of myself, in the true shape of me, extremely startling and discouraging:—here, in Rome it was, towards the Christmas time.

43. Among the living Roman arts of which polite travellers were expected to carry specimens home with them, one of the prettiest used to be the cutting cameos out of pink shells. We bought, according to custom, some coquillage of Gods and Graces; but the cameo cutters were also skilful in mortal portraiture, and papa and mamma, still expectant of my future greatness, resolved to have me carved in cameo.²

I had always been content enough with my front face in the glass, and had never thought of contriving vision of the profile. The cameo finished, I saw at a glance to be well cut; but the image it gave of me was not to my mind. I did not analyse its elements at the time, but should now describe it as a George the Third’s penny, with a halfpenny worth of George the Fourth, the pride of Amurath the Fifth, and the temper of eight little Lucifers in a swept lodging.

Now I knew myself proud; yes, and of late, sullen; but did not in the least recognize pride or sulkiness for leading faults of my nature. On the contrary, I knew myself wholly reverent to all real greatness, and wholly good-humoured—when I got my own way. What more can you expect of average boy, or beast?

And it seemed hard to me that only the excrescent

¹ [See the story of “The Frog-Prince” in Grimm; p. 142 in the edition prefaced by Ruskin.]
² [Plate XIII A. is a woodcut from this cameo at Brantwood.]
faults, and by no means the constant capacities, should be set forth, carved by the petty justice of the practical cameo. Concerning which, as also other later portraits of me, I will be thus far proud as to tell the disappointed spectator, once for all, that the main good of my face, as of my life, is in the eyes,—and only in those, seen near; that a very dear and wise French friend also told me, a long while after this, that the lips, though not Apolline, were kind: the George the Third and Fourth character I recognize very definitely among my people, as already noticed in my cousin George of Croydon;¹ and of the shape of head, fore and aft, I have my own opinions, but do not think it time, yet, to tell them.

44. I think it, however, quite time to say a little more fully, not only what happened to me, now of age, but what was in me: to which end I permit a passage or two out of my diary, written for the first time this year wholly for my own use, and note of things I saw and thought; and neither to please papa, nor to be printed,—with corrections,—by Mr. Harrison.²

I see, indeed, in turning the old leaves, that I have been a little too morose in my record of impressions on the Riviera. Here is a page more pleasant, giving first sight of a place afterwards much important in my life—the promontory of Sestri di Levante:—

“SESTRI, Nov. 4th (1840).—Very wet all morning; merely able to get the four miles to this most lovely village, the clouds drifting like smoke from the hills, and hanging in wreaths about the white churches on their woody slopes. Kept in here till three, then the clouds broke, and we got up the woody promontory that overhangs the village. The clouds were rising gradually from the Apennines, fragments entangled here and there in the ravines catching the level sunlight like so many tongues of fire; the dark blue outline of the

¹ [See i. § 98; above, p. 88.]
² [See above, p. 246.]
hills clear as crystal against a pale distant purity of green sky, the sun touching here and there upon their turfy precipices, and the white, square villages along the gulph gleaming like silver to the north-west;—a mass of higher mountain, plunging down into broad valleys dark with olive, their summits at first grey with rain, then deep blue with flying showers—the sun suddenly catching the near woods at their base, already coloured exquisitely by the autumn, with such a burst of robing, penetrating glow as Turner only could even imagine, set off by the grey storm behind. To the south, an expanse of sea, varied by reflection of white Alpine cloud, and delicate lines of most pure blue, the low sun sending its line of light—forty miles long—from the horizon; the surges dashing far below against rocks of black marble, and lines of foam drifting back with the current into the open sea. Overhead, a group of dark Italian pine and evergreen oak, with such lovely ground about their roots as we have in the best bits of the islands of Derwentwater. This continued till near sunset, when a tall double rainbow rose to the east over the fiery woods, and as the sun sank, the storm of falling rain on the mountains became suddenly purple—nearly crimson; the rainbow, its hues scarcely traceable, one broad belt of crimson, the clouds above all fire. The whole scene such as can only come once or twice in a lifetime.”

45. I see that we got to Rome on a Saturday, November 28th. The actual first entry next morning is, perhaps, worth keeping:—

“Nov. 29th, Sunday.—A great fuss about Pope officiating in the Sistine Chapel—Advent Sunday. Got into a crowd, and made myself very uncomfortable for nothing: no music worth hearing, a little mummerly with Pope and dirty cardinals. Outside and west façade of St. Peter’s certainly very fine: the inside
would make a nice ball-room, but is good for nothing else.”

“Nov. 30th.—Drove up to the Capitol—a filthy, melancholy-looking, rubbishy place; and down to the Forum, which is certainly a very good subject; and then a little further on, amongst quantities of bricks and rubbish, till I was quite sick.”

With disgust, I meant; but from December 20th to 25th I had a qualm of real fever, which it was a wonder came to no worse. On the 30th I am afoot again; thus:—

“I have been walking backwards and forwards on the Pincian, being unable to do anything else since this confounded illness, and trying to find out why every imaginable delight palls so very rapidly on even the keenest feelings. I had all Rome before me; towers, cupolas, cypresses, and palaces mingled in every possible grouping; a light Decemberish mist, mixed with the slightest vestige of wood smoke, hovering between the distances, and giving beautiful grey outlines of every form between the eye and the sun; and over the rich evergreen oaks of the Borghese gardens, a range of Apennine, with one principal pyramid of pure snow, like a piece of sudden comet-light fallen on the earth. It was not like moonlight, nor like sunlight, but as soft as the one, and as powerful as the other. And yet, with all this around me, I could not feel it. I was as tired of my walk, and as glad when I thought I had done duty, as ever on the Norwood road.”

46. There was a girl walking up and down with some children, her light cap prettily set on very well dressed hair: of whose country I had no doubt; long before I heard her complain to one of her charges, who was jabbering English as fast as the fountain tinkled on the other side of the road, “Qu’elle n’en comprenait pas un mot.” This girl after two or three turns sat down beside another
bonne. There they sate laughing and chattering, with the expression of perfect happiness on their faces, thinking no more of the Alpine heights behind them, or the city beneath them, than of Constantinople; while I, with every feeling raised, I should think to a great degree above theirs, was in a state of actually severe mental pain, because I could perceive materials of the highest pleasure around me, and felt the time hang heavy on my hands. Here is the pride, you perceive, good reader, and the sullens—*dum pituita molesta est*\(^1\)—both plain enough. But it is no lofty pride in which I say my “feelings” were raised above the French bonne’s. Very solemnly, I did not think myself a better creature than she, nor so good; but only I knew there was a link between far Soracte and me,—nay, even between unseen Voltur and me,—which was not between her and them;\(^2\) and meant a wider earthly, if not heavenly, horizon, under the birth-star.

47. Meantime, beneath the hill, my mother knitted, as quietly as if she had been at home, in the corner of the great Roman room in which she cared for nothing but the cleanliness, as distinguishing it from the accommodation of provincial inns; and the days turned, and it was time to think of the journey to Naples, before any of us were tired of Rome. And simple cousin Mary, whom I never condescended to ask for either sympathy or opinion, was really making better use of her Roman days than any of us. She was a sound, plain, musician; (having been finished by Moscheles\(^3\)); attended to the church orchestras carefully,

---

\(^1\) [Horace, *Epistles*, i. 1, 108.]

\(^2\) [Ruskin in his copy marked this passage as “needing note.” The meaning, of course, is not only that, looking out across the Campagna to Mount Soracte, he had the artistic perception to know that “its outline was good” (above, p. 272); but also that his feelings for the scene were akin to those of Byron—

> “All, save the lone Soracte’s height display’d,
> Not now in snow, which asks the lyric Roman’s aid
> For our remembrance.”

(*Childe Harold*, iv. 74; see Vol. IX. p. 86 n.); and of Horace (nurtured beneath far distant and unseen Voltur, *Odes*, iii. 4)—“Vides ut alta stet nive candidum Soracte”—(*Odes*, i. 9).]

\(^3\) [Ignaz Moscheles (1794–1870), Bohemian pianist; settled in London, 1826–1848.]
read her guide-books accurately, knew always where she was, and in her sincere religion, conquered her early Puritanism to the point of reverently visiting St. Paul’s grave and St. Cecilia’s house, and at last going up the Scala Santa on her knees, like any good girl of Rome.

48. So passed the days, till there was spring sunshine in the air as we climbed the Alban mount, and went down into the ravine under La Riccia, afterwards described in perhaps the oftenest quoted passage of *Modern Painters*.¹ The diary says: “A hollow with another village on the hill opposite, a most elegant and finished group of church tower and roof, descending by delicate upright sprigs* of tree into a dark rich-toned depth of ravine, out of which rose nearer, and clear against its shade, a grey wall of rock, an absolute miracle for blending of bright lichenous colour.”

With a few sentences more, to similar effect, and then a bit of Pontine marsh description, dwelling much on the moving points of the “black cattle, white gulls, black, bristly high-bred swine, and birds of all sorts, waders and dippers innumerable.” It is very interesting, at least to myself, to find how, so early as this, while I never drew anything but in pencil outline, I saw everything first in colour, as it ought to be seen.²

49. I must give room to the detail of the day from Mola to Naples, because it shows, to proof enough, the constant watchfulness upon which the statements in *Modern Painters* were afterwards founded, though neither that nor any other book had yet been dreamed of, and I wrote only to keep memory of things seen, for what good might come of the memory anyhow.

“*Naples, January 9th (1841).—Dressed yesterday at Mola by a window commanding a misty sunrise over

* I have substituted this word for a sketch like the end of a broom, which would convey no idea to anybody but myself.

¹ [See in this edition Vol. III. pp. 279, 280.]
² [Compare *Elements of Drawing*, § 5 (Vol. XV. p. 27).]
the sea—a grove of oranges sloping down to the beach, flushed with its light; Gaeta opposite, glittering along its promontory. Ran out to terrace at side of the house, a leaden bit of roof, with pots of orange and Indian fig. There was a range of Skiddaw-like mountains rising from the shore, the ravines just like those of Saddleback, or the west side of Skiddaw; the higher parts bright with fresh-fallen snow; the highest, misty with a touch of soft white, swift* cloud. Nearer, they softened into green, bare masses of hill, like Malvern, but with their tops covered with olives and lines of vine,—the village of Mola showing its white walls and level roofs above the olives, with a breath of blue smoke floating above them, and a long range of distant hills running out into the sea beyond. The air was fresh, and yet so pure and soft, and so full of perfume from the orange trees below the terrace, that it seemed more like an early summer morning than January. It got soon threatening, however, though the sun kept with us as we drove through the village;—confined streets, but bright and varied, down to the shore, and then under the slopes of the snowy precipice, now thoroughly dazzling with the risen sun, and between hedges of tall myrtle, into the plain of Garigliano. A heavy rain-cloud raced † us the ten miles, and stooped over us, stealing the blue sky inch by inch, till it had left only a strip of amber-blue ‡ behind the Apennines, the near hills thrown into deep dark

* Note the instant marking the pace of the cloud,—the work of “Cæli Enarrant”¹ having been begun practically years before this. See below also of the rain-cloud.

† This distinct approach, or chase, by rain-cloud is opposed, in my last lectures on sky, to the gathering of rain-cloud all through the air, under the influence of plague wind.²

‡ Palest transparent blue passing into gold.

¹ [That is, of the Studies of Cloud which Ruskin was at this time intending to collect from Modern Painters. Of Cæli Enarrant, however, only one Part was issued: see Vol. III. p. lxiii.]
² [See The Storm-Cloud, Vol. XXXIV. pp. 10 seq., 30 seq.]
purple shade, the snow behind them, first blazing—the only strong light in the picture—then in shade, dark against the pure sky; the grey above, warm and lurid—a little washed with rain in parts; below, a copse of willow coming against the dark purples, nearly pure Indian yellow, a little touched with red. Then came a lovely bit of aqueduct, with coats of shattered mosaic, the hills seen through its arches, and pieces of bright green meadow mixing with the yellow of the willows. At Capua, detained by a rascally Dogana,—we had one at Garigliano as well, howling beggars all about (Caffé del Giglio d’Oro), one ape of a creature clinging with its legs about another’s neck, and chopping its jaws with its fists. Hence a dead flat of vines hanging from elms, and road perfectly straight, and cut utterly up by a deluge of rain. I was quite tired as it grew dark, fragments of blue and amber sky showing through colossal thunder clouds, and two or three pure stars labouring among the dark masses. It lightened fast as we got into Naples, and we were stopped again, first by Dogana, and then at passport office, till I lost temper and patience, and could have cried like a girl, for I was quite wearied with the bad roads, and disappointed with the approach to Naples, and cold. I could not help wondering at this. How little could I have imagined, sitting in my home corner, yearning for a glance of the hill snow, or the orange leaf, that I should, at entering Naples, be as thoroughly out of humour as ever after a monotonous day in London. More so!*

1 [The following section, § 50, was substituted on revision for the subjoined passage in the first draft:—

“I find that we were back at Mola on 16th March, having spent the early spring half at Naples, the rest at Castellamare, Sorrento, and Amalfi, and got as far south as Paestum.

“I find my diary during this period made up for the most part of descriptions like the above—mixed with occasional Byronic references to my own unhappy destiny—(thus, on occasion of lunch among the cinders of Monte Somma, I find it remarked that the merry guides ‘little thought of the dark ashes my spirit was lying in!’) and with indignant snaps
50. For full ten years, since earliest geologic reading, I had thoroughly known the structure and present look of Vesuvius and Monte Somma; nor had *Friendship’s Offering* and *Forget-me-not*, in the days of the Bandit Leoni,¹ left me without useful notions of the Bay of Naples. But the beautiful forms of Monte St. Angelo and Capri were new to me, and the first feeling of being in the presence of the power and mystery of the under earth, unspeakably solemn; though Vesuvius was virtually in repose, and the slow changes in the heaped white cloud above the crater were only like those of a thunder cloud.

The first sight of the Alps had been to me as a direct revelation of the benevolent will in creation. Long since, in the volcanic powers of destruction, I had been taught by Homer, and further forced by my own reason, to see, if not the personality of an Evil Spirit, at all events the permitted symbol of evil, unredeemed; wholly distinct from the conditions of storm, or heat, or frost, on which the healthy courses of organic life depended. In the same literal way in which the snows and Alpine roses of Lauter-brunnen were visible Paradise, here, in the valley of ashes and throat of lava, were visible Hell. If thus in the natural, how else should it be in the spiritual world?

I had never yet read a line of Dante. From the moment when I knew the words,—

> “It now is evening there, where buried lies  
> The body in which I cast a shade, removed  
> To Naples from Brundusium’s wall,”²

not Naples only, but Italy, became for ever flushed with the sacred twilight of them. But even now, what pieces

¹ [See above, p. 180.]
² [*Purgatorio*, iii. 25. For another reference to the Tomb of Virgil, see Vol. XXV. p. 350 and n.]
I knew of Virgil, in that kind, became all at once true, when I saw the birdless lake;¹ for me also, the voice of it had teaching which was to be practically a warning law of future life:—

“Nec te
Nequidquam lucis Hecate præfecit Avernis.” ²

The legends became true,—began to come true, I should have said,—trains of thought now first rising which did not take clear current till forty years afterwards;³ and in this first trickling, sorrowful in disappointment. “There were such places then, and Sibyls did live in them!—but is this all?”

Frightful enough, yes, the spasmodic ground—the boiling sulphur lake—the Dog’s grotto⁴ with its floor a foot deep in poisoned air that could be stirred with the hand. Awful, but also for the Delphi of Italy, ignoble. And all that was fairest in the whole sweep of isle and sea, I saw, as was already my wont, with precise note of its faults.

51. The common English traveller, if he can gather a black bunch of grapes with his own fingers, and have his bottle of Falernian brought him by a girl with black eyes, asks no more of this world, nor the next; and declares Naples a Paradise. But I knew, from the first moment when my foot furrowed volcanic ashes, that no mountain form or colour could exist in perfection when everything was made of scoria, and that blue sea was to be little boasted if it broke on black sand. And I saw also, with really wise anger, the horror of neglect in the governing power, which Mr. Gladstone found, forsooth, in the Neapolitan prisons!⁵ but which neither he nor any other Englishman, so far as I know, except Byron⁶ and I, saw to have

¹ [For the legend of “Avernus” (the “birdless” lake), see Lucretius, vi. 740, and Æneid, vi. 239.]
² [Æneid, vi. 118.]
³ [See the chapter on the Sibyls in Ariadne Florentina, Vol. XXII. pp. 443–455.]
⁴ [For a description of the place, see Vol. XXV. p. 234.]
⁵ [Compare, below, p. 428; and see Vol. XVIII. p. 549.]
⁶ [See Childe Harold, canto iv.]
made the Apennines one prison wall, and all the modern life of Italy one captivity of shame and crime; alike against the honour of her ancestors, and the kindness of her God.

With these strong insights into the faults of others, there came also at Naples, I am thankful to say, some stroke of volcanic lightning on my own. The sense of the uselessness of all Naples and its gulph to me, in my then state of illness and gloom, was borne in upon me with reproach: the chrysalid envelope began to tear itself open here and there to some purpose, and I bade farewell to the last outlines of Monte St. Angelo as they faded in the south, with dim notions of bettering my ways in future.

52. At Mola di Gaeta we stopped a whole day that I might go back to draw the castle of Itri. It was hinted darkly to us that Itri was of no good repute; we disdained all imputations on such a lovely place, and drove back there for a day’s rambling. While I drew, my mother and Mary went at their own sweet wills up and down; Mary had by this time, at school and on the road, made herself mistress of syllables enough to express some sympathy with any contadina who wore a pretty cap, or carried a pretty baby; and, the appearance of English women being rare at Itri, the contadine were pleased, and everything that was amiable to mamma and Mary. I made an excellent sketch, and we returned in exultation to the orange-groves of Mola. We afterwards heard that the entire population of Itri consisted of banditti, and never troubled ourselves about banditti any more.

We stopped at Albano for the Sunday, and I went out in the morning for a walk through its ilex groves with my father and mother and Mary. For some time back, the little cough bringing blood had not troubled me, and I had been taking longer walks and otherwise counting

1 [Here reproduced; Plate XV.]
on comparative safety, when here suddenly, in the gentle
morning saunter through the shade, the cough came back—with
a little darker stain on the handkerchief than usual. I sat down on
a bank by the roadside, and my father's face was very grave.

We got quietly back to the inn, where he found some sort of
light carriole disposable, and set out, himself, to fetch the doctor
from Rome.

It has always been one of the great shadows of thought to me,
to fancy my father's feelings as he was driven that day those
eighteen miles across the Campagna.

Good Dr. Gloag comforted him, and returned with him. But
there was nothing new to be done, nor said. Such chance attack
was natural in the spring, he said, only I must be cautious for a
while. My mother never lost her courage for an instant. Next day
we went on to Rome, and it was the last time the cough ever
troubled me.

53. The weather was fine at Easter, and I saw the
Benediction, and sate in the open air of twilight opposite the
castle of St. Angelo, and saw the dome-lines kindle on St.
Peter's, and the castle veil the sky with flying fire.¹ Bearing with
me from that last sight in Rome many thoughts that ripened
slowly afterwards, chiefly convincing me how guiltily and
meanly dead the Protestant mind was to the whole meaning and
end of mediæval Church splendour; and how meanly and guiltily
dead the existing Catholic mind was, to the course by which to
reach the Italian soul, instead of its eyes.

Re-opening, but a few days since, the book which my Christ
Church official tutor, Walter Brown, recommended to me as the
most useful code of English religious wisdom, the *Natural
History of Enthusiasm*,² I chanced on this

¹ [For Easter illuminations at Rome, see Vol. I. p. 389 n.]
² [By Isaac Taylor; see Vol. X. p. 452, and compare Vol. XXXII. p. 122 n. Ruskin
quotes from p. 48 of the book, omitting a sentence where dots are now inserted.]
following passage, which I think must have been one of the first to startle the complacency of my Puritan creed. My since experience in theological writing furnishes me with no more terrific example of the absence alike of charity and understanding in the leading masters of that sect, beyond all others into which the Church has ever been divided:—

“If it be for a moment forgotten that in every bell, and bowl, and vest of the Romish service there is hid a device against the liberty and welfare of mankind, and that its gold, and pearls, and fine linen are the deckings of eternal ruin; and if this apparatus of worship be compared with the impurities and the cruelties of the old Polytheistic rites, great praise may seem due to its contrivers. . . . All the materials of poetic and scenic effect have been elaborated by the genius and taste of the Italian artists until a spectacle has been got up which leaves the most splendid shows of the ancient idol worship of Greece and Rome at a vast distance of inferiority.”

Yet I cannot distinctly remember being shocked, even at this passage, and I know there was much in the rest of the book that pleased me; but I had already the advantage over its author, and over all such authors, of knowing, when I saw them, sincere art from lying art, and happy faith from insolent dogmatism. I knew that the voices in the Trinita di Monte did not sing to deceive me; and that the kneeling multitude before the Pontiff were indeed bettered and strengthened by his benediction.

Although I had been able, weather favouring, to see the Easter ceremonies without danger, there was no sign, take all in all, of gain to my health from Roman winter. My own discouragement was great; and the first cautious journeyings back by Terni and Foligno were sad enough; the night at Terni very deeply so. For in the evening, when we came back from seeing the falls, the servant of a young Englishman asked to speak with us, saying that he was alone in charge of his master, who had been stopped there by sudden, he feared mortal, illness. Would my father come and see him? My father went, and found a beautifully featured Scottish youth of three or four and
twenty, indeed in the last day of decline. He died during the night, and we were of some use to the despairing servant afterwards. I forget now whether we ever knew who the youth was. I find his name in my diary, “Farquharson,” but no more.¹

As we drew northward, however, out of the volcanic country, I recovered heart; the enchanted world of Venice enlarging in front of me. I had only yet once seen her, and that six years ago, when still a child. That the fairy tale should come true now seemed wholly incredible, and the start from the gate of Padua in the morning,—Venice, asserted by people whom we could not but believe, to be really over there, on the horizon, in the sea! How to tell the feeling of it!

54. I have not yet fancied the reader’s answer to the first question proposed in outset of this chapter,—does he think me a fortunate or unfortunate youth?

As to preparation for the future world, terrestrial or celestial, or future self in either, there may be two opinions—two or three perhaps—on the matter. But, there is no question that, of absolute happiness, I had the share of about a quarter of a million of average people, all to myself. I say “people,” not “boys.” I don’t know what delight boys take in cricket, or boating, or throwing stones at birds, or learning to shoot them. But of average people in continuity of occupation, shopmen, clerks, Stock Exchange people, club and Pall Mall people, certainly there was no reckoning the quantity of happiness I had in comparison, followed indeed by times of reaction, or of puzzled satiety; and partly avenged by extremes of vexation at what vexed nobody else; but indisputably and infinitely

¹ [The first draft adds here:—

“From Terni, by Foligno, Perugia, and Arezzo, to Florence. I may perhaps give scraps of the descriptive diaries elsewhere. Altogether I am impressed by their coldness and apathy, as compared to what I feel now, in great part of course caused by my then total ignorance of the real beauty of architecture—but more by the chrysalid stupidity of that period of my life—compared to which my old age is really youth.”

For some of the “scraps” referred to, see now the Appendix; below, p. 617.]
precious in itself, every day complete at the end, as with Sydney Smith’s salad: “Fate cannot harm me; I have dined, to-day.”

55. The two chapters closing the first, and beginning the second volume of *The Stones of Venice* were written, I see on re-reading, in the melancholy experience of 1852, with honest effort to tell every traveller what was really to be seen. They do not attempt to recall my own joys of 1835 and 1841, when there was not even beginning of railway bridge; when everything, muddy Brenta, vulgar villa, dusty causeway, sandy beach, was equally rich in rapture, on the morning that brought us in sight of Venice: and the black knot of gondolas in the canal of Mestre, more beautiful to me than a sunrise full of clouds all scarlet and gold.

But again, how to tell of it? or even explain it to myself,—the English mind, high or common, being utterly without trace of the feeling. Sir Philip Sidney goes to Venice, and seems unconscious that it is in the sea at all. Elizabeth, Lady Craven, in 1789, “expected to see a gay clean-looking town, with quays on each side of the canals, but was extremely disappointed; the houses are in the water, and look dirty and uncomfortable on the outside; the innumerable quantity of gondolas too, that look like swimming coffins, added to the dismal scene, and, I confess,
Venice on my arrival struck me with horror rather than pleasure.  

After this, she goes to the Casini, and is happy. It does not appear she had ever read the Merchant or Othello; still less has Evelyn read them, though for him, as for Sidney, Othello’s and Antonio’s Venice was still all but living. My Venice, like Turner’s, had been chiefly created for us by Byron; but for me, there was also still the pure childish passion of pleasure in seeing boats float in clear water. The beginning of everything was in seeing the gondola-beak come actually inside the door at Danieli’s, when the tide was up, and the water two feet deep at the foot of the stairs; and then, all along the canal sides, actual marble walls rising out of the salt sea, with hosts of little brown crabs on them, and Titians inside.

56. Between May 6th and 16th I made notes on effects of light, afterwards greatly useful in Modern Painters; and two pencil drawings, Ca’ Contarini Fasan, and the Giant’s Staircase, of which, with two more made at Bologna in passing, and some half-dozen at Naples and Amalfi, I can

---

1 [Really in 1785 (November 18): see p. 93 of A Journey through the Crimea to Constantinople, in a Series of Letters from the Right Hon. Elizabeth, Lady Craven: 1789. Gibbon’s description of Venice (1765), given in Vol. X. p. xlix., may be compared.]
2 [For Evelyn’s visit to Venice, 1645–1646, see the Diary for June and July 1645.]
3 [Presumably, coloured notes in his sketch-book; but there are also descriptive notes in his diary.]
4 [These drawings are reproduced on Plate 2 in Vol. III. (p. 212), and Plate 2 in Vol. IV. (p. 40).]
5 [The drawing of Amalfi is here reproduced (Plate XVI.). The note on Amalfi in his diary is as follows:—

“(NAPLES, March 11.)—Saw no more of Amalfi than I sketched, but that was glorious. Far above all I ever hoped when I first leaped off the mule in the burning sun of the afternoon, with the light behind the mountains, the evening mist doubling their height. I never saw anything in its way at all comparable. Moonlight on the terrace before the inn. Very full of feeling, smooth sea and white convent above; with the keen shadows of the rocks far above, and the sea dashing all bright in my ears, low but impatiently and quick. I never heard waves follow each other so fast. They must have been very small, but sound swelling on the night air. Morning lovely again and quite mild. I sat very happily on the stone wall at the edge of the beach sketching till the sun got too intense for my eyes.”]
say, now forty years later, with certitude, that they could not have been much better done. I knew absolutely nothing of architecture proper, had never drawn a section nor a leaf moulding; but liked, as Turner did to the end of his days, anything that was graceful and rich, whether Gothic or Renaissance; was entirely certain and delicate in pencil-touch; and drew with an acuteness of delight in the thing as it actually stood, which makes the sketch living and like, from corner to corner. Thus much I could do, and did do, for the last time. Next year I began trying to do what I could not, and have gone on ever since, spending half of my days in that manner.

57. I find a sentence in diary on 8th May, which seems inconsistent with what I have said of the centres of my life work:1—

“Thank God I am here; it is the Paradise of cities.

* * * * * * * *

This, and Chamouni, are my two homes of Earth.”2

But then, I knew neither Rouen nor Pisa, though I had seen both. (Geneva, when I spoke of it with them, is meant to include Chamouni.) Venice I regard more and more as a vain temptation. The diary says (where the stars are):3 “There is moon enough to make half the sanities of the earth lunatic, striking its pure flashes of light on the grey water.”

From Venice, by Padua, where St. Antonio,—by Milan, where the Duomo,—were still faultless to me, and each a perfect bliss; to Turin—to Susa; my health still bettering in the sight of Alps, and what breeze came down from them—and over Cenis for the first time. I woke from a

1 [See i. § 180; above, p. 156.]
2 [The diary of 1841 shows that Ruskin wrote “homes,” not “bournes” (as hitherto printed).]
3 [The sense of this passage has hitherto been curiously destroyed by wrong punctuation. The full stop after “temptation” has been placed after “where the stars are”—thus, “... as a vain temptation—the diary says—where the stars are. ‘There is moon enough...’” But “the stars” refer to the asterisks in the text—the sentence “There is moon enough,” etc., being (as the diary shows) part of a longer passage which Ruskin omits.]
sound tired sleep in a little one-windowed room at Lans-le-bourg, at six of the summer morning, June 2nd, 1841; the red aiguilles on the north relieved against pure blue—the great pyramid of snow down the valley in one sheet of eastern light. I dressed in three minutes, ran down the village street, across the stream, and climbed the grassy slope on the south side of the valley, up to the first pines.

I had found my life again;—all the best of it. What good of religion, love, admiration or hope, had ever been taught me, or felt by my best nature, rekindled at once; and my line of work, both by my own will and the aid granted to it by fate in the future, determined for me. I went down thankfully to my father and mother, and told them I was sure I should get well.

As to my mere physical state, the doctors had been entirely mistaken about me. I wanted bracing air, exercise, and rest from all artificial excitement. The air of the Campagna was the worst they could have sent me into—the life of Rome the worst they could have chosen.

58. The three following diary entries, which meant much afterwards, may summarily end what I fear has been a tiresome chapter.

(I.) “GENEVA, June 5th.—Yesterday from Chambéry,—a fresh north wind blowing away the dust. Much pleased with the respectable young wife of a confectioner, at one of the mid-towns where I went to get some Savoy biscuits—and asked for ‘a pound.’ ‘Mais, Monsieur, une livre sera un peu—volumineuse! je vous en donnerai la moitié; vous verrez si cela vous suffira;’—‘Ah, Louise’ (to a little bright-eyed lady in the inner room, who was expressing her disapprobation of some of the affairs of life too loudly), ‘si tu n’es pas sage, tu vas savoir’—but so playfully and kindly! Got here on a lovely afternoon near sunset, and the

1 [“We live by admiration, hope, and love”: for Ruskin’s constant quotation of the line of Wordsworth, see the General Index.]
2 [For an additional passage which here follows in the MS., see the Appendix; below, p. 616.]
green bastions and bright Salève and rushing Rhone and far Jura, all so lovely that I was nearly vowing never to go into Italy again."

(II.) “June 6th.—Pouring rain all day, and slow extempore sermon from a weak-voiced young man in a white arched small chapel, with a braying organ and doggerel hymns. Several times, about the same hour on Sunday mornings, a fit of self-reproach has come upon me for my idling at present, and I have formed resolutions to be always trying to get knowledge of some kind or other, or bodily strength, or some real available, continuing good, rather than the mere amusement of the time. It came on me to-day very strongly, and I would give anything and everything to keep myself in the temper, for I always slip out of it next day.”

(III.) “Dec. 11th, 1842.—Very odd! Exactly the same fit came on me in the same church, next year, and was the origin of Turner’s work.”

---

1 [For a note on this entry, see below, p. 316. Among the MS. is a sheet, headed “Addenda,” which may be inserted here:—

“I was then twenty-one (born Feb. 8th, 1819), and it is worth while noting that a year or two afterwards, chancing to call with Dr. Acland on John Varley, the conversation falling on his favourite science of astrology, and we both laughing at it, he challenged either of us to give him the place and hour of our nativity, saying that, if either could, he could prove the truth of the science in ten minutes to him. I happened to be able to give mine, and in certainly not more than ten minutes, occupied in drawing the diagram of its sky, he fastened upon the three years of my past life when I was fourteen, eighteen, and twenty-one, as having been especially fatal to me.

“These were the years in which I first saw at Paris, secondly in London, staying with us in our Herne Hill house, and thirdly, lost by her marriage, the French girl to whom certain very foolish love-poems were written, which my least wise friends plague me now to reprint. But the three periods of crisis were only foci in the general mistake, mismanagement, and misfortune of all my education, mind and heart, precisely between those years from the age of fourteen to twenty-one, out of which, however, I have gained knowledge of the nature and results of various misconduct and absurdity, which are now a valuable property of their sort. The girl being once fairly married, and—which was of more importance—I beginning to feel a little how foolish and wicked I had been, I took myself up in returning from Italy over the Cenis in 1841, and finding breath and spirit suddenly stronger in a scorching morning at Lans-le-bourg, I date from that hour and place the beginning of my vital work and education.”

For the morning at Lans-le-bourg, June 2, 1841, see above, p. 296; and for another reference to Varley, p. 81 n.]
CHAPTER IV
FONTAINEBLEAU

59. We reached Rochester on the 29th of June, and a month was spent at home, considering what was to be done next. My own feeling, ever since the morning at Lans-le-bourg, was that, if only left free in mountain air, I should get well, fast enough. After debate with London doctors, it was thought best to give me my way; and, stipulating only that Richard Fall should go with me, papa and mamma sent me, early in August, on my first independent journey, into Wales.

But they desired me, on my way there, to stop at Leamington, and show myself to its dominant physician, Dr. Jephson—called a quack by all the Faculty, yet of whom they had heard favourably from wise friends.

Jephson was no quack; but a man of the highest general power, and keenest medical instincts. He had risen, by stubborn industry and acute observation, from an apothecary’s boy to be the first physician in Leamington; and was the first true physician I ever knew—nor since, till I knew Sir William Gull,1 have I met the match of him.

He examined me for ten minutes; then said, “Stay here, and I’ll put you to rights in six weeks.” I said I was not the least disposed to stay there, and was going into Wales, but would obey any directions and follow any prescriptions he chose to give me. No, he said, I must

1 [In 1882: see Vol. XXXIII. p. xxx.]
stayed, or he could do nothing for me. I thought this did look a little
like quackery, and accordingly made my bow, and proceeded on
my journey into Wales, after writing a full account of the
interview to my father.¹

60. At Pont-y-Monach lay for me a letter from him, bidding
me go back to Leamington at once, and place myself under
Jephson’s care. Richard therefore went on to Snowdon by
himself; and I, returning with what speed the mail could make,
presented myself to the doctor penitently. He sent me into tiny
lodgings near the Wells, where I spent six weeks of life
extremely new to me; much grumbled at in my diary,—not
unpleasant, now remembered.

Salt water from the Wells in the morning, and iron, visibly
glittering in deposit at bottom of glass, twice a day. Breakfast at
eight, with herb tea—dandelion, I think; dinner at one, supper at
six, both of meat, bread, and water, only;—fish, meat, or fowl, as
I chose, but only one dish of the meat chosen, and no vegetables
nor fruit. Walk, forenoon and afternoon, and early to bed. Such
the regimen suddenly enforced on my luxurious life.

To which discipline I submitted accurately: and found life
still worth having on these terms, and the renewed hope of its
continuance, extremely interesting.

61. Nor wanting in interest, the grotesquely prosaic position
itself. Here I was, in a small square brick lodging-house, number
what you like of its row, looking out on a bit of suburban
paddock, and a broken paling; mean litter everywhere about; the
muddy lingering of Leam, about three yards broad, at the other
side of the paddock; a ragged brambly bank at the other side of
it. Down the row, beginnings of poor people’s shops, then an
aristocratic grocer and mercer or two, the circulating library, and
the Pump Room.

After the Bay of Naples, Mount Aventine, and St.

¹ [For an additional passage which followed here in the MS., see the Appendix;
below, p. 620.]
Mark’s Place, it felt like the first practical scene of a pantomime, after the transformation, and before the business begins. But I had been extremely dull under Mount Aventine; and did not, to my surprise, feel at all disposed to be dull here,—but somewhat amused, and with a pleasant feeling of things being really at last all right, for me at least; though it wasn’t as grand as Peckwater, nor as pretty as St. Mark’s Place. Anyhow, I was down to Croydon level again in the world; and might do what I liked in my own lodgings, and hadn’t any Collections\(^1\) to get ready for.

62. The first thing I did was to go to the library and choose a book to work at. After due examination, I bought Agassiz’ *Poissons Fossiles*\(^2\) and set myself to counting of scales and learning of hard names,—thinking, as some people do still, that in that manner I might best advance in geology. Also I supplied myself with some Captain Marryat;\(^3\) and some beautiful new cakes of colour wherewith to finish a drawing, in Turner’s grandest manner, of the Château of Amboise at sunset, with the moon rising in the distance, and shining through a bridge.

The *Poissons Fossiles* turned out a most useful purchase, enabling me finally to perceive, after steady work on them, that Agassiz was a mere blockhead to have paid for all that good drawing of the nasty ugly things, and that it didn’t matter a stale herring to any mortal whether they had any names or not.

For any positive or useful purpose, I could not more utterly have wasted my time; but it was no small gain to know that time spent in that sort of work was wasted; and that to have caught a chub in the Avon, and learned how to cook it spicily and herbaceously, so as to have pleased Izaak Walton, if the odour of it could reach him in the Anglers’ Paradise, would have been a better result.

\(^1\) [See above, p. 193.]
\(^2\) [For particulars of this book, see *Cestus of Aglaia*, § 109 (Vol. XIX. p. 154 n.).]
\(^3\) [Compare above, pp. 103, 200.]
of six weeks’ study than to be able to count and call by their right names every scale stuck in the mud of the universe.

Also I got a wholesome perception, from that book, of the true relation between artists and scientific gentlemen. For I saw that the real genius concerned in the *Poissons Fossiles* was the lithographer’s, and not at all the scientific gentleman’s; and that the book ought to have been called after the lithographer, his fishes, only with their scales counted and called bad names by subservient Mons. Agassiz.

63. The second thing of specific meaning that went on in Leamington lodgings was the aforesaid highly laboured drawing of the Château of Amboise, “out of my head”; representing the castle as about seven hundred feet above the river, (it is perhaps eighty or ninety,) with sunset light on it, in imitation of Turner; and the moon rising behind it, in imitation of Turner; and some steps and balustrades (which are not there) going down to the river, in imitation of Turner; with the fretwork of St. Hubert’s Chapel done very carefully in my own way,—I thought perhaps a little better than Turner.

This drawing, and the poem of the “Broken Chain,” which it was to illustrate,¹ after being beautifully engraved by Goodall, turned out afterwards equally salutary exercises;

¹ [For the poem, see Vol. II. pp. 124–180. The original drawing, and Goodall’s engraving, are there both given: between pp. 170, 171. In the Introduction to that volume (pp. xlii, and xliii.) a letter from Ruskin, and other particulars with regard to E. Goodall’s engraving, are given. Some more may here be added from the MS. of *Præterita*:

“The drawing was engraved by Goodall as carefully as if it had been a Turner, and Mr. Goodall said of my touches on the progressive plate that nobody could have touched a plate in that manner but Turner. And there were not wanting friends who said they liked the drawing as well as Turner. And I was greatly set up on my fancy horse—blind of both eyes. Be it noted, however, that this was neither Mr. Goodall’s fault, nor flattery. He never said my drawing was as good as Turner’s, but he was really interested by the study I had given to line engraving, by my admiration of its skill, so little in general appreciated, and by my knowledge of its sources of effect. He was happy, at our final visit, in having my father’s praise and mine of his son’s drawings—afterwards the academicians.”]
proving to me that in those directions of imagination I was even a worse blockhead than Agassiz himself. Meantime, the autumn weather was fine, the corn was ripe, and once out of sight of the paddock, the pump room, and the Parade, the space of surrounding Warwickshire within afternoon walk was extremely impressive to me, in its English way. Warwick towers in sight over the near tree tops; Kenilworth, within an afternoon’s walk; Stratford, to be reached by an hour’s drive with a trotting pony; and, round them, as far as eye could reach, a space of perfect England, not hill and dale,—that might be anywhere,—but hill and flat, through which the streams linger, and where the canals wind without lock.

64. Under these peaceful conditions I began to look carefully at cornflowers, thistles, and hollyhocks; and find, by entry on Sept. 15th, that I was writing a bit of the King of the Golden River, and reading Alison’s Europe and Turner’s Chemistry.

Anent the King of the River,1 I remorsefully be think me no word has been said of the dawn and sunrise of Dickens on us; from the first syllable of him in the Sketches, altogether precious and admirable to my father and me; and the new number of Pickwick and following Nickleby looked to, through whatever laborious or tragic realities might be upon us, as unmixed bliss, for the next day. But Dickens taught us nothing with which we were not familiar,—only painted it perfectly for us. We knew quite as much about coachmen and hostlers as he did; and rather more about Yorkshire. As a caricaturist, both in the studied development of his own manner, and that of the illustrative etchings, he put himself out of the pale of great authors; so that he never became an educational element of my life, but only one of its chief comforts and restoratives.

The King of the Golden River was written to amuse

1 [See Vol. I. pp. 305–354.]
a little girl; and being a fairly good imitation of Grimm and Dickens, mixed with a little true Alpine feeling of my own, has been rightly pleasing to nice children, and good for them. But it is totally valueless, for all that. I can no more write a story than compose a picture.  

65. Jephson kept his word, and let me go in six weeks, with my health, he told me,—I doubt not, truly,—in my own hands. And indeed, if I had continued to live on mutton and iron, learned to swim in the sea which I loved, and set myself wholly upon my geology and poissons—vivants instead of fossiles,—Well, I suppose I should have been drowned like Charles, or lain, within a year or two,  

“on a glacier, half way up to heaven,  
Taking my final rest.”

What might have been, the mute Fates know. I myself know only, with certainty, what ought not to have been,—that, getting released from Leamington, I took again to brown potatoes and cherry-pie; instead of learning to swim and climb, continued writing pathetic verses, and at this particularly foolish crisis of life, as aforesaid, trying to paint twilight like Turner. I was not simpleton enough to think I could follow him in daylight, but I thought I could do something like his Kenilworth Castle at sunset, with the milkmaid and the moon.

66. I have passed without notice what the reader might suppose a principal event of my life,—the being introduced

---

1 [See Vol. I. p. xlviii.]
2 [Compare above, p. 120.]
3 [See above, p. 137.]
4 [Roger’s Italy (“Jorasse”): —

“Within a little month  
He lay among those awful solitudes,  
(‘Twas on a glacier—half-way up to Heaven)  
Taking his final rest.”]

5 [The later parts, for instance, of the “Broken Chain,” Vol. II. pp. 311 seq.]
6 [For mentions of this drawing by Turner, see Modern Painters, vol. i. (Vol. III. pp. 266 n., 423). Ruskin’s imitation was the “Castle of Amboise.”]
to him by Mr. Griffith,¹ at Norwood dinner, June 22nd, 1840. The diary says:—

“Introduced to-day to the man who beyond all doubt is the greatest of the age; greatest in every faculty of the imagination, in every branch of scenic* knowledge; at once the painter and poet of the day, J. M. W. Turner. Everybody had described him to me as coarse, boorish, unintellectual, vulgar. This I knew to be impossible. I found in him a somewhat eccentric, keen-mannered, matter-of-fact, English-minded—gentleman: good-natured evidently, bad-tempered evidently, hating humbug of all sorts, shrewd, perhaps a little selfish, highly intellectual, the powers of the mind not brought out with any delight in their manifestation, or intention of display, but flashing out occasionally in a word or a look.”

Pretty close, that, and full, to be seen at a first glimpse, and set down the same evening.²

67. Curiously, the drawing of Kenilworth was one of those that came out of Mr. Griffith’s folio after dinner; and I believe I must have talked some folly about it, as being “a leading one of the England series”; which would displease Turner greatly. There were few things he hated

* Meaning, I suppose, knowledge of what could rightly be represented or composed as a scene.

¹ [For whom, see above, p. 257.]
² [According to Dean Kitchin (who had the story from Bishop Creighton), Ruskin had previously met Turner at Oxford. The “story was told me,” wrote Creighton, “by old Ryman the printseller. He told me that Ruskin as an undergraduate used to frequent his shop, and sometimes would draw in his parlour from the prints. One day, while he was so engaged, Turner came into the shop on business. Ryman told him there was a young man drawing, and took him into the parlour. He looked over Ruskin’s shoulder, and said, ‘The young man draws very nicely.’ That was the first meeting of the two” (St. George, vol. iv., 1901, p. 29). Mr. Holman Hunt tells the same story, adding that “thus began the personal friendship between the two” (Pre-Raphaelitism and the Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood, 1905, vol. i. p. 323). One would like to accept the tale; but it seems incredible that Ruskin should not have remembered and recorded the incident, if it had really happened.]
more than hearing people gush about particular drawings. He knew it merely meant they could not see the others.\footnote{Compare Vol. VII. p. 434 \textit{n}.}

Anyhow, he stood silent; the general talk went on as if he had not been there. He wished me good-night kindly, and I did not see him again till I came back from Rome.

If he had but asked me to come and see him the next day! shown me a pencil sketch, and let me see him lay a wash! He would have saved me ten years of life, and would not have been less happy in the close of his own. One can only say, Such things are never to be; every soul of us has to do its fight with the Untoward, and for itself discover the Unseen.

68. So here I was at Leamington, trying to paint twilight at Amboise, and meditating over the \textit{Poissons Fossiles}, and Michael Angelo.\footnote{See for the \textit{Poissons Fossiles}, above, p. 301; and for Ruskin’s study of Michael Angelo at this time, below, p. 617.} Set free of the Parade, I went to stay a few days with my college tutor, Walter Brown,\footnote{See above, pp. 185, 200.} Rector now of Wendlebury, a village in the flats, eleven miles north of Oxford. Flats, not marshes: wholesome pastoral fields, separated by hedges; here and there a haystack, a gate, or a stile. The village consisted of twelve or fifteen thatched cottages, and the Rectory. The Rectory was a square house, with a garden fifty yards square. The church, close by, about four yards high by twenty yards long, had a square tower at the end, and a weather-cock.

Good Mr. Walter Brown had married an entirely worthy, very plain, somewhat middle-aged wife, and settled himself down, with all his scholarship and good gifts, to promote the spiritual welfare of Wendlebury. He interested himself entirely in that object; dug his garden himself; took a scholar or two to prepare for Oxford examinations, with whom in the mornings he read in the old way; studied

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item [1] Compare Vol. VII. p. 434 \textit{n.}
\item [2] See for the \textit{Poissons Fossiles}, above, p. 301; and for Ruskin’s study of Michael Angelo at this time, below, p. 617.
\item [3] See above, pp. 185, 200.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
the *Natural History of Enthusiasm*,\(^1\) and was perfectly happy and contented, to the end of his time.

69. Finding him proud of his little church and its weather-cock, I made a drawing of it for him,\(^2\) in my best manner, at sunset, with a moonrise behind. He objected a little to having the sky upside down, with the darkest blue at the bottom, to bring out the church; but somehow, everybody at this time had begun to believe in me, and think I knew more about drawing than other people: and the meekness with which Mr. Brown would listen to me lecturing on Michael Angelo, from a series of outlines of the Last Judgment which I had brought from Rome, with the muscles engraved all over the bodies like branch railroads, remains wholly phenomenal and mystic in my memory. Nobody is ever the least meek to me now, when I do know something about it.

But Mr. Brown and his wife were in all ways extremely kind to me, and seemed to like having me with them. It was perhaps only their politeness: I can neither fancy nor find anything in myself at this time which could have been pleasant to anybody, unless the mere wish to be pleasant, which I had always; seeking to say, so far as I could honestly, what would be agreeable to whomsoever I spoke to.

70. From Wendlebury I went home, and made final preparation, with Gordon’s help, for taking my degree in the spring. I find entry on Nov. 16th, 1841, at Herne Hill, “I have got my rooms in order at last; I shall set to work on my reading to-morrow, methodically, but not hard.” Setting my rooms in order has, throughout life, been an occasionally complacent recreation to me; but I have never succeeded in keeping them in order three days after they were in it.\(^3\)

On the day following comes this: “Mem., why is

---

\(^1\) [See above, § 53, p. 291. For Ruskin’s letters to Mr. Brown, see Vol. XXXVI.]

\(^2\) [Now, with another also of Wendlebury, in the possession of Mr. Brown’s daughters.]

\(^3\) [See the Introduction to Vol. XXXIII. p. xxiii.]
hoar-frost formed in larger crystals on the ribs and edges of leaves than in other places?” (on other parts of the leaf, I meant)—question which I had thought asked for the first time in my ice-study of ’79,¹ and which is not answered yet.

The entry next day is also worth copying:—

“Read the Clementina part of Sir Charles Grandison. I never met with anything which affected me so powerfully; at present I feel disposed to place this work above all other works of fiction I know. It is very, very grand; and has, I think, a greater practical effect on me for good than anything I ever read in my life.”²

I find my first lessons from Harding were also at this time; very delightful for what they were worth, though I saw well enough his shortcomings. But it was lovely to see him draw, in his own way, and up to a certain point. His knowledge of tree form was true, and entirely won for himself, with an honest original perception. Also, he was

² [The MS. here adds the following passage:—
“A loose entry or two from those days may be permitted—before I tear up the ill-written and mostly useless leaves.

“July 6th, ’41.—(Just after returning from Wendlebury.) Dined with Turner, Jones, and Nesfield at Griffith’s yesterday. Turner there is no mistaking for a moment—his keen eye and dry sentences can be the signs only of high intellect. Jones a fine, grey, quiet, Spectator-like ‘gentleman.’

“July 9th.—Croly, Stewart (John Stewart, a somewhat conceited old Scotch friend), Campbell (I forget who), and Harrison at dinner. Stewart, speaking of Lord Melbourne, said he was a man who always said what he thought. ‘Well, what is it?’ asked Croly.

“July 12.—Want to finish B. C.” (“Broken Chain”) “this week if I can.” (A blessed entry—it was the last poetry I ever wrote, under the impression of having any poetical power.)

“During the winter of 1841–1842 I find bits of notices of meetings of the Geological Society, one important on the 16th December, at which Dr. Buckland gave account of the traces of glaciers in the valleys of Wales, showing that almost every valley of the high region near Snowdon had evidences of them—and saying in conclusion he had stated facts only, and left the members to form their inferences. Dr. Fitten, who was sitting next me, whispered that he had fancied the Doctor had been giving them the inferences and leaving them to find out the facts. Such in general the reception of a new truth by that society has always been. I find my first lesson . . . .”]
a violent hater of the old Dutch school, and I imagine the first
who told me that they were “sots, gamblers, and debauchees,
delighting in the reality of the alehouse more than in its
pictures.”¹ All which was awakening and beneficial to no small
extent.

71. And so the year 1842 dawned for me, with many things
in its morning cloud. In the early spring of it, a change came over
Turner’s mind. He wanted to make some drawings to please
himself; but also to be paid for making them. He gave Mr.
Griffith fifteen sketches for choice of subject by any one who
would give him a commission. He got commissions for nine, of
which my father let me choose at first one, then was coaxed and
tricked into letting me have two. Turner got orders, out of all the
round world besides, for seven more. With the sketches, four
finished drawings were shown for samples of the sort of thing
Turner meant to make of them, and for immediate purchase by
anybody.²

Among them was the “Splügen,” which I had some hope of
obtaining by supplication, when my father, who was travelling,
came home. I waited dutifully till he should come. In the
meantime it was bought, with the loveliest Lake Lucerne, by Mr.
Munro of Novar.

72. The thing became to me grave matter for meditation. In a
story by Miss Edgeworth, the father would have come home in
the nick of time, effaced Mr. Munro as he hesitated with the
“Splügen” in his hand, and given the dutiful son that, and
another. I found, after meditation, that Miss Edgeworth’s way
was not the world’s, nor Providence’s. I perceived then, and
conclusively, that if you do a foolish thing, you suffer for it
exactly the same, whether you do it piously or not. I knew
perfectly well that this drawing was the best Swiss landscape yet
painted by man;

¹ [See J. D. Harding’s Principles and Practice of Art (1845), pp. 12, 21, 22, for his
criticism of the Dutch school. Ruskin, however, does not quote the exact words either of
Harding or of himself: for the latter, see such passages as Modern Painters, vol. iii. (Vol.
V. p. 64); Vol. XII. p. 161; Vol. XVIII. p. 436.]
² [For a fuller account of these transactions, see the Epilogue to Ruskin’s Turner
Notes of 1878: Vol. XIII. pp. 475–485.]
and that it was entirely proper for me to have it, and inexpedient that anybody else should. I ought to have secured it instantly, and begged my father’s pardon, tenderly. He would have been angry, and surprised, and grieved; but loved me none the less, found in the end I was right, and been entirely pleased. I should have been very uncomfortable and penitent for a while, but loved my father all the more for having hurt him, and, in the good of the thing itself, finally satisfied and triumphant. As it was, the “Splügen” was a thorn in both our sides, all our lives. My father was always trying to get it; Mr. Munro, aided by dealers, always raising the price on him, till it got up from 80 to 400 guineas. Then we gave it up,—with unspeakable wear and tear of best feelings on both sides.

73. And how about “Thou shalt not covet,” etc.? Good reader, if you ask this, please consult my philosophical works. Here, I can only tell you facts, whether of circumstance or law. It is a law that if you do a foolish thing you suffer for it, whatever your motive. I do not say the motive itself may not be rewarded or punished on its own merits. In this case, nothing but mischief, as far as I know, came of the whole matter.

In the meantime, bearing the disappointment as best I could, I rejoiced in the sight of the sketches, and the hope of the drawings that were to be. And they gave me much more to think of than my mischance. I saw that these sketches were straight impressions from nature,—not artificial designs, like the Carthages and Romes. And it began to occur to me that perhaps even in the artifice of Turner there might be more truth than I had understood. I was by this time very learned in his principles of composition; but it seemed to me that in these later subjects Nature herself was composing with him.

1 [For the ultimate gift of the drawing to Ruskin by his friends, see Vol. XIII. p. 487.]
2 [For disquisitions on avarice and covetousness, envious and innocent, see Fors Clavigera, Letter 62 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 518 seq.), and the other passages there referred to (at p. 518, n. 7).]
Considering of these matters, one day on the road to Norwood, I noticed a bit of ivy round a thorn stem, which seemed, even to my critical judgment, not ill “composed”; and proceeded to make a light and shade pencil study of it in my grey paper pocket-book, carefully, as if it had been a bit of sculpture, liking it more and more as I drew. When it was done, I saw that I had virtually lost all my time since I was twelve years old, because no one had ever told me to draw what was really there! All my time, I mean, given to drawing as an art; of course I had the records of places, but had never seen the beauty of anything, not even of a stone—how much less of a leaf!

I was neither so crushed nor so elated by the discovery as I ought to have been, but it ended the chrysalid days. Thenceforward my advance was steady, however slow.

74. This must have been in May, and a week or two afterwards I went up for my degree, but find no entry of it. I only went up for a pass, and still wrote Latin so badly that there was a chance of my not passing! but the examiners forgave it because the divinity, philosophy, and mathematics were all above the average; and they gave me a complimentary double-fourth.¹

When I was sure I had got through, I went out for a walk in the fields north of New College, (since turned into the Parks,) happy in the sense of recovered freedom, but extremely doubtful to what use I should put it. There I was, at two and twenty, with such and such powers, all second-rate except the analytic ones, which were as much in embryo as the rest, and which I had no means of measuring; such and such likings, hitherto indulged rather

¹ [“Ruskin,” says Dean Kitchin (speaking from long and intimate experience of Oxford examinations), “is a wonderful example of the ennoblement of Pass work by a strong and ready intelligence. In my time I have known three men on whom the old Pass education really had excellent effects: Lord Salisbury, Lord Dufferin, and Ruskin. They all brought to it a generosity of mind and breadth of experience which raised them above the work they had to do. Ruskin at the end showed so much work and brilliancy in his final examination, that he was placed in the Class List on his Pass work; his name appears as a Double Fourth Class-man, that is, an Honorary Class-man in both Classics and Mathematics. It was a very rare distinction” (Ruskin in Oxford and Other Studies, pp. 30–31).]
against conscience; and a dim sense of duty to myself, my parents, and a daily more vague shadow of Eternal Law.

What should I be, or do? my utterly indulgent father ready to let me do anything; with my room always luxuriously furnished in his house,—my expenses paid if I chose to travel. I was not heartless enough, yet, to choose to do that, alone. Perhaps it may deserve some dim praise that I never seriously thought of leaving my father and mother to explore foreign countries; and certainly the fear of grieving them was intermingled more or less with all my thoughts; but then, I did not much want to explore foreign countries. I had not the least love of adventure, but liked to have comfortable rooms always ordered, and a three-course dinner ready by four o’clock. Although no coward under circumstances of accidental danger, I extremely objected to any vestige of danger as a continuous element in one’s life. I would not go to India for fear of tigers, nor to Russia for fear of bears, nor to Peru for fear of earthquakes; and finally, though I had no rightly glowing or grateful affection for either father or mother, yet as they could not well do without me, so also I found I was not altogether comfortable without them.

So for the present, we planned a summer-time in Switzerland, not of travelling, but chiefly stay in Chamouni, to give me mountain air, and the long coveted power of examining the Mont Blanc rocks accurately. My mother loved Chamouni nearly as much as I; but this plan was of severe self-denial to my father, who did not like snow, nor wooden-walled rooms.

But he gave up all his own likings for me, and let me plan the stages through France as I chose, by Rouen, Chartres, Fontainebleau, and Auxerre.¹ A pencil-sketch or

¹ [Of this tour no diary was written (see § 78). The first draft of this portion of Præterita adds an interesting detail:—

“I spent a week in Somerset House drawing a geological map of the line on a large scale from the maps of the Geological Society, and we started with some of the gladness of old days.”]
two at first show only want of faith in my old manner, and more
endeavour for light and shade, futile enough. The flat
cross-country between Chartres and Fontainebleau, with an
oppressive sense of Paris to the north, fretted me wickedly; when
we got to the Fountain of Fair Water\(^1\) I lay feverishly wakeful
through the night, and was so heavy and ill in the morning that I
could not safely travel, and fancied some bad sickness was
coming on. However, towards twelve o’clock the inn people
brought me a little basket of wild strawberries; and they
refreshed me, and I put my sketch-book in pocket and tottered
out, though still in an extremely languid and woe-begone
condition; and getting into a cart-road among some young trees,
where there was nothing to see but the blue sky through thin
branches, lay down on the bank by the roadside to see if I could
sleep. But I couldn’t, and the branches against the blue sky
began to interest me, motionless as the branches of a tree of Jesse
on a painted window.

Feeling gradually somewhat livelier, and that I wasn’t going
to die this time, and be buried in the sand, though I couldn’t for
the present walk any farther, I took out my book, and began to
draw a little aspen tree, on the other side of the cart-road,
carefully.

76. How I had managed to get into that utterly dull cart-road,
when there were sandstone rocks to be sought for, the Fates, as I
have so often to observe,\(^2\) only know; but I was never fortunate
enough to find at Fontainebleau any of the sublimities which I
hear vaunted by French artists, and which disturbed poor
Evelyn’s mind nearly as much as the “horrid Alp” of Clifton:\(^3\)—

\(^1\) The “Fontaine de Belle Eau,” formerly in the gardens of the Palace, is supposed to
have given its name to the place (see § 77); the source has been lost in forming artificial
ponds.

\(^2\) See, e. g., pp. 224 n., 304.\

\(^3\) See above, ii. § 2 (p. 244).
hideous rocks of whitish hard stone, heaped one on another in mountainous heights, that I think the like is nowhere to be found more horrid and solitary. On the summit of one of these gloomy precipices, intermingled with trees and shrubs, the stones hanging over and menacing ruin, is built an hermitage."

I believe this passage to be accurately characteristic of the pure English mind about rocks. If they are only big enough to look as if they would break your head if they fell on it, it is all an Englishman asks, or can understand, of them. The modern thirst for self-glorification in getting to the top of them is indeed often accompanied with good interest in geographical and other science; and nice boys and girls do enjoy their climbing, and lunching in fields of primula. But I never trace a word in one of their journals of sorrow for the destruction of any Swiss scene or Swiss character, so only that they have their own champagne at lunch.

77. The “hideous rocks” of Fontainebleau were, I grieve to say, never hideous enough to please me. They always seemed to me no bigger than I could pack and send home for specimens, had they been worth carriage; and in my savage dislike of palaces and straight gravel walks, I never found out the spring which was the soul of the place. And to-day, I missed rocks, palace, and fountain all alike, and found myself lying on the bank of a cart-road in the sand, with no prospect whatever but that small aspen tree against the blue sky.

Languidly, but not idly, I began to draw it; and as I drew, the languor passed away: the beautiful lines insisted on being traced,—without weariness. More and more beautiful they became, as each rose out of the rest, and took its place in the air. With wonder increasing every instant, I saw that they “composed” themselves, by finer laws than any known of men. At last, the tree was there, and everything that I had thought before about trees, nowhere.

1 [Compare A Lecture on Landscape, § 24: Vol. XXXIII. p. 535.]
2 [For references to passages on Alpine climbing, see Vol. XVI. p. 138 n.]
IV. FONTAINEBLEAU

The Norwood ivy had not abased me in that final manner, because one had always felt that ivy was an ornamental creature, and expected it to behave prettily, on occasion. But that all the trees of the wood (for I saw surely that my little aspen was only one of their millions) should be beautiful—more than Gothic tracery, more than Greek vase-imagery, more than the daintiest embroiderers of the East could embroider, or the artfullest painters of the West could limn,—this was indeed an end to all former thoughts with me, an insight into a new silvan world.

Not silvan only. The woods, which I had only looked on as wilderness, fulfilled I then saw, in their beauty, the same laws which guided the clouds, divided the light, and balanced the wave. “He hath made everything beautiful, in his time,”¹ became for me thenceforward the interpretation of the bond between the human mind and all visible things; and I returned along the wood-road feeling that it had led me far;—Farther than ever fancy had reached, or theodolite measured.

78. To my sorrow, and extreme surprise, I find no diary whatever of the feelings or discoveries of this year. They were too many, and bewildering, to be written. I did not even draw much,²—the things I now saw were beyond drawing,—but took to careful botany, while the month’s time set apart for the rocks of Chamouni was spent in merely finding out what was to be done, and where. By the chance of guide dispensation, I had only one of the average standard, Michel Devouassoud, who knew his way to the show places, and little more;³ but I got the fresh air and the climbing; and thought over my Fontainebleau

¹ [Ecclesiastes iii. 11. It is interesting to note that Ruskin’s study at Fontainebleau, to which he attaches so much importance in the history of his theory and practice of art, nearly coincides approximately in time with the foundation in the same region of the Barbizon School.]

² [Several drawings of 1842 are, however, reproduced in this edition: see, e.g., in this volume, Plates XVII. and XX. (pp. 316, 328).]

³ [He was, however, connected with some of the earlier ascents of Mont Blanc: see The Annals of Mont Blanc, by C. E. Mathews, p. 150.]


thoughts, by sweeter springs. The entry above quoted (p. 298) of Dec. 11th,¹ the only one I can find of all the year’s journeying, is very notable to me, in showing that the impulse which threw the new thoughts into the form of *Modern Painters*, came to me in the fulfilment of the one disagreeable duty I persisted in,—going to church! But it came to me, two years following, in my true mother-town of Geneva.

We went home in 1842 by the Rhine and Flanders: and at Cologne and St. Quentin I made the last drawings ever executed in my old manner. That of the great square at Cologne was given to Osborne Gordon, and remains I believe with his sister, Mrs. Pritchard.² The St. Quentin has vanished into space.

79. We returned once more to the house at Herne Hill, and the lovely drawings Turner had made for me, “Ehrenbreitstein” and “Lucerne,”³ were first hung in its little front dining-room. But the Herne Hill days, and many joys with them, were now ended.

Perhaps my mother had sometimes—at Hampton Court, or Chatsworth, or Isola-Bella—admitted into her quiet soul the idea that it might be nice to have a larger garden. Sometimes a gold-tasselled Oxford friend would come out from Cavendish or Grosvenor Square to see me; and there was only the little back room opposite the nursery for him to wash his hands in. As his bank-balance enlarged, even my father thought it possible that his country customers might be more impressed by enjoying their after-dinner

¹ [The entry of “Dec. 11” does not actually belong, it should be understood, to “the journeyings of 1842,” but was written in at Herne Hill when Ruskin was already deep in “Turner’s work,” *i. e.*, the first volume of *Modern Painters*. The order of events is this:—(1) in church at Geneva, July 11, 1841, an impulse to be up and doing (p. 298); (2) a similar impulse in the same place in the summer of 1842. (3) This impulse, received in two successive years, led to *Modern Painters* being commenced on his return home in the autumn of 1842; see the entries in his diary given in Vol. III. pp. xxix., xxx.]

² [Here reproduced: Plate XVII.]

³ [The “Ehrenbreitstein” (or “Coblentz”) was No. 62 in Ruskin’s Exhibition of 1878: see Vol. XIII. pp. 454, 599. For the “Lucerne” (afterwards sold by Ruskin), see *ibid.*, p. 602.]
The Square at Cologne
1842.
From the drawing in the collection of W. Pritchard Gorden, Esq.
sherry with more room for their legs. And, now that I was of age and B.A. and so on—did not I also want a larger house?

No, good reader; but ever since first I could drive a spade, I had wanted to dig a canal, and make locks on it, like Harry in *Harry and Lucy*.¹ And in the field at the back of the Denmark Hill house, now, in this hour of all our weaknesses, offered in temptation, I saw my way to a canal with any number of locks down towards Dulwich.²

It is very wonderful to me, looking back, to remember this, and how entirely boyish—and very young-boyish, too—I was still, in all instincts of personal delight: while yet, looking out of myself, I saw farther than Kings of Naples or Cardinals of Rome.

80. Yet there was much, and very closely balanced, debate, before the house was taken. My mother wisely, though sadly, said it was too late for her; she could not now manage a large garden: and my father, feeling his vanity had more than a word in the matter, besides all that might rightly be alleged of what was now convenient and becoming, hesitated painfully, as he had done about his first Copley Fielding.

But at last the lease of the larger house was bought: and everybody said how wise and proper; and my mother *did* like arranging the rows of pots in the big greenhouse; and the view from the breakfast-room into the field was really very lovely. And we bought three cows, and skimmed our own cream, and churned our own butter. And there was a stable, and a farmyard, and a haystack, and a pigstye,

¹ [See vol. iii. pp. 20 seq. of *Harry and Lucy* Concluded; being the Last Part of Early Lessons, 1825.]
² [For the house, see Plate XXVII. (p. 380); for the field, Plate XXVIII. (p. 402). In the following sentence, the reference is more particularly to Ruskin’s plans and thoughts in regard to “The Streams of Italy”: see Vol. XVII. Ruskin’s interest in such matters never left him: see the account of his stream at Brantwood, Vol. XXV. p. xxxvii.; the note on his water-supply at Fulking, Vol. XXXIV. p. 719. Mr. Wedderburn recalls a visit to Brantwood, when “we went to Langdale, where there are water-works, with sluices occasionally opened, found out the day, and went over to the Inn there for a night, so as to get up early and see the water come down.”]
and a porter’s lodge, where undesirable visitors could be stopped before startling us with a knock. But, for all these things, we never were so happy again. Never any more “at home.”

81. At Champagnole, yes; and in Chamouni,—in La Cloche, at Dijon,—in Le Cygne, at Lucerne. All these places were of the old time. But though we had many happy days in the Denmark Hill house, none of our new ways ever were the same to us as the old: the basketfuls of peaches had not the flavour of the numbered dozen or score; nor were all the apples of the great orchard worth a single dishful of the Siberian crabs of Herne Hill.

And I never got my canal dug, after all! Harry’s making the lock-gates himself had indeed always seemed to me too magnificent! inimitable if not incredible: but also, I had never, till now that the need came, entered into the statistics of water supply. The gardeners wanted all that was in the butts for the greenhouse. Nothing but a dry ditch, incommodious to the cows, I saw to be possible, and resigned myself to destiny: yet the bewitching idea never went out of my head, and some water-works, on the model of Fontainebleau, were verily set aflowing—twenty years afterwards, as will be told.*

82. The next year, there was travelling enough for us up and down the new garden walks. Also, the first volume of Modern Painters took the best of the winter’s leisure: the summer was broken by some formal term-keeping at Oxford. There is nothing in diary worth noting, except a word about Camberwell church window, to which I must return in connection with things yet far ahead.¹

The said first volume must have been out by my father’s birthday; its success was assured by the end of the year, and on January 1st, 1844, “my father brought me in the ‘Slaver’ for a New Year’s gift,”—knowing well, this time,

* See “Joanna’s Care” [below, p. 560].

¹ [See below, pp. 382–383.]
how to please me. I had it at the foot of my bed next morning, like my own “Loch Aboyne” of old.¹ But the pleasure of one’s own first painting everybody can understand. The pleasure of a new Turner to me, nobody ever will, and it’s no use talking of it.

For the second volume, (not meant to be the least like what it is,) I wanted more Chamouni. The journey of 1844 was planned entirely for central Alps, and on June 1st, 1844, we were happy by Lake Leman shore, again.²

¹ [See above, p. 216.]
² [For particulars of the winter 1842–1843, when the first volume of Modern Painters was being written, see Vol. III. pp. xxix.–xxx.; for its publication and reception, ibid., pp. xxxi.–xl.; for Ruskin’s movements in 1843, and in the early part of 1844 (when he was preparing a second edition of the volume), ibid., p. xlv.]
CHAPTER V

THE SIMPLO

83. More and more deeply every hour, in retracing Alpine paths,—by my fireside,—the wonder grows on me, what Heaven made the Alps for, and gave the chamois its foot, and the gentian its blue,—yet gave no one the heart to love them. And in the Alps, why especially that mighty central pass was so divinely planned, yet no one to pass it but against their wills, till Napoleon came, and made a road over it.

Nor often, since, with any joy; though in truth there is no other such piece of beauty and power, full of human interest of the most strangely varied kind, in all the mountain scenery of the globe, as that traverse, with its two terminal cities, Geneva and Milan; its two lovely lakes of approach, Leman and Maggiore; its two tremendous valleys of vestibule, the Valais and Val d’Ossola; and its own, not desolate nor terrible, but wholly beautiful, upper region of rose and snow.

Of my early joy in Milan, I have already told;¹ of Geneva, there is no telling, though I must now give what poor picture I may of the days we spent there, happy to young and old alike, again and again, in ’33, ’35, ’42, and now, with full deliberation, in ’44, knowing, and, in their repetitions twice, and thrice, and four times, magnifying, the well-remembered joys. And still I am more thankful, through every year of added life, that I was born in London, near enough to Geneva for me to reach it easily;—and yet a city so contrary to everything Genevoise as

¹ [See i. § 136; above, p. 117.]
V. THE SIMPLON

84. A little canton, four miles square, and which did not wish to be six miles square! A little town, composed of a cluster of water-mills, a street of penthouses, two wooden bridges, two dozen of stone houses on a little hill, and three or four perpendicular lanes up and down the hill. The four miles of acreage round, in grass, with modest gardens, and farm-dwelling houses; the people, pious, learned, and busy, to a man, to a woman—to a boy, to a girl, of them; progressing to and fro mostly on their feet, and only where they had business. And this bird’s-nest of a place, to be the centre of religious and social thought, and of physical beauty, to all living Europe! That is to say, thinking and designing Europe,—France, Germany, and Italy. They, and their pieties, and their prides, their arts and their insanities, their wraths and slaughters, springing and flowering, building and fortifying, foaming and thundering round this inconceivable point of patience: the most lovely spot, and the most notable, without any possible dispute, of the European universe; yet the nations do not covet it, do not gravitate to it,—what is more wonderful, do not make a wilderness of it. They fight their battles at Chalons and Leipsic; they build their cotton mills on the Aire, and leave the Rhone running with a million of Aire power,—all pure. They build their pleasure houses on Thames shingle, and Seine mud, to look across to Lambeth, and—whatever is on the other side of the Seine. They found their military powers in the sand of Berlin, and leave this precipice-guarded plain in peace. And yet it rules them,—is the focus of thought to them, and of passion, of science, and of contrat social: of rational conduct, and of decent—and other—manners. Saussure’s school and Calvin’s,—Rousseau’s and Byron’s,—

And of course, I was going to say, mine; but I didn’t write all that last page to end so. Yet Geneva had better
have ended with educating me and the likes of me, instead of the people who have hold of it now, with their polypous knots of houses, communal with “London, Paris, and New York.”

Beneath which, and on the esplanades of the modern casino, New York and London now live—no more the Genevese. What their home once was, I must try to tell, as I saw it.

85. First, it was a notable town for keeping all its poor,—inside of it. In the very centre, where an English town has its biggest square, and its Exchange on the model of the Parthenon, built for the sake of the builder’s commission on the cost; there, on their little pile-propped island, and by the steep lane-sides, lived the Genevoise poor; in their garrets,—their laborious upper spinning or watch-wheel cutting rooms,—their dark niches and angles of lane: mostly busy; the infirm and old all seen to and cared for, their porringer filled and their pallet-beds made, by household care.

But, outside the ramparts, no more poor. A sputter, perhaps, southward, along the Savoy road; but in all the champaign round, no mean rows of cubic lodgings with Doric porches; no squalid fields of mud and thistles; no deserts of abandoned brickfield and insolvent kitchen garden. On the instant, outside Geneva gates, perfectly smooth, clean, trim-hedged or prim-walled country roads; the main broad one intent on far-away things, its signal-posts inscribed “Route de Paris”; branching from it, right and left, a labyrinth of equally well-kept ways for fine carriage wheels, between the gentlemen’s houses with their farms; each having its own fifteen to twenty to fifty acres of mostly meadow, rich-waving always (in my time for being there) with grass and flowers, like a kaleidoscope. Stately plane trees, aspen and walnut,—sometimes in avenue,—casting breezy, never gloomy, shade round the dwelling-house. A dwelling-house
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indeed, all the year round; no travelling from it to fairer lands possible; no shutting up for seasons in town; hay-time and fruit-time, school-time and play, for generation after generation, within the cheerful white domicile with its green shutters and shingle roof,—pinnacled perhaps, humorously, at the corners, glittering on the edges with silvery tin. “Kept up” the whole place, and all the neighbours’ places, not ostentatiously, but perfectly: enough gardeners to mow, enough vintagers to press, enough nurses to nurse; no foxes to hunt, no birds to shoot; but every household felicity possible to prudence and honour, felt and fulfilled from infancy to age.

86. Where the grounds came down to the waterside, they were mostly built out into it, till the water was four or five feet deep, lapping up, or lashing, under breeze; against the terrace wall. Not much boating; fancy wherries, unmanageable, or too adventurous, upon the wild blue; and Swiss boating a serious market and trade business, unfashionable in the high rural empyrean of Geneva. But between the Hôtel des Étrangers, (one of these country-houses open to the polite stranger, some half-mile out of the gates, where Salvador took us in ’33 and ’35) and the town, there were one or two landing-places for the raft-like flat feluccas; and glimpses of the open lake and things beyond,—glimpses only, shut off quickly by garden walls, until one came to the inlet of lake-water moat which bent itself under the ramparts back to the city gate. This was crossed, for people afoot who did not like going round to that main gate, by the delicatest of filiform suspension bridges; strong enough it looked to carry a couple of lovers over in safety, or a nursemaid and children, but nothing heavier. One was allowed to cross it for a centime, which seemed to me always a most profitable transaction, the portress receiving placidly a sort of dirty flattened sixpence, (I forget its name) and returning me a

1 [The courier: see above, pp. 84, 111, 112.]
waistcoat-pocketful of the loveliest little clean-struck centimes; and then one might stand on the bridge any time, in perfect quiet. (The Genevese didn’t like paying the centime, and went round by the gate.) Two swans, drifting about underneath, over a couple of fathoms of purest green water, and the lake really opening from the moat, exactly where the Chamouni range of aiguilles rose beyond it far away. In our town walks we used always to time getting back to the little bridge at sunset, there to wait and watch.

87. That was the way of things on the north side; on the south, the town is still, in the main buildings of it, as then; the group of officially aristocratic houses round the cathedral and college presenting the same inaccessible sort of family dignity that they do to-day; only, since then, the Geneva Liberals——Well, I will not say what they have done; the main town stands still on its height of pebble-gravel, knit almost into rock; and still the upper terraces look across the variously mischievous Liberal works to the open southern country, rising in steady slope of garden, orchard, and vineyard——sprinkled with pretty farm-houses and bits of chateau, like a sea-shore with shells; rising always steeper and steeper, till the air gets rosy in the distance, then blue, and the great walnut-trees have become dots, and the farmsteads, minikin as if they were the fairy-finest of models made to be packed in a box; and then, instant——above vineyard, above farmstead, above field and wood, leaps up the Salève cliff, two thousand feet into the air.

88. I don’t think anybody who goes to Geneva ever sees the Salève.¹ For the most part, no English creature ever does see farther than over the way; and the Salève, unless you carefully peer into it, and make out what it is, pretends to be nothing,—a long, low swell like the South Downs, I fancy most people take it for, and look no more.

¹ [For a note on the drawing here reproduced (Plate XIX.), see above, p. lxxix. Ruskin knew the mountain well: see Vol. XVII. p. liv., Vol. XXVI. p. 6.]
The Salève from Geneva.
Yet there are few rocks in the high Alps more awful than the “Angle” of the Salève, at its foot—seven Shakespeare’s Cliffs set one on the top of another, and all of marble.*

On the other side of the high town the houses stand closer, leaving yet space for a little sycamore-shaded walk, whence one looks down on the whole southern reach of lake, opening wide to the horizon, and edged there like the sea, but in the summer sunshine looking as if it was the one well of blue which the sunbeams drank to make the sky of. Beyond it, ghostly ranges of incredible mountains—the Dent d’Oche, and first cliffs towards Fribourg; to the west, the long wave of Jura, fading into the air above Neuchatel.

That was the view for full noon, when the lake was brightest and bluest. Then you fell down a perpendicular lane into the lower town again, and you went to Mr. Bautte’s.

89. Virtually there was no other jeweller in Geneva, in the great times. There were some respectable, uncompetitive shops, not dazzling, in the main street; and smaller ones, with an average supply of miniature watches, that would go well for ten years; and uncostly, but honest, trinketry. But one went to Mr. Bautte’s with awe, and of necessity, as one did to one’s bankers. There was scarcely any external sign of Bautte whatever—a small brass plate at the side of a narrow arched door, into an alley—into a secluded alley—leading into a monastic courtyard, out of which—or rather out of the alley, where it opened to the court, you ascended a winding stair, wide enough for two only, and came to a green door, swinging, at the top of it; and there you paused to summon courage to enter.

A not large room, with a single counter at the further side. Nothing shown on the counter. Two confidential attendants behind it, and—it might possibly be Mr. Bautte!—

* Not Parian, indeed, nor Carrara, but an extremely compact limestone, in which the compressed faulted veins are of marble indeed, and polish beautifully.
or his son—or his partner—or anyhow the Ruling power—at his desk beside the back window. You told what you wanted: it was necessary to know your mind, and to be sure you did want it; there was no showing of things for temptation at Bautte’s. You wanted a bracelet, a brooch, a watch—plain or enamelled. Choice of what was wanted was quietly given. There were no big stones, nor blinding galaxies of wealth. Entirely sound workmanship in the purest gold that could be worked; fine enamel for the most part, for colour, rather than jewels; and a certain Bauttesque subtlety of linked and wreathed design, which the experienced eye recognized when worn in Paris or London. Absolutely just and moderate price; wear,—to the end of your days. You came away with a sense of duty fulfilled, of treasure possessed, and of a new foundation to the respectability of your family.

You returned into the light of the open street with a blissful sense of a parcel being made up to be sent after you, and in the consequently calm expatiation of mind, went usually to watch the Rhone.

Bautte’s was in the main street, out of which one caught glimpses, down the short cross ones, of the passing water; as at Sandgate, or the like fishing towns, one got peeps of the sea. With twenty steps you were beside it.

90. For all other rivers there is a surface, and an underneath, and a vaguely displeasing idea of the bottom. But the Rhone flows like one lambent jewel; its surface is nowhere, its ethereal self is everywhere, the iridescent rush and translucent strength of it blue to the shore, and radiant to the depth.

Fifteen feet thick, of not flowing, but flying water; not water, neither,—melted glacier, rather, one should call it; the force of the ice is with it, and the wreathing of the clouds, the gladness of the sky, and the continuance of Time.

Waves of clear sea are, indeed, lovely to watch, but they are always coming or gone, never in any taken shape
V

The Sunken

The innocent way, 60, in which the river used to stop to
look into every little corner! Great torrents always seem
so angry, and great rivers too often sullen; but
there is no anger — no disdain, in the Rhone. It seemed
as if the mountain stream was in sweet bliss at recovering
itself again out of the lake-shore, and roused because
it repose in racing; — fair yet to return and stay.

There were pieces of wave that danced all day as if
Pavlova were working on to learn; There were little streams
that skipped like lambs and leaped like chains;
there were pools that threw the sunshine all through them,
and were rippled in layers of overlaid ripples, like crystal sand;
there were currents that turned the light into golden braids, and
indulged the threads with tinsel innumeral; there were steps of streams that had
cascaded above the lake been still streams, and were
looking back for mills to turn again; there were sheets of streams that had once shot fearfully into the air,
and now sprung up again laughey that they had
and fallen a foot or two; and in the midst of all the
gray glittering and coldless lingering, the noble bearing
by of the midday depth, so wearily, yet so tenderless
and harmless, with its swallows skimming instead of flying,
and the clear old decrepit trees as safe in the embracing
weap of it as if it were set in a hoard of sapphire.

And the day went on, as the river: but I seem
felt that I wasted time in watching the Rhone.

One used to get giddily sometimes, or couthness of
enemies of the fish; then, one
to be seen for a second. But here was one mighty wave that was always itself, and every fluted swirl of it, constant as the wreathing of a shell. No wasting away of the fallen foam, no pause for gathering of power, no helpless ebb of discouraged recoil; but alike through bright day and lulling night, the never-pausing plunge, and never-fading flash, and never-hushing whisper, and, while the sun was up, the ever-anwsering glow of unearthly aquamarine, ultramarine, violet-blue, gentian-blue, peacock-blue, river-of-paradise blue, glass of a painted window melted in the sun, and the witch of the Alps flinging the spun tresses of it for ever from her snow.

91. The innocent way, too, in which the river used to stop to look into every little corner. Great torrents always seem angry, and great rivers too often sullen; but there is no anger, no disdain, in the Rhone. It seemed as if the mountain stream was in mere bliss at recovering itself again out of the lake-sleep, and raced because it rejoiced in racing, fain yet to return and stay. There were pieces of wave that danced all day as if Perdita were looking on to learn;¹ there were little streams that skipped like lambs² and leaped like chamois; there were pools that shook the sunshine all through them, and were rippled in layers of overlaid ripples, like crystal sand; there were currents that twisted the light into golden braids, and inlaid the threads with turquoise enamel; there were strips of stream that had certainly above the lake been millstreams, and were looking busily for mills to turn again; there were shoots of stream that had once shot fearfully into the air, and now sprang up again laughing that they had only fallen a foot or two;—and in the midst of all the gay glittering and eddied lingering, the noble bearing by of the midmost depth, so mighty, yet so terrorless and harmless, with its swallows skimming instead of petrels, and the dear old decrepit town

¹ [The Winter’s Tale, Act iv. sc. 4:—
   “When you do dance, I wish you
   A wave o’ the sea.”]
² [Psalms cxiv. 6.]
as safe in the embracing sweep of it as if it were set in a brooch of sapphire.

92. And the day went on, as the river; but I never felt that I wasted time in watching the Rhone. One used to get giddy sometimes, or discontentedly envious of the fish. Then one went back for a walk in the penthouse street, long ago gone. There was no such other street anywhere. Penthouses five stories high, not so much for the protection of the people in the street as to keep the plash of heavy rain from the house windows, so that these might be the more safely open. Beam-pillars of squared pine, with one cross-tie beam, the undecorative structural arrangement, Swiss to the very heart and pitch of it, picturesque in comfort, stately and ancient without decay, and rough, here in mid Geneva, more than in the hill solitudes.

93. We arrived at Geneva on 1st June, 1844, with plan of another month at Chamouni; and fine things afterwards, which also came prosperously to pass. I had learned to draw now with great botanical precision; and could colour delicately, to a point of high finish. I was interested in everything, from clouds to lichens. Geneva was more wonderful to me, the Alps more living and mighty, than ever; Chamouni more peaceful.

We reached the Prieure on the 6th June, and found poor Michel Devouassoud’s climbing days ended. He had got a chill, and a cough; medicined himself with absinthe, and was now fast dying. The body of guides had just sustained a graver loss, by the superannuation, according to law, in his sixtieth year, of Joseph Couttet, the Captain of Mont Blanc, and bravest at once and most sagacious of the old school of guides. Partly in regard for the old

---

1 [For the itinerary of the tour of 1844, see Vol. IV. p. xxii. n.]
2 [For Joseph Marie Couttet, called “the captain of Mont Blanc” from his numerous ascents of that mountain, see Vol. IV. pp. xxiv.–xxv. n., and the other passages there noted. Among his famous ascents was that of 1822 with Dr. Hamel, in which the guide nearly lost his life (see The Annals of Mont Blanc, by C. E. Mathews, pp. 228–229); this is the subject of Ruskin’s poem, “The Avalanche” (see Vol. II. p. 7). Ruskin commemorated his old friend and guide in an inscription attached to some Rose-Fluors presented to the Natural History Museum: see Vol. XXVI. p. lv.]
man, partly in respect for us, now favourably known in
Chamouni, the law was relaxed by the Chef des Guides in our
favour, and Couttet came to us on the morning of the 7th of June.
My father explained to him that he wanted me taken charge of on
the hills, and not permitted in any ambitious attempts, or taken
into any dangerous places; and that, from what he had heard of
Couttet’s trust-worthiness, and knowledge of his mountains, he
had no doubt that I should be safe with him, and might learn
more under his tutelage, in safety, than by the most daring
expeditions under inferior masters. Couttet said little, but
accepted the charge with a kindly glitter in his eyes, and a
cheerful word or two, signifying that my father need not fear for
me; and we set out together for the base of the Buet,—I on
muleback, he walking.

For thirty years he remained my tutor and companion. Had
he been my drawing-master also, it would have been better for
me: if my work pleased Couttet, I found afterwards it was
always good; and he knew perfectly when I was trying vainly to
do what I could not, or foolishly what no one else would care for.

The month at Chamouni, however, passed with his approval,
and to my perfect benefit. I made two foreground studies in
colour, of considerable beauty;¹ and, under his teaching, began
to use my alpenstock easily, and to walk with firmness.

94. Of our habitual Chamouni life—papa’s, mamma’s, and
mine—I shall give account further on:² I take from this year’s
diary only the note on first reaching the bases of the aiguilles.

“At last, on steep inclined planes of snow, reached the
base of the Little Charmoz; but was amazed to find that
the size of the aiguilles seemed to diminish with every step
of approach, after a certain point, and

¹ [One of these is here reproduced (Plate XXI.); another (though it is dated 1842) is
the frontispiece of Vol. IV.]
² [See chapter xi.]
that, thus seen (the aiguille) Blaïtière, though still 3000 feet above us, looked a mere rock, ascendable in a quarter of an hour. Of course, after being used to the higher rocks, one begins to measure them in their own way; but where there is nothing to test scale—where the air is perfectly mistless, and the mountain masses are divided into sheets whose edges are the height of Dover cliffs, it is impossible effectually to estimate their magnitude but by trying them.”

This bit of moonlight is perhaps worth keeping:—

“28th June, half-past ten.—I never was dazzled by moonlight until now; but as it rose from behind the Mont Blanc du Tacul, the full moon almost blinded me: it burst forth into the sky like a vast star. For an hour before, the aiguilles had appeared as dark masses against a sky looking as transparent as clear sea, edged at their summits with fleeces of cloud breaking into glorious spray and foam of white fire. A meteor fell over the Dôme as the moon rose: now it is so intensely bright that I cannot see the Mont Blanc underneath it; the form is lost in its light.”

Many and many an hour of precious time and perfect sight was spent, during these years, in thus watching skies; much was written which would be useful\(^1\)—if I took a year to put it together,—to myself; but, in the present smoky world, to no other creature: and much was learned, which is of no use now to anybody; for to me it is only sorrowful memory, and to others, an old man’s fantasy.

95. We left Chamouni on 4th July; on the 8th I find this entry at St. Gingolph:—

“We dined late, which kept me later from my walk than I like, and it was wet with recent rain; but the glades of greensward under groves of Spanish chestnut

\(^1\) [For extracts from his diary giving descriptions of skies, see, e.g., Vol. VII. pp. xxvi., lx.]
all the greener for it. Such richness I never saw in Italy; the hay just cut, leaving the grass crisp and short; the grey trunks and rich leaves mixed with mossy rock, and the cliffs above, nobler than Amalfi: the sunset sent down rays of opaque gold between me and the Jura, bringing out the successive rises of the Pays de Vaud; the Jura a golden shadow, sharp-edged and baseless in the sky.”

Hence, we crossed the Simplon to Baveno and back,—for the Simplon’s and Lago Maggiore’s sake only.

“BAVENO, July 12th.—I have more feeling for Italy than ever, but it makes me deeply sad. The vines and pasture about this place make it a Paradise; the people are fine-featured, and singularly graceful in motion; but there is every appearance of hopeless vice. Four men have been playing cards and drinking, without stirring, in the inn-yard since twelve o’clock (noon. I had come in from an evening walk), and the gardens and enclosed spots of ground are foul as dunghills. The Isola Bella is fast going to decay—all the stucco of it green, damp, shattered, covered with weeds and dead leaves; yet the flowers and foliage of surpassing beauty.”

And to this day, the uselessness of San Carlo’s memory is to me one of the entirely wonderfulest things in Catholic history,¹—that Rome should go on sending missionaries to China, and, within a thousand yards across the water from St. Carlo’s isle, leave the people of her own Italy’s Garden of Eden in guilt and misery. I call the Lago Maggiore district the Eden of Italy; for there are no solfataras there, no earthquakes, no pestiferous marsh, no fever-striking sunshine. Purest air, richest earth, loveliest wave;² and the same noble race that founded the architecture of Italy at Como.

¹ [For another reference to St. Carlo Borromeo, see Vol. XVII. p. 86.]
² [Compare The Cestus of Aglaia, § 83 (Vol. XIX. p. 130).]
Left to die, like the green lizards, in the blind clefts of their rocks, whence they see no God.

96. Village of Simplon, 15th June:—

“At eight this evening I was sitting on the highest col of the Simplon, watching the light die on the Breithorn; nothing round me but rock and lichen, except one purple flower,” (coloured and very accurate drawing, at the side, of Linaria Alpina,) “and the forget-me-not, which grows everywhere. My walk home was very lovely, star after star coming out above my head, the white hills gleaming among them; the gulph of pines, with the torrent, black and awful below; lights breaking softly through cottage windows.

“Cassiopeia is rising above a piny mountain, exactly opposite my window.”

The linaria must have been brought “home” (the Simplon village inn was already more that to me than ever Denmark Hill), and painted next morning—it could not have been so rightly coloured at night; also the day had been a heavy one. At six, morning, I had visited Signor Zanetti, and reviewed his collection of pictures on Isola dei Pescatori; walked up most of the defile of Gondo; and the moment we got to the Simplon village, dashed off to catch the sunset from the col; five miles up hill against time, (and walk against time up a regular slope of eight feet in the hundred is the most trying foot-work I know,) five miles back under the stars, with the hills not under but among them, and careful entry, of which I have only given a sentence, make up a day which shows there was now no farther need to be alarmed about my health. My good father, who was never well in the high air, and hated the chills from patches of melting snow, stayed nevertheless all next day at the village, to let me climb the long-coveted peak west of the Simplon col, which forms the great precipice on the Brieg side. “It commanded the Valais far down, the Bernese Alps in their whole extent,
and two great mountains beyond the valley of Saas.” These were the Weissshorn, and lower peak nearer Zermatt.

97. That evening James Forbes and his wife were with us in the otherwise untenanted salle-è-manger (see Deucalion, Chap. X.), and next morning, the 17th,

“I set off at six to visit the Père Barras,” (formerly Clavendier of the great St. Bernard, now at the monastery of the Simplon). “On the Sempione,” (I meant the Fletsch-horn,) “a field of cirri, bounded by a contour like that of common cirro-strati,\(^2\) convex and fishy, but composed of the most exquisite sandy and silky forms, all in most rapid motion, but forming and vanishing, as usual, exactly at the same point, so that the mass was stationary. Reached the col in two hours of very slow walking, and breakfasted with the Father. He showed me the spot where the green actynolite is found, directly behind the convent. One of his dogs saw him with his hat on, and waited in the passage, barking furiously with delight. He parted from me half a mile down on this side (Brièg side), and I waited at the second gallery for the carriage.”

“19th July, ZERMATT.—Clouds on the Matterhorn all day till sunset, when there were playing lights over the sky, and the Matterhorn appeared in full ruby, with a wreath of crimson cloud drifting from its top.”

That day Gordon was to come up from Chamouni to meet us; he had slept at Visp, and was first at Zermatt. Just as we came in sight of the Matterhorn he met us with his most settledly practical and constitutional face—

“Yes, the Matterhorn is all very fine; but do you know there’s nothing to eat?”

“Nonsense; we can eat anything here.”

\(^1\) [Vol. XXVI. pp. 219–222.]

\(^2\) [For this word (a form of cloud combining the sharper of the cirrus and stratus, consisting of horizontal or inclined sheets, attenuated upwards into light cirri), see Modern Painters, vol. i. (Vol. III. p. 384, § 19).]
“Well, the black bread’s two months old, and there’s nothing else but potatoes.”
“There must be milk, anyhow.”
Yes, there was milk, he supposed.
“You can sop your bread in it then; what could be nicer?”
But Gordon’s downcast mien did not change; and I had to admit myself, when supper-time came, that one might almost as hopelessly have sopped the Matterhorn as the loaf.

98. Thus the Christian peasant had lived in the Alps, unthought of, for two thousand years—since Christ broke bread for His multitude,¹ and lived thus under the direct care of the Catholic Church—for Sion, the capital of the Valais, is one of the grandest of old bishoprics; and just below this valley of black bread, the little mountain towns of Visp and Brieg are more groups of tinkling towers and convent cloisters than civic dwelling-places. As for the Catholic State, for a thousand years, while at every sunset Monte Rosa glowed across the whole Lombard plain, not a Lombard noble knew where the mountain was.

Yet, it may be, I err in my pity. I have many things yet to say of the Valais;² meantime this passage from Saussure³ records a social state in 1796, which, as compared with that of the poor in our great capitals, is one neither of discomfort nor disgrace:—

“La sobriété, compagne ordinaire de l’amour du travail, est encore une qualité remarquable des habitants de ces vallées. Ce pain de seigle, dont j’ai parlé, qu’on ne mange que six mois après qu’il est cuit, on le ramollit dans du petit lait ou dans du lait de beurre, et cette espèce de soupe fait leur principale nourriture; le fromage et un peu de vieille vache ou de chèvre salées, se réservent pour les jours de fête ou pour le temps de grands travaux; car pour la viande fraîche, ils n’en mangent jamais, c’est un mets trop dispendieux. Les gens riches du pays vivent avec la même

¹ [Matthew xv. 32 seq.]
² [There is a passing reference to the Valais below, p. 435; much had been said in Modern Painters, vol. iv. (Vol. VI. pp. 410, 435 seq.).]
³ [Puygues dans les Alpes (Neuchatel, 1796), § 2244, vol. iv. pp. 387–388. Saussure is writing of the valleys of Monte Rosa.]
économie; je voyois notre hote de Macugnaga, qui n’étoit rien moins que pauvre, aller tous les soirs prendre, dans un endroit fermé à clef, une pincée d’aulx dont il distribuoit gravement une gousse à sa femme, et autant à chacun de ses enfants, et cette gousse d’ail étoit l’assaisonnement unique d’un morceau de pain sec qu’ils brisoient entre deux pierres, & qu’ils mangeoient pour leur souper. Ceux d’entr’eux qui négocient au dehors, viennent au moins une fois tous les deux ans passer quelques mois dans leur village; et quoique hors de chez eux ils prennent l’habitude d’une meilleure nourriture, ils se remettent sans peine à celle de leur pays, et ne le quittent qu’avec un extrême regret; j’ai été témoin d’un ou deux de ces départs, qui m’ont attendri jusqu’aux larmes."

99. By the morning, however, our hosts had found some meat for the over-greedy foreigners, and the wine was good enough; but it was no place for papa and mamma to stay in; and, bravado apart, I liked black bread no better than they. So we went up to the Riffelberg, where I saw that on the north Monte Rosa was only a vast source of glacier, and, as a mountain, existed only for the Italian side: the Matterhorn was too much of an Egyptian obelisk to please me (I trace continually the tacit reference in my Cumberland-built soul to moorish Skiddaw and far-sweeping Saddle-back as the proper types of majestic form); and I went down to Visp again next day without lamentation: my mother, sixty-three on next 2nd September, walking with me the ten miles from St. Nicholas to Visp as lightly as a girl. And the old people went back to Brieg with me, that I might climb the Bel Alp (then unknown1), whence I drew the panorama of the Simplon and Bernese range, now in Walkley Museum.2 But the more I got, the more I asked. After drawing the Weisshorn and Aletsch-horn, I wanted to see the Aiguille Verte again, and was given another fortnight for Chamouni; the old people staying at the Trois Couronnes of Vevay. I spent the days usefully, going first up to the base of the Aiguille d’Argentière, which commands the glorious white ocean of the Tours glacier below, and, opposite, the four precipices of the Aiguille Verte on its north-east flank; and that day, 27th

1 [The inn on the Bel Alp was not opened till 1860.]
2 [See Vol. XXX. p. 233, and compare Vol. XXVI. p. 222.]
July, we saw a herd of more than thirty chamois on the Argentière. “Pour les voir, faut aller où ils sont,” said Couttet; and he might have added, where other living things are not; for, whether by shepherd or traveller, the snows round the Aiguilles of Chardonnet and Argentière are the least trodden of all the Mont Blanc fields. The herd was in three groups, twelve in one of them only; and did not put itself to speed, but retired slowly when we got within a quarter of a mile of them, each stopping to look back from the ridge behind which they disappeared.

100. “Iceland moss” (says the diary), “in enormous quantities amongst the Alpine roses, above the Argentière glacier—not growing at all, so far as I recollect, but on the hills on the north-east of the valley. Where we took the snow, the top of the glacier” (Tours) “was wreathed in vast surges which took us from twenty minutes to half an hour (each) to climb,—green lovely lakes in their hollows, no crevices.” On the 29th July I went up the Buet, and down to Sixt, where I found myself very stiff and tired, and determined that the Alps were, on the whole, best seen from below. And after a walk to the Fer-à-cheval, considering the wild strawberries there to taste of slate, I went rather penitently down to Geneva again.

Feeling also a little ashamed of myself before papa—in the consciousness that all his pining in cold air, and dining on black bread, and waiting, day after day, not without anxiety, while I rambled he knew not whither, had not in the least advanced the object nearest his heart,—the second volume of Modern Painters. I had, on the contrary, been acutely and minutely at work in quite other directions—felt tempted now to write on Alpine botany, or devote myself to painting myrtilles¹ and mica-slate for the rest of my days. The Turner charm was indeed as potent as ever; but I felt that other powers were now telling on me besides his,—even beyond his; not in delight, but in

¹ [The bilberry: see Vol. XXV. p. 238 (§ 20).]
vital strength; and that no word more could be written of him, till I had tried the range of these.

101. It surprises me to find, by entries at Paris (which I was reasonable enough now to bear the sight of again), in August of this year, how far I had advanced in picture knowledge since the Roman days; progress which I see no ground for, and remember no steps of,—except only a lesson given me by George Richmond at one of Mr. Roger’s breakfasts (the old man used to ask me, finding me always reverent to him, joyful in his pictures, and sometimes amusing, as an object of curiosity to his guests),—date uncertain, but probably in 1842. Until that year, Rubens had remained the type of colour power to me, and (§ 37 above) Titian’s flesh tints of little worth! But that morning, as I was getting talkative over the wild Rubens sketch, (War or Discord, or Victory or the Furies, I forget what,) Richmond said, pointing to the Veronese beneath it, "Why are you not looking at this,—so much greater in manner?" "Greater,—how?" I asked, in surprise; “it seems to me quite tame beside the Rubens.” “That may be,” said Richmond, “but the Veronese is true, the other violently conventional.” “In what way true?” I asked, still not understanding. “Well,” said Richmond, “compare the pure shadows on the flesh, in Veronese, and its clear edge, with Rubens’s ochre and vermilion, and outline of asphalt.”

102. No more was needed. From that moment, I saw what was meant by Venetian colour; yet during 1843, and early 1844, was so occupied with Modern Painters, degree-getting, and studies of foliage and foreground, that I cannot understand how I had reached, in picture knowledge, the point shown by these following entries, of which indeed the first shows that the gain surprised me at the time, but

1 ["The Horrors of War," the original study for the large picture in the Pitti Palace: No. 51 in Mrs. Jameson’s Catalogue of Rogers’s Collection (Handbook to the Private Galleries of London, 1844, p. 407).]

2 [Study for a picture of “Mary Magdalene anointing the feet of the Saviour”: No. 26 in Mrs. Jameson’s Catalogue (ibid., p. 398).]
foolishly regards it only as a change coming to pass in the Louvre on the instant, and does not recognize it as the result of growth: the fact being, I suppose, that the habit of looking for true colour in nature had made me sensitive to the modesty and dignity of hues in painting also, before possessing no charm for me.

“Aug. 17th.—I have had a change wrought in me, and a strong one, by this visit to the Louvre, and know not how far it may go, chiefly in my full understanding of Titian, John Bellini, and Perugino, and being able to abandon everything for them; or rather, being unable to look at anything else.”

103. I allow the following technical note only for proof of the length I had got to. There shall be no more of the kind let into Præterita.

“1252 (‘The Entombment’) is the finest Titian in the gallery,—glowing, simple, broad, and grand. It is to be opposed to 1251 (‘The Flagellation’), in which the shades are brown instead of grey, the outlines strong brown lines, the draperies broken up by folds, the lights very round and vivid, and foiled by deep shades, the flesh forms, the brightest lights, and the draperies subdued.

“In 1252 every one of these conditions is reversed. Even the palest flesh is solemn and dark, in juxtaposition with golden-white drapery; all the masses broad and flat, the shades grey, the outlines chaste and severe. It may be taken as an example of the highest dignity of expression wrought out by mere grandeur of colour and composition.

“I found myself finally in the Louvre, fixed by this Titian, and turning to it, and to the one (picture), exactly opposite, John and Gentile Bellini, by John Bellini.\(^1\) I was a long time hesitating between this

\(^1\) [No. 1156, now called “Portraits of Two Men” and ascribed to Gentile Bellini.]
and Raphael’s dark portrait,¹ but decided for the John Bellini.

“Aug. 18th.—To-morrow we leave. I have been watching the twilight on the Tuileries, which was very grand and clear; and planning works. I shall try to paint a Madonna some day, I believe.”²

¹ [Probably No. 1164, now attributed to Franciabigio.]
² [See the “Notes on the Louvre” printed at greater length in Vol. XII. pp. 449–453.]
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104. The summer’s work of 1844, so far from advancing the design of *Modern Painters*, had thrown me off it—first into fine botany, then into difficult geology, and lastly, as that entry about the Madonna shows, into a fit of figure study which meant much. It meant, especially, at last some looking into ecclesiastical history,—some notion of the merit of fourteenth-century painting, and the total abandonment of Rubens and Rembrandt for the Venetian school. Which, the reader will please observe, signified not merely the advance in sense of colour, but in perception of truth and modesty in light and shade. And on getting home, I felt that in the cyclone of confused new knowledge, this was the thing first to be got firm.

Scarcely any book writing was done that winter,—and there are no diaries; but, for the first time, I took up Turner’s *Liber Studiorum* instead of engravings; mastered its principles, practised its method, and by spring-time in 1845 was able to study from nature accurately in full chiaroscuro, with a good frank power over the sepia tinting.

I must have read also, that winter, Rio’s *Poésie Chrétienne*, and Lord Lindsay’s introduction to his *Christian Art.* And perceiving thus, in some degree, what a blind bat and puppy I had been, all through Italy, determined that at least I must see Pisa and Florence again before writing another word of *Modern Painters*.

---

1 [Some idea of his thoughts and occupations is given, however, in a letter to Liddell of October 12, 1844: see Vol. III. p. 669.]
2 [For notices of this book, see Vol. IV. pp. xxiii., 189 n.]
3 [Here (as again in § 116) Ruskin’s memory is at fault, for Lord Lindsay’s book was not published till 1847: see Vol. XII. pp. 169, 193, and Vol. VII. p. 264.]
105. How papa and mamma took this new vagary, I have no recollection; resignedly, at least: perhaps they also had some notion that I might think differently, and it was to be hoped in a more orthodox and becoming manner, after another sight of the Tribune. At all events, they concluded to give me my own way entirely this time; and what time I chose. My health caused them no farther anxiety; they could trust my word to take care of myself every day, just the same as if I were coming home to tea: my mother was satisfied of Cottet’s skill as a physician, and care, if needed, as a nurse;—he was engaged for the summer in those capacities,—and, about the first week in April, I found myself dining on a trout of the Ain, at Champagnole; with Switzerland and Italy at my feet—for to-morrow.

106. Curiously, the principal opposition to this unprincipled escapade had been made by Turner. He knew that one of my chief objects was to see the motives of his last sketches on the St. Gothard; and he feared my getting into some scrape in the then disturbed state of the cantons. He had probably himself seen some of their doings in 1843, when “la vieille Suisse prit les armes, prêvint les Bas-Valaisans, qui furent vaincus et massacrés au Pont du Trient, près de Martigny”; and again an expedition of the Corps Francs of the liberal cantons “pour expulser les Jesuits, et renverser le gouvernement,”* at Lucerne, had been summarily “renversée” itself by the Lucernois, 8th December, 1844, only three months before my intended start for the Alps. Every time Turner saw me during the winter, he said something to dissuade me from going abroad; when at last I went to say good-bye, he came down with me into the hall in Queen Anne Street, and opening the door just enough for me to pass, laid hold


1 [See above, p. 269.]
of my arm, gripping it strongly. “Why will you go to Switzerland—there’ll be such a fidge about you, when you’re gone.”

I am never able to collect myself in a moment, and am simply helpless on any sudden need for decision like this; the result being, usually, that I go on doing what I meant to do. If I say anything, it is sure to be wrong. I made no answer, but grasped his hand closely, and went. I believe he made up his mind that I was heartless and selfish; anyhow he took no more pains with me.

107. As it chanced, even while I sat over my trout at Champagnole, there was another expedition of the Francis Corps—M. Gaullieur does not say against whom, but only that it had “une issue encore plus tragique que la première.” But there had been no instance of annoyance to English or any other travellers, in all the course of these Swiss squabbles since 1833, in which year—by the way, the first of our journeys—we drove under some posted field-batteries into Basle, just after the fight at Liesthal between the liberal townspeople and Catholic peasants. The landlord of the “Three Kings” had been out; and run—or at least made the best speed he could—three leagues to the town gates.¹

It was no part of my plan, however, as my parents knew, to enter Switzerland in this spring-time: but to do what I could in Italy first. Geneva itself was quiet enough: Couttet met me there, and next day we drove over the ledges of the Salève, all aglow with primrose and soldanelle, down upon Annecy.

108. I had with me, besides Couttet, a young servant who became of great use to me in succeeding years; with respect to whom I must glance back at some of the past revolutions in our domestic dynasties. The cook and housemaid at Herne Hill, in its mainly characteristic time—1827–1834—were sisters, Mary and Elizabeth Stone. I

¹ [For an account of these times, by Ruskin’s father, see Dilecta; below, pp. 589, 590.]
have never seen a fillet of veal rightly roasted, nor a Yorkshire pudding rightly basted, since Mary Stone left us to be married in 1836. Elizabeth, also not to be excelled in her line, was yet replaceable, when her career ended in the same catastrophe, by a third younger sister, Hannah; but I can’t in the least remember who waited on us, till our perennial parlour-maid, Lucy Tovey, came to us in 1829—remaining with us till 1875. Her sister Harriet replaced Hannah Stone, who must needs be married, like Mary and Elizabeth, in 1834; nor did she leave us till the Denmark Hill household was broken up. But in 1842 another young housemaid came, Anne Hobbs, whose brother John Hobbs, called always at Denmark Hill, George, to distinguish him, in vocal summons, from my father and me, became my body servant in the same year, and only left me to push his higher fortune in 1854. I could not say before, without interrupting graver matters, that the idea of my not being able to dress myself began at Oxford, where it was thought becoming in a gentleman-commoner to have a squire to manage his scout. My good, honest, uninteresting Thomas Hughes, being vigilant that I put my waistcoat on right side outwards, went abroad with us, instead of Salvador; my father, after the first two journeys, being quite able to do his courier’s work himself. When we came home in ’42, Hughes wanted to promote himself to some honour or other in the public-house line, and George Hobbs, a sensible and merry-minded youth of eighteen, came in his stead. Couttet and he sat in the back seat of the light-hooded barouche which I took for this Italian journey; the hood seldom raised, as I never travelled in bad weather unless surprised by it; and the three of us walked that April morning up the

1 [It was Harriet and Lucy Tovey whom Ruskin installed in the management of his model tea-shop: see Vol. XXVIII. pp. xviii., 204, 661.]
2 [Daughter of Anne Stone (Mrs. Hobbes, as the name should be spelt), who had been with the family from 1821 to 1824. Anne Hobbes became maid to Ruskin’s mother, and married Mr. George Allen in 1856.]
3 [He went to Australia, became a J.P., a Police Magistrate, and member of the Lands Department in New South Wales. He died in 1892.]
Salève slope, and trotted down to Annecy, in great peace of mind.

109. At Annecy I made the first careful trial of my new way of work. I herewith reproduce the study;\(^1\) it is very pleasant to me still; and certainly any artist who once accustoms himself to the method cannot afterwards fall into any mean trickery or dull conventionalism. The outline must be made clearly and quietly, conveying as much accurate information as possible respecting the form and structure of the object; then, in washing, the chiaroscuro is lowered from the high lights with extreme care down to the middle tones, and the main masses left in full shade.

A rhyme written to Mont Blanc at Geneva, and another in vituperation of the idle people at Conflans,\(^2\) were, I think, the last serious exertions of my poetical powers. I perceived finally that I could express nothing I had to say, rightly, in that manner; and the “poetical powers. I perceived finally that I could express nothing I had to say, rightly, in that manner; and the “peace of mind” above referred to, which returns to me as the principal character of this opening journey, was perhaps, in part, the result of this extremely wholesome conclusion.

110. But also, the two full years, since the flash of volcanic lightning at Naples,\(^3\) had brought me into a deeper and more rational state of religious temper. I can scarcely yet call it religious thought; but the steadily read chapters, morning and evening, with the continual comparison between the Protestant and Papal services every Sunday abroad, made me feel that all dogmatic teaching was a matter of chance and habit; and that the life of religion depended on the force of faith, not the terms of it. In the sincerity and brightness of his imagination, I saw that George Herbert represented the theology of the Protestant Church in a perfectly central and deeply spiritual manner: his “Church Porch” I recognized to be blamelessly wise as a lesson to youth; and the exquisitely faithful fancy of

---

\(^1\) [Plate XXII.]

\(^2\) [For these pieces, see Vol. II. pp. 223, 238. The first is given also in Præterita, iii. ch. i. (below, p. 473).]

\(^3\) [See above, § 51 (p. 290).]
the other poems (in The Temple) drew me into learning most of them by heart,—the “Church Porch,” the “Dialogue,” “Employment,” “Submission,” “Gratefulness,” and, chief favourite, “The Bag,”—deliberately and carefully. The code of feeling and law written in these verses may be always assigned as a standard of the purest unsectarian Christianity; and whatever has been wisest in thought or happiest in the course of my following life was founded at this time on the teaching of Herbert. The reader will perhaps be glad to see the poem that has been most useful to me, “Submission,” in simpler spelling than in the grand editions:—

“But that Thou art my wisdom, Lord,
And both mine eyes are Thine,
My mind would be extremely stirred
For missing my design.

Were it not better to bestow
Some place and power on me?
Then should Thy praises with me grow,
And share in my degree.

But when I thus dispute and grieve
I do resume my sight,
And pilfering what I once did give,
Disseize Thee of Thy right.

How know I, if Thou shouldst me raise
That I should then raise Thee?
Perhaps great places and Thy praise
Do not so well agree!

Wherefore, unto my gift I stand,
I will no more advise;
Only do Thou lend me Thine hand,
Since Thou hast both mine eyes.”

111. In these, and other such favourite verses, George Herbert, as aforesaid, was to me at this time, and has been since, useful beyond every other teacher; not that I ever

1 [A reference to the General Index will show how often Ruskin quoted Herbert. For a letter written by Ruskin to his mother about Herbert in 1845, see Vol. IV. p. 349 n.]

2 [No. 68 in division iv. ("The Church") of The Temple.]
attained to any likeness of feeling, but at least knew where I was myself wrong, or cold, in comparison. A little more force was also put on Bible study at this time, because I held myself responsible for George’s 1 tenets as well as my own, and wished to set him a discreet example; he being well-disposed, and given to my guidance, with no harm as yet in any of his ways. So I read my chapter with him morning and evening; and if there were no English church on Sundays, the Morning Service, Litany and all, very reverently; 2 after which we enjoyed ourselves, each in our own way, in the afternoons, George being always free, and Couttet, if he chose; but he had little taste for the Sunday promenades in a town, and was glad if I would take him with me to gather flowers, or carry stones. I never, until this time, had thought of travelling, climbing, or sketching on the Sunday: the first infringement of this rule by climbing the isolated peak above Gap, with both Couttet and George, after our morning service, remains a weight on my conscience to this day. But it was thirteen years later before I made a sketch on Sunday. 3

112. By Gap and Sisteron to Fréjus, along the Riviera to Sestri, where I gave a day to draw the stone-pines now at Oxford; 4 and so straight to my first fixed aim, Lucca, where I settled myself for ten days,—as I supposed. It turned out forty years.

The town is some thousand paces square; the unbroken rampart walk round may be a short three miles. There are upwards of twenty churches in that space, dating between the sixth and twelfth centuries; a ruined feudal palace and

1 [“Hobbs, not Herbert,” as Ruskin noted in his copy.]
2 [See the Epilogue to Letters to the Clergy, where Ruskin says that for thirty years of his life he used to read the Service through to his servant and himself (Vol. XXXIV. pp. 217–218).]
3 [The event is chronicled in his diary of 1858; it was a drawing of orchises. Similarly, in writing in 1852 to his father, Ruskin excuses himself for alluding to his literary work in a letter on Sunday: see Vol. X. p. 347 n.]
4 [No. 22 in the Educational Series (see Vol. XXI. pp. 77, 116). The drawing is reproduced on Plate 12 in Vol. IV. (p. 346).]
1845.
VI. THE CAMPO SANTO

The tower,¹ unmatched except at Verona: the streets clean—cheerfully inhabited, yet quiet; nor desolate, even now. Two of the churches representing the perfectest phase of round-arched building in Europe,² and one of them containing the loveliest Christian tomb in Italy.

The rampart walk, unbroken except by descents and ascents at the gates, commands every way the loveliest ranges of all the Tuscan Apennine: when I was there in 1845, besides the ruined feudal palace, there was a maintained Ducal Palace, with a living Duke in it,³ whose military band played every evening on the most floral and peaceful space of rampart. After a well-spent day, and a three-course dinner,—military band,—chains, double braided, of amethyst Apennine linked by golden clouds,—then the mountain air of April, still soft as the marble towers grew unsubstantial in the starlight,—such the monastic discipline of Lucca to my novitiate mind.

113. I must stop to think a little how it was that so early as this I could fasten on the tomb of Ilaria di Caretto with certainty of its being a supreme guide to me ever after. If I get tiresome, the reader must skip; I write, for the moment, to amuse myself, and not him. The said reader, duly sagacious, must have felt, long since, that, though very respectable people in our way, we were all of us definitely vulgar people; just as my aunt’s dog Towzer was a vulgar dog, though a very good and dear dog.⁴ Said reader should have seen also that we had not set ourselves up to have a taste in anything. There was never any question about matching colours in furniture, or having the correct pattern in china. Everything for service in the house was bought plain, and of the best; our

¹ [The Palazzo Borghi, called by Ruskin the Guinigi Palace (built in 1413 by Paolo Guinigi): Plate XXIII.]
² [For notices of S. Frediano and S. Michele, see Vol. IX. pp. 273, 429; the tomb of Ilaria is in the Duomo (S. Martino).]
³ [From 1816 to 1847 Lucca was governed as a duchy by Maria Luisa, queen of Etruria, and her son Charles Louis; in the latter year it was ceded to Tuscany.]
⁴ [See i. § 12 (above, p. 19).]
toys were what we happened to take a fancy to in pleasant places—a cow in stalactite from Matlock, a fisher-wife doll from Calais, a Swiss farm from Berne, Bacchus and Ariadne from Carrara. But, among these toys, principal on the drawing-room chimney-piece, always put away by my mother at night, and “put out” in the afternoon, were some pieces of Spanish clay, to which, without knowing it, I owed a quantity of strenuous teaching. Native baked clay figures, painted and gilded by untutored persons who had the gift; manufacture mainly practised along the Xeres coast, I believe, and of late much decayed, but then flourishing, and its work as good as the worker could make it. There was a Don Whiskerandos contrabandista, splendidly handsome and good-natured, on a magnificent horse at the trot, brightly caparisoned: everything finely finished, his gun loose in his hand. There was a lemonade seller, a pomegranate seller, a matador with his bull—animate all, and graceful, the colouring chiefly ruddy brown. Things of constant interest to me, and altogether wholesome; vestiges of living sculpture come down into the Herne Hill times, from the days of Tanagra.

For loftier admiration, as before told, Chantrey in Lichfield, Roubiliac in Westminster, were set forth to me, and honestly felt; a scratched white outline or two from Greek vases on the black Derbyshire marble did not interfere with my first general feeling about sculpture, that it should be living, and emotional; that the flesh should be like flesh, and the drapery like clothes; and that, whether trotting contrabandista, dancing girl, or dying gladiator, the subject should have an interest of its own, and not consist merely of figures with torches or garlands standing alternately on their right and left legs. Of “ideal” form and the like, I fortunately heard and thought nothing.

114. The point of connoisseurship I had reached, at sixteen, with these advantages and instincts, is curiously

---

1 [See above, § 26 (p. 267).]
2 [See, again, above, p. 267.]
As measured by the criticism of the Cathedral of Rheims in my Don Juan journal of 1835:—

“The carving is not rich,—the Gothic heavy,
The statues miserable; not a fold
Of drapery well-disposed in all the bevy
Of Saints and Bishops and Archbishops old
That line the porches grey. But in the nave I
Stared at the windows purple, blue, and gold:
And the perspective’s wonderfully fine
When you look down the long columnar line.1

By the “carving” I meant the niche work, which is indeed curiously rude at Rheims; by the “Gothic” the structure and mouldings of arch, which I rightly call “heavy” as compared with later French types; while the condemnation of the draperies meant that they were not the least like those either of Rubens or Roubilliac. And ten years had to pass over me before I knew better; but every day between the standing in Rheims porch and by Ilaria’s tomb had done on me some chiselling to the good; and the discipline from the Fontainebleau time2 till now had been severe. The accurate study of tree branches, growing leaves, and foreground herbage, had more and more taught me the difference between violent and graceful lines; the beauty of Clotilde and Cécile, essentially French-Gothic, and the living Egeria of Araceli,3 had fixed in my mind and heart, not as an art-ideal, but as a sacred reality, the purest standards of breathing womanhood; and here suddenly, in the sleeping Ilaria, was the perfectness of these, expressed with harmonies of line which I saw in an instant were under the same laws as the river wave, and the aspen branch, and the stars’ rising and setting; but treated with a modesty and severity which read the laws of nature by the light of virtue.4

1 [See Vol. II. p. 401.]
2 [See above, p. 314.]
3 [Miss Tollemache (Mrs. Cowper-Temple); “of Araceli,” because he saw her at a service in that church at Rome: see above, pp. 277, 278, and Vol. XXXIII. pp. 191–192.]
4 [For another account of the effect of the statue of Ilaria upon him, as also for this journey generally, see the Epilogue of 1883 to the second volume of]
115. Another influence, no less forcible, and more instantly effective, was brought to bear on me by my first quiet walk through Lucca.

Hitherto, all architecture, except fairy-finished Milan, had depended with me for its delight on being partly in decay. I revered the sentiment of its age, and I was accustomed to look for the signs of age in the mouldering of its traceries, and in the interstices deepening between the stones of its masonry. This looking for cranny and joint was mixed with the love of rough stones themselves, and of country churches built like Westmoreland cottages.

Here in Lucca I found myself suddenly in the presence of twelfth-century buildings, originally set in such balance of masonry that they could all stand without mortar; and in material so incorruptible, that after six hundred years of sunshine and rain, a lancet could not now be put between their joints.

Absolutely for the first time I now saw what mediæval builders were, and what they meant. I took the simplest of all façades for analysis, that of Santa Maria Foris-Portam, and thereon literally began the study of architecture.

In the third—and, for the reader’s relief, last—place in these technical records, Fra Bartolomeo’s picture of the Magdalen, with St. Catherine of Siena, gave me a faultless example of the treatment of pure Catholic tradition by the perfect schools of painting.

116. And I never needed lessoning more in the principles of the three great arts. After those summer days of 1845, I advanced only in knowledge of individual character, provincial feeling, and details of construction or execution. Of what was primarily right and ultimately best, there was never more doubt to me, and my art-teaching, necessarily, in its many local or personal interests partial, has been from

Modern Painters: Vol. IV. p. 347. See also the letter of 1845 to his father: ibid., p. 122 n.; and for other descriptions of the statue, see Vol. XXIII. pp. 219–232, and Vol. XXXIV. pp. 157 n., 170–171.]  

[Then in the church of San Romano, now in the Academy of Lucca: see Vol. IV. p. 346.]
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that time throughout consistent, and progressing every year to more evident completion.

The full happiness of that time to me cannot be explained except to consistently hard workers; and of those, to the few who can keep their peace and health. For the world appeared to me now exactly right. Hills as high as they should be, rivers as wide, pictures as pretty, and masters and men as wise—as pretty and wise could be. And I expected to bring everybody to be of my opinion, as soon as I could get out my second volume; and drove down to Pisa in much hope and pride, though grave in both.

For now I had read enough of Cary’s Dante,\(^1\) and Sismondi’s *Italian Republics*, and Lord Lindsay,\(^2\) to feel what I had to look for in the Campo Santo. Yet at this moment I pause to think what it was that I found.

Briefly, the entire doctrine of Christianity, painted so that a child could understand it. And what a child cannot understand of Christianity, no one need try to.

117. In these days of the religion of this and that,—briefly let us say, the religion of Stocks and Posts—in order to say a clear word of the Campo Santo, one must first say a firm word concerning Christianity itself. I find numbers, even of the most intelligent and amiable people, not knowing what the word means; because they are always asking how much is true, and how much they like, and never ask, first, what was the total meaning of it, whether they like it or not.

The total meaning was, and is, that the God who made earth and its creatures, took at a certain time upon the earth, the flesh and form of man; in that flesh sustained the pain and died the death of the creature He had made; rose again after death into glorious human life, and when the date of the human race is ended, will return in visible human form, and render to every man according to his work.\(^3\) Christianity is the belief in, and love of, \(\text{God thus}\)

\(^1\) [Unread at the time of his former visit: see above, p. 288.]
\(^2\) [A mistake: see below, p. 421.]
\(^3\) [Psalms lxii. 12.]
manifested. Anything less than this, the mere acceptance of the sayings of Christ, or assertion of any less than divine power in His Being, may be, for aught I know, enough for virtue, peace, and safety; but they do not make people Christians, or enable them to understand the heart of the simplest believer in the old doctrine. One verse more of George Herbert will put the height of that doctrine into less debateable, though figurative, picture than any longer talk of mine:—

“Hast thou not heard that my Lord Jesus died?  
Then let me tell thee a strange story.  
The God of power, as he did ride
   In his majestic robes of glory,
   Resolved to ‘light; and so, one day
   He did descend, undressing all the way.

The stars his tire of light, and rings obtained,
   The cloud his bow, the fire his spear,
   The heavens his azure mantle gained,
   And when they asked what he would wear,
   He smiled, and said as he did go,
   ‘He had new clothes a-making, here, below.’

I write from memory; the lines have been my lesson, ever since 1845, of the noblesse of thought which makes the simplest word best.

118. And the Campo Santo of Pisa is absolutely the same in painting as these lines in word. Straight to its purpose, in the clearest and most eager way; the purpose, highest that can be; the expression, the best possible to the workman according to his knowledge. The several parts of the gospel of the Campo Santo are written by different persons; but all the original frescoes are by men of honest genius. No matter for their names; the contents of this wall-scripture are these.

First, the Triumph of Death, as Homer, Virgil, and Horace thought of death. Having been within sight of it myself, since Oxford days, and looking back already over

1 [The second and third verses of “The Bag.” Ruskin’s memory was only at fault in writing “The heavens” for “The sky.”]
2 [Commonly ascribed to Orcagna: for references to the fresco, see Vol. XII. p. 146.]
a little Campo Santo of my own people, I was ready for that part of the lesson.

Secondly, the story of the Patriarchs, and of their guidance by the ministries of visible angels; that is to say, the ideal of the life of man in its blessedness, before the coming of Christ.

Thirdly, the story of Job, in direct converse with God himself, the God of nature, and without any reference to the work of Christ except in its final surety, “Yet in my flesh I shall see God.”

Fourthly, the life of St. Ranier of Pisa, and of the desert saints, showing the ideal of human life in its blessedness after the coming of Christ.

Lastly, the return of Christ in glory, and the Last Judgment.

119. Now this code of teaching is absolutely general for the whole Christian world. There is no papal doctrine, nor antipapal; nor any question of sect or schism whatsoever. Kings, bishops, knights, hermits, are there, because the painters saw them, and painted them, naturally, as we paint the nineteenth-century product of common councilmen and engineers. But they did not conceive that a man must be entirely happy in this world and the next because he wore a mitre or helmet, as we do because he has made a fortune or a tunnel.

Not only was I prepared at this time for the teaching of the Campo Santo, but it was precisely what at that time I needed.

It realized for me the patriarchal life, showed me what the earlier Bible meant to say; and put into direct and inevitable light the questions I had to deal with, alike in my thoughts and ways, under existing Christian tradition.

Questions clearly not to be all settled in that fortnight. Some, respecting the Last Judgment, such as would have occurred to Professor Huxley,—as for instance, that if

1 [Job xix. 26.]
Christ came to judgment in St. James’s Street, the people couldn’t see him from Piccadilly,—had been dealt with by me before now; but there is one fact, and no question at all, concerning the Judgment, which was only at this time beginning to dawn on me, that men had been curiously judging themselves by always calling the day they expected, “Dies Irae,” instead of “Dies Amoris.”

120. Meantime, my own first business was evidently to read what these Pisans had said of it, and take some record of the sayings; for at that time the old-fashioned ravages were going on, honestly and innocently. Nobody cared for the old plaster, and nobody pretended to. When any dignitary of Pisa was to be buried, they peeled off some Benozzo Gozzoli, or whatever else was in the way, and put up a nice new tablet to the new defunct; but what was left was still all Benozzo, (or repainting of old time, not last year’s restoration). I cajoled the Abbé Rosini into letting me put up a scaffold level with the frescoes; set steadily to work with what faculty in outline I had; and being by this time practised in delicate curves, by having drawn trees and grass rightly, got far better results than I had hoped, and had an extremely happy fortnight of it! For as the triumph of Death was no new thought to me, the life of hermits was no temptation; but the stories of Abraham, Job, and St. Ranieri, well told, were like three new—Scott’s novels, I was going to say, and will say, for I don’t see my way to anything nearer the fact, and the work on them was pure delight. I got an outline of Abraham’s parting with the last of the three angels; of the sacrifice of Job; of the three beggars, and a fiend or two, out of the Triumph of Death; and of the conversion of St. Ranieri, for which I greatly pitied him.

For he is playing, evidently with happiest skill, on a

---

1 [See for Ruskin’s account of this, Modern Painters, vol. ii. (Vol. IV. p. 38).]
2 [For further notice of the Abbé, see Vol. IV. p. 351.]
3 [This study (now No. 25 in the Standard Series at Oxford, Vol. XXI. p. 23) is reproduced on Plate 10 in Vol. IV. (p. 316); for further account of these studies, see Vol. IV. pp. 350, 351.]
kind of zithern-harp, held upright as he stands, to the dance of
four sweet Pisan maids, in a round, holding each other only by
the bent little fingers of each hand. And one with graver face,
and wearing a purple robe, approaches him, saying—I knew
once what she said, but forget now; only it meant that his joyful
life in that kind was to be ended. And he obeys her, and follows,
into a nobler life.

I do not know if ever there was a real St. Ranieri; 1 but the
story of him remained for truth in the heart of Pisa as long as Pisa
herself lived.

121. I got more than outline of this scene: a coloured sketch
of the whole group, which I destroyed afterwards, in shame of its
faults, all but the purple-robbed warning figure; and that is lost,
and the fresco itself now lost also, all mouldering and ruined by
what must indeed be a cyclical change in the Italian climate: the
frescos exposed to it (of which I made note before 1850) seem
to me to have suffered more in the twenty years since, than they
had since they were painted: those at Verona alone excepted,
where the art of fresco seems to have been practised in the
fifteenth century in absolute perfection, and the colour to have
been injured only by violence, not by time.

There was another lovely cloister in Pisa, without fresco, but
exquisite in its arched perspective and central garden, and noble
in its unbuttressed height of belfry tower;—the cloister of San
Francesco: in these, and in the meadow round the baptistery, the
routine of my Italian university life was now fixed for a good
many years in main material points. 2

122. In summer I have been always at work, or out walking,
by six o’clock, usually awake by half-past four; but I keep to
Pisa for the present, where my monkish

1 [The legend of San Ranieri (said to have been born of a noble family in Pisa about
A.D. 1100) may be read in Mrs. Jameson’s Sacred and Legendary Art, 1850, p. 448.]

2 [Ruskin’s letter to his father from Lucca, May 6, 1845, describes a typical day:
Vol. IV. p. xxviii. He gives a similar account at Pisa, with descriptions also of the
frescoes: Vol. IV. pp. xxx., xxxi.]
discipline arranged itself thus. Out, anyhow, by six, quick walk to the field, and as much done as I could, and back to breakfast at half-past eight. Steady bit of Sismondi over bread and butter, then back to Campo Santo, draw till twelve; quick walk to look about me and stretch my legs, in shade if it might be, before lunch, on anything I chanced to see nice in a fruit shop, and a bit of bread. Back to lighter work, or merely looking and thinking, for another hour and a half, and to hotel for dinner at four. Three courses and a flask of Aleatico (a sweet, yet rather astringent, red, rich for Italian, wine—provincial, and with lovely basket-work round the bottle). Then out for saunter with Couttet; he having leave to say anything he had a mind to, but not generally communicative of his feelings; he carried my sketch-book, but in the evening there was too much always to be hunted out, of city; or watched, of hills, or sunset; and I rarely drew,—to my sorrow, now. I wish I knew less, and had drawn more.

Homewards, from wherever we had got to, the moment the sun was down, and the last clouds had lost their colour. I avoided marshy places, if I could, at all times of the day, because I didn’t like them; but I feared neither sun nor moon, dawn nor twilight, malaria nor anything else malefic, in the course of work, except only draughts and ugly people. I never would sit in a draught for half a minute, and fled from some sorts of beggars; but a crowd of the common people round me only made me proud, and try to draw as well as I could;¹ mere rags or dirt I did not care an atom for.

123. As early as 1835, and as late as 1841, I had been accustomed, both in France and Italy, to feel that the crowd behind me was interested in my choice of subjects, and pleasantly applausive of the swift progress under my hand of street perspectives, and richness of surface decoration, such as might be symbolized by dextrous zigzags, emphatic

¹ [See on this point the Introduction to Vol. XXXIII. p. xlii.]
dots, or graceful flourishes. I had the better pleasure, now, of feeling that my really watchful delineation, while still rapid enough to interest any stray student of drawing who might stop by me on his way to the Academy, had a quite unusual power of directing the attention of the general crowd to points of beauty, or subjects of sculpture, in the buildings I was at work on, to which they had never before lifted eyes, and which I had the double pride of first discovering for them, and then imitating—not to their dissatisfaction.

And well might I be proud; but how much more ought I to have been pitiful, in feeling the swift and perfect sympathy which the “common people”—companion-people I should have said, for in Italy there is no commonness—gave me, in Lucca, or Florence, or Venice, for every touch of true work that I laid in their sight.* How much more, I say, should it have been pitiful to me, to recognize their eager intellect, and delicate senses, open to every lesson and every joy of their ancestral art, far more deeply and vividly than in the days when every spring kindled them into battle, and every autumn was red with their blood: yet left now, alike by the laws and lords set over them, less happy in aimless life than of old in sudden death; never one effort made to teach them, to comfort them, to economize their industries, animate their pleasures, or guard their simplest rights from the continually more fatal oppression of unprincipled avarice, and unmerciful wealth.

124. But all this I have felt and learned, like so much else, too late. The extreme seclusion of my early training

* A letter, received from Miss Alexander as I correct this proof, gives a singular instance of this power in the Italian peasant. She says: “I have just been drawing a magnificent Lombard shepherd, who sits to me in a waistcoat made from the skin of a yellow cow with the hairy side out, a shirt of homespun linen as coarse as sailcloth, a scarlet sash, and trousers woven (I should think) from the wool of the black sheep. He astonishes me all the time by the great amount of good advice which he gives me about my work; and always right! Whenever he looks at my unfinished picture, he can always tell me exactly what it wants.”
left me long careless of sympathy for myself; and that which I
gave to others never led me into any hope of being useful to
them, till my strength of active life was past. Also, my mind was
not yet catholic enough to feel that the Campo Santo belonged to
its own people more than to me; and indeed, I had to read its
lessons before I could interpret them. The world has for the most
part been of opinion that I entered on the task of philanthropy too
soon rather than too late: at all events, my conscience remained
at rest during all those first times at Pisa, in mere delight in the
glory of the past, and in hope for the future of Italy, without need
of my becoming one of her demagogues. And the days that
began in the cloister of the Campo Santo usually ended by my
getting upon the roof of Santa Maria della Spina, and sitting in
the sunlight that transfused the warm marble of its pinnacles, till
the unabated brightness went down beyond the arches of the
Ponte-a-Mare,—the few footsteps and voices of the twilight fell
silent in the streets, and the city and her mountains stood mute as
a dream, beyond the soft eddying of Arno.
CHAPTER VII
MACUGNAGA

125. When first I saw Florence, in 1840, the great street leading into the Baptistry square from the south had not been rebuilt, but consisted of irregular ancient houses, with far projecting bracketed roofs. I mourned over their loss bitterly in 1845; but for the rest, Florence was still, then, what no one who sees her now could conceive.

For one great feature, an avenue of magnificent cypress and laurel ascended, unbroken, from the Porta Romana to Bellosguardo, from whose height one could then wander round through lanes of olive, or through small rural vineyards, to San Miniato, which stood deserted, but not ruinous, with a narrow lawn of scented herbage before it, and sweet wild weeds about its steps, all shut in by a hedge of roses. The long ascending causeway, between smaller cypresses than those of the Porta Romana, gave every conceivably loveliest view of the Duomo, and Cascine forest, and passing away of Arno towards the sunset.

126. In the city herself, the monasteries were still inhabited, religiously and usefully; and in most of them, as well as among the Franciscans at Fésole, I was soon permitted to go wherever I liked, and draw whatever I chose. But my time was passed chiefly in the sacristy and choir of Santa Maria Novella, the sacristy of Santa Croce, and the upper passage of San Marco. In the Accademia I

1 [See Ruskin’s sketch of this date, here reproduced; Plate XXIV.]
2 [Compare Ruskin’s account of his work in Florence in the Epilogue of 1883 to the second volume of Modern Painters, Vol. IV. pp. 351–352; and his letters both in that volume (p. xxxii.) and in Vol. XXXVI.]
studied the Angelicos only, Lippi and Botticelli being still far beyond me; but the Ghirlandajos in the choir of Santa Maria Novella, in their broad masses of colour, complied with the laws I had learned in Venice, while yet they swiftly and strictly taught me the fine personalities of the Florentine race and art. At Venice, one only knows a fisherman by his net, and a saint by his nimbus. But at Florence, angel or prophet, knight or hermit, girl or goddess, prince or peasant, cannot but be what they are, masque them how you will.

Nobody ever disturbed me in the Ghirlandajo apse. There were no services behind the high altar; tourists, even the most learned, had never in those days heard Ghirlandajo’s name; the sacristan was paid his daily fee regularly whether he looked after me or not. The lovely chapel, with its painted windows and companies of old Florentines, was left for me to do what I liked in, all the forenoon; and I wrote a complete critical and historical account of the frescoes from top to bottom of it, seated mostly astride on the desks, till I tumbled off backwards one day at the gap where the steps went down, but came to no harm, though the fall was really a more dangerous one than any I ever had in the Alps. The inkbottle was upset over the historical account, however, and the closing passages a little shortened,—which saved some useful time.1

127. When the chief bustle in the small sacristy, (a mere cupboard or ecclesiastical pantry, two steps up out of the transept) was over, with the chapel masses of the morning, I used to be let in there to draw the Angelico Annunciation,—about eleven inches by fourteen as far as I recollect, then one of the chief gems of Florence, seen in the little shrine it was painted for, now carried away by republican pillage, and lost in the general lumber of

1 [The historical account of these frescoes has not been found among Ruskin’s papers or note-books. From a note-book of 1845, with descriptions of other paintings in Florence, numerous quotations have been made in this edition: see, e.g., the list of Contents in Vol. IV. pp. xv.–xvi.]

the great pillage-reservoir galleries. The monks let me sit close to it and work, as long as I liked, and went on with their cup-rinsings and cope-foldings without minding me. If any priest of the higher dignities came in, I was careful always to rise reverently, and get his kind look, or bow, or perhaps a stray crumb of benediction. When I was tired of drawing, I went into the Spezieria, and learned what ineffable sweetenesses and incenses were in the herbs and leaves that had gathered the sunbeams of Florence into their life; and bought little bundles of bottles, an inch long, and as thick as a moderately sized quill, with Araby the blest and a spice island or two inside each. Then in the afternoon a bit of street or gallery work, and after dinner, always up either to Fèsole or San Miniato. In those days, I think it never rained but when one wanted it to, (and not always then); wherever you chanced to be, if you got tired, and had no friends to be bothered with, you lay down on the next bank and went to sleep, to the song of the cicadas, which, with a great deal of making believe, might at last, somehow, be thought nice.

128. I did make one friend in Florence, however, for love of Switzerland, Rudolph Durheim, a Bernese student, of solid bearish gifts and kindly strength. I took to him at first because of a clearly true drawing he had made of his little blue-eyed twelve-years-old simplicity of a goat-herd sister; but found him afterwards a most helpful and didactic friend. He objected especially to my losing time in sentiment or over-hot vaporization, and would have had me draw something every afternoon, whether it suited my fancy or not. “Ça vaut déjà la peine,” said he, stopping on the way to the Certosa, under a group of hillside cottages; it was my first serious lesson in Italian backgrounds; and if we had worked on together, so and so

1 [Now in Cell No. 34 in the “Museo di S. Marco.” The size of the panel is 13½ in. by 10.]
2 [For other descriptions of and references to the Spezieria, see Vol. IV. p. 352 n.; Vol. XII. p. 251; and Vol. XXIV. p. 275.]
3 [Compare above, p. 139.]
might have happened, as so often aforesaid. But we separated, to our sorrow then, and harm, afterwards. I went off into higher and vainer vaporization at Venice; he went back to Berne, and under the patronage of its aristocracy, made his black bread by dull portrait-painting to the end of a lost life. I saw the arid remnant of him in his Bernese painting, or daubing, room, many a year afterwards, and reproached the heartless Alps, for his sake.

129. Of other companionship in Florence, except Couttet’s, I had none. I had good letters to Mr. Millingen, and of course a formal one to the British Embassy. I called on Mr. Millingen dutifully, but found he knew nothing after the fourth century B.C., and had as little taste for the Liber Studiorum as the Abbé Rosini. I waited on the Ambassador, and got him to use British influence enough to let me into the convent of the Magdalen, wherein I have always since greatly praised Perugino’s fresco, with a pleasant feeling that nobody else could see it. I never went near the Embassy afterwards, nor the Embassy near me, till I sent my P.P.C. card by George, when I was going away, before ten in the morning, which caused Lord——’s porter to swear fearfully at George and his master both. And it was the last time I ever had anything to do with Embassies, except through the mediation of pitying friends.

There was yet another young draughtsman in Florence, who lessoned me to purpose—a French youth;—his family name Dieudonné; I knew him by no other. He had trained himself to copy Angelico, in pencil tint, wrought with the point, as pure as the down on a butterfly’s wing, and with perfect expression: typical engraving in grey, of inconceivable delicacy. I have never seen anything the least

1 [See, e.g., pp. 96, 120, 228, 304.]
2 [James Millingen, archæologist, died at Florence in this year of Ruskin’s visit (1845), at the age of seventy-one. The British Ambassador to the Court of Tuscany was at this time Henry Edward Fox, fourth Lord Holland (1802–1859).]
3 [See above, p. 354.]
4 [The convent, not of the Magdalen, but of S. Maria Maddalena (1566–1607), now secularised. For Ruskin’s notice of Perugino’s fresco (of the Crucifixion), in the chapter-house, see Vol. IV. p. 322 n.]
San Miniato, Florence
1845.
approaching it since, but did not then enough know its value. Dieudonné’s prices were necessarily beyond those of the water-colour copyists, and he would not always work, even when the price was ready for him. He went back to France, and was effaced in the politeness of Paris, as Rudolph in the rudeness of Berne. Hard homes alike, their native cities, to them both.

130. My own work in Florence, this time, was chiefly thinking and writing—progressive, but much puzzled, and its Epicurean pieties a little too dependent on enamel and gilding. A study in the rose-garden of San Miniato, and in the cypress avenue of the Porta Romana, remain to me, for memorials of perhaps the best days of early life.¹

Couttet, however, was ill at ease and out of temper in Florence, little tolerant of Italian manners and customs; and not satisfied that my studies in sacristies and cloisters were wise, or vials of myrrh and myrtle essence as good for me as the breeze over Alpine rose. He solaced himself by making a careful collection of all the Florentine wildflowers for me, exquisitely pressed and dried,—now, to my sorrow, lost or burned with all other herbaria; they fretted me by bulging always in the middle, and crumbling, like parcels of tea, over my sketches.

At last the Arno dried up; or, at least, was reduced to the size of the Effra at Dulwich, with muddy shingle to the shore; and the grey “pietra serena”² of Fésole was like hot iron in the sun, sprinkled with sand. Also, I had pretty well tired myself out, and, for the present, spent all my pictorial language;—so that we all of us were pleased to trot over the Apennines, and see the gleam of Monte Rosa again from Piacenza and Pavia. Once it was in sight, I went straight for it, and remember nothing more till we were well afoot in the Val Anzasca.

131. The afternoon rambles to Fésole and Bellosguardo,

¹ [The “rose-garden” may be the drawing here reproduced (Plate XXIV.); the “cypress avenue” is unknown to the editors.]
² [A technical term applied to a stone found at Fésole and in various parts of the Apennines: see Tommaseo’s Italian Dictionary, 1869, vol. iii. p. 1023.]
besides having often to stand for hours together writing notes in church or gallery, had kept me in fair training; and I did the twenty miles up hill from Vogogna to Macugnaga without much trouble, but in ever hotter indignation all the way at the extreme dulness of the Val Anzasca, “the most beautiful valley in the Alps”—according to modern guide books.¹ But tourists who pass their time mostly in looking at black rocks through blue spectacles, cannot be expected to know much about a valley:—on the other hand, ever since the days of Glenfarg and Matlock,² I have been a stream-tracker and cliff-hunter, and rank mountains more by the beauty of their glens than the height of their summits: also, it chanced that our three first journeys abroad had shown me the unquestionably grandest defiles of the Alps in succession—first the Via Mala, then the St. Gothard, then the tremendous granites of the Grimsel; then Rosenlaui and Lauterbrunnen, Val d’Aosta and Courmayeur; then the valley of the Inn and precipices of Innsbruck—and at last the Ortlerspitze and descent from the Stelvio to Como; with the Simplon and defile of Cluse now as well known as Gipsy Hill at Norwood: and the Val Anzasca has no feature whatever in any kind to be matched with any one of these. It is merely a deep furrow through continuous masses of shaly rock, blistered by the sun and rough with juniper, with scattered chestnut-trees and pastures below. There are no precipices, no defiles, no distinct summits on either flank; while the Monte Rosa, occasionally seen at the extremity of the valley, is a mere white heap, with no more form in it than a haycock after a thunder-shower.

132. Nor was my mind relieved by arrival at Macugnaga itself; I did not then, nor do I yet, understand why the village should have a name at all, more than any other group of half-a-dozen chalets in a sheltered dip of

¹ [So Murray’s Switzerland, 1891, vol. ii. p. 467: “The Val Anzasca combines all that is most lovely in Italian with all that is most grand in Swiss scenery.”]
² [See, for Glenfarg, i. § 5; and for Matlock, i. § 83 (above, pp. 16, 75).]
moorlands. There was a little inn, of which the upper floor was just enough for the landlord, Couttet, George and me;—once, during a month’s stay, I remember seeing two British persons with knapsacks at the bottom of the stairs, who must also have slept in the house, I suppose. My own room was about seven feet wide by ten long; one window, two-feet-six square, at the side, looked straight into the green bank at the bottom of the Monte Moro, and another, at the end, looked into vacant sky down the valley. A clear dashing stream, not ice fed, but mere fountain and rainfall from the Moro, ran past the house just under the side window, and was the chief cause of my stay, and consolation of it. The group of chalets round had no inhabitants, that ever I saw:—the little chapel had a belfry, but I never remember hearing its bell, or seeing anybody go in or come out of it. I don’t think even the goats had bells, so quiet the place was. The Monte Rosa glacier, a mile higher up, merely choked the valley; it seemed to come from nowhere and to be going nowhere; it had no pinacless, no waves, no crevasses with action or method of fracture in them; no icefalls at the top, nor arched source of stream at the bottom; the sweep of rock above showed neither bedding nor buttressing of the least interest, and gave no impression of having any particular top, while yet the whole circuit of it was, to such poor climbing powers as mine, totally inaccessible, and even unapproachable, but with more trouble than it was worth.

133. Thus much I made out the first day after arriving, but thought there must be something to see somewhere, if I looked properly about; also, I had made solemn vows and complex postal arrangements for a month under Monte Rosa, and I stayed my month accordingly, with variously humiliating and disagreeably surprising results.

The first, namely, that mountain air at this height,

---

1 [For a letter written by Ruskin in 1845 from this “deal cabin,” see Vol. I. p. 498. J. D. Forbes stayed there, and describes the inn in his Travels, 1843, p. 343; and its history is told in Coolidge’s Swiss Travel and Swiss Guide-books, p. 233.]
4000 ft. for sleeping level, varying to 6000 or 7000 ft. in the
day’s walks, was really not good for me, but quickened pulse
and sickened stomach, and saddened one’s notions alike of
clouds, stones, and pastoral life.

The second, that my Florentine studies had not taught me
how to draw clouds or stones any better; that the stream under
my window was no more imitable than the Rhone itself, and that
any single boulder in it would take all the month, or it might be,
six weeks, to paint the least to my mind.

The third, that Alpine geology was in these high centres of it
as yet wholly inscrutable to me.

The fourth, that I was not, as I used to suppose, born for
solitude, like Dr. Zimmermann,1 and that the whole south side of
Monte Rosa did not contain as much real and comfortable
entertainment for me as the Market Street of Croydon. Nor do I
believe I could have stayed out my month at Macugnaga with
any consistency, but that I had brought with me a pocket volume
of Shakespeare, and set myself for the first time to read,
seriously, Coriolanus, and Julius Caesar.

134. I see that in the earlier passages of this too dimly
explicit narrative, no notice is taken of the uses of Shakespeare at
Herne Hill, other than that he used to lie upon the table;2 nor can
I the least trace his influence on my own mind or work, except as
a part of the great reality and infinity of the world itself, and its
gradually unfolding history and law. To my father, and to
Richard Gray, the characters of Shakespearian comedy were all
familiar personal friends; my mother’s refusal to expose herself
to theatric temptation began in her having fallen in love, for
some weeks, when she was a girl, with Henry the Fifth at the
Battle of Agincourt; nor can I remember in my own

1 [Johann Georg, Ritter von Zimmermann (1728–1795), Swiss philosophical writer
and physician; author of Ueber die Einsamkeit.]
2 [The reference must be to p. 143 (line 1); but Ruskin here forgets an earlier
statement (p. 61) that as a child he “heard all the Shakespeare comedies and historical
plays again and again.”]
childhood any time when the plots of the great plays were unknown to me, or—I write the word now with more than surprise—misunderstood! I thought and felt about all of them then, just as I think and feel now; no character, small or great, has taken a new aspect to me; and the attentive reading which began first at Macugnaga meant only the discovery of a more perfect truth, or a deeper passion, in the words that had before rung in my ears with too little questioned melody. As for the full contents of any passage, or any scene, I never expected, nor expect, to know them, any more than every rock of Skiddaw, or flower of Jura.

135. But by the light of the little window at Macugnaga, and by the murmur of the stream beneath it, began the courses of study which led me into fruitful thought, out of the till then passive sensation of merely artistic or naturalist life; and which have made of me—or at least I fain would believe the friends who tell me so—a useful teacher, instead of a vain labourer.

From that time forward, nearly all serious reading was done while I was abroad; the heaviest box in the boot being always full of dictionaries; and my Denmark Hill life resolved itself into the drudgery of authorship and press correction, with infinite waste of time in saying the same things over and over to the people who came to see our Turners.

In calling my authorship, drudgery, I do not mean that writing ever gave me the kind of pain of which Carlyle so wildly complains,—to my total amazement and boundless puzzlement, be it in passing said; for he talked just as vigorously as he wrote, and the book he makes bitterest moan over,1 *Friedrich*, bears the outer aspect of richly enjoyed gossip, and lovingly involuntary eloquence of description or praise. My own literary work, on the contrary, was always done as quietly and methodically as a piece of

1 [See, for instance, vol. ii. pp. 172, 173, of Froude’s *Carlyle's Life in London* (1885).]
tapestry. I knew exactly what I had got to say, put the words firmly in their places like so many stitches, hemmed the edges of chapters round with what seemed to me graceful flourishes, touched them finally with my cunningest points of colour, and read the work to papa and mamma at breakfast next morning, as a girl shows her sampler.

136. “Drudgery” may be a hard word for this often complacent, and entirely painless occupation; still, the best that could be said for it, was that it gave me no serious trouble; and I should think the pleasure of driving, to a good coachman, of ploughing, to a good farmer, much more of dressmaking, to an inventive and benevolent modiste, must be greatly more piquant than the most proudly ardent hours of book-writing have ever been to me, or as far as my memory ranges, to any conscientious author of merely average power. How great work is done, under what burden of sorrow, or with what expense of life, has not been told hitherto, nor is likely to be; the best of late time has been done recklessly or contemptuously. Byron would burn a canto if a friend disliked it, and Scott spoil a story to please a bookseller.

As I have come on the extremely minor question of my own work,* I may once for all complete all necessary account of it by confession of my evermore childish delight in beginning a drawing; and usually acute misery in trying to finish one. People sometimes praise me as industrious, when they count the number of printed volumes which Mr. Allen can now advertise. But the biography of the waste pencilling and passionately forsaken colouring, heaped in the dusty corners of Brantwood, if I could write it, would be far more pathetically exemplary or admonitory.

137. And as I transpose myself back through the forty years of desultory, yet careful, reading, which began in my mossy cell of Macugnaga, it becomes a yet more pertinent question to me how much life has been also wasted in

* Manner of work, I mean. How I learned the things I taught is the major, and properly, only question regarded in this history.
that manner, and what was not wasted, extremely weakened and saddened. Very certainly, *Coriolanus* and *Julius Caesar* did not in the least cheer or strengthen my heart in its Monte-Rosean solitude; and as I try to follow the clue of Shakespearian power over me since, I cannot feel that it has been anywise wholesome for me to have the world represented as a place where, for that best sort of people, everything always goes wrong; or to have my conceptions of that best sort of people so much confused by images of the worst. To have kinghood represented, in the Shakespearian cycle, by Richards II. and III. instead of I., by Henrys IV. and VIII. instead of II.; by King John, finished into all truths of baseness and grief, while Henry V. is only a king of fairy tale; or in the realm of imagination, by the folly of Lear, the cruelty of Leontes, the furious and foul guilt of Macbeth and the Dane. Why must the persons of Iago and Iachimo, of Tybalt and Edmund, of Isabel’s brother and Helena’s lord, pollute, or wither with their shadows, every happy scene in the loveliest plays; and they, the loveliest, be all mixed and encumbered with languid and common work,—to one’s best hope spurious, certainly, so far as original, idle and disgraceful?—and all so inextricably and mysteriously that the writer himself is not only unknowable, but inconceivable; and his wisdom so useless, that at this time of being and speaking, among active and purposeful Englishmen, I know not one who shows a trace of ever having felt a passion of Shakespear’s, or learnt a lesson from him.

Anyway, for good or sorrow, my student’s life, instead of mere instinct of rhythmic mimicry, began thus, not till I was six-and-twenty. It is so inconvenient to be always a year behind the Christian date, (and I am really so young of my age!) that I am going to suppose the reader’s permission to be only a quarter of a century old at Macugnaga, and to count my years henceforward by the stars instead of the clock.

138. The month of Rome and Monte Rosa was at
least, compared with the days at Florence, a time of rest; and when I got down to Domod’Ossola again, I was fresh for the expedition in search of Turner’s subject at Dazio Grande.

With Couttet and George, and a baggage mule, I walked up the Val Formazza, and across to Airolo; Couttet on this walk first formulating the general principle, “Pour que George aille bien, il faut lui donner à manger souvent; et beaucoup à la fois.” I had no objection whatever to this arrangement, and was only sorry my Chamouni tutor could not give the same good report of me. But on anything like a hard day’s walk, the miles after lunch always seemed to me to become German instead of geographical. And although I much enjoyed the Val Formazza all the way up, Airolo next day was found to be farther off than it appeared on the map, and on the third morning I ordered a post-chaise, and gave up my long-cherished idea of making the pedestrian tour of Europe.

139. The work done at Faido and Dazio Grande is told and illustrated in the fourth volume of *Modern Painters*;\(^1\) it was a little shortened by a letter from J. D. Harding, asking if I would like him to join me at any place I might have chosen for autumn sketching. Very gratefully, I sent word that I would wait for him at Baveno; where, accordingly, towards the close of August, we made fraternal arrangements for an Elysian fortnight’s floating round Isola Bella. There was a spacious half of seat vacant in my little hooded carriage, and good room for Harding’s folios with mine: so we trotted from Baveno to Arona, and from Arona to Como, and from Como to Bergamo, and Bergamo to Brescia, and Brescia to Verona, and took up our abode in the “Two Towers” for as long as we chose.

I do not remember finding in any artistic biography the history of a happier epoch than it was to us both. I am bold to speak for Harding as for myself. Generally,

\(^1\) [See Vol. VI. pp. 34 *seq.*]
the restlessness of ambition, or the strain of effort, or anxiety about money matters, taint or disturb the peace of a painter’s travels: but Harding did not wish, or perhaps think it possible, to do better than, to his own mind, he always did; while I had no hope of becoming a second Turner, and no thoughts of becoming a thirtieth Academician. Harding was sure of regular sale for his summer’s work, and under no difficulty in dividing the hotel bills with me: we both enjoyed the same scenes, though in different ways, which gave us subjects of surprising but not antagonistic talk: the weather was perfect, the roads smooth, and the inns luxurious.

140. I must not yet say more of Verona, than that, though truly Rouen, Geneva, and Pisa have been the centres of thought and teaching to me,¹ Verona has given the colouring to all they taught. She has virtually represented the fate and the beauty of Italy to me; and whatever concerning Italy I have felt, or been able with any charm or force to say, has been dealt with more deeply, and said more earnestly, for her sake.²

It was only for Harding’s sake that I went on to Venice, that year; and, for the first week there, neither of us thought of anything but the market and fishing boats, and effects of light on the city and the sea; till, in the spare hour of one sunny but luckless day, the fancy took us to look into the Scuola di San Rocco. Hitherto, in hesitating conjectures of what might have been, I have scarcely ventured to wish, gravely, that it had been. But, very earnestly, I should have bid myself that day keep out

¹ [See above, i. § 180 (p. 156).]
² [The MS. has an additional passage here:—

“The days spent at Verona in 1845 passed, however, in mere happy activity, drawing what I best could in alliance with Harding, whose sketches were always perfectly faithful, in his manner and according to his out-sight—in sight he had not; but of the plainly then visible and material Verona, the records he made were most precious, and are so, if yet they are at all. Nothing has amazed or grieved me more in the eager rush and outcry of recent art than the vanishing into silence and darkness of everything that was well done in its early days.”

Compare the account of Harding in the Epilogue of 1883: Vol. IV. p. 353.]
Entrance to the South Transept, Rouen Cathedral.
of the School of St. Roch, had I known what was to come of my knocking at its door. But for that porter’s opening, I should (so far as one can ever know what they should) have written, *The Stones of Chamouni*, instead of *The Stones of Venice*; and the *Laws of Fésole*, in the full code of them, before beginning to teach in Oxford: and I should have brought out in full distinctness and use what faculty I had of drawing the human face and form with true expression of their higher beauty.

But Tintoret swept me away at once into the “mare maggiore” of the schools of painting which crowned the power and perished in the fall of Venice; so forcing me into the study of the history of Venice herself; and through that into what else I have traced or told of the laws of national strength and virtue. I am happy in having done this so that the truth of it must stand; but it was not my own proper work; and even the sea-born strength of Venetian painting was beyond my granted fields of fruitful exertion. Its continuity and felicity became thenceforward impossible, and the measure of my immediate success irrevocably shortened.

141. Strangely, at the same moment, another adversity first made itself felt to me,—of which the fatality has been great to many and many besides myself.

It must have been during my last days at Oxford that Mr. Liddell, the present Dean of Christ Church, told me of the original experiments of Daguerre. My Parisian friends obtained for me the best examples of his results; and the plates sent to me in Oxford were certainly the first examples of the sun’s drawing that were ever seen in Oxford, and, I believe, the first sent to England.

Wholly careless at that time of finished detail, I saw

1 [Compare what Ruskin says in the Epilogue of 1883: Vol. IV. pp. 352–353; and for the effect made upon him and Harding by first sight of the Scuola di San Rocco, ibid., pp. xxxvii., 354.]

2 [The reference is to Tintoret’s saying quoted in *Modern Painters*, vol. ii. (Vol. IV. p. 27), and again in *Two Paths*, § 70 (Vol. XVI. p. 318).]

3 [Louis Jacques Mandé Daguerre (1789–1851); perfected the process invented by Niepce (1765–1833).]
nothing in the Daguerreotype to help, or alarm me; and inquired no more concerning it, until now at Venice I found a French artist producing exquisitely bright small plates, (about four inches square,) which contained, under a lens, the Grand Canal or St. Mark’s Place as if a magician had reduced the reality to be carried away into an enchanted land. ¹ The little gems of picture cost a napoleon each; but with two hundred francs I bought the Grand Canal from the Salute to the Rialto; and packed it away in thoughtless triumph.

142. I had no time then to think of the new power, or its meanings; my days were overweighted already. Every morning, at six by the Piazza clock, we were moored, Harding and I, among the boats in the fruit-market; then, after eight o’clock breakfast, he went on his own quest of full subjects, and I to the Scuola di San Rocco, or wherever else in Venice there were Tintorets. In the afternoon, we lashed our gondola to the stern of a fishing-boat, sailing, as the wind served, within or outside the Lido, and sketching the boat and her sails in their varied action,—or Venice, as she shone far away beyond her islands. Back to Danieli’s for six o’clock table d’hôte; where, after we had got a bit of fish and fillet of anything, the September days were yet long enough for a sunset walk.

143. A much regarded friend, Mr. Boxall, R. A.,² came on to Venice at this time, after finishing at Milan the beautiful drawing from Leonardo’s Christ, which was afterwards tenderly, though inadequately, engraved. Mrs. Jameson was staying also at Danieli’s, to complete her notes on Venetian legends:³ and in the evening walk we were usually

¹ [See the letter from Ruskin to his father (October 7, 1845) in Vol. III. p. 210 n.]
² [For Mr. (afterwards Sir) William Boxall, see Vol. XIV. p. 8 n. The drawing of the head of Christ was engraved by “F. C. Lewis, engraver to the Queen,” and published by Colnaghi, March 18, 1850. The print bears the following inscription: “Divinum illud Leonardi opus Mediolani in pariete pictum vetustate corrumpi non æque ferens Hanc effigiem lineis ut potuit exprimendam et in æs incidendam curavit Josephus Maberly Anglus.”]
³ [That is, notes on Venetian pictures in illustration of her Sacred and Legendary Art, published in 1848.]
together, the four of us;—Boxall, Harding, and I extremely embarrassing Mrs. Jameson by looking at everything from our pertinaciously separate corners of an equilateral triangle. Mrs. Jameson was absolutely without knowledge or instinct of painting (and had no sharpness of insight even for anything else); but she was candid and industrious, with a pleasant disposition to make the best of all she saw, and to say, complacently, that a picture was good, if anybody had ever said so before. Her peace of mind was restored in a little while, by observing that the three of us, however separate in our reasons for liking a picture, always fastened on the same pictures to like; and that she was safe, therefore, in saying that, for whatever other reason might be assigned, other people should like them also.

I got some most refined and right teaching from Mr. Boxall; of which I remember as chiefly vital, his swift correction of my misgiven Wordsworth’s line—

“So be it when I shall grow old,”¹ as—

“So shall it be when I grow old.”

I read Wordsworth with better care and profit ever afterwards; but there was this much of reason for that particular mistake, that I was perfectly confident in my own heart’s love of rainbows to the end, and felt no occasion to wish for what I was so sure would be.

144. But Mr. Boxall’s time, and Harding’s, were at end before I had counted and described all the Tintorets in Venice, and they left me at that task, besides trying to copy the Adoration of the Magi on four sheets of brown paper.² Things had gone fairly well as long as Harding took me out to sea every afternoon; but now, left to myself, trying to paint the Madonna and Magi in the

¹ [For other references to Wordsworth’s poem on the rainbow (“My heart leaps up when I behold”), see Vol. XVIII. pp. 163, 165.]
² [The Plate here given (XXVI.) is of another study made at this time, from Tintoret’s Crucifixion.]
Sketch of the middle portion of "The Crucifixion."

In the Scuola di San Rocco, Venice.

1845.
morning, and peering all the rest of the day into the shadowy corners of chapel and sacristy and palace-corridor, beside every narrow street that was paved with waves, my strength began to fail fast. Couttet got anxious, and looked more gravely every morning into my eyes. “Ç’a ne va pas bien,” said he. “Vous ne le sentirez pas à présent, mais vous le sentirez après.” I finished my list, however,—pasted my brown paper into some rude likeness of the picture,—and packed up colours and note-books finally for a rapid run home; when, as so often happens in the first cessation of an overstrain, the day after leaving Venice I was stopped at Padua by a sharp fit of nervous fever.

145. I call it “nervous,” not knowing what else to call it,—for there was no malarian taint or other malignity in it, but only quick pulse, and depressed spirit, and the nameless ailing of overwearied flesh. Couttet put me to bed instantly, and went out to buy some herb medicines,—which Paduan physicians are wise enough yet to keep,—and made me some tisane, and bade me be patient, and all would be well. And, indeed, next day I was up, in armchair; but not allowed to stir out of the extremely small back room of the old inn, which commanded view only of a few deep furrowed tiles and a little sky. I sent out George to see if he could find some scrap of picture to hang on the blank wall; and he brought me a seven-inch-square bit of fifteenth-century tempera, a nameless saint with a scarlet cloak and an embossed nimbus, who much comforted me.

I was able to travel in a day or two; but the mental depression, with some weakness of limb, remained, all across Lombardy, as far as Vogogna, where a frosty morning glittered on the distant Simplon; and though I could not walk up the pass of Gondo, there was no more sadness in me, afterwards, than I suffered always in leaving either Italy or the Alps.

146. Which, however, in its own kind, became acute
again a day or two afterwards, when I stopped on a cloudless afternoon at Nyon, where the road branches away for Paris. I had to say good-bye to Mont Blanc—there visible in his full cone, through the last gap given by the Chablais mountains as they rise eastward along the lake-shore.

Six months before, I had rhymed to his snows\(^1\) in such hope and delight, and assurance of doing everything I wanted, this year at last; and now, I had only discovered wants that any number of years could not satisfy; and weaknesses, which no ardour of effort or patience of practice could overcome.

Thus, for the first time, measuring some of the outer bastions of the unconquerable world, I opened my English letters; which told me that my eldest Croydon cousin, John,\(^2\) in whose prosperity and upward rounding of fortune’s wheel all of us had been confident, was dead in Australia.

So much stronger than I, and so much more dutiful, working for his people in the little valley of Wandel, out in the great opposite desolate country; and now the dust of it laid on him, as on his brother the beach-sand on this side the sea.\(^3\) There was no grief, for me, in his loss, so little had I known, and less remembered, him; but much awe, and wonder, when all the best and kindest of us were thus struck down, what my own selfish life was to come to, or end in.

147. With these thoughts and fears fastening on me, as I lost sight first of Mont Blanc, and then of the lines of Jura, and saw the level road with its aisle of poplars in perspective vista of the five days between Dijon and Calais, the fever returned slightly, with a curious tingling, and yet partly, it seemed to me, deadness of sensation, in the throat, which would not move, for better nor worse, through the

\(^1\) [See Vol. II. p. 233. The poem (which is quoted below, p. 473) was completed in June 1845, but presumably first written in April at Nyon, whither Ruskin returned in November.]

\(^2\) [See above, i. § 98 (p. 88).]

\(^3\) [See above, i. § 158 (p. 137).]
long days, and mostly wakeful nights. I do not know if diphtheria had been, in those epochs, known or talked of; but I extremely disliked this feeling in the throat, and passed from dislike into sorrowful alarm, (having no Couttet now to give me tisane,) and wonder if I should ever get home to Denmark Hill again.

Although the poetical states of religious feeling taught me by George Herbert’s rhymes,¹ and the reading of formal petition, whether in psalter or litany, at morning and evening and on Sunday forenoon, were sincere enough in their fanciful or formal ways, no occasion of life had yet put me to any serious trial of direct prayer. I never knew of Jessie’s or my aunt’s sicknesses,² or now of my cousin John’s, until too late for prayer; in our own household there had been no instantly dangerous illness since my own in 1835;³ and during the long threatening of 1841 I was throughout more sullen and rebellious than frightened. But now, between the Campo Santo and Santa Maria Novella, I had been brought into some knowledge of the relations that might truly exist between God and His creatures; and thinking what my father and mother would feel if I did not get home to them through those poplar avenues, I fell gradually into the temper, and more or less tacit offering, of very real prayer.

Which lasted patiently through two long days, and what I knew of the nights, on the road home. On the third day, as I was about coming in sight of Paris, what people who are in the habit of praying know as the consciousness of answer, came to me; and a certainty that the illness, which had all this while increased, if anything, would be taken away.

Certainty in mind, which remained unshaken, through unabated discomfort of body, for another night and day, and then the evil symptoms vanished in an hour or two,

¹ [See above, ii. § 110 (p. 345).]
² [See above, i. § 78 (p. 71).]
³ [See above, i. § 176 (p. 151).]
on the road beyond Paris; and I found myself in the inn at Beauvais entirely well, with a thrill of conscious happiness altogether new to me.

148. Which, if I had been able to keep!—Another “had been” this, the gravest of all I lost; the last with which I shall trouble the reader.¹

That happy sense of direct relation with Heaven is known evidently to multitudes of human souls of all faiths, and in all lands; evidently often a dream,—demonstrably, as I conceive, often a reality; in all cases, dependent on resolution, patience, self-denial, prudence, obedience; of which some pure hearts are capable without effort, and some by constancy. Whether I was capable of holding it or not, I cannot tell; but little by little, and for little, yet it seemed invincible, causes, it passed away from me. I had scarcely reached home in safety before I had sunk back into the faintness and darkness of the Under-World.

¹ [But there is another presently: see below, p. 384.]
CHAPTER VIII
THE STATE OF DENMARK

149. The house on Denmark Hill, where my father and mother, in the shortening days of 1845, thankfully received back their truant, has been associated, by dated notepaper, with a quarter of a century of my English life; and was indeed to my parents a peaceful, yet cheerful, and pleasantly, in its suburban manner, dignified, abode of their declining years. For my father had no possibilities of real retirement in him; his business was the necessary pride and fixed habit of his soul: his ambition, and what instinct of accumulative gain the mercantile life inevitably begets, were for me only; but involved the fixed desire to see me moving in the western light of London, among its acknowledged literary orders of merit; and were totally inconsistent with the thought, faintly and intermittingly haunting my mother and me, that a rose-covered cottage in the dells of Matlock or the vale of Keswick, might be nearer the heavenly world, for us, than all the majesty of Denmark Hill, connected though it was, by the Vauxhall Road and convenient omnibuses, with St. James’s Street and Cavendish Square.

But the house itself had every good in it, except nearness to a stream, that could with any reason be coveted by modest mortals. It stood in command of seven acres of healthy ground (a patch of local gravel there overlying the London clay); half of it in meadow sloping to the sunrise, the rest prudently and pleasantly divided into an upper and lower kitchen garden; a fruitful bit of orchard, and chance inlets and outlets of woodwalk, opening to the
sunny path by the field, which was gladdened on its other side in
springtime by flushes of almond and double peach blossom.
Scarce all the hyacinths and heath of Brantwood redeem the loss
of these to me, and when the summer winds have wrecked the
wreaths of our wild roses, I am apt to think sorrowfully of the
trailings and climbings of deep purple convolvulus which
bloomed full every autumn morning round the trunks of the
apple trees in the kitchen garden.¹

¹50. The house itself had no specialty, either of comfort or
inconvenience, to endear it; the breakfast-room, opening on the
lawn and farther field, was extremely pretty when its walls were
mostly covered with lakes by Turner* and doves by Hunt; the
dining and drawing-rooms were spacious enough for our
grandest receptions,—never more than twelve at dinner, with
perhaps Henry Watson and his sisters in the evening,—and had
decoration enough in our Northcote portraits, Turner’s
Slave-ship, and, in later years, his Rialto, with our John Lewis,
two Copley Fieldings, and every now and then a new Turner
drawing. My own work-room, above the breakfast-room, was
only distinct, as being such, in its large oblong table, occupying
so much of the—say fifteen by five-and-twenty—feet of
available space

* Namely, Derwentwater; Lake Lucerne, with the Righi, at sunset; the Bay
of Uri, with the Rothstock, from above Brunnen; Lucerne itself, seen from the
lake; the upper reach of the lake, seen from Lucerne; and the opening of the
Lake of Constance, from Constance. Goldau, St. Gothard, Schaffhausen,
Coblentz, and Llanthony, raised the total of matchless Turner drawings in this
room to eleven.²

¹ [On Plate XXVII. the front and back of the house are shown. The top middle
window on the left at the back of the house was that of Ruskin’s bedroom (the side
windows were blocked up). Immediately below was Ruskin’s study (in this case the two
side windows were clear, and the middle one blocked up). The top window on the right
was that of Ruskin’s “mineral room.”]

² [Particulars of these drawings, as also of the “Slave-ship” and the “Rialto"
(“Venice: the Grand Canal”), will be found in the “List of Works by Turner at any time
in the Collection of Ruskin,” Vol. XII. p. 597. For the “doves by Hunt,” see Vol. XIV.
pp. 443–444. The “Northcote portraits” are Plates II., III., and VII. in the present
volume. The “John Lewis” is one of the two, reproduced as Plates XVI. and XVII. in
Vol. XII. For the “two Copley Fieldings,” see Vol. XIII. p. 572 (comparing Vol.
XXXIII. p. 379 n.).]
Ruskin's House at Denmark Hill
within bookcases, that the rest of the floor virtually was only a passage round it. I always wrote on the flat of the table,—a bad habit, enforced partly by the frequent need of laying drawings or books for reference beside me. Two windows, forming the sides of a bow blank in the middle, gave me, though rather awkwardly crossed, all the light I needed: partly through laziness and make-shiftiness, partly in respect for external symmetry,—for the house had really something of an architectural air at the back,—I never opened the midmost blank wall, though it considerably fretted me: the single window of my bedroom above, looking straight south-east, gave, through the first ten or twelve winters at Denmark Hill, command of the morning clouds, inestimable for its aid in all healthy thought. Papa and mamma took possession of the quiet western rooms, which looked merely into the branches of the cedar on the front lawn.

151. In such stateliness of civic domicile, the industry of mid life now began for me, little disturbed by the murmur of London beyond the bridges, and in no wise by any enlargement of neighbourly circle on the Hill itself; one family alone excepted,¹ whose affection has not failed me from then till now,—having begun in earlier times, out of which I must yet gather a gleam or two of the tremulous memory.

In speaking of Mr. Dale’s school, I named only my younger companions there;² of whom Willoughby had gone to Cambridge, and was by this time beyond my ken; but Edward Matson sometimes came yet to dine with us at Denmark Hill, and sometimes carried me down to Woolwich, to spend a day amidst its military displays and arts, with his father, and mother, and two sweet younger sisters. Where I saw, in Major Matson, such calm type of truth, gentleness, and simplicity, as I have myself found in soldiers

¹ [The Oldfields; a Miss Oldfield married Dr. Oldham, and their daughter, Miss Constance Oldham, was Ruskin’s god-child.]
² [See above, i. § 91 (p. 82).]
or sailors only; and so admirable to me that I have never been able, since those Woolwich times, to gather myself up against the national guilt of war, seeing that such men were made by the discipline of it.  

But at Mr. Dale’s were also two senior pupils, little known to me except, Henry Dart by his large hazel eyes, and Edmund Oldfield by his already almost middle-aged aspect of serene sagacity. When I went to Oxford, I found Dart at Exeter College, where we established poetical friendship, and contended in all honour for the Newdigate, reading our best passages to each other, for improving censure. Dart, very deservedly, won it that year, and gave promise of generous distinction afterwards; but the hazel eyes were too bright, and closed, in a year or two, to this world’s ambition.

152. I do not know how it chanced that the art impulse which animated Edmund Oldfield’s grave sagacity did not manifest itself to me till much later. He was the elder brother of a large group of clever lads and lasses, amiable in the extreme, yet in a slightly severe and evangelical manner; whose father was in some tangible relation to mine as one of the leading men of business on the Hill; their mother known to us by sight only, as a refined and still beautiful woman,—evangelical without severity; both of them occupying, with such of their children as were that way minded, the pew before us in Mr. Burnet’s chapel, whereat sometimes in my younger days we went to hear a gloomier divinity than that of my beloved and Anacreontic Doctor Andrews.

153. We might never have known more of them, unless, among the sacred enthusiasms of Camberwell parish, the fancy had arisen to put a painted window into the east end of the pretty church, just built for it by Mr. Gilbert

---

1 [Compare Crown of Wild Olive, §§ 85 seq. (Vol. XVIII. pp. 459 seq.).]
2 [In 1838; for Ruskin’s poem sent in on that occasion, “The Exile of St. Helena,” see Vol. II. p. 45. For some remarks by Ruskin on his poem which won the prize in the following year, see the Appendix; below, p. 614.]
3 [See above, i. § 81 (p. 74).]
Scott. Edmund Oldfield, already advanced far beyond me in Gothic art scholarship, was prime mover in the matter, but such rumour as existed in the village of my interest in architecture justified him in expecting some help from me. I had already quite fixed notions of what the colour of glass should be, and in these Edmund concurred. The tracery of the east window seemed to us convertible into no dishonouring likeness of something at Rheims or Chartres. Hitherto unconscious of my inability to compose in colour, I offered to design the entire window head; and did, after some headstrong toil, actually fill the required spaces with a mosaic presenting an orthodox cycle of subjects in purple and scarlet, round a more luminous centre of figures adapted from Michael Angelo. Partly in politeness, partly in curiosity, the committee on the window did verily authorize Edmund Oldfield and me to execute this design; and I having fortunately the sense to admit Edmund’s representations that the style of Michael Angelo was not exactly adapted to thirteenth-century practice, in construction of a vitrail, the central light was arranged by him on more modest lines; and the result proved on the whole satisfactory to the congregation, who thereupon desired that the five vertical lights might be filled in the same manner. I had felt, however, through the changes made on my Michael Angelesque cinquefoil, that Mr. Oldfield’s knowledge of Gothic style, and gift in placing colour, were altogether beyond mine; and prayed him to carry out the rest of the window by himself. Which he did with perfect success, attaining a delicate brilliancy purer than anything I had before seen in modern glass.

154. I should have been more crushed by this result, had I not been already in the habit of feeling worsted in everything I tried of original work; while since 1842, I was more and more sure of my faculty of seeing the beauty and meaning of the work of other minds. At this time, I

1 [St. Giles’ Church. For particulars, see Vol. XII. pp. lxiv., lxv.; and the letters to Oldfield, *ibid.*, pp. 435 seq. The window designed by him and Ruskin is shown on Plate XXII. in that volume.]
might assuredly have been led by Edmund Oldfield into a study of all the painted glass in England, if only Edmund had been a little more happy in his own power: but I suppose his immediate success was too easy to divert him from the courses of study which afterwards gave him his high position in the British Museum, 1 not enough recognized by the public, and, I believe, farther obscured by the ill humour or temper of Mr. Panizzi. 2 If only—I may still sometimes indulge in a “might have been,” 3 for my friends—he had kept to Gothic foils and their glass, my belief is that Edmund Oldfield could have done for England great part of what Viollet-le-Duc did for France, with the same earnestness, and with thrice the sensibility. But the sensibility taking in him the form of reserve, and the restless French energy being absent, he diffused himself in serene scholarship till too late, and retired from the collisions and intrigues of the Museum too early.

Our temporary alliance among the traceries of Camber-well had for immediate consequence to me, an introduction to his family, which broke the monastic laws of Denmark Hill to the extent of tempting me to a Christmas revel or two with his pretty sisters; whereat I failed in my part in every game, and whence I retired in a sackcloth of humiliation, of which the tissue had at once the weight of a wet blanket, and the sting of horsehair.

155. I have only once named 4 among my Christ Church

1 [Edmund Oldfield (1817–1902), M.A., F.S.A., Honorary Fellow of Worcester College, Oxford; assistant keeper of the antiquities at the British Museum; and at one time private secretary to Sir Henry Austen Layard at the Office of Works. (For an obituary notice, see the Times, April 15, 1902.)]

2 [Sir Anthony Panizzi (1797–1879), keeper of the printed books at the British Museum, 1837; principal librarian, 1856–1866.]

3 [See above, p. 378.]

4 [See above, i. § 225 (p. 198). Newton was Ruskin’s senior by three years (1816–1894); he was appointed an assistant in the British Museum, 1840; in the consular service in the East, 1852–1860, during which time he identified the site, and secured for the Museum the chief remains, of the Mausoleum at Halicarnassus; keeper of the Greek and Roman antiquities, 1861–1885; K. C. B., 1877. In a letter to W. H. Harrison (circ. 1850) Ruskin writes—

“Newton is indeed a noble fellow. I learn more from him than from any other of my acquaintance, old and young, besides getting prime jokes into the bargain.”]
companions, Charles Newton. He was considerably my senior, besides being a rightly bred scholar, who knew his grammar and his quantities; and, while yet an undergraduate, was doing accurately useful work in the Architectural Society. Without rudely depreciating my Proutesque manner of drawing, he represented to me that it did not meet all the antiquarian purposes of that body; and, always under protest, I drew a Norman door for Newton, (as the granite veins of Trewavas Head for Dr. Buckland,¹) with distinct endeavour to give the substantial facts in each, apparent to the vulgar mind. And if only—once more pardon, good reader, but this is really an “if” that I cannot resist—if only Newton had learnt Irish instead of Greek, Scotch instead of Egyptian, and preferred, for light reading, the study of the Venerable Bede to that of Victor Hugo,—well, the British Museum might have been still habitable; the effigy, as the bones, of Mausolus would have rested in peace;² and the British public known more than any Idylls of kings have yet told them, of personages such as Arthur, Alfred, and Charlemagne.

156. There remained yet some possibilities, even after Charles Newton became Attic and diplomatic, of some heroic attachment between us, in the manner of Theseus and Pirithous. In fact, for some years after my Camberwell window and Campo Santo entanglements, Theseus retained, I believe, some hope of delivering me from those Lethean chains; nor until so late as the year 1850,³ when, as we crossed the Great St. Bernard together, Charles spoke heresies against the Valley of Chamouni, remarking, with respect to its glacial moraines, that “he thought more

¹ [See above, i. § 225 (p. 198). For another reference to Ruskin drawing for Newton, see the Appendix; below, p. 611.]
² [For Ruskin’s dislike of the statue of Mausolus, discovered by Newton at Halicarnassus, see a letter to Malleson of April 23, 1881 (Vol. XXXVII.).]
³ [Really in August 1851: see Vol. X. p. xxiv., where some account of Newton’s journey with Ruskin to the Great St. Bernard, Chamouni, the Val d’Aosta (castle of Verres), and Milan is given. Newton had just been appointed by Lord Granville to the vice-consulship of Mytilene; but he did not go to the Levant till February 1852 (see his Travels and Discoveries in the Levant).]
housemaids were wanted in that establishment," and on the other hand, I expressed myself respecting the virtues of diplomatists, and the value of the opinions of the British Peerage on Art and Science, in a manner which caused Newton to observe (not without foundation) that “there was the making of Robespierre in me,”—not till then, I repeat, did it become clear to either of us that the decisions of Minos were irrevocable.

We yet examined the castle of Verres together, as once the aisles of Dorchester;¹ and compared in peace, at Milan, the Corinthian graces of St. Lorenzò with the Lombardic monsters of St. Ambrogiò.² Early the next morning Newton left me, in the Albergo Reale, not without inner tears on both sides, and went eastward, I know not where. Ever since, we have been to each other, he as the Heathen, and I as the Publican, both of us finding it alike impossible to hear the Church.³

157. The transition to Denmark Hill had, however, in the first pride of it, an advantage also in giving our family Puritanism, promotion to a distinguished pew in Camden Chapel, quite near the pulpit. Henry Melvill, afterwards Principal of Haileybury, was the only preacher I ever knew whose sermons were at once sincere, orthodox, and oratorical on Ciceronian principles.⁴ He wrote them from end to end with polished art, and read them admirably, in his own manner; by which, though the congregation affectionately expected it, they were always deeply impressed. He arranged his sermon under four or five heads, and

¹ [The abbey church of Dorchester, near Oxford.]
² [See Vol. XVI. p. 276, and Plate XIV. there.]
³ [Matthew xviii. 17.]
⁴ [Henry Melvill, 1798–1871; second wrangler, 1821; principal of Haileybury College, 1843–1857; chaplain to Queen Victoria, 1853; canon of St. Paul’s, 1856–1871; rector of Barnes, 1863–1871. Gladstone was similarly impressed by his preaching. “His sentiments,” he wrote in his diary (1833), “are manly in tone; he deals powerfully with all his subjects; his language is flowing and unbounded; his imagery varied and immensely strong. Vigorous and lofty as are his conceptions, he is not, I think, less remarkable for soundness and healthiness of mind” (Morley’s Life of Gladstone, vol. i. p. 100). For another reference to Melvill, see Vol. XXXIV. p. 365. Several volumes of his sermons were published by Rivingtons, 1853, 1870, 1872 (with a memoir).]
brought each in its turn to a vigorously pointed climax, delivering the last words of each paragraph with two or three energetic nods of his head, as if he were hammering that much of the subject into the pulpit cushion with a round-headed mallet.*

Then all the congregation wiped their eyes, blew their noses, coughed the coughs they had choked over for the last quarter of an hour, and settled themselves to the more devoted acceptance of the next section.

158. It is the habit of many good men—as it was confessedly, for instance, that of the infant Samuel—Wilberforce, Bishop of Oxford—not to allow themselves to doubt or

* The hackneyed couplet of Hudibras respecting clerical use of the fist on the pulpit cushion is scarcely understood by modern readers, because of the burlesqued rhythm leaning falsely on the vowel:—

“The pulpit, drum ecclesiastic,
Is beat with fist instead of a stick.”

The couplet, like most of the poem, has been kept in memory more by the humour of its manner than the truth of its wit. I should like myself to expand it into—

“The pulpit, drum ecclesiastic,
Keeps time to truth politely plastic,
And wakes the Dead, and lulls the Quick,
As with a death’s-head on a stick.”

Or, in the longer rhythm of my old diary—

“Who, despots of the ecclesiastic drum,
Roll the rogues’ muffled march, to the rogues’ ‘kingdom come.’ ”—

For indeed, since I wrote the paragraph about the pulpit of Torcello, in The Stones of Venice, Vol. II., Chap. II.,¹ it has become hourly more manifest to me how far the false eloquence of the pulpit—whether Kettledrum’s at Drumclog, with whom it is, in Gibbon’s scornful terms, “the safe and sacred organ of sedition,” or the apology of hired preachers for the abuses of their day—has excited the most dangerous passions of the sects, while it quenched the refiner’s fire and betrayed the reproofing power of the gospel.²

¹ [Vol. X. pp. 30, 31.]
² [Compare Ruskin’s sermon against sermons in Vol. XVIII. p. 290 n. He calculates elsewhere that he had heard five thousand in the course of his life: see Vol. XXXIV. pp. 204, 217. For another reference to Kettledrumme (Old Mortality, chaps. 16, 17), see Vol. XXXIV. p. 382. The passage in Gibbon is in chapter xxxvii. (“The pulpit, that safe and sacred organ of sedition, resounded with the names of Pharaoh and Holofernes”).]
question any part of Bible teaching. Henry Melvill, being of the same Episcopal school, and dutifully forbidding himself any dangerous fields of inquiry, explained with accuracy all that was explicable in his text, and argued the inexplicable into the plausible with great zeal and feeling;—always thoroughly convincing himself before he attempted to convince his congregation.

(It may be noted in passing that Dean Stanley, on the other hand, used his plausibility to convince his congregation without convincing himself, or committing himself to anything in particular; while Frederic Maurice secured his audiences’ religious comfort, by turning their too thorny convictions the other side up, like railroad cushions.)

For the rest, Mr. Melvill was entirely amiable in the Church visitant, though not formidable in the Church militant. There were not many poor in the district to be visited; but he became at once a kindly and esteemed friend to us, as, for the present, serenely feeding lambs of his flock; and I shall always remember gratefully the unoffended smile with which one day, when he had called late, and I became restless during his conversation because my dinner was ready, he broke off his talk, and said, “Go to your dinner.”

I was greatly ashamed of myself for having been so rude; but went to my dinner,—attended better to Mr. Melvill’s preaching ever afterwards,—and owe to him all sorts of good help in close analysis, but especially, my habit of always looking, in every quotation from the Bible, what goes before it and after.*

* I have never forgotten his noble sermon, one day, on the folly of reading “Eye hath not seen the things God has prepared for them that love Him,” without going on to the end of the verse, “but He hath revealed them unto us by His Spirit.”

1 [The reference is to Bishop Samuel Wilberforce’s inhibition of Bishop Colenso on account of “a great and notorious scandal”; to wit, Colenso’s The Pentateuch Critically Examined. See the Life of Samuel Wilberforce, vol. iii. pp. 112 seq. Ruskin in this controversy strongly took the side of Colenso: see Vol. XVIII. p. 417.]

2 [For whom, see iii. §§ 13 seq. (below, pp. 486, 487).]

3 [1 Corinthians ii. 9.]
159. But to these particulars I must return by-and-bye;¹ for my business in this chapter is only to give account of the materials and mental resources with which, in my new study at Denmark Hill, looking out on the meadow and the two cows, I settled myself, in the winter of 1845, to write, as my father now justly expected me to do without farther excuse, the second volume of Modern Painters.²

It is extremely difficult to define, much more to explain, the religious temper in which I designed that second volume. Whatever I know or feel, now, of the justice of God, the nobleness of man, and the beauty of nature, I knew and felt then, nor less strongly; but these firm faiths were confused by the continual discovery, day by day, of error or limitation in the doctrines I had been taught, and follies or inconsistencies in their teachers: while for myself, it seemed to me quite sure, since my downfall of heart on last leaving France, that I had no part nor lot in the service or privileges of the saints; but, on the contrary, had such share only in the things of God, as well-conducted beasts and serenely-minded birds had: while, even among the beasts, I had no claim to represent myself figuratively as a lion couchant, or eagle volant, but was, at my best and proudest, only of a doggish and piggish temper, content in my dog’s chain, and with my pig’s-wash, in spite of Carlyle;³ and having no mind whatever to win Heaven at the price of conversion like St. Ranieri’s,⁴ or mortification like St. Bruno’s.

160. And that my father much concurred with me in these, partly stubborn, partly modest, sentiments, appeared curiously on the occasion of registering his arms at the Heralds' College for painting, as those of the Bardi,⁵ and no more under the Long Acre limitation.⁶ “vix ea nostra,”

¹ [There is, however, no further reference to Melvill, or to Ruskin’s study of the Bible.]
² [Ruskin returned home on November 4, 1845, and the second volume was published on April 24, 1846: see Vol. IV. p. xxxix.]
³ [See “Pig Philosophy” in Latter-Day Pamphlets, No. viii.]
⁴ [See § 120; above, p. 354; and for St. Bruno, below, p. 481.]
⁵ [See Vol. XXII. p. 267.]
⁶ [See above, i. § 124 (p. 107).]
on the panel of his own brougham. It appeared, on inquiry at the
Heralds’ Office, that there was indeed a shield appertaining to a
family, of whom nothing particular was known, by the name of
Rusken: Sable, a chevron, argent, between six lance-heads, argent. This, without any evidence of our relation to the family,
we could not, of course, be permitted to use without
modification: but the King-at-Arms registered it as ours, with
the addition of three crosses crosslets on the chevron, gules, (in case
of my still becoming a clergyman!); and we carried home, on
loan from the college, a book of crests and mottoes; crests being
open to choice in modern heraldry, (if one does not by chance
win them,) as laconic expressions of personal character, or
achievement.

Over which book, I remember, though too vaguely, my
father’s reasoning within himself, that a merchant could not with
any propriety typify himself by Lord Marmion’s falcon, or Lord
Dudley’s bear; that, though we were all extremely fond of dogs,
any doggish crest would be taken for an extremely minor dog, or
even puppy, by the public; while vulpine types, whether of heads
or brushes, were wholly out of our way; and at last, faute de
mieux, and with some idea, I fancy, of the beast’s resolution in
taking and making its own way through difficulties, my father,
with the assent, if not support, of my mother and Mary, fixed,
sooth, upon a boar’s head, as reasonably proud, without claim
to be patrician; under-written by the motto “Age quod a gis.”
Some ten or twelve years, I suppose, after this, beginning to
study heraldry with attention, I apprehended, that, whether a
knight’s war-cry, or a peaceful yeoman’s saying, the words on
the scroll of a crest could not be a piece of advice to other people,
but must be always a declaration of the bearer’s own mind.

Whereupon I

1 [On the name, see the Introduction; above, pp. lix.–lii.]
2 [For the falcon in Scott’s Marmion, see Vol. XXXIII. p. 500 n.; for the bear and
ragged staff (the crest of the Earls of Warwick from Saxon times), see Kenilworth, ch.
vii. (“The bear brooks no one to cross his awful path”—spoken by Dudley, Lord
Leicester, son of Dudley, Earl of Warwick).]
changed, on my own seal, the “Age quod agis” into “To-day,” tacitly underlined to myself with the warning, “The night cometh, when no man can work.”

161. But as years went on, and the belief in fortune, and fortune-telling, which is finally confessed in “Fors Clavigera,” asserted itself more distinctly in my private philosophy, I began to be much exercised in mind as to the fortunate, or otherwise, meaning of my father’s choosing a pig for my crest; and that the more, because I could not decide whether it was lawful for me to adopt the Greek mode of interpretation, according to which I might consider myself an assistant of Hercules in the conquest of the Erymanthian boar, or was restricted to the Gothic reading which would compel me to consider myself a pig in personâ—(as the aforesaid Marmion a falcon, or Albert of Geierstein a vulture)—and only take pride in the strength of bristle, and curl of tusk, which occasioned, in my days of serious critical influence, the lament of the Academician in Punch:

“I paints and paints,
Hears no complaints,
And sells before I’m dry,
Till savage Ruskin
Sticks his tusk in,
And nobody will buy.”

Inclining, as time went on, more and more to this view of the matter, I rested at last in the conviction that my prototype and patron saint was indeed, not Hercules, but St. Anthony of Padua, and that it might in a measure be recorded also of little me, that

“il se retira d’abord dans une solitude peu éloignée du bourg de Côme, puis dans un sépulcre fort éloigné de ce bourg, enfin dans les masures d’un vieux château au-dessus d’Héraclée, où il vécut pendant vingt ans. Il n’est

1 [Luke ix. 4.]
2 [See Anne of Geierstein, chap. v.]
3 [“Poem by a Perfectly Furious Academician.” In Punch, 1856; reprinted at p. 70 of Wit and Humour, by Shirley Brooks, 1875. Ruskin’s citation is not quite correct: see Vol. XIV. p. xxvii.]
pas possible de raconter tout ce qu’il eut à souffrir dans ces trois retraites, tant par les rigueurs qu’il exerça sur lui-même que par la malice du démon, qui mit tout en œuvre pour le tromper par ses artifices, ou pour l’abattre par ses menaces et ses mauvais traitements, qui allèrent quelquefois jusqu’à le laisser pour mort des coups qu’il lui donna. Antoine triompha de tout; et ce fut pour le récompenser de tant de combats et de tant de victoires que Dieu le rendit puissant en œuvres et en paroles pour guérir toutes sortes de maladies spirituelles et corporelles, chasser les démons aussi bien des corps que des âmes, se faire obéir par les bêtes les plus cruelles, par les éléments et les autres créatures les moins soumises à la volonté de l’homme.”

162. I must not, however, anticipate the course of this eventful history so far as to discuss at present any manner of the resemblance in my fate, or work, or home companionships, to those of St. Anthony of Padua; but may record, as immediately significant, the delight which both my mother and I took in the possession of a really practical pigstye in our Danish farmyard, (the coach-house and stables being to us of no importance in comparison); the success with which my mother directed the nurture, and fattening, of the piglings; the civil and jovial character of the piglings so nurtured, indicated especially by their habit of standing in a row on their hind-legs to look over the fence, whenever my mother came into the yard: and conclusively by the satisfaction with which even our most refined friends would accept a present of pork—or it might be, alas! sometimes of sucking pig—from Denmark Hill.

163. The following example of such acknowledgments, addressed to my father, is farther interesting in its post (or side) script, referring to the civil war in Switzerland, and fixing, therefore, the letter, otherwise without date of

* Dictionnaire des Sciences Ecclésiastiques. I assumed, of course, in adopting this patron saint, that he would have the same domestic pets as St. Anthony of the Desert.1

1 [As You Like It, Act ii. sc. 7.]
3 [See Vol. XXVII. p. 328 n.]
year, to 1845, when I was beginning to prepare for my first adventurous journey.

47, QUEEN ANN (no street!) WEST, 
Thursday, 27 Feb.

"My dear Sir,

"Have the goodness to offer my respectful thanks to Mrs. Ruskin for the kind present of a part of the little fat friends, & its * Portugal onions for stuffing them included, &c., &c. Hoping you are all well,

"Believe me,

"Most truly obliged,

"J. M. W. TURNER."

J. RUSKIN, ESQ.

In the Times, sad news from Switzerland.

Neither do I think it irrelevant, in this place, to foretell that, after twenty years’ various study of the piglet character, (see, for instance, the account of the comfort given me by the monastic piglet at Assisi,†) I became so resigned to the adoption of my paternally chosen crest as to write my rhymed travelling letters to Joan‡ most

* Turner always indicates by these long lines the places in his letters where his feelings become inexpressible.
† “In one of my saddest moods, I got some wholesome peace and refreshment by mere sympathy with a Bewickian little pig, in the roundest and conceitedest burst of pig-blossom.”—Fors, Letter 48.¹
‡ Now Mrs. Arthur Severn.

¹ [See Vol. XXVIII. p. 208.]
frequently in my heraldic character of “Little Pig”; or, royally plural, “Little Pigs,” especially when these letters took the tone of confessions, as for instance, from Keswick, in 1867:—

“When little pigs have muffins hot,
And take three quarters for their lot,
Then, little pigs—had better not.”

And again, on the occasion of over-lunching myself before ascending Red Pike, in the same year:—

“As readers, for their minds’ relief,
Will sometimes double down a leaf,
Or rather, as good sailors reef
Their sails, or jugglers, past belief
Will con-involve a handkerchief—
If little pigs, when time is brief
Will, that way, double up their beef,
Then—little pigs will come to grief.”

And here is what may, it seems to me, gracefully conclude this present chapter, as a pretty and pathetic Pigwiggian chaunt, from Abbeville, in 1858:—

“If little pigs,—when evening dapples,
With fading clouds, her autumn sky,—
Set out in search of Norman Chapels,
And find, instead, where cliffs are high,
Half way from Amiens to Etaples,
A castle, full of pears and apples,
On donjon floors laid out to dry;
—Green jargonelles, and apples tenney,¹—
And find their price is five a penny,
If little pigs, then, buy too many,
Spare to those little pigs a sigh.”

¹ [From the French tenné, an heraldic term denoting a tincture of orange-brown.]
CHAPTER IX

THE FEASTS OF THE VANDALS

164. The reader of “to-day” who has been accustomed to hear me spoken of by the artists of to-day as a super-annuated enthusiast, and by the philosophers of to-day as a delirious visionary, will scarcely believe with what serious interest the appearance of the second volume of Modern Painters was looked for, by more people than my father and mother,—by people even belonging to the shrewdest literary circles, and highest artistic schools, of the time.

165. In the literary world, attention was first directed to the book by Sydney Smith, in the hearing of my severest and chiefly antagonist master, the Rev. Thomas Dale, who with candid kindness sent the following note of the matter to my father:—

“You will not be uninterested to hear that Mr. Sydney Smith (no mean authority in such cases) spoke in the highest terms of your son’s work, on a public occasion, and in presence of several distinguished literary characters. He said it was a work of transcendent talent, presented the most original views, and the most elegant and powerful language, and would work a complete revolution in the world of taste. He did not know, when he said this, how much I was interested in the author.”

166. My father was greatly set up by this note, though the form of British prudence which never specifies occasion or person, for fear of getting itself into a scrape, is provokingly illustrated by its imperfect testimony. But it

1 [The first title was “Symposia Andalusica.”]
2 [A letter by Ruskin on Sydney Smith, promised at Vol. III. p. xl. for this place, has more conveniently been included in Arrows of the Chace: Vol. XXXIV. p. 564. See the General Index for the numerous references to Sydney Smith in Ruskin’s books.]
mattered little who the other “literary characters” might have been, for Sydney’s verdict was at this time, justly, final, both in general society and among the reviewers; and it was especially fortunate for me that he had been trained in his own youth, first by Dugald Stewart, and then by the same Dr. Thomas Brown who had formed my father’s mind and directed his subsequent reading. And, indeed, all the main principles of metaphysics asserted in the opening of Modern Painters had been, with conclusive decision and simplicity, laid down by Sydney himself in the lectures he gave on Moral Philosophy at the Royal Institution in the years 1804–5–6, of which he had never enough himself recognized the importance. He amplified and embodied some portions of them afterwards in the Edinburgh Review; but “considering that what remained could be of no farther use, he destroyed several, and was proceeding to destroy the whole, when, entreaty being made by friends that the portions not yet torn up might be spared, their request was granted;"* and these despised fragments, published in 1850 under the title of Elementary Sketches of Moral Philosophy, contain, in the simplest and securest terms, every final truth which any rational mortal needs to learn on that subject.

Had those lectures been printed five years sooner, and then fallen in my way, the second volume of Modern Painters would either never have been written at all, or written with thankful deference to the exulting wit and gracious eloquence with which Sydney had discerned and adorned all that I wished to establish, twenty years before.

167. To the modern student, who has heard of Sydney Smith only as a jester, I commend the two following passages, as examples of the most wise, because most

* See note to Introduction, in the edition of 1850.

---

1 [See above, i. § 144 (p. 124).]
2 [The first (§ 167) is the concluding passage of Lecture ix. (“On the Conduct of the Understanding”), pp. 111–113; the second (§ 168) is the concluding passage of the book (Lecture xxvii., “On Habit”), pp. 423–424).]
noble, thought, and most impressive, because steel-true, language, to be found in English literature of the living, as distinguished from the classic, schools:—

"But while I am descanting so minutely upon the conduct of the understanding, and the best modes of acquiring knowledge, some men may be disposed to ask, ‘Why conduct my understanding with such endless care? and what is the use of so much knowledge?’ What is the use of so much knowledge?—what is the use of so much life! What are we to do with the seventy years of existence allotted to us? and how are we to live them out to the last? I solemnly declare that, but for the love of knowledge, I should consider the life of the meanest hedger and ditcher as preferable to that of the greatest and richest man here present: for the fire of our minds is like the fire which the Persians burn in the mountains,—it flames night and day, and is immortal, and not to be quenched! Upon something it must act and feed,—upon the pure spirit of knowledge, or upon the foul dregs of polluting passions. Therefore, when I say, in conducting your understanding, love knowledge with a great love, with a vehement love, with a love coeval with life, what do I say, but love innocence, love virtue, love purity of conduct, love that which, if you are rich and great, will sanctify the blind fortune which has made you so, and make men call it justice; love that which, if you are poor, will render your poverty respectable, and make the proudest feel it unjust to laugh at the meanness of your fortunes; love that which will comfort you, adorn you, and never quit you,—which will open to you the kingdom of thought, and all the boundless regions of conception, as an asylum against the cruelty, the injustice, and the pain that may be your lot in the outer world,—that which will make your motives habitually great and honourable, and light up in an instant a thousand noble disdains at the very thought of meanness and of fraud! Therefore, if any young man here have embarked his life in pursuit of knowledge, let him go on without doubting or fearing the event; let him not be intimidated by the cheerless beginnings of knowledge, by the wretched habitations in which she springs, by the difficulties which hover around her, by the darkness from which she springs, by the difficulties which hover around her, by the wretched habitations in which she dwells, by the want and sorrow which sometimes journey in her train; but let him ever follow her as the Angel that guards him, and as the Genius of his life. She will bring him out at last into the light of day, and exhibit him to the world comprehensive in acquirements, fertile in resources, rich in imagination, strong in reasoning, prudent and powerful above his fellows in all the relations and in all the offices of life."

168. “The history of the world shows us that men are not to be counted by their numbers, but by the fire and vigour of their passions; by their deep sense of injury; by their memory of past glory; by their eagerness for fresh fame; by their clear and steady resolution of ceasing to live, or of achieving a particular object, which, when it is once formed, strikes off a load of manacles and chains, and gives free space to all heavenly and heroic feelings. All great and extraordinary actions come from the heart. There are seasons in human affairs when qualities, fit
enough to conduct the common business of life, are feeble and useless, and when men
must trust to emotion for that safety which reason at such times can never give. These
are the feelings which led the Ten Thousand over the Carduchian mountains; these are
the feelings by which a handful of Greeks broke in pieces the power of Persia: they
have, by turns, humbled Austria, reduced Spain; and in the tens of the Dutch, and in
the mountains of the Swiss, defended the happiness, and revenged the oppressions
of man! God calls all the passions out in their keenness and vigour, for the present safety
of mankind. Anger, and revenge, and the heroic mind, and a readiness to suffer;—all
the secret strength, all the invisible array of the feelings;—all that nature has reserved
for the great scenes of the world. For the usual hopes, and the common aids of man, are
all gone! Kings have perished, armies are subdued, nations mouldered away! Nothing
remains, under God, but those passions which have often proved the best ministers of
His vengeance, and the surest protectors of the world.”

169. These two passages of Sydney’s express, more than any
others I could have chosen out of what I know of modern
literature, the roots of everything I had to learn and teach during
my own life; the earnestness with which I followed what was
possible to me in science, and the passion with which I was
beginning to recognize the nobleness of the arts and range of the
powers of men.

It was a natural consequence of this passion that the
sympathy of the art-circles, in praise of whose leading members
the first volume of Modern Painters had been expressly written,
was withheld from me much longer than that of the general
reader; while, on the other hand, the old Roman feuds with
George Richmond1 were revived by it to the uttermost; and
although, with amused interest in my youthful enthusiasm, and
real affection for my father, he painted a charming water-colour
of me sitting at a picturesque desk in the open air, in a crimson
waistcoat and white trousers, with a magnificent port-crayon in
my hand, and Mont Blanc, conventionalized to Raphaelian
grace, in the distance,2 the utmost of serious opinion on my essay
which my father could get from him was “that I should know
better in time.”

1 [See above, pp. 275, 276.]
2 [See the frontispiece to Vol. III.]
170. But the following letter from Samuel Prout, written just at the moment when my father’s pride in the success of the book was fast beguiling him into admission of its authorship, at least in our own friendly circle, expresses with old-fashioned courtesy, but with admirable simplicity and firmness, the first impression made by my impetuous outburst on the most sensible and sincere members of the true fellowship of English artists, who at that time were doing each the best he could in his own quiet way, without thought either of contention with living rivals, or of comparing their modest work to the masterpieces of former time.

="Hastings, July 2nd, 1843."

"DEAR SIR,—I beg to apologize for not sooner acknowledging, with my best thanks, your kindness in adding another to many obligations.

"Please to believe that I am ambitious of meriting your many acts of kind consideration, but I am ashamed and vexed to feel a consciousness of apparent rudeness, and a trial of patience which nothing can extenuate. I must fear that my besetting sin of idleness in letter-writing has been displeasing to you, although your note is politely silent on the subject.

"I am sorry to say that for months together my spirits have sunk so low, that every duty and every kindness have been sadly neglected.

"In consequence of this nervous inactivity, the Water Colour Exhibition contains almost all I have been able to accomplish since last year. The drawing of Petrarch’s House,1 which you wished me to make, was finished some time since, but is so unlike what I am sure you expected, that I deferred saying anything about it till another was made. Alas! the things I ought to have done have not been done. I intended bringing it to town with me, and asking the favour that it might remain in your possession till I had made something more worthy. My trip to town has been put off month after month, and I expect the resolution will not awake till the last day of seeing sights. Should you not be in town, both drawings shall be left at Foord’s.*

"Permit me to say that I have been indulged with a hasty perusal of a work on art and artists by ‘A Graduate of Oxford.’ I read the volume

* The letters quoted in the text of Præterita will always be given without omissions even of trivial passages. Of those arranged in Dilecta, I give only the portions which seem to me likely to interest the reader; and even take leave to drop superfluous sentences without stars or other note of the omission, but so that the absolute meaning of the writer shall be always kept.

---

1 [A drawing of this subject was No. 67 in the Prout Exhibition: see Vol. XIV. p. 430.]
with intense interest, the sentiments and language riveting my attention to every page. But I mourn lest such splendid means of doing eminent service to art should be lost. Had the work been written with the court-eousness of Sir Joshua Reynolds’ lectures, it would have been ‘a standard work,’ the author held in high estimation for his learning, and the volume recommended for instruction and usefulness. Perhaps nothing helps more certainly to an accession of influence, and an accumulating power of doing good, than the language in which we dictate. We approach an unassuming courteous manner with respect, confidence, and satisfaction, but most persons shrink back from sarcasm. Certainly every author who writes to do good will write with firmness and candour, cleaving to what is right, but cautious of giving pain or offence.

“I hope some day to give the book a more careful perusal; it made me think, and when I lay hold of it again, I will endeavour to test it by my experience and the judgment of others; and as I have a little cooled from the rage I felt at first to find my ‘darlings’ set at nought, I trust in spite of its biting bitterness I shall feel more ashamed of myself, and more respect for the opinions of the author.

“Pardon, dear sir, this presuming to tire your patience with my humble opinions; and should it be true what I have just heard, that you know the author, I will rely on your goodness to forgive my objection to opinions in which you are so much interested.

“If it is so, you are indeed honoured, and I trust the powerful ‘angel-bright talent’ will be directed to do much good for art and artists. Pray give me credit for sincerity in acknowledging that it is art generally I feel for, and as far as I am individually mentioned, I am pleased to find that I have come off beautifully.

“I did not intend to write so much. Kindly pardon quantity and quality,

“And believe me to remain, dear Sir,

“With the greatest respect,

“Yours truly and obliged,

“S. PROUT.”

171. I must guard myself, however, very distinctly in giving this letter as an example of the general feeling about the book among the living painters whom it praised, against attributing to them any such admiration of my “angel-bright talent” as that here expressed by my father’s affectionate, and now intimate, friend. The group of landscapists, headed by Copley Fielding, David Cox, and P. de

[Young’s Night Thoughts, vi. 274:—]

“Talents angel-bright,  
If wanting worth, are shining instruments  
In false ambition’s hand.”]
Wint in the old Water Colour Society, and by David Roberts and Clarkson Stanfield in the Academy (Turner being wholly exceptional, and a wild meteoric phenomenon in the midst of them, lawless alike and scholarless)—this group of very characteristically English landscape painters had been well grounded, every one of them, more or less, in the orthodox old English faith in Dutch painting; had studied it so as to know the difficulty of doing anything as good in its way; and, whether in painting or literature, had studied very little else. Of any qualities or talents “angel-bright,” past or present, except in the rather alarming than dignified explosions round the stable lantern which sometimes take place in a Rembrandt Nativity, Vision to the Shepherds, or the like, none of them had ever felt the influence, or attempted the conception: the religious Italian schools were as little known at that time, to either artist or connoisseur, as the Japanese, and the highest scholarly criticism with which I had first come to hand-grips in *Blackwood,*¹ reached no higher than a sketching amateur’s acquaintance with the manner of Salvator and Gaspar Poussin. Taken as a body, the total group of Modern Painters were, therefore, more startled than flattered by my schismatic praise; the modest ones, such as Fielding, Prout, and Stanfield, felt that it was more than they deserved,—and, moreover, a little beside the mark and out of their way; the conceited ones, such as Harding and De Wint, were angry at the position given to Turner; and I am not sure that any of them were ready even to endorse George Richmond’s consoling assurance to my father, that I should know better in time.

172. But, with all the kindness of heart, and appreciation of domestic character, partly humorous, partly pathetic, which gave its prevailing tone to the British school of the day, led by Wilkie, Leslie, and Mulready, the entire fellowship of artists with whom we were acquainted sympathized

¹ [See above, i. § 243 (p. 217).]
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with the partly quaint, altogether pure, strong, and always genial, home-life of my father and mother; nor less with their anxious devotion to their son, and the hopes they entertained for him. Nor, I suppose, was my own status at Denmark Hill without something honourably notable to men of the world, in that, refusing to enter my father’s business, I yet stayed serenely under his authority, and, in what seemed to me my own proper line of work, did my utmost to please him. And when (I anticipate now the progress of the next four or five years)—when on any, to us, peculiarly festive occasion,—the return from a journey, publication of a new volume, anniversary of a birthday, or the like,—we ventured to ask our artist friends to rejoice with us, most of them came, I believe with real pleasure. The early six o’clock dinner allowed them usually a pleasant glance over the meadow and the Norwood Hills in the evening light; the table was just short enough to let the talk flow round without wandering into eddies, or lingering into confidences; there was no guest whom the others did not honour; there was neither effort, affectation, nor restraint in the talk. If the painters cared to say anything of pictures, they knew they would be understood; if they chose rather to talk of sherry, my father could, and would with delight, tell them more about it than any other person knew in either England or Spain; and when the candles came, and the good jests, over the nuts and olives, there was “frolic wine”1 in the flask at every right hand, such as that never Prince Hal nor Jack Falstaff tasted cup of brighter or mightier.

173. I somewhat admire in myself, at this time, though I perceive it to have been greatly owing to want of imagination, the simplicity of affection with which I kept hold on my Cumberland moors, Calais sands, and French costumes and streets,—as contrasted with the peaks of the Sierra Nevada, the surges of Trafalgar, and the towers of Seville

1 [Herrick, Hesperides (“Ode for Ben Jonson”).]
The field behind Ruskin's House at Denmark Hill.
1860.
and Granada; of all which I continually heard as the most beautiful and wonderful scenery and architecture of the European world; and in the very midst of which—in the heart of Andalusia, and on the very battle-field of Xeres de la Frontera which gave the Arab his dominion in Spain—I might have been adopted by my father’s partner to reign over his golden vineyards, and write the histories of the first Caliphs of Arabia and the Catholic Kings of Spain.

It chanced, however,—or mischanced,—for better or worse, that in the meantime I knew no more the histories of either Arabia or Spain than Robinson Crusoe or his boy Xury; that the absolutely careful and faithful work of David Roberts showed me the inconstructive and merely luxurious character of Spanish and Arab buildings; and that the painter of greatest power, next to Turner, in the English school, J. F. Lewis, rendered the facts of existing Andalusian life so vividly, as to leave me no hope of delighting or distinguishing myself in any constant relations either with its gaiety or its pride.

174. Looking back to my notices of these and other contemporary artists in the paragraphs added to the first volume of Modern Painters,\(^1\) when I corrected its sheets at Sestri di Levante, in 1846, I find the display of my new Italian information, and assertion of critical acumen, prevail sorrowfully over the expressions of gratitude with which I ought to have described the help and delight they had given me. Now, too late, I can only record with more than sorrow the passing away from the entire body of men occupied in the arts, of the temper in which these men worked. It is—I cannot count how many years, since, on all our walls of recklessly ambitious display, I have seen one drawing of any place loved for its own sake, or understood with unselfish intelligence. Whether men themselves, or their buildings, or the scenery in which they live, the

\(^1\) [In the third edition: the alterations were mainly in part ii. sec. i. ch. vii.; see Vol. III. pp. xlii., 195 n.]
only object of the draughtsman, be he great or small, is to
overpower the public mind with his greatness, or catch it with
his smallness. My notions of Rome, says Mr. Alma Tadema;
Mine of Venice, says Miss Clara Montalba; Ours of Belgravia
and Brighton, say the public and its Graphics, with unanimous
egotism;—and what sensational effects can be wrung out of
China or New Zealand, or the miseries and follies of mankind
anywhere. Exact knowledge enough—yes, let us have it to fill
our pockets or swell our pride; but the beauty of wild nature or
modest life, except for the sake of our own picnics or perquisites,
none care to know, or to save.

And it is wholly vain, in this state of the popular mind, to try
to explain the phase of art in which I was brought up, and of
which—little thinking how soon it was to pass away—I wrote so
ungratefully.

175. Absolutely careful and faithful, I said,¹ David Roberts
was, though in his own restricted terms; fastening on the
constant aspect of any place, and drawing that in grey shade, and
so much of what might pass for light as enough showed
magnitude, distance, and grace of detail. He was like a kind of
grey mirror; he gave the greatness and richness of things, and
such height and space, and standing of wall and rock, as one saw
to be true; and with unwearied industry, both in Egypt and Spain,
brought home records of which the value is now forgotten in the
perfect detail of photography, and sensational realism of the
effects of light which Holman Hunt first showed to be possible.
The minute knowledge and acute sensation throw us back into
ourselves; haunting us to the examination of points and
enjoyment of moments; but one imagined serenely and joyfully,
from the old drawings, the splendour of the aisles of Seville or
the strength of the towers of Granada, and forgot oneself, for a
time.

¹ [See above, p. 262.]
176. The work of John Lewis was a mirror of men only—of building and scenery as backgrounds for them; all alike rendered with an intensity of truth to the external life, which nothing has resembled before or since. But it was the external and animal life only. Lewis saw in men and women only the most beautiful of living creatures, and painted them as he did dogs and deer, but with a perception of their nature and race which laughs to scorn all the generic study of the scientific schools. Neither Andalusian nor Arab, Turk nor Circassian, had been painted before his time, any more than described before Byron’s; and the endeavours at representation of Oriental character or costume which accompany the travels of even the best-educated English travellers either during or immediately after the Peninsular war, are without exception the clumsiest, most vulgar, and most ludicrous pieces of work that ever disgraced draughtsmen, savage or civil.

No artist that ever I read of was treated with such injustice by the people of his time as John Lewis. There was something un-English about him, which separated him from the good-humoured groups of established fame whose members abetted or jested with each other; feeling that every one of them had something to be forgiven, and that each knew the other’s trick of trade. His resolute industry was inimitable; his colour—founded either on the frankness of southern sunlight, or on its subtle reflections and diffusions through latticed tracery and silken tent—resembled nothing that could be composed in a London studio; while the absence of bravado, sentiment, or philosophy in his subjects—the total subjection alike of the moral and immoral, the heroic and the sensual, to the mere facts of animal beauty, and grace of decoration, left him

---

1 [For another appreciation of Lewis, see Vol. XIV. pp. 73–78. In speaking below of the “injustice” with which Lewis was treated, Ruskin refers to the comparatively small prices which the artist’s drawings fetched, and to the misunderstanding which led to his resignation of the presidency of the Water-Colour Society: see Roget’s History of the Old Water-Colour Society, vol. ii. p. 147. Lewis was subsequently elected A. R. A. (1859) and R. A. (1865).]
without any power of appeal either to the domestic simplicity or personal pride of the ordinary English mind. In artistic power and feeling he had much in common with Paul Veronese: but Paolo had the existing pomp and the fading religion of Venice to give his work hold on the national heart, and epic unity in its design; while poor Lewis did but render more vividly, with all his industry, the toy contrabandista or matador of my mother’s chimneypiece.\footnote{[See above, p. 348.]} He never dined with us as our other painter friends did; but his pictures, as long as he worked in Spain, were an extremely important element in both my father’s life and mine.

177. I have not yet enough explained the real importance of my father’s house, in its command of that Andalusian wine district. Modern maps of Spain, covered with tracks of railroad, show no more the courses either of Guadalquivir or Guadiana; the names of railway stations overwhelm those of the old cities; and every atlas differs from every other in its placing of the masses of the Sierras,—if even the existence of the mountain ranges be acknowledged at all.

But if the reader will take ten minutes of pains, and another ten of time, to extricate, with even the rudest sketch, the facts of value from the chaos of things inscrutably useless, in any fairly trustworthy map of Spain, he will perceive that between the Sierra Morena on the north, and Sierra Nevada on the south, the Guadalquivir flows for two hundred miles through a valley fifty miles wide, in the exact midst of which sits Cordova, and half way between Cordova and the sea, Seville; and on the Royal Harbour, Puerto Real, at the sea shore,—Cadiz; ten miles above which, towards Seville, he will find the “Xeres de la Frontera,” to which, as a golden centre of Bacchic commerce, all the vineyards of that great valley of Andalusia,
IX. THE FEASTS OF THE VANDALS

Vandalusia, or, as Mr. Ford puts it,¹ I believe more probably, land of the west, send down their sun-browned juice; the ground of Macharnudo on Mr. Domecq’s estate at Xeres itself furnishing the white wine of strongest body in Europe.

178. The power which Mr. Domecq had acknowledged in my father, by making him head partner in his firm, instead of merely his English agent, ruled absolutely at Xeres over the preparation of the wines; and, by insisting always on the maintenance of their purity and quality at the highest attainable standard, gave the house a position which was only in part expressed by its standing, until Mr. Domecq’s death, always at the head in the list of importers. That list gave only the number of butts of wine imported by each firm, but did not specify their price; still less could it specify the relation of price to value. Mr. Domecq’s two or three thousand butts were, for the most part, old wine, of which the supply had been secured for half a century by the consistent prudence of putting the new vintages in at one end of cellars some quarter of a mile long, and taking the old vintages out at the other. I do not, of course, mean that such transaction was literally observed; but that the vulgar impatience to “turn over” capital was absolutely forsworn, in the steady purpose of producing the best wine that could be given for the highest price to which the British public would go. As a rule, sherry drinkers are soundly-minded persons, who do not choose to spend a guinea a glass on anything; and the highest normal price for Mr. Domecq’s “double-cross” sherry was eighty pounds a butt; rising to two hundred for the older wines, which were only occasionally imported. The highest price ever given was six hundred; but this was at a loss to the house, which only allowed wine to attain the age which such a price represented in order to be able to supply, by the mixture of it with younger vintage, whatever

quality the English consumer, in any fit of fashion, might desire.

On the whole, the sales varied little from year to year, virtually representing the quantity of wine annually produced by the estate, and a certain quantity of the drier Amontillado, from the hill districts of Montilla, and some lighter and cheaper sherries,—though always pure,—which were purchased by the house for the supply of the wider London market. No effort was ever made to extend that market by lowering quality; no competition was possible with the wines grown by Mr. Domecq, and little with those purchased on his judgment. My father used to fret, as I have told, if the orders he expected were not forthcoming, or if there seemed the slightest risk of any other house contesting his position at the head of the list. But he never attempted, or even permitted, the enlargement of the firm’s operations beyond the scale at which he was sure that his partner’s personal and equal care, or, at least, that of his head cellarman, could be given to the execution of every order.

Mr. Domecq’s own habits of life were luxurious, but never extravagant. He had a house in Paris, chiefly for the sake of his daughters’ education and establishment; the profits of the estate, though not to be named in any comparison with those of modern mercantile dynasty, were enough to secure annual income to each of his five girls large enough to secure their marriages in the best French circles; they became, each in her turn, baronne or comtesse; their father choosing their baron or count for them with as much discretion as he had shown in the choice of his own partner; and all the marriages turned out well. Elise, Comtesse des Roys, and Caroline, Princess Bethune, once or twice came with their husbands to stay with us; partly to see London, partly to discuss with my father his management of the English market; and the way in which these lords, virtually, of lands both in France and Spain, though

1 [See above, i. § 44 (p. 39).]
XI. THE FEASTS OF THE VANDALS

By a fortunate freak of fate, both my aunts married at Paris.

The Perth children were Mary and Jessie, James, John, William, and Andrew; the Croydon children, Margaret and Jessie. Each left six children: four boys and two girls.

I must briefly resume their histories, though much apart from home; yet each and all sprang from the laborious stock, the only cleanliness whom I had to care for beyond my cousins, the only occupation whom I had to care for beyond my real issue of any efforts to escape from those, in which my inestimable, and captious, or, as it seemed to chance always, the poverty, and captivity, or, as it seemed to chance always, the by-places of the beautiful, and continual opportunity of my own declining days, with luxury and continual opportunity of my own declining days, with

179. Still more seriously, I was now beginning to contrast the means of beautiful dominion in the Elysian fields, the means of beautiful dominion in the Elysian fields, with garden whirl and house, and图为 houses in Paris with the other pillars of our Danish pillars with placer, and Danish

By grotesque freak of Fors, both my aunts married a Mr. Richardson—and each left six children, four boys and two girls.

The Perth children were Mary and Jessie, James, John, William, and Andrew; the Croydon children, Margaret and
Bridget, John, William, George, and Charles. None left now but William of Croydon.¹

180. The Perth boys were all partly weak in constitution, and curiously inconsistent in elements of character, having much of their mother’s subtlety and sweetness mixed with a rather larger measure of their father’s tannin. The eldest, James, was unlike the other three,—more delicate in feature, and more tractable in temper. My father brought him up to London when he was one-or two-and-twenty, and put him into the counting-house to see what could be made of him: but, though perfectly well-behaved, he was undiligent and effectless—chiefly solicitous about his trousers and gloves. I remember him in his little room, the smaller of the two looking west at top of Herne Hill house, a pleasant, gentle, tall figure of a youth. He fell into rapid decline and died.

Nor long after him, the youngest brother, Andrew, who with fewer palpable follies, had less real faculty than the rest. He learnt farming under a good master in Scotland, and went out to Australia to prove his science; but after a short struggle with the earth of the other side of the world, rested beneath it.

181. The second brother, John, thus left the head of the family, was a stumpily made, snub-or rather knob-nosed, red-faced, bright-eyed, good-natured simpleton; with the most curiously subtle shrewdnesses, and obstinate faculties, ex crescent through his simplicity. I believe he first tried to carry on his father’s business; not prospering in that, after some pause and little-pleased scrutiny of him, he was established by my father as a wine-agent in Glasgow, in which business and town he remained, in a shambling, hand-to-mouth manner, some thirty years, a torment to my father, of an extremely vexatious kind—all the more that he was something of a possession and vestige of his mother

¹ [Mr. William Richardson died shortly after this was written. Ruskin was planning with him at the time to make a joint gift to the Drawing School at Oxford in memory of their mothers.]
all the same. He was a quite first-rate chess-player and whist-player: in business, he had a sort of chess and whist instinct for getting the better of people, as if every dozen of sherry were a hand of cards; and would often, for the mere pleasure of playing a trick, lose a customer without really making a penny by him. Good-natured, as I said, with a rude foundation of honesty at the bottom which made my father put up with him, (indeed, so far as I can find out, no one of all my relations was ever dishonest at heart, and most of them have been only too simple,) he never lied about his sherry or adulterated it, but tried to get little advantages in bargains, and make the customer himself to choose the worst wine at the money, and so on—trying always to get the most he could out of my father in the same way, yet affectionate in a dumb-doggish sort, and not ungrateful, he went scramble-shambling on, a plague to the end, yet through all, a nephew.

182. William, the third of the Perth boys, had all John’s faults of disposition, but greater powers, and, above all, resolution and perseverance, with a rightly foresighted pride, not satisfied in trivial or momentary successes, but knitting itself into steady ambition, with some deep-set notions of duty and principles of conscience farther strengthening it. His character, however, developed slowly, nor ever freed itself from the flaws which ran like a geological cleavage through the whole brotherhood: while his simplicities in youth were even more manifest than theirs, and as a schoolboy, he was certainly the awkwardest, and was thought the foolishest, of the four.

He became, however, a laborious and sagacious medical student, came up to London to walk the hospitals; and on passing his examination for medical practitioner, was established by my father in a small shop in the Bayswater Road, when he began—without purchase of any former favour, but camped there like a gipsy by the roadside,—general practice, chiefly among the poor, and not enough to live upon for a year or two (without supplemental pork
and apple-sauce from Denmark Hill), but conscientious and earnest, paying largely in gathered knowledge and insight. I shall often have occasion to speak of him hereafter;¹ it is enough to say in advance that after a few years of this discipline he took his diploma of M. D. with credit, and became an excellent physician—and the best chess-player I have ever known.

¹ [In Præterita, as left unfinished, there is only one later reference to him; iii. § 11 (p. 484).]
CHAPTER X
CROSSMOUNT

183. MY best readers cannot but be alike astonished and disappointed that I have nothing set down of the conversation, cordial always, and if George Richmond were there, better than brilliant, which flowed at these above described Vandalic feasts. But it seemed to me that all the sap and bloom of it were lost in deliberate narrative, and its power shorn away if one could not record also the expression of the speaker; while of absolutely useful and tenable resulting sense, there was, to my unsympathetic mind, little to be got hold of. Turner resolutely refused to speak on the subject of art at all, and every one of us felt that we must ask him no questions in that direction; while of what any other painter said, I was careless, regarding them all as limited to their own fields, and unable to help me in mine.

I had two distinct instincts to be satisfied, rather than ends in view, as I wrote day by day with higher-kindled feeling the second volume of Modern Painters. The first, to explain to myself, and then demonstrate to others, the nature of that quality of beauty which I now saw to exist through all the happy conditions of living organism; and down to the minutest detail and finished material structure naturally produced. The second, to explain and illustrate the power of two schools of art unknown to the British public, that of Angelico in Florence, and Tintoret in Venice.1

184. I have no knowledge, and can form no conjecture, of the extent to which the book in either direction

1 [See Vol. IV. pp. xlv., xlv.]
accomplished its purpose. It is usually read only for its pretty passages; its theory of beauty is scarcely ever noticed,—its praise of Tintoret has never obtained the purchase of any good example of him for the National Gallery.¹ But I permit myself—perhaps with vain complacency—the thought that I have had considerable share in the movement which led to the useful work of the Arundel Society in Italy, and to the enlargement of the National collection by its now valuable series of fourteenth-century religious paintings.

The style of the book was formed on a new model, given me by Osborne Gordon. I was old enough now to feel that neither Johnsonian balance nor Byronic alliteration were ultimate virtues in English prose; and I had been reading with care, on Gordon’s counsel, both for its arguments and its English, Richard Hooker’s *Ecclesiastical Polity*.² I had always a trick of imitating, more or less, the last book I had read with admiration; and it farther seemed to me that for the purposes of argument, (and my own theme was, according to my notion, to be argued out invincibly,) Hooker’s English was the perfectest existing model. At all events, I did the best I then knew how, leaving no passage till I had put as much thought into it as it could be made to carry, and chosen the words with the utmost precision and tune I could give them.

For the first time in my life, when I had finished the last sentence, I was really tired. In too long readings at Oxford I got stupid and sleepy, but not fatigued: now, however, I felt distinctly that my head could do no more; and with much satisfied thankfulness, after the revise of the last sheet was sent to printer, found myself on the bows of the little steamer, watching their magical division of the green waves between Dover and Calais.

185. Little steamers they all were, then; nor in the least well appointed, nor aspiring to any pride of shape or

¹ [A reproach partially removed in 1890 by the purchase of Lord Darnley’s “Origin of the Milky Way” (No. 1313).]
² [For other references by Ruskin to his debt to Hooker, see Vol. IV. p. 334 n., Vol. XVIII. p. 32, and above, p. 14.]
press of speed; their bits of sails worn and patched like those of an old fishing-boat. Here, for modest specimen of my then proper art style, I give my careful drawing of the loose lashed jib of one of them, as late as 1854.* The immeasurable delight to me of being able to loiter and swing about just over the bowsprit and watch the plunge of the bows, if there was the least swell or broken sea to lift them, with the hope of Calais at breakfast, and the horses’ heads set straight for Mont Blanc to-morrow, is one of the few pleasures I look back to as quite unmixed. In getting a Turner drawing I always wanted another; but I didn’t want to be in more boats than one at once.

As I had done my second volume greatly to my father’s and mother’s delight, (they used both to cry a little, at least my father generally did, over the pretty passages, when I read them after breakfast,) it had been agreed that they should both go with me that summer to see all the things and pictures spoken off,—Ilaria, and the Campo Santo, and St. Mary’s of the Thorn, and the School of St. Roch.

Though tired, I was in excellent health, and proud hope; they also at their best and gladdest. And we had a happy walk up and down the quiet streets of Calais that day, before four o’clock dinner.

186. I have dwelt with insistence in last chapter¹ on my preference of the Hotel de Ville at Calais to the Alcazar of Seville. Not that I was without love of grandeur in buildings; but, in that kind, Rouen front and Beauvais apse

* In which year we must have started impatiently, without our rubrical gooseberry pie,² for I find the drawing is dated “10th May, my father’s birthday,” and thus elucidated, “Opposite,” (i.e., on leaf of diary,) “the jib of steamer seen from inside it on the deck. The double curve at the base of it is curious; in reality the curves were a good deal broken, the sail being warped like a piece of wetted paper. The rings by which it holds, being alternately round and edge to the eye, are curious. The lines are of course seams, which go to the bottom of the sail; the brown marks, running short the same way, are stains.”

¹ [See above, p. 402.]
² [See above, i. § 33 (p. 32).]
THE OLD DOVER PACKET'S JIB.

"In gallant trim the gilded vessel goes."
were literally the only pieces that came up to my mark; ordinary
minsters and palaces, however they might set themselves up for
sublime, usually hurt me by some manner of disproportion or
pretence; and my best joys were in small pieces of provincial
building, full of character, and naturally graceful and right in
their given manner. In this kind the little wooden belfry of
Evreux, of which Prout’s drawing is photographed at page 42 of
my “Memoir,”* is consummate; but the Calais one, though of far
later and commoner style, is also matchless, far or near, in that
rude way, and has been a perpetual delight and lesson to me.
Prout has a little idealized it in the distance of the drawing of
Calais Harbour, page 40 in the same book;¹ I never tried to draw
it myself, the good of it being not in any sculpturesque detail, but
in the complex placing of its plain, square-cut props and ties,
taking some pretence of pinnacle on them, and being really as
structurally useful, though by their linked cirletting instead of
their weight. There was never time in the happy afternoon to do
this carefully enough, though I got a colour-note once of the
church-spire, loved in a deeper way, (Modern Painters, Vol. IV.,
Chap. I.,²) but the belfry beat me. After all, the chief charm of it
was in being seen from my bedroom at Dessein’s,³ and putting
me to sleep and waking me with its chimes.

187. Calais is properly a Flemish, not French town (of course
the present town is all, except belfry and church,

* Printed by the Fine Art Society, 1880.⁴

¹ [Vol. XIV. p. 409 (Plate XI.), where Ruskin’s drawing (1842) of the belfry is also
given (Plate XII.); the present drawing (Plate XXX.) is of earlier date (1835), and there
are other sketches in existence of the same subject. Ruskin here means, therefore, that he
“never tried” to make a finished and detailed drawing of the belfry.]
² [See in this edition Vol. VI. p. 11.]
³ [For other references to this hostelry, see Vol. II. p. 398, and Vol. XII. p. 381.]
⁴ [The “Memoir” is the Notes on Prout and Hunt: see in this edition Vol. XIV. p. 410
(Plate XIII.).]
built in the seventeenth century, no vestige remaining of Plantagenet Calais); it has no wooden houses, which mark the essential French civic style, but only brick or chalk ones, with, originally, most of them, good indented Flemish stone gables and tiled roofs. True French roofs are never tiled, but slated, and have no indented gables, but bold dormer windows rising over the front, never, in any pretty street groups of them, without very definite expression of pride. Poor little Calais had indeed nothing to be proud of, but it had a quaint look of contentment with itself on those easy terms; some dignity in its strong ramparts and drawbridge gates; and, better than dignity, real power and service in the half-mile of pier, reaching to the low-tide breakers across its field of sand.1

[In place of the brief passage “Sunset . . . begun,” the MS. has the following passage:—

“I may perhaps be allowed—per amor mio, as Polissena asks, and for love of Calais also—to keep here one of the verses of the Don Juan diary of 1835, which, as we are somewhat now on the question of style, is a useful example of the steady principle I learnt from Byron of writing verse straightforward, so that it would pass into reasonable prose if the reader should be that way minded.

‘There is a monument beneath the wall
   Of Calais, as you pass along the pier,—
   A plain, unsculptured low memorial;
   Yet pass not by it, stranger. It is dear—
   A thing most precious in the sight of all
   Who dwell upon the deep. There lie not here
   The bones of those whose names thereon you see;
   But ‘tis a tomb for such as have no tomb,
   Memory of those who have no memory,
   Nor even a burial place, except the gloom
   And ceaseless roll of the relentless sea,
   For whom no hymn was sung, except the boom
   Of waves innumerable, and the roar
   That their grave makes along their native shore.’

The second line would be mended by putting ‘towards’ for ‘along,’ which does not properly distinguish the pier from the quay; and I must modify the statement of the third line that the monument is ‘low’—for it is a black marble obelisk-shaped tablet. The gilded names on it are of some sailors who were drowned in trying to take the crew off a wreck; many a nameless one must have been lost since then. Of our own too memorable loss lately, in such duty—let me say from old Calais quay, that surely in England a perfect Lifeboat service might be organized of veteran sailors whose brave deaths would not leave young wives desolate, nor orphan children at the breast.”

For “per amor mio,” see “The Peace of Polissena” in Christ’s Folk, Vol. XXXII. p. 264. For the verse here quoted, see Vol. II. p. 397.]
Sunset, then, seen from the pier-head across those whispering fringes; belfry chime at evening and morning; and the new life of that year, 1846, was begun.  

188. After our usual rest at Champagnole, we went on over the Cenis to Turin, Verona, and Venice; whereat I began showing my father all my new discoveries in architecture and painting. But there began now to assert itself a difference between us I had not calculated on. For the first time I verily perceived that my father was older than I, and not immediately nor easily to be put out of his way of thinking in anything. We had been entirely of one mind about the carved porches of Abbeville, and living pictures of Vandyck; but when my father now found himself required to admire also flat walls, striped like the striped calico of an American flag, and oval-eyed saints

1 [Here in the MS. is a passage beginning:—

"Some readers may perhaps care to see the actual diary entry at Champagnole this year on which the beginning of the sixth chapter of Seven Lamps was afterwards founded:—

April 19th.—It has been one of the singular and threatening days when the sky is mottled with the sharp-edged silver-grey cloud that Fielding uses above his rain. It seems to me to precede rain, not accompany it;—the sky looks like a grey canvas loaded with scattered stones and supported by pegs, the sharp dark edge of every wave being downmost, and very continuous,—no spray nor jaggedness except at intervals where a rugged fragment hung down like a waterspout, sometimes continued into a fringe, an effect I have rarely seen without rain, of which not a drop fell. Wind westerly, with nothing in it, I suppose.

'I have been walking in the woods beside the river on the ascent towards St. Laurent . . . [for the rest of the passage, see Vol. VIII. p. 221 n.] . . . I think if that pine forest had been among the Alleghanies, or if the stream had been Niagara, I should only have looked at them with intense melancholy and desire for home.'

'Home,' of course, meaning here either Duppas Hill and the Wandel, or Friar’s Crag and Derwentwater. But again I am disposed to be pleased with myself in the contentment with familiar, instead of curiosity for strange things, and in the tacit assumption that the cascades of Ain were better than any quantity of Niagaras. Concerning which I may note here in memory of Osborne Gordon, the classic form in which he used to put the answer, now confusedly hackneyed, given by the impressionable American to his poetic friend, eager for his admiration of ‘the irresistible flood thundering into the unfathomable abyss.’ Many manners of reply have been since invented, but Gordon’s quiet one seems yet to me the best—‘What is there to hinder it?’"]

2 [For the itinerary of the tour of 1846, with extracts from Ruskin’s diary, see Vol. VIII. pp. xx.–xxiii.]
like the figures on a Chinese teacup, he grew restive, Farther, all
the fine writing and polite _éclat_ of _Modern Painters_ had never
reconciled him to my total resignation of the art of poetry; and
beyond this, he entirely, and with acute sense of loss to himself,
doubted and deplored my now constant habit of making little
patches and scratches of the sections and fractions of things in a
notebook which used to live in my waistcoat pocket, instead of
the former Proutesque or Robertsian outline of grand buildings
and sublime scenes. And I was the more viciously stubborn in
taking my own way, just because everybody was with him in
these opinions; and I was more and more persuaded every day,
that everybody was always wrong.

Often in my other books,—and now, once for all, and finally
here,—I have to pray my readers to note that this continually
increasing arrogance was not founded on vanity in me, but on
sorrow. There is a vast difference—there is all the
difference—between the vanity of displaying one’s own
faculties, and the grief that other people do not use their own.
Vanity would have led me to continue writing and drawing what
every one praised; and disciplining my own already practised
hand into finer dexterities. But I had no thought but of learning
more, and teaching what truth I knew,—assuredly then, and ever
since, for the student’s sake, not my own fame’s; however
sensitive I may be to the fame, also, afterwards.

189. Meantime, my father and I did not get on well in Italy at
all, and one of the worst, wasp-barbed, most tingling pangs of
my memory is yet of a sunny afternoon at Pisa, when, just as we
were driving past my pet La Spina chapel, my father, waking out
of a reverie, asked me suddenly, “John, what shall I give the
coachman?” Whereupon, I, instead of telling him what he asked
me, as I ought to have done with much complacency at being
referred to on the matter, took upon me with impatience to
reprove, and lament over, my father’s hardness of heart, in
thinking at that moment of sublunary affairs. And the
spectral Spina of the chapel has stayed in my own heart ever since.

Nor did things come right that year till we got to Chamouni, where, having seen enough by this time of the upper snow, I was content to enjoy my morning walks in the valley with papa and mamma; after which, I had more than enough to do among the lower rocks and woods till dinner time, and in watching phases of sunset afterwards from beneath the slopes of the Breven.

190. The last Chamouni entry, with its sequel, is perhaps worth keeping:—

"Aug. 23rd.—Rained nearly all day; but I walked to the source of the Arveron—now a mighty fall down the rocks of the Montanvert;* note the intense scarlet purple of the shattered larch stems, wet, opposed with yellow from decomposing turpentine; the alder stems looking much like birch, covered with the white branchy moss that looks like a coral. Went out again in the afternoon towards the Cascade des Pélerins;¹ surprised to see the real rain-clouds assume on the Breven, about one-third of its height, the form of cirri,—long, continuous, and delicate; the same tendency showing in the clouds all along the valley, some inclining to the fish-shape, and others to the cobweb-like wavy film."

"LUCERNE, Aug. 31st.—The result of the above phenomena was a little lift of the clouds next morning, which gave me some of the finest passages about Mont Blanc I ever beheld; and then, weather continually worse till now. We have had two days’ ceaseless rain, this, the third, hardly interrupted, and the lake right into the town.”

* The rocks over which the Glacier des Bois descends, I meant.

¹ [For notices of this spot, see Modern Painters, vol. iv. (Vol. VI. pp. 342, 355).]
191. There was great joy in helping my mother from the door of the Cygne along a quarter of a mile of extempore plank bridge in the streets, and in writing a rhymed letter in description of the lifted lake and swirling Reuss, to little Louise Ellis (Mr. Telford’s niece, at this time one of the happy presences in Widmore), of which a line or two yet remain in my ears, about a market boat moored above the submerged quay—

“Full of mealy potatoes and marrowfat pease,
And honey, and butter, and Simmenthal cheese,
And a poor little calf, not at all at its ease,
Tied by the neck to a box at its knees.
Don’t you agree with me, dear Louise,
It was unjustifiably cruel in
Them to have brought it in all that squeeze
Over the lake from Fluelen?”

And so home, that year by Troyes, with my own calf’s mind also little at its ease, under confused squeeze of Alps, clouds, and architecture; yet finding room still in the waist-coat pocket for notes on the external tracery of St. Urbain, which fixed that church for me as the highest type of Gothic construction, \(^2\) and took me off all Italian models for the next four years. The abstraction, however, though St. Urbain began it, was not altogether that Saint’s fault.

192. The press notices of my second volume had been either cautious or complimentary,—none, to the best of my memory, contemptuous.\(^3\) My friends took much pleasure in it, and the estimate formed of it in the old Scott and John Murray circle was shown by Lockhart’s asking me that winter to review Lord Lindsay in the \textit{Quarterly}.\(^4\) I was shy of doing this, being well aware that Lord Lindsay knew much more about Italian painting than I did; but

\(^1\) [The rhyming letter is quoted also in Ruskin’s \textit{Notes on his Drawings by Turner}; see Vol. XIII. p. 494.]
\(^2\) [Compare Vol. VIII. p. 259, and Vol. XXIII. p. 106.]
\(^3\) [For extracts from various reviews, see Vol. IV. pp. xli.–xlii. \textit{The Athenæum}, however, was still contemptuous.]
\(^4\) [For Ruskin’s review of Lord Lindsay’s \textit{Sketches of the History of Christian Art}, see Vol. XII. pp. 169–248.]
I thought no one else likely to do it better, and had another motive to the business,—of an irresistible nature.

The little high-foreheaded Charlotte had by this time become a Scottish fairy, White Lady, and witch of the fatallest sort, looking as if she had just risen out of the stream in Rhymer’s Glen, and could only be seen by favouring glance of moonlight over the Eildons. I used to see her, however, sometimes, by the dim lamplight of this world, at Lady Davy’s,—Sir Humphry’s widow,—whose receptions in Park Street gathered usually, with others, the literary and scientific men who had once known Abbotsford. But I never could contrive to come to any serious speech with her; and at last, with my usual wisdom in such matters, went away into Cumberland to recommend myself to her by writing a Quarterly review.

193. I went in the early spring* to the Salutation at Ambleside, then yet a country village, and its inn a country inn. But there, whether it was the grilled salmon for breakfast, or too prolonged reflections on the Celestial Hierarchies, I fell into a state of despondency till then unknown to me, and of which I knew not the like again till fourteen years afterwards. The whole morning was painfully spent in balancing phrases; and from my boat, in the afternoons on Windermere, it appeared to me that the water was leaden, and the hills were low. Lockhart, on the first reception of the laboured MS., asked me to cut out all my best bits, (just as Keble had done before with my prize poem). In both cases I submitted patiently to the loss of my feathers; but was seriously angry and disgusted when Lockhart also intimated to me that a sentence in which

* 1847.

1 [See above, p. 249; and below, p. 428. Miss Charlotte Lockhart presently became Mrs. Hope Scott.]

2 [The principal subject of the first volume of Lord Lindsay’s book.]

3 [For his despondency in 1861, see Vol. XVII. p. xxxviii. For an account of his movements in 1847, with extracts from letters, see Vol. VIII. pp. xxiv.–xxvii.]

4 [Keble discharged this office in his capacity of Professor of Poetry at Oxford (1831–1841).]
I had with perfect justice condemned Mr. Gally Knight’s representation “out of his own head” of San Michele at Lucca, could not—Mr. Gally Knight being a protégé of Albemarle Street—appear in the Quarterly.¹ This first clear insight into the arts of bookselling and reviewing made me permanently distrustful of both trades; and hearing no word, neither, of Charlotte’s taking the smallest interest in the celestial hierarchies, I returned to town in a temper and state of health in which my father and mother thought that once more the best place for me would be Leamington.

I thought so myself, too; and went penitently again to Jephson, who at once stopped the grilled salmon, and ordered salts and promenade, as before.

194. It chanced that at this time there was staying at Leamington, also under Jephson’s care, the son of an old friend, perhaps flame, of my father’s, Mrs. Farquharson,—a youth now of some two or three-and-twenty, but who seemed to me older than myself, being already a man of some position and influence in Perthshire. A few years before he had come into possession, under trustees, of a large Highland estate, on the condition that he should change his name for that of Macdonald, (properly reduplicate,—Macdonald Macdonald,) considerable sums being reserved in the trustees’ hands by the terms of the will, for the purchase of more land. At that time his properties were St. Martin’s near Perth, where his mother lived; Rossie Castle, above Montrose; another castle, with much rock and moor round it, name forgotten, just south of Schehallion; and a shooting-lodge, Crossmount, at the foot of Schehallion, between Lochs Rannoch and Tummel.² The young Macdonald had come to see us once or twice with

¹ [For a reference to the plate in Gally Knight’s Ecclesiastical Architecture of Italy, 1842, see Vol. VIII. p. 277 n. Ruskin published his suppressed criticism in vol. i. of The Stones of Venice: see Vol. IX. p. 431.]
² [William Macdonald Colquhoun Farquharson, born 1822, took the name of Macdonald in 1841 on succeeding to St. Martin’s Abbey, Perth, and other properties. He died in 1893.]
his mother, at Denmark Hill, and, partly I suppose at his mother’s instigation, partly, the stars know how, took a true liking to me; which I could not but answer with surprised thankfulness. He was a thin, dark Highlander, with some expression of gloom on his features when at rest, but with quite the sweetest smile for his friends that I have ever seen, except in one friend of later years, of whom in his place.¹

He was zealous in the Scottish Evangelical Faith, and wholly true and upright in it, so far as any man can be true in any faith, who is bound by the laws, modes, and landed estates of this civilized world.

195. The thoughtful reader must have noted with some displeasure that I have scarcely, whether at college or at home, used the word “friendship” with respect to any of my companions. The fact is, I am a little puzzled by the specialty and singularity of poetical and classic friendship. I get, distinctively, attached to places, to pictures, to dogs, cats, and girls: but I have had, Heaven be thanked, many and true friends, young and old, who have been of boundless help and good to me,—nor I quite helpless to them; yet for none of whom have I ever obeyed George Herbert’s mandate, “Thy friend put in thy bosom; wear his eyes, Still in thy heart, that he may see what’s there; If cause require, thou art his sacrifice,”² etc. Without thinking myself particularly wicked, I found nothing in my heart that seemed to me worth anybody’s seeing; nor had I any curiosity for insight into those of others; nor had I any notion of being a sacrifice for them, or the least wish that they should exercise for my good any but their most pleasurable accomplishments,—Dawtrey Drewitt, for instance, being farther endeared because he could stand on his head, and catch vipers by the tail;³ Gershom Collingwood because

¹ [Charles Eliot Norton: see iii. § 46 (pp. 519, 520).]
² [The Church Porch, xlvi.]
³ [References, says Dr. Dawtrey Drewitt, to some games with Mrs. Severn’s children at Brantwood, and to some snakes in his rooms at Oxford. For Mr. Collingwood and French songs, see Vol. XXXI. p. xxxiv.]
he could sing French songs about the Earthly Paradise; and Alec Wedderburn, because he could swim into tarns and fetch out water-lilies for me, like a water-spaniel. And I never expected that they should care much for me, but only that they should read my books; and looking back, I believe they liked and like me, nearly as well as if I hadn’t written any.

196. First then, of this Love’s Meinie of my own age, or under it, William Macdonald took to me; and got me to promise, that autumn, to come to him at Crossmount, where it was his evangelical duty to do some shooting in due season.

I went into Scotland by Dunbar; saw again Loch Leven, Glen Farg, Rose Terrace, and the Inch of Perth; and went on, pensive enough, by Killiecrankie, to the clump of pines which sheltered my friend’s lodge from the four winds of the wilderness.

After once walking up Schéhallion with him and his keepers, with such entertainment as I could find in the mewing and shrieking of some seventy or eighty grey hares, who were brought down in bags and given to the poorer tenantry; and forming final opinion that the poorer tenantry might better have been permitted to find the stock of their hare-soup for themselves, I forswore further fashionable amusement, and set myself, when the days were fine, to the laborious eradication of a crop of thistles, which had been too successfully grown by northern agriculture in one of the best bits of unboggy ground by the Tummel.

197. I have carelessly omitted noticing till now, that the ambitions in practical gardening, of which the germs,

1 [“What happened,” says Mr. Wedderburn, “was this. Collingwood and I were at Brantwood one summer, not long after a visit to Oxford of Princess Alice of Hesse, for whom Ruskin had promised to paint a water-lily. One Sunday afternoon we drove with Ruskin up to a tarn, where water-lilies grew. But those within reach were poor flowers, while those out in the middle looked (and were) fine. Collingwood and I tossed which of us should strip and swim out to them. I won, jumped in, and brought back—I think, with their stalks in my mouth—some of the lilies, Collingwood’s readiness and mine much delighting Ruskin.”]

2 [See above, i. § 31 (p. 30).]
as aforesaid, had been blighted at Herne Hill,\footnote{See i. § 66 (above, p. 59).} nevertheless still prevailed over the contemplative philosophy in me so far as to rekindle the original instinct of liking to dig a hole, whenever I got leave. Sometimes, in the kitchen garden of Denmark Hill, the hole became a useful furrow; but when once the potatoes and beans were set, I got no outlet or inlet for my excavatory fancy or skill during the rest of the year. The thistle-field at Crossmount was an inheritance of amethystine treasure to me; and the working hours in it are among the few in my life which I remember with entire serenity—as being certain I could have spent them no better. For I had wise—though I say it—thoughts in them, too many to set down here (they are scattered afterwards up and down in \textit{Fors} and \textit{Munera Pulveris}), and wholesome sleep after them, in spite of the owls, who were many, in the clumps of pine by Tummel shore.

Mostly a quiet stream there, through the bogs, with only a bit of step or tumble a foot or two high on occasion; above which I was able practically to ascertain for myself the exact power of level water in a current at the top of a fall. I need not say that on the Cumberland and Swiss lakes, and within and without the Lido, I had learned by this time how to manage a boat—an extremely different thing, be it observed, from steering one in a race; and the little two-foot steps of Tummel were, for scientific purposes, as good as falls twenty or two hundred feet high. I found that I could put the stern of my boat full six inches into the air over the top of one of these little falls, and hold it there, with very short sculls, against the level\footnote{Distinguish carefully between this and a sloping rapid.} stream, with perfect ease for any time I liked; and any child of ten years old may do the same. The nonsense written about the terror of feeling streams quicken as they approach a mill weir is in a high degree dangerous, in
making giddy water-parties lose their presence of mind if any such chance take them unawares. And (to get this needful bit of brag, and others connected with it, out of the way at once), I have to say that half my power of ascertaining facts of any kind connected with the arts, is in my stern habit of doing the thing with my own hands till I know its difficulty; and though I have no time nor wish to acquire showy skill in anything, I make myself clear as to what the skill means, and is. Thus, when I had to direct road-making at Oxford, I sate, myself, with an iron-masked stone-breaker, on his heap, to break stones beside the London road, just under Iffley Hill, till I knew how to advise my too impetuous pupils to effect their purposes in that matter, instead of breaking the heads of their hammers off, (a serious item in our daily expenses).\(^1\)

I learned from an Irish street crossing-sweeper what he could teach me of sweeping; but found myself in that matter nearly his match, from my boy-gardening; and again and again I swept bits of St. Giles’ foot-pavements, showing my corps of subordinates how to finish into depths of gutter. I worked with a carpenter until I could take an even shaving six feet long off a board; and painted enough with properly and delightfully soppy green paint to feel the master’s superiority in the use of a blunt brush. But among all these and other such studentships, the reader will be surprised, I think, to hear, seriously, that the instrument I finally decided to be the most difficult of management was the trowel. For accumulated months of my boy’s life I watched bricklaying and paving;\(^*\) but when I took the trowel into my own hand, abandoned at once

\(^*\) Of our paviour friends, Mr. and Mrs. Duprez (we always spelt and pronounced Depree), of Langley, near Slough, and Gray’s Inn (pronounced Grazen) Lane, in London (see the seventh number of *Dilecta*\(^2\)). The laying of the proper quantity of sand under the pavement stones being a piece of trowel-handling as subtle as spreading the mortar under a brick.

\(^1\) [For details of Ruskin’s Hincksey diggings, see Vol. XX. pp. xli. seq.; and for his squad of crossing-sweepers, Vol. XXVIII. pp. xvi., 204.]

\(^2\) [This, however, was never reached.]
all hope of attaining the least real skill with it, unless I gave up
all thoughts of any future literary or political career. But the
quite happiest bit of manual work I ever did was for my mother
in the old inn at Sixt,¹ where she alleged the stone staircase to
have become unpleasently dirty, since last year. Nobody in the
inn appearing to think it possible to wash it, I brought the
necessary buckets of water from the yard myself, poured them
into beautiful image of Versailles waterworks down the fifteen
or twenty steps of the great staircase, and with the strongest
broom I could find, cleaned every step into its corners. It was
quite lovely work to dash the water and drive the mud, from
each, with accumulating splash down to the next one.

198. I must return for a moment to the clumps of pine at
Crossmount, and their company of owls, because—whatever
wise people may say of them—I at least myself have found the
owl’s cry always prophetic of mischief to me; and though I got
wiser, as aforesaid, in my field of thistles, yet the Scottish
Athena put on against me at that time her closed visor (not that
Greek helmets ever have a visor, but when Athena hides her
face, she throws her casque forward and down, and only looks
through the oval apertures of it). Her adversity to me at this time
was shown by my loss of Miss Lockhart, whom I saw for the last
time at one of Lady Davy’s dinners, where Mr. Hope-Scott took
the foot of the table. Lady Davy had given me Miss Lockhart to
take down, but I found she didn’t care for a word I said; and Mr.
Gladstone was on the other side of her—and the precious
moments were all thrown away in quarrelling across her, with
him, about Neapolitan prisons.* He couldn’t see, as I did, that
the real prisoners were the people outside.

* Ante, § 51 [p. 289.]

¹ [See below, § 203 (p. 433) where Ruskin places the incident at the neighbouring
village of Samoens. Compare Sesame and Lilies, § 138 (Vol. XVIII. p. 184): “I have
myself washed a flight of stone stairs all down, with bucket and broom, in a Savoy inn,
where they hadn’t washed their stairs since they first went up them; and I never made a
better sketch than that afternoon.”]
199. Meantime, restraining the ideals and assuaging the disappointments of my outer-world life, the home-work went on with entirely useful steadiness. The admiration of tree-branches taught me at Fontainebleau, led me now into careful discernment of their species; and while my father, as was his custom, read to my mother and me for half-an-hour after breakfast, I always had a fresh-gathered outer spray of a tree before me, of which the mode of growth, with a single leaf full size, had to be done at that sitting in fine pen outline, filled with the simple colour of the leaf at one wash. On fine days, when the grass was dry, I used to lie down on it and draw the blades as they grew, with the ground herbage of buttercup or hawkweed mixed among them, until every square foot of meadow, or mossy bank, became an infinite picture and possession to me, and the grace and adjustment to each other of growing leaves, a subject of more curious interest to me than the composition of any painter’s masterpiece. The love of complexity and quantity before noticed as influencing my preference of flamboyant to purer architecture, was here satisfied, without qualifying sense of wasted labour, by what I felt to be the constant working of Omnipotent kindness in the fabric of the food-giving tissues of the earth; nor less, morning after morning, did I rejoice in the traceries and the painted glass of the sky at sunrise.

This physical study had, I find, since 1842, when it began, advanced in skill until now in 1847, at Leamington, it had proceeded into botanical detail; and the collection of material for Proserpina began then, singularly, with the analysis of a thistle-top, as the foundation of all my political economy was dug down to, through the thistle-field of Crossmount.

---

1 [See above, pp. 314, 315. The study of trees here introduced (Plate XXXII.) belongs to the year 1846.]
2 [Compare Mrs. Arthur Severn’s recollection given in Vol. V. p. 164 n., and see such studies as Plate 6 in the same volume (p. 164) and Plate 18 in Vol. XVI. (p. 395).]
3 [The reference may be to §§ 56, 114–115, 186 (pp. 296, 349–350, 415–416); or, more probably, to a passage which Ruskin forgot he had omitted, and which is now given at p. 157 n.]
Study of Trees at Sens.
1846.

Flowers, like everything else that is lovely in the visible world, are only to be seen rightly with the eyes which the God who made them gave us; and neither with microscopes nor spectacles.¹ These have their uses for the curious and the aged; as stilts and crutches have for people who want to walk in mud, or cannot safely walk but on three legs anywhere. But in health of mind and body, men should see with their own eyes, hear and speak without trumpets, walk on their feet, not on wheels, and work and war with their arms, not with engine-beams, nor rifles warranted to kill twenty men at a shot before you can see them. The use of the great mechanical powers may indeed sometimes be compatible with the due exercise of our own; but the use of instruments for exaggerating the powers of sight necessarily deprives us of the best pleasures of sight. A flower is to be watched as it grows, in its association with the earth, the air, and the dew; its leaves are to be seen as they expand in sunshine; its colours, as they embroider the field, or illumine the forest. Dissect or magnify them, and all you discover or learn at last will be that oaks, roses, and daisies, are all made of fibres and bubbles; and these again, of charcoal and water; but, for all their peeping and probing, nobody knows how.

201. And far more difficult work than this was on foot in other directions. Too sorrowfully it had now become plain to me that neither George Herbert, nor Richard Hooker, nor Henry Melvill, nor Thomas Dale, nor the Dean of Christ Church, nor the Bishop of Oxford, could in anywise explain to me what Turner meant by the contest of Apollo with the Python, or by the repose of the great dragon above the Garden of the Hesperides.²

For such nearer Python as might wreathe itself against

¹ [Compare Art of England, § 118 (Vol. XXXIII. p. 346).]
my own now gathering strength,—for such serpent of Eternity as
might reveal its awe to me amidst the sands even of Forest Hill
or Addington Heath, I was yet wholly unprepared.

202. All that I had been taught had to be questioned; all that I
had trusted, proved. I cannot enter yet into any account of this
trial;¹ but the following fragment of 1847 diary will inform the
reader enough of the courses of thought which I was being led
into beside the lilies of Avon, and under the mounds, that were
once the walls, of Kenilworth:—

“It was cold and dark and gusty and raining by fits, at
two o’clock to-day, and until four; but I went out,
determined to have my walk, get wet or no.

“I took the road to the village where I had been the first
day with Macdonald, and about a mile and a half out, I
was driven by the rain into a little cottage, remarkable
outside for two of the most noble groups of hollyhocks I
ever saw—one rose-colour passing into purple, and the
other rich purple and opposed by a beautiful sulphur
yellow one. It was about a quarter to five, and they (the
woman and her mother) were taking their tea (pretty
strong, and without milk) and white bread. Round the
room were hung several prints of the Crucifixion, and
some Old Testament subjects, and two bits of tolerable
miniature; one in what I thought at first was an uniform,
but it was the footman’s dress of the woman’s second son,
who is with a master in Leamington; the other a portrait of
a more distingué-looking personage, who, I found on
inquiry, was the eldest son, cook in the Bush inn at
Carlisle. Inquiring about the clergyman of the village, the
woman—whose name, I found, was Sabina—said they
had lost their best earthly friend, the late clergyman, a Mr.
Waller, I think, who had been with them

¹ [The subject is dealt with in iii. ch. i. (pp. 486 seq.).]
upwards of eleven years, and had got them into that
cottage; her husband having been in his service, and he
fretted himself, she said, too much, about getting them
into it, and never lived to see them in it after all, dying of
decline in London. She spoke of him with tears in her
eyes. I looked at the books lying on the table, well used all
of them, and found three Bibles, three Prayer Books, a
treatise on practical Christianity, another on seriousness in
religion, and Baxter’s *Saints’ Rest*. I asked her if they read
no books but religious ones. ‘No, sir; I should be very
sorry if there were any others in my house,’ said she. As I
took up the largest Bible, she said ‘it was a nice print, but
sadly tattered; she wished she could get it bound.’ This I
promised to get done for her, and left her much pleased.

“It had rained hard while I stayed in the cottage, but
had ceased when I went on, and presently appeared such a
bright bar of streaky sky in the west, seen over the
glittering hedges, as made my heart leap again, it put so
much of old feelings into me of far-away hills and
fountains of morning light; and the sun came out
presently, and every shake of the trees shook down more
light upon the grass. And so I came to the village and
stood leaning on the churchyard gate, looking at the sheep
nibbling and resting among the graves (newly watered
they lay, and fresh, like a field of precious seed). One
narrow stream of light ran in ups and downs across them,
but the shadow of the church fell over most—the pretty
little grey church, now one dark mass against the intense
golden glittering sky; and to make it sweeter still, the
churchyard itself rose steeply, so that its own grand line
came against this same light at last.”

---

1 [For a reference to this passage by Miss Alexander, see Vol. XXXII. p. 312.]
CHAPTER XI

L’HOTEL DU MONT BLANC

203. The little inn at Samoens, where I washed the stairs down for my mother, was just behind the group of houses of which I gave a carefully coloured sketch to Mrs. John Simon, who, in my mother’s old age, was her most deeply trusted friend. She, with her husband, love Savoy even more than I; were kinder to Joseph Couttet to the last, and are so still to his daughter Judith.

The Samoens inn was, however, a too unfavourable type of the things which—in my good old times—one had sometimes to put up with, and rather liked having to put up with, in Savoy. The central example of the sort of house one went there to live in, was the Hotel du Mont Blanc at St. Martin’s; to me, certainly, of all my inn homes, the most eventful, pathetic, and sacred.

204. How to begin speaking of it, I do not know; still less how to end; but here are three entries, consecutive, in my diary of 1849, which may lead me a little on my way:—

“ST. MARTIN’S, evening, July 11th.—What a strange contrast there is between these lower valleys, with their over-wrought richness mixed with signs of waste and disease, their wild noon-winds shaking their leaves into palsy, and the dark storms folding themselves about their steep mural precipices,—between these and the pastoral green, pure aiguilles, and fleecy rain-clouds of Chamouni; yet nothing could be more divine than (to-day) the great valley of level cornfield; half, smooth

1 [See above, § 197 (p. 428), where Ruskin places the incident at Sixt.]
2 [For Ruskin’s friendship with Sir John and Lady Simon, see the Introduction to the next volume.]
close to the ground, yet yellow and warm with stubble; half, laden with sheaves; the vines in massy green above, with Indian corn, and the rich brown and white cottages (in midst of them).

"July 13th.---I walked with my father last night up to the vine-covered cottages under the Aiguille de Varens.

"July 15th, SAMOENS.—We had a stony road to traverse in chars from St. Martin’s yesterday, and a hot walk this morning over the ground between this (Samoens) and Sixt. As I passed through the corn-fields, I found they gave me a pleasant feeling by reminding me of Leamington."

“We” in this entry means only my father and mother and I; poor Mary was with us no more. She had got married, as girls always will,—the foolish creatures!—however happy they might be at home, or abroad, with their own people.

Mary heartily loved her aunt and uncle, by this time, and was sorry to leave them: yet she must needs marry her brother’s brother-in-law, a good, quiet London solicitor, and was now deep in household cares in a dull street, Pimlico way, when she might have been gaily helping me to sweep the stairs at Samoens, and gather bluets* in those Leamington-like cornfields.

205. The sentence about “noon-wind” refers to a character of the great valleys on the north of the main Alpine chain, which curiously separates them from those of the Italian side. These great northern valleys are, in the main, four,—those of the Rhine (the Grisons), of the Reuss (Canton Uri), of the Rhone (Canton Valais), and the Arve (Faucigny),—all of them in ordinarily fine summer weather

* The blue centaury-like five gentians in a level cluster. Among the corn, it teaches, like the poppy, that everything isn’t meant to be eaten.

1 [Ruskin inadvertently wrote “her brother-in-law.” Dr. William Richardson married as his second wife a Miss Bolding, whose brother, Mr. Parker Bolding, became the husband of Mary Richardson. She died in 1849 (see below, p. 456).]
oppressed by quiet heat in the early part of the day, then burst in
upon by wild wind blowing up the valley about noon, or later; a
diurnal storm which raises the dust in whirlwinds, and wholly
prevents the growth of trees in any beautiful forms, their
branches being daily tormented into every irregular and fretful
curve they can be strained to, and their leaves wrung round on
the stalks, so that half their vitality is torn out of them.

Strangely, and, so far as I know, without notice by scientific
men of the difference, the Italian valleys are, in the greater
number of them, redeemed from this calamitous law. I have not
lately been in either Val d’Aosta, 1 or the Valtelline, nor ever
stayed in the upper valley of the Adige; but neither in the Val
Anzasca, the Val Formazza, the Val d’Isella, or the southern St.
Gothard, is there any trace of the action of malignant wind like
this northern one, which I suppose to be, in the essence of it, the
summer form of the bise. It arises, too fatally, punctual to the
noon, in the brightest days of spring all over western Savoy.

Be that as it may, in the fields neighbouring the two villages
which mark the eastern and western extremities of the chain of
Mont Blanc,—Sallanches, namely, and Martigny, where I have
passed many of the most serviceable days of my life,—this noon
wind, associated with inundation, is one of the chief agents in
producing the character of the whole scene, and in forming the
temperaments of the inhabitants. Very early my mind became fixed on
this their physical distress, issuing finally not in the distortion of
growing trees only, but in abortion of human form and mind,
while yet the roots of beauty and virtue remained always of the
same strength in the race; so that, however decimated by
cretinism, the Savoyard and Valaisan retain to this day their
vigorous personal character, wherever the conditions of ordinary
health are observed for them.

1 Where, however, a similar wind prevails.]
206. So earnestly was my heart set on discovering and contending with the neglect and error which were the causes of so great evil to so noble a people, that—I must here anticipate the progress of many years—I was in treaty again and again for pieces of land near the chain of Mont Blanc on which I thought to establish my life, and round which to direct its best energies. I first actually bought the piece of meadow in Chamonix above the chalets of Blaitière; but sold it on perceiving what ruin was inevitable in the valley after it became a tourist rendezvous.\(^1\) Next, I entered into treaty with the Commune of Bonneville for the purchase of the whole top of the Brezon; but this negotiation came to nothing, because the Commune, unable to see why anybody should want to buy a waste of barren rock, with pasturage only for a few goats in the summer, concluded that I had found a gold mine or a coal-bed in it, and raised their price on me till I left the Brezon on their hands: (Osborne Gordon having also walked up with me to my proposed hermitage, and, with his usual sagacity, calculated the daily expense of getting anything to eat, up those 4000 feet from the plain).

207. Next, I was tempted by a grand, fourteenth-century, square-set castle, with walls six feet thick, and four round towers, cone-roofed, at the angles, on the west bank of the Arve, below La Roche:\(^2\) but this baronial residence having been for many years used by the farmer to whom it belonged for his fruit store, and the three floors of it only accessible by ladders through trap doors in them, and soaked through with the juice of rotten apples and plums;—so that the most feasible way of making the place habitable would have been to set fire to the whole, and refit the old masonry with an inner lodging of new wood,—(which might as well have been built inside a mountain cave

\(^1\) [The land at Chamonix was bought in 1863. For the proposed purchase of the Brezon, see Vol. XVII. pp. lxxii.—lxxvi.]

\(^2\) [See Ruskin’s letter to his mother from Mornex (August 31, 1862): Vol. XVII. p. lv. The château is seen in Plate IV. in that volume (p. lx.).]
at once as within those six-feet thick of cemented rock,) — I abandoned also the idea of this gloomy magnificence, and remained fancy-free till 1870, when I again was about to enter into treaty for a farm two thousand feet above Martigny, on the ridge separating the Forclaz from the glen of the Trient, and commanding view of the whole valley of the Rhone, westward to Sierre, and northward to Bex. Design ended by my illness at Matlock, and following sorrow; of which in their due time.

Up to the year with which I am now concerned, however, 1849, when I was just thirty, no plans of this sort had dawned on me: but the journeying of the year, mostly alone, by the Allée Blanche and Col de Ferret round Mont Blanc and then to Zermatt, for the work chiefly necessary to the fourth volume of Modern Painters, gave me the melancholy knowledge of the agricultural condition of the great Alpine chain which was the origin of the design of St. George’s Guild; and that walk with my father at St. Martin’s virtually closed the days of youthful happiness, and began my true work in the world—for what it is worth.

208. An entry or two from the beginning of the year may be permitted, connecting old times with new:—

“April 15th, Wednesday.—Left home, stayed at Folkestone, happy, but with bad cough, and slight feverish feeling, till Monday. Crossed to Boulogne, with desperate cold coming on. Wrote half letter to Miss Wedderburn,” (afterwards Mrs. Blackburn,) “in carriage, going over:” the carriages, of course, in old times being lashed on the deck, one sat inside, either for dignity or shelter.

1 [For the “Alpine plans,” much in Ruskin’s mind in 1869–1870, see Vol. XIX. p. lv.]
2 [In 1871: see Vol. XXII. p. xviii. The “following sorrow” was not reached in Præterita: see the Introduction, pp. lxvi.–lxxvi.]
3 [For the itinerary of the tour of 1849, with extracts from letters and diaries, see Vol. V. pp. xvii.–xxxi.]
4 [July 13, 1849; above, p. 434.]
“April 24th, Tuesday.—To Paris on rail. Next morning, very thankfully changing horses, by as lovely sunshine as ever I saw, at Charenton. Slept at Sens. Thursday, Mont-bard; Friday, Dijon. All these evenings I was working hard at my last plate of Giotto.” (G.’s tower, I meant; frontispiece to Seven Lamps, first edition.)

“Stopped behind in the lovely morning at Sens, and went after my father and mother an hour later.* It was very cold, and I was driven out by the fire going out, it being in the large room at the back of the yard, with oil pictures, only to be got at through my father’s bedroom.†

“April 29th, Sunday, was a threatening day at Champagnole. We just walked to the entrance of the wood and back,—I colded and coughing, and generally headache. In the evening the landlady, who noticed my illness, made me some syrup of violets. Whether by fancy, or chance, or by virtue of violet tea, I got better thenceforward, and have, thank God, had no cold since!” (Diary very slovenly hereabouts; I am obliged to mend a phrase or two.)

209. “Monday, 30th April.—To Geneva, through a good deal of snow, by St. Cergues; which frightened my mother, they having a restive horse in their carriage. She got out on a bank near where I saw the first gentians, and got into mine, as far as St. Cergues.” (It is deserving of record that at this time, just on the point of coming in sight of the Alps—and that for the first time for three years, a moment which I had looked forward to thinking I should be almost

* They had given me a little brougham to myself, like the hunting doctor’s in Punch, so that I could stop behind, and catch them up when I chose.
† The inn is fully and exquisitely described by Dickens in Mrs. Lirriper’s Lodgings.1

1 [Really in Mrs. Lirriper’s Legacy: see Vol. XXV. p. 455.]
fainting with joy, and want to lie down on the earth and take it in my arms;—at this time, I say, I was irrevocably sulky because George had not got me butter to my bread at Les Rousses.)

“Tuesday, 1st May.—Walked about Geneva, went to Bautte’s,¹ and drew wood anemones.

“Thursday, 3rd May. CHAMBÉRY.—Up the hill that looks towards Aix, with my father and mother; had a chat with an old man, a proprietor of some land on the hillside, who complained bitterly that the priests and the revenue officers seized everything, and that nothing but black bread was left for the peasant.*

“Friday, 4th May.—Half breakfasted at Chambéry; started about seven for St. Laurent du Pont, thence up to the Chartreuse, and walked down (all of us); which, however, being done in a hurry, I little enjoyed. But a walk after dinner up to a small chapel, placed on a waving group of mounds, covered with the most smooth and soft sward, over whose sunny gold came the dark piny precipices of the Chartreuse hills, gave me infinite pleasure. I had seen also for the third time, by the Chartreuse torrent, the most wonderful of all Alpine birds—a grey, fluttering stealthy creature, about the size of a sparrow, but of colder grey, and more graceful, which haunts the sides of the fiercest torrents. There is something more strange in it than in the seagull—that seems a powerful creature; and the power of the sea, not of a kind so adverse, so hopelessly destructive; but this small creature, silent, tender and light, almost like a moth in its low and irregular flight,—almost touching with its wings the crests of waves that would overthrow a granite wall,

* Complaints of this kind always mean that you are near a luxurious capital or town. In this case, Aix les Bains.

¹ [See above, p. 325.]
and haunting the hollows of the black, cold, herbless rocks
that are continually shaken by their spray, has perhaps the
nearest approach to the look of a spiritual existence I know
in animal life.

“Saturday, May 5th.—Back to Chambéry, and up by
Rousseau’s house to the point where the thunder-shower
came down on us three years ago.”

210. I think it was extremely pretty and free-hearted of my
mother to make these reverent pilgrimages to Rousseau’s
house.*

With whom I must here thankfully name, among my own
masters, also St. Pierre.¹ I having shamefully forgotten hitherto
the immense influence of *Paul and Virginia* amidst my early
readings. Rousseau’s effective political power I did not know till
much later.

211. Richard Fall arrived that Saturday at Chambéry; and by
way of amends for our lost Welsh tour, (above, § 60,) I took him
to Vevay and Chamouni, where, on May 14th, the snow was still
down to the valley; crisp frost everywhere; the Montanvert path
entirely hidden, and clear slopes down all the couloirs perfectly
even and smooth—ten to twenty feet deep of good, compact
snow; no treacherous surface beds that could slip one over the
other.

Couttet and I took Richard up to the cabane of the
Montanvert, memory of the long snow walks at Herne Hill now
mingling tenderly with the cloudless brightness of the Mer de
Glace, in its robe of winter ermine. No venturing

* “Les Charmettes.” So also “un détachement de la troupe” (of his
schoolboys) “sous la conduite de Mr. Topffer, qui ne sait pas le chemin,
entreprend de gravir le coteau des Charmettes, pour atteindre à l’habitation de
Jean-Jacques Rousseau”—in the year 1833; and an admirably faithful and
vivid drawing of the place, as it then stood (unchanged till 1849, when papa
and mamma and their little St. Preux saw it), is given by Mr. Topffer’s own
hand on p. 17² of his work here quoted, *Voyage à la Grande Chartreuse*
(1833).

¹ [For Rousseau as one of Ruskin’s masters, see Vol. XVIII. p. lxii.; for other
² [At p. 21 of the collected *Nouveaux Voyages en Zigzag*, 1854.]
on that, however, of course, with every crevasse hidden; and nobody at the cabane yet, so we took Richard back to the first couloir, showed him how to use foot and pole, to check himself if he went too fast, or got head-foremost; and we slid down the two thousand feet to the source of the Arveron, in some seven or eight minutes;* Richard vouchsafing his entire approval of that manner of progression by the single significant epithet, “Pernicious!”

It was the last of our winter walks together. Richard did not die, like Charles,1 but he went on the Stock Exchange;2 married a wife, very nice and pretty; then grew rich; held a rich man’s faiths in political economy; and bought bad prints of clipper packets in green sea; and so we gradually gave each other up—with all good wishes on both sides. But Richard, having no more winter walks, became too fat and well liking when he was past fifty—and did die, then; to his sister’s great surprise and mine. The loss of him broke her heart, and she soon followed him.

212. During her forty-five or fifty years of life, Eliza Fall (had she but been named Elizabeth instead, I should have liked her ever so much better,) remained an entirely worthy and unworldly girl and woman, of true service and counsel always to her brother and me; caring for us both much more than she was cared for;—to my mother an affectionate and always acceptable, calling and chatting, friend: capable and intelligent from her earliest youth, nor without graceful fancy and rational poetic power. She wrote far better verses than ever I did, and might have drawn well, but had always what my mother called “perjinketty”3

* Including ecstatic or contemplative rests: of course one goes much faster than 200 feet a minute, on good snow, at an angle of 30º.

1 [Charles Richardson: see above, p. 137.]
2 [This is not quite correct. Richard Whiteman Fall became a partner in the mercantile house of Palmer, Mackillop, Dent & Co.; he was of literary and artistic tastes; a lover, too, of the Thames and Severn, of which rivers he knew every mile; he died in 1878 at the age of fifty-six.]
3 [Perjink (origin unknown)= exact, prim, neat; given by Jamieson (Scottish Dictionary, 1880, vol. iii. p. 475) as a Fife word; he suggests the derivation parjoinct (= accurately joined).]
ways, which made her typically an old maid in later years. I imagine that, without the least unkind severity, she was yet much of a Puritan at heart, and one rarely heard, if ever, of her going to a theatre, or a rout, or a cricket-match; yet she was brilliant at a Christmas party, acted any part—that depended on whalebone—admirably, and was extremely witty in a charade. She felt herself sorrowfully turned out of her own house and place when her brother married, and spent most of her summers in travel, with another wise old maid for companion. Then Richard and his wife went to live in Clapham Park; and Eliza stayed, wistfully alone, in her child’s home, for a while. The lease expired, I suppose, and she did not care to renew it. The last time I saw her, she was enjoying some sort of town life in New Bond Street.

Little I thought, in clasping Richard’s hand on the ridge of the Jaman that spring,—he going down into the Simmenthal, I back to Vevay,—that our companying together was ended: but I never have known anything of what was most seriously happening to me till afterwards; this—unastrological readers will please to note—being one of the leaden influences on me of the planet Saturn.

213. My father and mother were waiting for me at Geneva, and we set out, with short delay, for St. Martin’s.

The road from Geneva to Chamouni, passing the extremity of the Salève about five miles south of the city, reaches at that point the sandy plateau of Annemasse, where forms of passport had (anciently) to be transacted, which gave a quarter of an hour for contemplation of what the day had to do.

From the street of the straggling village one saw over the undulations of the nearer, and blue level of the distant,

[Here, again, Ruskin is not quite accurate. The house at Herne Hill was left to Miss Eliza Fall, who continued to reside there for many years after the marriage of her brother. She was a clever copyist, helping Ruskin with various studies from illuminated MSS., etc. Miss Fall was much with Ruskin’s mother, during her later years, and after her death Miss Fall left Herne Hill. She died in 1881, aged sixty.]
plain, a mass of rocky mountains, presenting for the most part their cliffs to the approaching traveller, and tossing their crests back in careless pride, above the district of well inhabited, but seldom traversed, ravines which wind between the lake of Annecy and vale of Sallenches.

Of these the nearest—yet about twelve miles distant—is the before-named Brezon, a majestic, but unterrific, fortalice of cliff, forest, and meadow, with unseen nests of village, and unexpected balm and honey of garden and orchard nursed in its recesses. The horses have to rest at Bonneville before we reach the foot of it; and the line, of its foundation first, and then of the loftier Mont Vergy, must be followed for seven or eight miles, without hope apparently of gaining access to the inner mountain world, except by footpath.

214. A way is opened at last by the Arve, which, rushing furiously through a cleft affording room only for road and river, grants entrance, when the strait is passed, to a valley without the like of it among the Alps. In all other avenues of approach to their central crests the torrents fall steeply, and in places appear to be still cutting their channels deeper, while their lateral cliffs have evidently been in earlier time, at intervals, connected, and rent or worn asunder by traceable violence or decay. But the valley of Cluse is in reality a narrow plain between two chains of mountains which have never been united, but each independently* raised, shattered, and softened into their present forms; while the river, instead of deepening the ravine it descends, has filled it to an unknown depth with beds of glacial sand, increased annually, though insensibly, by its wandering floods; but now practically level, and for the most part tenable, with a little logwork to fence off the stream at its angles, in large spaces of cultivable land.

* In the same epoch of time, however. See Mr. Collingwood’s *Limestone Alps of Savoy*.1

1 [See, especially, pp. 142, 143 of that book.]
In several turns of the valley the lateral cliffs go plumb down into these fields as if into a green lake; but usually, slopes of shale, now forest-hidden, ascend to heights of six or seven hundred feet before the cliffs begin; then the mountain above becomes partly a fortress wall, partly banks of turf ascending around its bastions or between, but always guarded from avalanche by higher woods or rocks; the snows melting in early spring, and falling in countless cascades, mostly over the cliffs, and then in broken threads down the banks. Beautiful always, and innocent, the higher summits by midsummer are snowless, and no glacial moraine or torrent defaces or disturbs the solitude of their pastoral kingdom.

Leaving the carriage at Cluse, I always used to walk, through this valley, the ten miles to St. Martin’s, resting awhile at the springs of Magland, where, close under the cliff, the water thrills imperceptibly through the crannies of its fallen stones, deeper and deeper every instant; till, within three fathoms of its first trickling thread, it is a deep stream of dazzling brightness, dividing into swift branches eager for their work at the mill, or their ministry to the meadows.

Contrary again to the customs of less enchanted vales, this one opens gradually as it nears the greater mountain, its own lateral cliffs rising also in proportion to its width—those on the left, as one approaches St. Martin’s, into the vast towers and promontories of the Aiguille de Varens; those on the right into a mountain scarcely marked in any Alpine chart, yet from which, if one could climb its dangerous turf and mural diadem, there must be commanded precisely the most noble view of Mont Blanc granted by any summit of his sentinel chains.

215. In the only map of Switzerland which has ever been executed with common sense and intelligence (Original von Keller’s Zweiter Reisekarte der Schweitz, 1844), this peak is, nevertheless, left without distinction from that called the “Croix de Fer,” of which it is only a satellite.
But there are any quantity of iron crosses on the Western Alps, and the proper name of this dominant peak is that given in M. Dajoz’s lithographed *Carte des rives du Lac de Genève*—“Mont Fleury”; though the more usual one with the old Chamouni guides was “Montagne des Fours”; but I never heard any name given to its castellated outwork. In Studer’s geological map it is well drawn, but nameless; in the Alpine Club’s map of South-Western Alps, it is only a long ridge descending from the Mont Fleury, which, there called “Pointe Percée,” bears a star, indicating a view of Mont Blanc, as probably of Geneva also, from that summit. But the vision from the lower promontory, which commands the Chamouni aiguilles with less foreshortening, and looks steep down into the valley of Cluse from end to end, must be infinitely more beautiful.

216. Its highest ridge is just opposite the Nant d’Arpenaz, and might in future descriptions of the Sallenches mountains be conveniently called the “Tower of Arpenaz.” After passing the curved rock from which the waterfall leaps into its calm festoons, the cliffs become changed in material, first into thin-bedded blue limestone, and then into dark slates and shales, which partly sadden, partly enrich, with their cultivable ruin, all the lower hill-sides henceforward to the very gate of Chamouni. A mile or two beyond the Nant d’Arpenaz, the road ascends over a bank of their crumbling flakes, which the little stream, pendent like a white thread over the mid-cliff of the Aiguille de Varens, drifts down before it in summer rain, lightly as dead leaves. The old people’s carriage dips into the trough of the dry bed, descends the gentle embankment on the other side, and turns into the courtyard of the inn under one of the thin arches, raised a foot or two above the gap in the wall, which give honourable distinction

* Chez Briquet et Fils, éditeurs, au bas de la Cité à Genève, 1860; extremely careful in its delineation of the lower mountain masses, and on the whole the best existing map for the ordinary traveller. The Alpine Club maps give nothing clearly but the taverns and footpaths.
either to the greater vineyards or open courts, like this one, of hospitable houses. Stableyard, I should have said, not courtyard; no palatial pride of seclusion, like M. Dessein’s,¹ but a mere square of irregular stable,—not even coachhouse, though with room for a carriage or two: but built only for shelter of the now unknown char-à-banc, a seat for three between two pairs of wheels, with a plank for footing, at a convenient step from the ground. The fourth side of the yard was formed by the front of the inn, which stood with its side to the road, its back to the neglected garden and incorrigible streamlet: a two-storied building of solid grey stone, with gabled roof and garrets; a central passage on the second floor giving access to the three or four bedrooms looking to back and front, and at the end to an open gallery over the road. The last room on the left, larger than the rest, and with a window opening on the gallery, used to be my father’s and mother’s; that next it, with one square window in the solid wall, looking into the yard, mine. Floors and partitions all of rough-sawn larch; the planks of the passage floor uncomfortably thin and bending, as if one might easily fall through; some pretense of papering, I think, in the old people’s state room. A public room, about the size of my present study, say twelve paces by six within its cupboards, and usually full of flies, gave us the end of its table for meals, and was undisturbed through the day, except during the hour when the diligence dined.

217. I should have said that my square window looked over, rather than into the yard, for one could scarcely see anything going on there, but by putting one’s head out: the real and prevalent prospect was first into the leaves of the walnut tree in the corner; then of the mossy stable roofs behind them; then of the delicately tin-mailed and glittering spire of the village church; and beyond these, the creamy, curdling, overflowing seas of snow on the Mont

¹ [For this famous hostelry at Calais, see above, p. 416.]
Blanc de St. Gervais. 1 The Aiguille de Bionnassay, the most graceful buttress ridge in all the Alps, and Mont Blanc himself, above the full fronts of the Aiguille and Dôme du Goûter, followed further to the left. So much came into the field of that little four-feet-square casement.

If one had a mind for a stroll, in half a minute’s turn to the left from the yard gate, one came to the aforesaid village church, the size of a couple of cottages, and one could lean, stooping, to look at it, on the deeply lichenened stones of its low churchyard wall, which enclosed the cluster of iron crosses,—floretted with everlastingings, or garlands of fresh flowers if it was just after Sunday,—on two sides; the cart-path to the upper village branching off round it from the road to Chamouni. Fifty yards further, one came to the single-arched bridge by which the road to Sallenches, again dividing from that of Chamouni, crosses the Arve, clearing some sixty feet of strongly-rushing water with a leap of lovely elliptic curve; lovely, because here traced with the lightest possible substance of masonry, rising to its ridge without a pebble’s weight to spare,* and then signed for sacred pontifical work by a cross high above the parapet, seen from as far as one can see the bridge itself. 2

218. Neither line, nor word, nor colour, has ever yet given rendering of the rich confusions of garden and cottage through which the winding paths ascend above the church; walled, not with any notion of guarding the ground, except from passing herds of cattle and goats, but chiefly to get the stones off the surface into narrowest compass, and, with the easy principle of horticulture,—plant everything, and let what can, grow;—the under-crops of unkempt pease, potatoes, cabbage, hemp, and maize, content with what sun can get down to them through luxuriantly-branched apple*

* Of course, in modern levelled bridges, with any quantity of overcharged masonry, the opening for the stream is not essentially an arch, but a tunnel, and might for that matter be blown through the solid wall, instead of built to bear it.

1 [Plate XXXIV.]
2 [Plate XXXIII.]
and plum trees, and towering shade of walnuts, with trunks eight or ten feet in girth; a little space left to light the fronts of the cottages themselves, whose roof and balconies, the vines seem to think, have been constructed for their pleasure only, and climb, wreathe, and swing themselves about accordingly wherever they choose, tossing their young tendrils far up into the blue sky of spring, and festooning the balconies in autumn with Correggian fresco of purple, relieved against the pendent gold of the harvested maize.

The absolute seclusion and independence of this manner of rural life, totally without thought or forethought of any foreign help or parsimonious store, drinking its wine out of the cluster, and saving of the last year’s harvest only seed for the next,—the serene laissez faire given to God and nature, with thanks for the good, and submission to the temporary evil of blight or flood, as due to sinful mortality; and the persistence, through better or worse, in their fathers’ ways, and use of their fathers’ tools, and holding to their fathers’ names and fields, faithfully as the trees to their roots, or the rocks to their wild flowers,—all this beside us for our Sunday walk, with the grey, inaccessible walls of the Tower of Arpenaz above, dim in their distant height, and all the morning air twice brighter for the glow of the cloudless glaciers, gave me deeper and more wonderful joy than all the careful beauty and disciplined rightness of the Bernese Oberland, or even the stately streets of my dearest cities of Italy.

219. Here is a little bit of diary, five years later, giving a detail or two of the opposite hillside above Sallenches:—

“ST. MARTIN’S, 26th July, 1854.—I was up by the millstream this evening, and climbed to the right of it, up among the sloping waves of grass. I never was so struck by their intense beauty,—the masses of walnut shading them with their broad, cool, clearly-formed leafage; the glossy grey stems of the cherry trees, as if bound round tight with satin, twining and writhing
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against the shadows; the tall pollards of oak set here and there in the soft banks, as if to show their smoothness by contrast, yet themselves beautiful, rugged, and covered with deep brown and bright silver moss. Here and there a chestnut—sharp, and soft, and starry:* and always the steep banks, one above another, melting † into terraces of pure velvet, gilded with corn; here and there a black—jet-black—crag of slate breaking into a frown above them, and mouldering away down into the gloomy torrent bed, fringed on its opposite edge, a grisly cliff, with delicate birch and pine, rising against the snow light of Mont Blanc. And opposite always the mighty Varens lost in the cloud its ineffable walls of crag.”

220. The next following entry is worth keeping, as a sketch of the undisturbed Catholicism among these hills since the days of St. Bernard of Annecy, and Mont Velan:—

“SALLENCHES, Sunday, 10th June (1849).—The waitress here, a daughter of the landlord, asked me to-day whether Protestants all said grace before meat, observing me to do so. On this we got into conversation, out of which I have elicited some points worth remembering; to wit, that some of the men only go

* I meant—the leaves themselves, sharp, the clustered nuts, soft, the arrangement of leaves, starry.
† “Melting”—seeming to flow into the levels like lava; not cut sharp down to them.

[A preceding passage, written in Chamouni, was copied out among the MSS. for this chapter:—

“23rd July, 1854.—My farewell evening for this time. It is a soft starlight night, Mont Blanc lying just like a white vapour, with a film of cloud on it,—the whole heaven shaking with sheet lightning, and the stars quivering as if every flash shoots them like magnetic needles, and they could not get quiet again before the next one,—the light for the most part filling the heavens from side to side as with a liquid wave, but now and then flowing out in distinct flame from behind a fish-shaped cloud in the south-west, the snow seen by it indistinctly opposite, like answering flashes.”]
to confession once a year, and that some of them, to spare their memories, write their sins,—which, however, they cannot deliver on paper to the confessor, but must read them aloud. Louise appeared much horror-struck at the idea which such a procedure admits, of ‘losing one’s sins’; and of their being found by some one who was not a confessor. She spoke with great pleasure of the Capucins who come sometimes; said they were such delightful confessors, and made ‘des morales superbes,’ and that they preached so well that everybody listened with all their might, so that you might tap them on the back and they would never turn round. Of the Jesuits she spoke with less affection, saying that in their great general confessions, which took several days, two or three commandments at a time, they would not allow a single sin to be committed by the persons coming to them in the meantime, or else they refused them absolution—refusal which takes place sometimes for less cause. They had a poor old servant, who could only speak patois; the priest couldn’t understand her, nor she him, so that he could not find out whether she knew her catechism. He refused absolution, and the poor old creature wept and raved about it, and was in a passion with all the world. She was afterwards burnt in the great fire here! I went to mass, to hear how they preached: the people orderly, and church perfectly full. The sermon by a fat stuttering curé, was from the ‘Receive not the grace of God in vain,’ on the Sacraments. ‘Two of these called Sacremens des Morts, because they are received by persons in a state of spiritual death; the five others called Sacramens des Vivants, because they presume, in those who receive them, a state of spiritual life. The three sacraments of Baptism, Confirmation, and Orders, can only be received once; because they impress an indelible seal, and make men what they were not; and what, after they are once,
they cannot unmake themselves. Baptism makes people children or subjects of God; Confirmation makes them soldiers of God, or soldiers of His Kingdom; and Orders make them magistrates of the Kingdom. If you have received baptism, you are therefore an “enfant de Dieu.” ’

What being an ‘enfant de Dieu’ meant was not very clear; for the ineffaceability of baptism was illustrated by the instance of Julian the Apostate, who did all he could to efface it—‘Mais la mort,’ said the preacher, growing eloquent, ‘le poursuivit jusqu’à’—(he stopped, for he did not know exactly where to)—‘la tombe; et il est descendu aux enfers, portant cette marque, qui fera éternellement sa honte et sa confusion.’ ”

221. I wonder at the lightness of these entries, now; but I was too actively, happily, and selfishly busy, to be thoughtful, except only in scholarly way; but I got one of the sharpest warnings of my life only a day after leaving papa and mamma at St. Martin’s,—(cruel animal that I was!—to do geology in the Allée Blanche, and at Zermatt.) I got a chill by stopping, when I was hot, in the breeze of one of the ice streams, in ascending to the Col de Bon Homme; woke next morning in the châlet of Chapiu with acute sore throat; crossed the Col de la Seigne scarcely able to sit my mule, and was put to bed by Couttet in a little room under the tiles at Cour-mayeur, where he nursed me as he did at Padua;¹ gave me hot herb-tea, and got me on muleback again, and over the Col de Ferret, in a day or two; but there were some hours of those feverish nights which ought to have made my diaries more earnest afterwards. They go off, however, into mere geology and school divinity for a while, of which this bit, written the evening after crossing the Col de Ferret, is important as evidence of my

¹ [See above, § 144 (p. 375).]
beginning to recognize what James Forbes had proved of glacier flow:

“The most magnificent piece of ruin I have yet seen in the Alps is that opposite the embouchure of the lower glacier of the Val de Ferret, near Courmayeur; the pines are small indeed, but they are hurled hither and thither, twisted and mingled in all conditions of form, and all phases of expiring life, with the chaos of massy rocks, which the glacier has gnashed down, or the opposite mountain hurled. And yet, farther on, at the head of the valley, there is another, in its way as wonderful; less picturesque, but wilder still,—the remains of the éboulement of the Glacier de Triolet caused by the fall of an aiguille near the Petits Jorasses—the most phrenzied accumulation of moraines I have ever seen; not dropped one by one into a heap, and pushed forward by the ice ploughshare, but evidently borne down by some mingled torrent of ice and rock and flood, with the swiftness of water and the weight of stone, and thrown along the mountain-side like pebbles from a stormy sea;—but the ruins of an Alp instead of the powder of a flint bed. The glacier torrent of Triolet is almost lost among them, but that below, coming just from the base of the Jorasses, is exquisite beyond description in the play of its currents, narrow eddies of white névé round islands of rock—falling in upon each other in deep and eddying pools; flowing forth again in massy sheets of ice, feeding, not one glacier stream, but cascade above cascade, far into the mountain gulph.”

And so on, of divers matters, through four hundred and fifty pages; not all as good as that, but the core of what I had to learn and teach about gneiss and ice and clouds;—George indefatigably carrying his little daguerreo-type box up everywhere, and taking the first image of the Matterhorn, as also of the aiguilles of Chamouni, ever
drawn by the sun. A thing to be proud of still, though he is now a justice of peace, somewhere in Australia.

222. The following entries, in June, of which the two last come in the midst of busy and otherwise happy days, are all with which I permit myself to trouble the reader for this time:—

“CHAMOUNI, Sunday, June 17th.—Quiet south rain till twelve o’clock. I have been abstracting the book of Revelation, (they say the French are beaten again at Rome,¹ and another revolution in Paris); many signs seem to multiply around us, and yet my unbelief yields no more than when all the horizon was clear. I was especially struck with the general appellation of the system of the world as the ‘Mystery of God,’ Chap. x. 7, compared with Hebrews xi. 6, which I read this morning in our usual course.* Theme enough for the day’s thought.

“Half-past five. Pouring still, but I got out before dinner during a fine blink, which lasted just long enough to let me, by almost running, and leaping all the streams, reach the end of the pine wood next the source of the Arveron. There I had to turn to the left to the wooden bridge, when behold a sight new to me; an avalanche had evidently taken place from the (upper) glacier into the very bed of the great cataract, and the stream was as nearly choked as could be with balls and ellipsoids of ice, from the size of its common

* Read the 5th, 6th, and 7th verses in succession:—“And the angel which I saw stand upon the sea and upon the earth lifted up his hand to heaven, and sware by him that liveth for ever and ever, who created heaven, and the things that therein are, and the earth, and the things that therein are, and the sea and the things which are therein, that there should be time no longer: but in the days of the voice of the seventh angel, when he shall begin to sound, the mystery of God should be finished, as He hath declared to His servants the prophets.”

¹ [In one of the engagements of Garibaldi’s defence of the Roman Republic against the Pope and the French. Another revolution did not, however, break out in Paris.]
stones to that of a portmanteau, which were rolling down with it wildly, generally swinging out and in of the water as it waved; but when they came to the shallow parts, tumbled and tossed over one another, and then plunging back into the deep water like so many stranded porpoises, spinning as they went down, and showing their dark backs with wilder swings after their plunge,—white, as they emerged, black, owing to their clearness as seen in the water; the stream itself of a pale clay-colour, opaque, larger by one half than ever I saw it, and running, as I suppose, not less than ten miles an hour; the whole mass, water and ice, looking like some thick paste full of plums, or ill-made pine-apple ice, with quantities of fruit in it, and the whole looking like a solid body; for the nodules of ice hardly changed their relative position during the quarter of a minute they were severally in sight, going down in a mass, thundering and rumbling against the piles of the bridge. It made me giddy to look at it; and the more, because, on raising the eye, there was always the great cataract itself startling one, as if it had just begun and seeming to increase every instant, bounding and hurling itself hither and thither, as if it was striving to dash itself to pieces, not falling because it could not help it; and behind there was a fearful storm coming up by the Breven, its grisly clouds warping up, as it seemed, against the river and cataract, with pillars of hail behind. I stayed till it began, and then crept back through the wood, running from one tree to another—there is really now a bit of blue sky over the Pavillon.*

223. “June 18th.—Evening, nine o’clock. I must not write much, it is past bed-time; went to source of Arveron with my father and mother and Miss Dowie; †

* The green mountain at the base of the Aiguille du Goûter.
† Sybilla. See Fors, Letter 90th, “Lost Jewels,” p. 165.¹

¹ [The reference is to the first edition: see now Vol. XXIX. pp. 426–428. And for Miss Dowie, see also above, i. § 260 (p. 232).]
never saw it so lovely; drew afterwards near the source, piny sketch, well begun. After tea walked up nearly to my beloved old place on the Breven, and saw a solemn sunset, yet not very bright; the granulated rosy crags of La Côte\(^1\) especially. Thank God for permitting me to sit on that slope once more thus strong in health and limb.

“CHAMOUNI, day 13th, Monday, June 25th.—Up rather late this morning, and lost time before breakfast over camera-lucida; drove to Argentière with my mother, who enjoyed her drive exceedingly; back at one o’clock to my usual place (Les Tines\(^2\)) till four; out after dinner, rambling about Breven with sketch-book in search of a view of Aiguille du Plan; didn’t find one, but found some wild strawberries, which were a consolation. The day has been fine, with scattered clouds; in the evening a most curious case of floating cap cloud, hooding the Mont Blanc summit without touching it, like gossamer blown upwards from a field; an awning of slender threads waving like weeds in the blue sky,” (as weeds in a brook current, I meant,) “and drawn out like floss silk as fine as snow. This cloud, that does not touch the snow, but hovers over it at a certain height following the convexity of the mountain, has always seemed most unaccountable to me.

224. “CHAMOUNI, day 14th, Tuesday, June 26th.—Heavy, rounded, somewhat dirty clouds on the Pavillon (half-past six); but summit bright and clear, and all very promising.


“Evening. After one of the most heavenly walks

---

\(^1\) [See Plate 36 in Modern Painters, vol. iv. (Vol. VI. p. 260).]

\(^2\) [See the frontispiece to Vol. IV.]
I ever took in Chamouni among the woods of the Pélerins, I come in to hear of my poor cousin Mary’s death. How well I recollect sitting with her on the slopes of the Breven, and reasoning about the height of La Côte: she knows it now, better than I, and thinks it less.

“CHAMOUNI, day 15th, Wednesday, June 27th.—One of the heavenly Alpine mornings, all alight: I have been trying to get some of the effect of sunrise on the Montanvert, and aerial quality of aiguilles,—in vain. Slanting rays now touch the turf by the châlet of Blaitière, as perhaps they touch poor Mary’s grave.”

1 [See above, p. 434 n.]
CHAPTER XII

OTTENBURN

225. In blaming myself, as often I have done, and may have occasion to do again, for my want of affection to other people, I must also express continually, as I think back about it, more and more wonder that ever anybody had any affection for me. I thought they might as well have got fond of a camera-lucida, or an ivory foot-rule: all my faculty was merely in showing that such and such things were so; I was no orator, no actor, no painter but in a minute and generally invisible manner; and I couldn’t bear being interrupted in anything I was about.

Nevertheless, some sensible grown-up people did get to like me!—the best of them with a protective feeling that I wanted guidance no less than sympathy; and the higher religious souls, hoping to lead me to the golden gates.

226. I have no memory, and no notion, when I first saw Pauline, Lady Trevelyan; but she became at once a monitress-friend in whom I wholly trusted,—(not that I ever took her advice!)—and the happiness of her own life was certainly increased by my books and me. Sir Walter, being a thorough botanist, and interested in pure science generally, did not hunt, but was benevolently useful, as a landlord should be, in his county. I had no interests in county business at that time; but used to have happy agricultural or floral chats with Sir Walter, and entirely admired his unambitious, yet dignified stability of rural, and celestial, life, there amidst the Northumbrian winds.

1 [See above, pp. 44–45, 424.]
2 [Certainly before 1851: see Vol. XII. p. xix. For Paulina, eldest daughter of the Rev. W. Jermyn, D.D., married to Sir Walter Trevelyan in 1835, see the Introduction to Vol. XXXVI.]
Wallington is in the old Percy country, the broad descent of main valley leading down by Otterburn from the Cheviots. An ugly house enough it was; square set, and somewhat bare walled, looking down a slope of rough wide field to a burn, the Wansbeck, neither bright nor rapid, but with a ledge or two of sandstone to drip over, or lean against in pools; bits of crag in the distance, worth driving to, for sight of the sweeps of moor round them, and breaths of breeze from Carter Fell.

There were no children of its own in Wallington, but Lady Trevelyans’s little niece, Constance Hilliard, 1 nine years old when I first saw her there, glittered about the place in an extremely quaint and witty way; and took to me a little, like her aunt. Afterwards her mother and she, in their little rectory home at Cowley (near Hillingdon 2), became important among my feminine friendships, and gave me, of such petting and teasing as women are good for, sometimes more than enough.

227. But the dearness of Wallington was founded, as years went on, more deeply in its having made known to me the best and truest friend of all my life; best for me, because he was of my father’s race, and native town; truest, because he knew always how to help us both, and never made any mistakes in doing so—Dr. John Brown. He was staying at Wallington when I stopped there on my way to give my Edinburgh lectures; 3 and we walked together, with little Connie, on the moors: it dawned on me, so, gradually, what manner of man he was.

This, the reader capable of learning at all—(there are few now who can understand a good Scotchman of the old classic breed)—had better learn, straightway, from the record he gave of his own father’s life, 4 of which I must

2 [Close to Uxbridge.]
3 [In 1853: see Vol. XII. p. xx.]
give here this one passage of his childhood. His father was a young pastor, crowned in perfectness of faithful service, together with his “modest, calm, thrifty, reasonable, happy-hearted” wife, his student-love; this their son, five years old,—just at the age when I look back to the creation of the world, for me, in Friar’s Crag, of Derwent-water;¹ my mother, thrifty and reasonable also, meantime taking care that not more than two plums should be in my pie for dinner; my father, also thrifty and reasonable, triumphing in his travel at Whitehaven, a “wanderer,” like the pedlar in the Excursion,² selling sherry instead of bobbins;—all of us as happy as cicadas (and a little more).

228. Now hear Dr. John Brown:³—

“On the morning of the 28th May, 1816, my eldest sister Janet and I were sleeping in the kitchen-bed with Tibbie Meek, our only servant. We were all three awakened by a cry of pain—sharp, insufferable, as if one were stung. Years after we two confided to each other, sitting by the burnside, that we thought that ‘great cry’ which arose at midnight in Egypt must have been like it. We all knew whose voice it was, and, in our night-clothes, we ran into the passage, and into the little parlour to the left hand, in which was a closet-bed. We found my father standing before us, erect, his hands clenched in his black hair, his eyes full of misery and amazement, his face white as that of the dead. He frightened us. He saw this, or else his intense will had mastered his agony, for, taking his hands from his head, he said, slowly and gently, ‘Let us give thanks,’ and turned to a little sofa* in the room; there lay our mother, dead. She had long been ailing. I remember her sitting in a shawl,—an Indian one with little dark green spots on a light ground,—and watching her growing pale with what I afterwards knew must have been strong pain. She had, being feverish, slipped out of bed, and ‘grandmother,’ her mother, seeing her ‘change come,’ had called my father, and they two saw her open her blue, kind, and true eyes, ‘comfortable’ to us all ‘as the day’—I remember them better than those of any one I saw yesterday—and, with one faint look of recognition to him, close them till the time of the restitution of all things.”

* “This sofa, which was henceforward sacred in the house, he had always beside him. He used to tell us he set her down upon it when he brought her home to the manse.”

¹ [See above, i. § 107 (p. 94).]
² [See book i. (“The Wanderer”).]
He had a precious sister left to him; but his life, as the noblest Scottish lives are always, was thenceforward generously sad,—and endlessly pitiful.

229. No one has yet separated, in analyzing the mind of Scott, the pity from the pride; no one, in the mind of Carlyle, the pity from the anger.

Lest I should not be spared to write another Præterita,¹ I will give, in this place, a few words of Carlyle’s, which throw more lovely light on his character than any he has written,—as, indeed, his instantly vivid words always did; and it is a bitter blame and shame to me that I have not recorded those spoken to myself, often with trust and affection, always with kindness. But I find this piece, nearly word for word, in my diary of 25th October, 1874. He had been quoting the last words of Goethe, “Open the window, let us have more light” (this about an hour before painless death, his eyes failing him²).

I referred to the “It grows dark, boys, you may go,”³ of the great master of the High School of Edinburgh.* On which Carlyle instantly opened into beautiful account of Adam’s early life, his intense zeal and industry as a poor boy in a Highland cottage, lying flat on the hearth to learn his Latin grammar by the light of a peat fire. Carlyle’s own memory is only of Adam’s funeral, when he, Carlyle, was a boy of fourteen, making one of a crowd waiting near the gate of the High School, of which part of the old black building of the time of James I. was still standing—its motto, “Nisi Dominus, frustra,” everywhere. A half-holiday had been given, that the boys might see the coffin carried by,—only about five-and-twenty people

* It was his Latin grammar, the best ever composed, which my Camberwell tutor threw aside, as above told, for a “Scotch thing.”

¹ [This chapter was written, as the date at the end shows, at Folkestone, in October 1887, when Ruskin was in poor health. An interval of six months elapsed before another chapter appeared.]
² [See G. H. Lewes’s Life of Goethe, 1875, p. 566.]
³ [Compare Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 94 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 364).]
⁴ [In i. § 92 (p. 83).]
in all, Carlyle thought—“big-bellied persons, sympathetic bailies, relieving each other in carrying the pall.” The boys collected in a group, as it passed within the railings, uttered a low “Ah me! Ah dear!” or the like, half sigh or wail—“and he is gone from us then!”

“The sound of the boys’ wail is in my ears yet,” said Carlyle. 230. His own first teacher in Latin, an old clergyman. He had indeed been sent first to a schoolmaster in his own village, “the joyfulest little mortal, he believed, on earth,” learning his declensions out of an eighteen-penny book! giving his whole might and heart to understand. And the master could teach him nothing, merely involved him day by day in misery of non-understanding, the boy getting crushed and sick, till (his mother?) saw it, and then he was sent to this clergyman, “a perfect sage, on the humblest scale.” Seventy pounds a year, his income at first entering into life; never more than a hundred. Six daughters and two sons; the eldest sister, Margaret, “a little bit lassie,”—then in a lower voice, “the flower of all the flock to me.” Returning from her little visitations to the poor, dressed in her sober prettiest, “the most amiable of possible objects.” Not beautiful in any notable way afterwards, but “comely in the highest degree.” With dutiful sweetness, “the right hand of her father.” Lived to be seven-and-twenty. “The last time that I wept aloud in the world, I think was at her death.”

Riding down from Craigenputtock to Dumfries,—“a monstrous precipice of rocks on one hand of you, a merry brook on the other side. . . . In the night just before sunrise.”

He was riding down, he and his brother, to fetch away her body,—they having just heard of her death.

A surveyor (?), or some scientific and evidently superior kind of person, had been doing work which involved staying near, or in, her father’s house, and they got engaged, and then he broke it off. “They said that was the beginning of it.” The death had been so sudden, and so unexpected,
that Mary’s mother, then a girl of twelve or thirteen, rushed out of the house and up to the cart,* shrieking, rather than crying, “Where’s Peggy?”

I could not make out, quite, how the two parts of the family were separated, so that his sister expected them to bring her back living, (or even well?). Carlyle was so much affected, and spoke so low, that I could not venture to press him on detail.

This master of his then, the father of Margaret, was entirely kind and wise in teaching him—a Scotch gentleman of old race and feeling, an Andrea Ferrara1 and some silver-mounted canes hanging in his study, last remnants of the old times.

231. We fell away upon Mill’s essay on the substitution of patriotism for religion.2

“Actually the most paltry rag of”—a chain of vituperative contempt too fast to note—“it has fallen to my lot to come in with. Among my acquaintance I have not seen a person talking of a thing he so little understood.” The point of his indignation was Mill’s supposing that, if God did not make everybody “happy,” it was because He had no sufficient power, “was not enough supplied with the article.” Nothing makes Carlyle more contemptuous than this coveting of “happiness.”

Perhaps we had better hear what Polissena and the nun of Florence (Christ’s Folk, IV.3) have to say about happiness, of their sort; and consider what every strong heart feels in the doing of any noble thing, and every good

* “Rushed at the cart,” his words. Ending with his deep “Heigh dear,” sigh. “Sunt lacrymæ rerum.”4

---

1 [For this broadsword, see Scott’s note to ch. 50 of Waverley.]
2 [Mill’s Essays on Religion had been posthumously published in the year of the conversation here recorded (1874).]
3 [Which Part was being prepared for press by Ruskin at the time when he was writing. The title of it is “The Nun’s School in Florence”; and the nun is described as having “a confirmed belief that her life of teaching, cooking, and sewing is the most delightful and exhilarating possible.” It contains a story of Polissena also. See Vol. XXXII. pp. 287, 288.]
4 [Virgil, Æneid, i. 462.]
craftsman in making any beautiful one, before we despise any innocent person who looks for happiness in this world, as well as hereafter. But assuredly the strength of Scottish character has always been perfected by suffering; and the types of it given by Scott in Flora MacIvor, Edith Bellenden, Mary of Avenel, and Jeanie Deans,\(^1\)—to name only those which the reader will remember without effort,—are chiefly notable in the way they bear sorrow; as the whole tone of Scottish temper, ballad poetry, and music, which no other school has ever been able to imitate, has arisen out of the sad associations which, one by one, have gathered round every loveliest scene in the border land. Nor is there anything among other beautiful nations to approach the dignity of a true Scotswoman’s face, in the tried perfectness of her old age.

232. I have seen them beautiful in the same way earlier, when they had passed through trial; my own Joanie’s face owes the calm of its radiance to days of no ordinary sorrow—even before she came, when my father had been laid to his rest under Croydon hills, to keep her faithful watch by my mother’s side, while I was seeking selfish happiness far away in work which to-day has come to nought. What I have myself since owed to her,—life certainly, and more than life, for many and many a year,—was meant to have been told long since,\(^2\) had I been able to finish this book in the time I designed it. What Dr. John Brown became to me, is partly shown in the continual references to his sympathy in the letters of *Hortus Inclusus*;\(^3\) but nothing could tell the loss to me in his death, nor the grief to how many greater souls than mine, that had been possessed in patience through his love.

\(^1\) [For another list of Scott’s noble women, see *Sesame and Lilies*, § 59 (Vol. XVIII. p. 115); it includes Flora MacIvor (*Waverley*) and Jeanie Deans (*Heart of Midlothian*), but not Edith Bellenden (*Old Mortality*) or Mary of Avenel (*The Monastery*).]

\(^2\) [Told afterwards, in the closing chapter of *Præterita*, “Joanna’s Care”; pp. 535 seq.]

\(^3\) [See, for instance, the letters of August 10 and 25, 1874 (Vol. XXXVII.). Dr. Brown died in 1882.]
I must give one piece more of his own letter, with the following fragment, written in the earlier part of this year, and meant to have been carried on into some detail of the impressions received in my father’s native Edinburgh, and on the northern coast, from Queen’s Ferry round by Prestonpans to Dunbar and Berwick.

Dr. Brown goes on: 1—“A year ago, I found an elderly countrywoman, a widow, waiting for me. Rising up, she said, ‘D’ ye mind me?’ I looked at her, but could get nothing from her face; but the voice remained in my ear, as if coming from the ‘fields of sleep,’ and I said by a sort of instinct, ‘Tibbie Meek!’ I had not seen her or heard her voice for more than forty years.”

233. The reader will please note the pure Scotch phrase “D’ ye mind me?” and compare Meg Merrilies’ use of it: 2—

“At length she guided them through the mazes of the wood to a little open glade of about a quarter of an acre, surrounded by trees and bushes, which made a wild and irregular* boundary. Even in winter, it was a sheltered and snugly sequestered spot; but when arrayed in the verdure of spring, the earth sending forth all its wild flowers; the shrubs spreading their waste of blossom around it, and the weeping birches, which towered over the underwood, drooping their long and leafy fibres to intercept the sun, it must have seemed a place for a youthful poet to study his earliest sonnet, or a pair of lovers to exchange their first mutual avowal of affection. Apparently it now awakened very different recollections. Bertram’s brow, when he had looked round the spot, became gloomy and embarrassed. Meg, after muttering to herself, ‘This is the very spot,’ looked at him with a ghastly side glance,—‘D’ye mind it?’

“Yes,” answered Bertram, ‘imperfectly I do.’

“Ay,” pursued his guide, “on this very spot the man fell from his horse—I was behind that bourtree †-bush at the very moment. Now will I show you the further track—the last time ye travelled it, was in these arms.”

That was twenty years before.

* It might have been “irregular,” in ground just cut up for building leases, in South Lambeth; wild, yet as regular as a disciplined army, had it been the pines of Uri. It was a “waste of blossom,” a shade of weeping birches.

† Elder, in modern Scotch; but in the Douglas glossary, 3 Bower-bush.

1 [In a footnote to p. 414 of the book cited above, p. 459 n.]
2 [Guy Mannering, ch. iii.]
3 [The glossary appended to the 1710 edition of Bishop Douglas’s translation of the Æneid: see Vol. XXXIV. p. 300 n.]
Bertram’s nurse, compare Waverley’s and Morton’s,1 Dr. Brown’s Tibbie, my own father’s Mause, my Anne:—all women of the same stamp; my Saxon mother not altogether comprehending them; but when Dr. John Brown first saw my account of my mother and Anne in Fors,2 he understood both of them, and wrote back to me of “those two blessed women,” as he would have spoken of their angels, had he then been beside them, looking on another Face.3

1 [See Waverley, chaps. xxxvii. and lxxv.; and for Alison Wilson (Morton’s nurse), Old Mortality, ch. v. For Ruskin’s father’s Mause, see above, i. § 71, and for Anne, i. § 31 (pp. 30, 64).]
3 [The MSS. and proofs of Præterita show that from this point two different conclusions of the chapter were at one time or another intended. One of these introduced letters from Ruskin’s father and mother, lest he “should not be able to carry on the story”: these letters are now given in the Introduction to Vol. XXXVI. The other conclusion (itself, however, incomplete) was as follows:—

“The ‘Let us give thanks’ is spoken by his Father in the strength at utmost strain of a Scottish heart trained in the purity of the Old Covenant, and among the men who were the offspring of its Martyrs, alike in body and soul. There has been no such religious testimony as theirs borne in this world—no sacrifice of love so great—no rendering of obedience so true. The Scottish intellect and heart in their fight for Faith or Clanship are as far above those of other nations under the same trials—Vaudois or Swiss—as the Scottish basalt is stronger than Swiss Nagelfluhe. But in their strength, full of fearful error, issuing in bitter pain and withering pride.

“In this very instance of the victory over mortal agony, the victory is in false thoughts of God—and of Death. ‘The cup that my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it?’ Yes—but not thinking of it as of the cup that runneth over with mercy in the 23rd Psalm. ‘The Lord gave and the Lord hath taken away. Blessed be the name of the Lord.’ But the taking away is nevertheless by Him who ‘hath the power of Death.’

“The bereaved priest married again. But who shall measure what the loss of his mother was to the child? The fixed melancholy which mingled with all Dr. John Brown’s power of just thought, and gave the tone of a passing bell to his brightest joys, dated from that hour. Yet this pathetic temper it was which made him more perfectly representative of what is most sacred in his country. It is the sorrow of Scotland which is her real diadem.

“I cannot go on in this chapter to what I meant of my dearest friend: being disturbed by instant troubles which take away my powers of tranquil thought, whether of the Dead or Living who have been and are yet dear to me. But this volume of Præterita may fitly close with so much general account of the opposite influences on me of my Catholic friends and of their border line of arrest, as may in future (if yet a future be granted me) explain my interest in the interpretation of Catholic Art, and yet prevent the recurrence of any such mean accusations of secret adherence to the Catholic Church as of late have found their way into the small portion of the public mind that at all concerns itself about me.”

For the “accusations” referred to, see Vol. XXXIV. p. 618. The general account of his Catholic friends was not written; but the subject was taken up in a different form in ch. i. of vol. iii. (“The Grande Chartreuse”).]
But my reason for quoting this piece of *Guy Mannering* here is to explain to the reader who cares to know it, the difference between the Scotch “mind” for “remember,” and any other phrase of any other tongue, applied to the act of memory.

In order that you may, in the Scottish sense, “mind” anything, first there must be something to “mind”—and then, the “mind” to mind it. In a thousand miles of iron railway, or railway train, there is nothing in one rod or bar to distinguish it from another. You can’t “mind” which sleeper is which. Nor, on the other hand, if you drive from Chillon to Vevay, asleep, can you “mind” the characteristics of the lake of Geneva. Meg could not have expected Bertram to “mind” at what corner of a street in Manchester—or in what ditch of the Isle of Dogs—anything had past directly bearing on his own fate. She expected him to “mind” only a beautiful scene, of perfect individual character, and she would not have expected him to “mind” even that, had she not known he had persevering sense and memorial powers of very high order.

Now it is the peculiar character of Scottish as distinct from all other scenery on a small scale in north Europe, to have these distinctively “mindable” features. One range of coteau by a French river is exactly like another; one turn of glen in the Black Forest is only the last turn re-turned; one sweep of Jura pasture and crag, the mere echo of the fields and crags of ten miles away. But in the whole course of Tweed, Teviot, Gala, Tay, Forth, and Clyde, there is perhaps scarcely a bend of ravine, or nook of valley, which would not be recognizable by its inhabitants from every other. And there is no other country in which the roots of memory are so entwined with the beauty of nature, instead of the pride of men; no other in which the song of “Auld lang syne” could have been written,—or Lady Nairne’s ballad of “The Auld House.”¹

¹ [Hardly a ballad; the song may be found at p. 13 of *Life and Songs of the Baroness Nairne* (1869).]
235. I did not in last Præterita enough explain the reason for my seeking homes on the crests of Alps,\(^1\) in my own special study of cloud and sky; but I have only known too late, within this last month,\(^2\) the absolutely literal truth of Turner’s saying that the most beautiful skies in the world known to him were those of the Isle of Thanet.

In a former number of Præterita I have told how my mother kept me quiet in a boy’s illness by telling me to think of Dash, and Dover;\(^3\) and among the early drawings left for gift to Joanie are all those made—the first ever made from nature—at Sevenoaks,\(^4\) Tunbridge, Canterbury, and Dover. One of the poorest-nothings of these, a mere scrawl in pen and ink, of cumulus cloud crossed by delicate horizontal bars on the horizon, is the first attempt I ever made to draw a sky,—fifty-five years ago. That same sky I saw again over the same sea horizon at sunset only five weeks ago. And three or four days of sunshine following, I saw, to my amazement, that the skies of Turner were still bright above the foulness of smoke-cloud or the flight of plague-cloud; and that the forms which, in the pure air of Kent and Picardy, the upper cirri were capable of assuming, undisturbed by tornado, unmingled with volcanic exhalation, and lifted out of the white crests of ever-renewed tidal waves, were infinite, lovely and marvellous beyond any that I had ever seen from moor or alp; while yet on the horizon, if left for as much as an hour undefiled by fuel of fire, there was the azure air I had known of old, alike in the lowland distance and on the Highland hills. What might the coasts of France and England have been now, if from the days of Bertha in Canterbury, and of Godefroy in Boulogne, the Christian faith had been held by both nations in peace, in

\(^1\) [See above, p. 436.]
\(^2\) [See the date at the end of the chapter.]
\(^3\) [The passage of which Ruskin was here thinking was, however, omitted on revision: see now p. 87 n.]
\(^4\) [This was in 1831. The drawing was No. 6 in the Ruskin Exhibition at Coniston, 1900. On the back is written, “I believe my very first study from nature.”]
this pure air of heaven? What might the hills of Cheviot and the
vale of Tweed have been now, if from the days of Cuthbert in
Holy Isle, and of Edwin in Edinburgh, the Crosses of St. George
and St. Andrew had been borne by brethren; and the fiery Percy
and true Douglas laid down their lives only for their people?

FOLKESTONE, 11th October, 1887.
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PRÆTERITA—III

CHAPTER I
THE GRANDE CHARTREUSE

MONT BLANC REVISITED

(Written at Nyon in 1845)

O Mount beloved, mine eyes again
Behold the twilight’s sanguine stain
Along thy peaks expire.
O Mount beloved, thy frontier waste
I seek with a religious haste
And reverent desire.

They meet me, ‘midst thy shadows cold,—
Such thoughts as holy men of old
Amid the desert found;—
Such gladness, as in Him they felt
Who with them through the darkness dwelt,
And compassed all around.

Ah, happy, if His will were so,
To give me manna here for snow,
And by the torrent side
To lead me as He leads His flocks
Of wild deer through the lonely rocks
In peace, unterrified;

Since, from the things that trustful rest,
The partridge on her purple nest,
The marmot in his den,
God wins a worship more resigned,
A purer praise than He can find
Upon the lips of men.
Alas for man! who hath no sense
Of gratefulness nor confidence,
But still regrets and raves,
Till all God’s love can scarcely win
One soul from taking pride in sin,
And pleasure over graves.

Yet teach me, God, a milder thought,
Lest I, of all Thy blood has bought,
Least honourable be;
And this, that leads me to condemn,
Be rather want of love for them
Than jealousy for Thee.

1. These verses,¹ above noticed (ii. § 109), with one
following sonnet, as the last rhymes I attempted in any
seriousness, were nevertheless themselves extremely earnest,
and express, with more boldness and simplicity than I feel able
to use now with my readers, the real temper in which I began the
best work of my life. My mother at once found fault with the
words “sanguine stain,” as painful, and untrue of the rose-colour
on snow at sunset; but they had their meaning to myself,—the
too common Evangelical phrase, “washed in the blood of
Christ,”² being, it seemed to me, if true at all, true of the earth
and her purest snow, as well as of her purest creatures; and the
claim of being able to find among the rock-shadows thoughts
such as hermits of old found in the desert, whether it seem
immodest or not, was wholly true. Whatever might be my
common faults or weaknesses, they were rebuked among the
hills; and the only days I can look back to as, according to the
powers given me, rightly or wisely in entireness spent, have been
in sight of Mont Blanc, Monte Rosa, or the Jungfrau.

When I was most strongly under this influence, I tried

¹ [First printed in Ruskin’s Poems, 1850: see now Vol. II. pp. 233–235. Ruskin here
omits a stanza which in the Poems preceded the last. For the “one following sonnet”
(“The Glacier”), see Vol. II. p. 240. The statement that these were “the last rhymes
attempted in any seriousness” requires some little modification: see the few pieces of
later years given in Vol. II. pp. 243–250, though it is true that most of them were playful
or written for music.]

² [See Revelation vii. 14.]
to trace,—and I think have traced rightly, so far as I was then able,—in the last chapter of *Modern Painters*,¹ the power of mountains in solemnizing the thoughts and purifying the hearts of the greatest nations of antiquity, and the greatest teachers of Christian faith. But I did not then dwell on what I had only felt, but not ascertained,—the destruction of all sensibility of this high order in the populations of modern Europe, first by the fine luxury of the fifteenth century, and then by the coarse lusts of the eighteenth and early nineteenth; destruction so total that religious men themselves became incapable of education by any natural beauty or nobleness; and though still useful to others by their ministrations and charities, in the corruption of cities, were themselves lost,—or even degraded, if they ever went up into the mountain to preach, or into the wilderness to pray.

² There is no word, in the fragment of diary recording, in last *Præterita*,² our brief visit to the Grande Chartreuse, of anything we saw or heard there that made impression upon any of us. Yet a word was said, of significance enough to alter the courses of religious thought in me, afterwards for ever.

I had been totally disappointed with the Monastery itself, with the pass of approach to it, with the mountains round it, and with the monk who showed us through it. The building was meanly designed and confusedly grouped; the road up to it nothing like so terrific as most roads in the Alps up to anywhere; the mountains round were simplest commonplace of Savoy cliff, with no peaks, no glaciers, no cascades, nor even any slopes of pine in extent of majesty. And the monk who showed us through the corridors had no cowl worth the wearing, no beard worth the wagging, no expression but of superciliousness without sagacity, and an ungraciously dull manner, showing that

¹ [The last chapter of the fourth volume, Ruskin means; that on “The Mountain Glory”: see Vol. VI. pp. 426 seq.]
² [This should be “last but one.” See ii. ch. xi. § 209 (above, p. 439).]
he was much tired of the place, more of himself, and altogether of my father and me.

Having followed him for a time about the passages of the scattered building, in which there was nothing to show,—not a picture, not a statue, not a bit of old glass, or well-wrought vestment or jewellery, nor any architectural feature in the least ingenious or lovely, we came to a pause at last in what I suppose was a type of a modern Carthusian’s cell, wherein, leaning on the window sill, I said something in the style of *Modern Painters*, about the effect of the scene outside upon religious minds. Whereupon, with a curl of his lip, “We do not come here,” said the monk, “to look at the mountains.”¹ Under which rebuke I bent my head silently, thinking however all the same, “What then, by all that’s stupid, do you come here for at all?”

3. Which, from that hour to this, I have not conceived; nor, after giving my best attention to the last elaborate account of Carthusian faith, “*La Grande Chartreuse, par un Chartreux*, Grenoble, 5, Rue Brocherie, 1884,” am I the least wiser. I am informed by that author that his fraternity are *Eremite* beyond all other manner of men,—that they delight in solitude, and in that amiable disposition pass lives of an angelic tenor, meditating on the charms of the next world, and the vanities of this one.

I sympathize with them in their love of quiet—to the uttermost; but do not hold that liking to be the least pious or amiable in myself, nor understand why it seems so to them; or why their founder, St. Bruno,²—a man of the brightest faculties in teaching, and exhorting, and directing; also, by favour of fortune, made a teacher and governor in the exact centre of European thought and order, the royal city of Rheims,—should think it right to leave all that charge, throw down his rod of rule, his crozier of protection, and come away to enjoy meditation on the next world by himself.

¹ [Ruskin had already recorded this remark in *Stones of Venice*, vol. iii. (Vol. XI. p. 223), and in *Modern Painters*, vol. v. (Vol. VII. p. 424).]
² [Compare ii. § 159; above, p. 389.]
And why meditation among the Alps? He and his disciples might as easily have avoided the rest of mankind by shutting themselves into a penitentiary on a plain, or in whatever kind country they chanced to be born in, without danger to themselves of being buried by avalanches, or trouble to their venerating visitors in coming so far up hill.

Least of all I understand how they could pass their days of meditation without getting interested in plants and stones, whether they would or no; nor how they could go on writing books in scarlet and gold,—(for they were great scribes, and had a beautiful library,)—persisting for centuries in the same patterns, and never trying to draw a bird or a leaf rightly—until the days when books were illuminated no more for religion, but for luxury, and the amusement of sickly fancy. 1

4. Without endeavouring to explain any of these matters, I will try to set down, in this chapter, merely what I have found monks or nuns like, when by chance I was thrown into their company, and of what use they have been to me.

And first let me thank my dear Miss Edgeworth for the ideal character of Sister Frances, in her story of “Madame de Fleury,”2 which, read over and over again through all my childhood, fixed in me the knowledge of what a good sister of charity can be, and for the most part is, in France; and, of late, I suppose in Germany and England.

But the first impression from life of the secluded Sister-hoods* was given me at the Convent of St. Michael, on

* Of the Brotherhoods, of course the first I knew were those of St. Bernard; 3 but these were not secluded for their own spiritual welfare, any more than our coastguardsmen by the Goodwin sands; and are to be spoken of elsewhere, 4 and in quite other relations to the modern world.

---

1 [On the subject of Monasticism, see Vol. XXXIII. p. 101, and the other passages there referred to.]

2 [One of the Tales of Fashionable Life, contained in vol. viii. of the collected edition of Miss Edgeworth’s Novels and Tales, 1825.]

3 [For Ruskin’s visits to the Hospice on the Great St. Bernard in 1835, see Vol. I. pp. 505 seq.]

4 [Probably this was to have been done in the planned but unwritten Ninth Part of Our Fathers have Told Us, devoted to “The Pastoral Forms of Catholicism” (Vol. XXXIII. p. 187).]
the summit of the isolated peak of lava at Le Puy, in Auvergne, in 1840. The hostess-sister who showed my father and me what it was permitted to see of chapel or interior buildings, was a cheerful, simple creature, pleased with us at once for our courtesy to her, and admiration of her mountain home, and belief in her sacred life. Protestant visitors being then rare in Auvergne, and still more, reverent and gentle ones, she gave her pretty curiosity free sway; and inquired earnestly of us, what sort of creatures we were,—how far we believed in God, or tried to be good, or hoped to go to heaven? And our responses under this catechism being in their sum more pleasing to her than she had expected, and manifesting, to her extreme joy and wonder, a Christian spirit, so far as she could judge, in harmony with all she had been herself taught, she proceeded to cross-examine us on closer points of Divinity, to find out, if she could, why we were, or unnecessarily called ourselves, anything else than Catholic? The one flaw in our faith which at last her charity fastened on, was that we were not sure of our salvation in Christ, but only hoped to get into heaven,—and were not at all, by that dim hope, relieved from terror of death, when at any time it should come. Whereupon she launched involuntarily into an eager and beautiful little sermon, to every word of which her own perfectly happy and innocent face gave vivid power, and assurance of sincerity,—how “we needed to be sure of our safety in Christ, and that every one might be so who came to Him and prayed to Him; and that all good Catholics were as sure of heaven as if they were already there;” and so dismissed us at the gate with true pity, and beseeching that we would prove the goodness of God, and be in peace. Which exhortation of hers I have never forgotten; only it has always seemed to me that there was no entering into that rest of hers but by living on the top of some St. Michael’s rock too, which it did not seem to me I was meant to do, by any means.

But in here recording the impression made on my
father and me, I must refer to what I said above of our common feeling of being, both of us, as compared with my mother, reprobate and worldly characters, despising our birthright like Esau, or cast out, for our mocking ways, like Ishmael. For my father never ventured to give me a religious lesson; and though he went to church with a resigned countenance, I knew very well that he liked going just as little as I did.

5. The second and fourth summers after that, 1842 and 1844, were spent happily and quietly in the Prieuré* of Chamouni, and there of course we all of us became acquainted with the curé, and saw the entire manner of life in a purely Catholic village and valley,—recognizing it, I hope, all of us, in our hearts, to be quite as Christian as anything we knew of, and much pleasanter and prettier than the Sunday services, in England, which exhaust the little faith we have left.

Wordsworth, in his continental notices of peasant Catholicism, recognizes, also at Chamouni, very gracefully this external prettiness:—

“They too, who send so far a holy gleam,
   As they the Church engird with motion slow,
A product of that awful Mountain seem
   Poured from its vaults of everlasting snow.
Not virgin lilies marshalled in bright row,
   Not swans descending with the stealthy tide,
A livelier sisterly resemblance show
   Than the fair Forms that in long order glide
Bear to the glacier band, those Shapes aloft descried.”

But on me, the deeper impression was of a continuous and serene hold of their happy faith on the life alike of Sunday and Monday, and through every hour and circumstance of

* Not in the Priory itself, but the Hôtel de l’Union. The whole village is called “The Priory.”

1 [See above, p. 95; and for the Bible references, see Genesis xxv. 34, xxi. 9, 10.]
youth and age; which yet abides in all the mountain Catholic
districts of Savoy, the Waldstetten, and the Tyrol, to their
perpetual honour and peace; and this without controversy, or
malice towards the holders of other beliefs.

6. Next, in 1845, I saw in Florence, as above told, the
interior economy of the monasteries at Santa Maria Novella,—
in the Franciscan cloisters of Fésole, and in Fra Angelico’s,
both at San Domenico and San Marco. Which, in whatever they
retained of their old thoughts and ways, were wholly beautiful;
and the monks with whom I had any casual intercourse, always
kind, innocently eager in sympathy with my own work, and
totally above men of the “world” in general understanding,
courtesy, and moral sense.

Men of the outer world, I mean, of course,—official and
commercial. Afterwards at Venice I had a very dear, and not at
all monastic, friend, Rawdon Brown; but his society were the
Venetians of the fifteenth century. The Counts Minischalchi at
Verona, and Borromeo at Milan, would have been endlessly
kind and helpful to me; but I never could learn Italian enough to
speak to them. Whereas, with my monkish friends, at the
Armenian isle of Venice, and in any churches or cloisters
through North Italy, where I wanted a niche to be quiet in, and
chiefly at last in Assisi, I got on with any broken French or
Italian I could stutter, without minding; and was always happy.

7. But the more I loved or envied the monks, and the more I
despised the modern commercial and fashionable barbaric
tribes, the more acutely also I felt that the Catholic political
hierarchies, and isolated remnants of celestial enthusiasm, were
hopelessly at fault in their dealing with these adversaries; having
also elements of corruption in themselves, which justly brought
on them the fierce hostility of men like Garibaldi in Italy, and of
the honest

1 [See above, p. 359.]
2 [For Ruskin’s friendship with the Armenian monks at S. Lazzaro, and with the
Franciscans at Assisi, see Vol. XXIII, p. xxxix.]
3 [Compare Fors Clavigera, Letter 7 (Vol. XXVII, p. 117).]
and open-hearted liberal leaders in other countries. Thus, irrespectively of all immediate contest or progress, I saw in the steady course of the historical reading by which I prepared myself to write *The Stones of Venice*, that, alike in the world and the Church, the hearts of men were led astray by the same dreams and desires; and whether in seeking for Divine perfection, or earthly pleasure, were alike disobeying the laws of God when they withdrew from their direct and familiar duties, and ceased, whether in ascetic or self-indulgent lives, to honour and love their neighbour as themselves.\(^1\)

While these convictions prevented me from being ever led into acceptance of Catholic teaching by my reverence for the Catholic art of the great ages,—and the less, because the Catholic art of these small ages can say but little for itself,—I grew also daily more sure that the peace of God rested on all the dutiful and kindly hearts of the laborious poor; and that the only constant form of pure religion was in useful work, faithful love, and stintless charity.

8. In which pure religion neither St. Bruno himself nor any of his true disciples failed: and I perceive it finally notable of them, that, poor by resolute choice of a life of hardship, without any sentimental or fallacious glorifying of “Holy poverty” as if God had never promised full garners for a blessing; and always choosing men of high intellectual power for the heads of their community, they have had more directly wholesome influence on the outer world than any other order of monks so narrow in number, and restricted in habitation. For while the Franciscan and Cistercian monks became everywhere a constant element in European society, the Carthusians, in their active sincerity, remained, in groups of not more than from twelve to twenty monks in any single monastery, the tenants of a few wild valleys of the north-western Alps; the subsequent overflowing of their brotherhood into the Certosas of

\(^1\) [Leviticus xix. 18.]

xxxv.
the Lombard plains being mere waste and wreck of them; and
the great Certosa of Pavia one of the worst shames of Italy,¹
associated with the accursed reign of Galeazzo Visconti. But in
their strength, from the foundation of the order, at the close of
the eleventh century, to the beginning of the fourteenth, they
reared in their mountain fastnesses, and sent out to minister to
the world, a succession of men of immense mental grasp, and
serenely authoritative innocence; among whom our own Hugo
of Lincoln, in his relations with Henry II. and Cœur de Lion, is to
my mind the most beautiful sacerdotal figure known to me in
history.² The great Pontiffs have a power which in its strength
can scarcely be used without cruelty, nor in its scope without
error; the great Saints are always in some degree incredible or
unintelligible; but Hugo’s power is in his own personal courage
and justice only; and his sanctity as clear, frank, and playful as
the waves of his own Chartreuse well.*

9. I must not let myself be led aside from my own memories
into any attempt to trace the effect on Turner’s mind of his visit
to the Chartreuse, rendered as it is in the three subjects of the
Liber Studiorum,—from the Chartreuse itself, from Holy Island,
and Dunblane Abbey. The strength of it was checked by his love
and awe of the sea, and sailor heroism, and confused by his
classical thought and passion; but in my own life, the fading
away of the nobler feelings in which I had worked in the Campo
Santo of Pisa, however much my own fault, was yet complicated
with the inevitable discovery of the falseness of the religious
doctrines in which I had been educated.

* The original building was grouped round a spring in the rock, from which
a runlet was directed through every cell.

¹ [For references to the Certosa of Pavia (founded in 1396 by Galeazzo Visconti,
first Duke of Milan, as an atonement for the murder of his uncle and father-in-law), see
Vol. VIII. p. 50, and the other passages there noted.]
² [See Froude’s paper “A Bishop of the Twelfth Century” in Short Studies, vol. ii.;
518).]
10. The events of the ten years 1850–1860, for the most part wasted in useless work, must be arranged first in their main order, before I can give clear account of anything that happened in them. But this breaking down of my Puritan faith, being the matter probably most important to many readers of my later books, shall be traced in this chapter to the sorrowful end. Note first the main facts of the successive years of the decade.

1851. Turner dies, while I am at first main work in Venice, for *The Stones of Venice*.

1852. Final work in Venice for *Stones of Venice*. Book finished that winter. Six hundred quarto pages of notes for it, fairly and closely written, now useless. Drawings as many—of a sort; useless too.

1853. Henry Acland in Glenfinlas with me. Drawing of gneiss rock made; now in the school at Oxford.¹ Two months’ work in what fair weather could be gleaned out of that time.

1854. With my father and mother at Vevay and Thun. I take up the history of Switzerland, and propose to engrave a series of drawings of the following Swiss towns: Geneva, Fribourg, Basle, Thun, Baden, and Schaffhausen.² I proceed to make drawings for this work, of which the first attempted (of Thun) takes up the whole of the summer, and is only half done then. Definition of Poetry, for *Modern Painters*, written at Vevay, looking across lake to Chillon. It leaves out rhythm, which I now consider a defect in said definition;³ otherwise good,—“The arrangement, by imagination, of noble motive for noble emotion.” I forget the exact words, but these others will do as well, perhaps better.

11. 1855. Notes on Royal Academy begun. The spring

¹ [No. 89 in the Reference Series (Vol. XXI. p. 34). Reproduced as Plate I. in Vol. XII.]

² [For particulars of Ruskin’s numerous drawings of these towns, see the catalogue at the end of this edition.]

³ [Compare *Elements of Prosody*, § 26 (Vol. XXXI. p. 351). The words in *Modern Painters* (Vol. VI. p. 28) are “the suggestion by the imagination of noble grounds for the noble emotions.”]
is so cold that the hawthorns are only in bud on the 5th of June. I get cough, which lasts for two months, till I go down to Tunbridge Wells to my doctor cousin, William Richardson,\(^1\) who puts me to bed, gives me some syrup, cures me in three days, and calls me a fool for not coming to him before, with some rather angry warnings that I had better not keep a cough for two months again. Third volume of *Modern Painters* got done with, somehow, but didn’t know what to call it, so called it “Of Many Things.” But none of *these* were “done with,” as I found afterwards, to my cost.

1856. With my father and mother to Geneva and Fribourg. Two drawings at Fribourg took up the working summer. My father begins to tire of the proposed work on Swiss towns, and to inquire whether the rest of *Modern Painters* will ever be done.

1857. My mother wants me to see the Bay of Cromarty and the Falls of Kilmorock. I consent sulkily to be taken to Scotland with that object. Papa and mamma, wistfully watching the effect on my mind, show their Scotland to me. I see, on my own quest, Craig-Ellachie,\(^2\) and the Lachin-y-Gair forests, and finally reach the Bay of Cromarty and Falls of Kilmorock, doubtless now the extreme point of my northern discoveries on the round earth. I admit, generously, the Bay of Cromarty and the Falls to be worth coming all that way to see; but beg papa and mamma to observe that it is twenty miles’ walk, in bogs, to the top of Ben Wyvis, that the town of Dingwall is not like Milan or Venice,—and that I think we have seen enough of Scotland.

12. 1858. Accordingly, after arranging, mounting, framing, and cabinetting, with good help from Richard Williams of Messrs. Foord’s, the Turner drawings now in the catacombs of the National Gallery, I determine to add two

---

1 [See above, p. 412.]
2 [The journey is referred to, and Craig-Ellachie, introduced, in the first lecture of The Two Paths: see Vol. XVI. pp. 259, 267.]
more Swiss towns to my list, namely, Rheinfelden and Bellinzona,\(^1\) in illustration of Turner’s sketches at those places; and get reluctant leave from my father to take Couttet again, and have all my own way. I spend the spring at Rheinfelden, and the summer at Bellinzona. But Couttet being of opinion that these town views will come to no good, and that the time I spend on the roof of “cette baraque” at Bellinzona is wholly wasted, I give the town views all up, and take to Vandyke and Paul Veronese again in the gallery of Turin. But, on returning home, my father is not satisfied with my studies from those masters, and piteously asks for the end of Modern Painters, saying “he will be dead before it is done.” Much ashamed of myself, I promise him to do my best on it with farther subterfuge.

1859. Hard writing and drawing to that end. Fourth volume got done.\(^2\) My father thinks, himself, I ought to see Berlin, Dresden, Munich, and Nuremberg, before the book is finished. He and my mother take their last continental journey with me to those places. I have my last happy walk with my father at Königstein.

1860. I work hard all the winter and early spring—finish the book, in a sort; my father well pleased with the last chapter, and the engraved drawings from Nuremberg and Rheinfelden. On the strength of this piece of filial duty, I am cruel enough to go away to St. Martin’s again, by myself, to meditate on what is to be done next. Thence I go up to Chamouni,—where a new epoch of life and death begins.\(^3\)

13. And here I must trace, as simply and rapidly as

\(^1\) [For Rheinfelden, see Plates 82 and 83 in Modern Painters, vol. v. (Vol. VII. p. 436); and for Bellinzona, Plate C (ibid., p. xxxvi.).]

\(^2\) [Here Ruskin’s memory is at fault. The fourth volume was finished and issued immediately after the third, early in 1856.]

\(^3\) [Of life, as explained in the passage now added from the MS., below, p. 533; of death, because the new hopes, there referred to, were doomed to disappointment. And, more generally, “a new epoch of life and death” because Ruskin was now, in large measure, to turn from the study of art and nature to social economics, and because the period in question was one of religious doubt and despondency: see Vol. XVII. pp. xxxviii.–xlii.]
may be, the story of my relations with the Working Men’s College.

I knew of its masters only the Principal, F. D. Maurice, and my own friend Rossetti. It is to be remembered of Rossetti with loving honour, that he was the only one of our modern painters who taught disciples for love of them. He was really not an Englishman, but a great Italian tormented in the Inferno of London; doing the best he could, and teaching the best he could; but the “could” shortened by the strength of his animal passions, without any trained control, or guiding faith. Of him, more hereafter.¹

I loved Frederick Maurice, as every one did who came near him; and have no doubt he did all that was in him to do of good in his day. Which could by no means be said either of Rossetti or of me: but Maurice was by nature puzzle-headed, and, though in a beautiful manner, wrong-headed; while his clear conscience and keen affections made him egotistic, and in his Bible-reading, as insolent as any infidel of them all. I only went once to a Bible-lesson of his; and the meeting was significant, and conclusive.

14. The subject of lesson, Jael’s slaying of Sisera. Concerning which, Maurice, taking an enlightened modern view of what was fit and not, discoursed in passionate indignation; and warned his class, in the most positive and solemn manner, that such dreadful deeds could only have been done in cold blood in the Dark Biblical ages; and that no religious and patriotic Englishwoman ought ever to think of imitating Jael by nailing a Russian’s or Prussian’s skull to the ground,—especially after giving him butter in a lordly dish. At the close of the instruction, through which I sate silent, I ventured to inquire, why then had Deborah the prophetess declared of Jael, “Blessed above women shall the wife of Heber the Kenite be”?²

¹ [Præterita, however, was suspended before Ruskin had returned to Rossetti.]
² [Judges v. 24.]
On which Maurice, with startled and flashing eyes, burst into partly scornful, partly alarmed, denunciation of Deborah the prophetess, as a mere blazing Amazon; and of her Song as a merely rhythmic storm of battle-rage, no more to be listened to with edification or faith than the Norman’s sword-song at the battle of Hastings.  

Whereupon there remained nothing for me,—to whom the Song of Deborah was as sacred as the Magnificat,—but total collapse in sorrow and astonishment; the eyes of all the class being also bent on me in amazed reprobation of my benighted views, and unchristian sentiments. And I got away how I could, but never went back.

That being the first time in my life that I had fairly met the lifted head of Earnest and Religious Infidelity—in a man neither vain nor ambitious, but instinctively and innocently trusting his own amiable feelings as the final interpreters of all the possible feelings of men and angels, all the songs of the prophets, and all the ways of God.

15. It followed, of course, logically and necessarily, that

1 ["In front rode the minstrel Taillefer, tossing his sword in the air and catching it again while he chaunted the song of Roland" (J. R. Green).]

2 [On the appearance of this chapter of Præterita, Ruskin received a joint letter from Mr. J. M. Ludlow and Mr. Thomas Hughes (June 18, 1888), who were “both present on the occasion” and who dissented from Ruskin’s recollection of it. “We would observe,” they wrote, “that Mr. Maurice’s views on the subject of Jael and Sisera are fully set forth in the eighteenth discourse of his book on the Old Testament, a work which is substantially a reflex of the Bible-readings in question. You will find in this, as according to our distinct recollection there was not at the Bible-reading you refer to, no contemptuous reference to the ‘Dark Biblical Ages, still less any ‘partly scornful and partly alarmed denunciation’ of Deborah, but simply the assertion that whilst ‘a brave, noble woman,’ she is not to be installed ‘as a teacher of ethics.’ Mr. Maurice seldom began the discussion unless by a few remarks. He certainly did not do otherwise on the occasion referred to, and the terms ‘discoursed with passionate indignation,’ ‘at the close of the instruction’ by no means answer to the facts as we recollect them. Your own part in the discussion, we also distinctly recollect, was not confined to a mere question, but was a vehement and somewhat lengthy outpouring in praise of Jael. The ‘startled and flashing eyes’ were not those of Mr. Maurice, whose self-possessed demeanour on the occasion is still before our eyes, but your own, and struck forcibly another of our number, now with God.

“You consider Mr. Maurice to have been puzzle-headed. We, who knew him a good deal more intimately than yourself, used to find him while he lived the greatest solver of puzzles, and that not by direct explanation, but by the true Socratic method of enabling others to see clearly what was in their own mind.”

Ruskin placed this letter among other documents apparently intended for use in Dilectia.]
every one of Maurice’s disciples also took what views he chose of the songs of the prophets,—or wrote songs of his own, more adapted to the principles of the College, and the ethics of London. Maurice, in all his addresses to us, dwelt mainly on the simple function of a college as a collection or collation of friendly persons,—not in the least as a place in which such and such things were to be taught, and others denied; such and such conduct vowed, and other such and such abjured. So the College went on,—collecting, carpentering, sketching, Bible criticizing, etc., virtually with no head; but only a clasp to the strap of its waist, and as many heads as it had students. The leaven of its affectionate temper has gone far; but how also the leaven of its pride, and defiance of everything above it, nobody quite knows. I took two special pupils out of its ranks, to carry them forward all I could. One I chose; the other chose me—or rather, chose my mother’s maid Hannah; for love of whom he came to the College, learned drawing there under Rossetti and me,—and became eventually, Mr. George Allen of Sunnyside; who, I hope, still looks back to his having been an entirely honest and perfect working joiner as the foundation of his prosperity in life. The other student I chose myself, a carpenter of equal skill and great fineness of faculty; but his pride, wilfulness, and certain angular narrownesses of nature, kept him down,—together with the deadly influence of London itself, and of working men’s clubs, as well as colleges. And finally, in this case, and many more, I have very clearly ascertained that the only proper school for workmen is of the work their fathers bred them to, under masters able to do better than any of their men, and with common principles of honesty and the fear of God, to guide the firm.

16. Somewhat before the date of my farewell to Maurician free-thinking. I had come into still more definite collision

1 [The late Mr. Butterworth.]
with the Puritan dogmata which forbid thinking at all, in a séance to which I was invited, shyly, by my friend Macdonald, —fashionable séance of Evangelical doctrine, at the Earl of Ducie's; presided over by Mr. Molyneux, then a divine of celebrity in that sect; who sate with one leg over his other knee in the attitude always given to Herod at the massacre of the Innocents in mediæval sculpture; and discoursed in tones of consummate assurance and satisfaction, and to the entire comfort and consent of his Belgravian audience, on the beautiful parable of the Prodigal Son. Which, or how many, of his hearers he meant to describe as having personally lived on husks, and devoured their fathers' property, did not of course appear; but that something of the sort was necessary to the completeness of the joy in heaven over them, now in Belgrave Square, at the feet—or one foot—of Mr. Molyneux, could not be questioned.

Waiting my time, till the raptures of the converted company had begun to flag a little, I ventured, from a back seat, to inquire of Mr. Molyneux what we were to learn from the example of the other son, not prodigal, who was, his father said of him, “ever with me, and all that I have, thine”? A sudden horror, and unanimous feeling of the serpent having, somehow, got over the wall into their Garden of Eden, fell on the whole company; and some of them, I thought, looked at the candles, as if they expected them to burn blue. After a pause of a minute, gathering himself into an expression of pity and indulgence, withholding latent thunder, Mr. Molyneux explained to me that the home-staying son was merely a picturesque figure introduced to fill the background of the parable agreeably, and contained no instruction or example for the well-disposed scriptural student, but, on the contrary, rather a snare for the unwary, and a temptation to self-righteousness,—which was, of all sins, the most offensive to God.

1 [See above, p. 423.]
2 [See Luke xv. 7, 10, 16, 30.]
3 [Luke xv. 31.]
Under the fulmination of which answer I retired, as from Maurice’s, from the séance in silence; nor ever attended another of the kind from that day to this.

17. But neither the Puritanism of Belgravia, nor Liberalism of Red Lion Square, 1 interested, or offended, me, otherwise than as the grotesque conditions of variously typhoid or smoke-dried London life. To my old Scotch shepherd Puritanism, and the correspondent forms of noble French Protestantism, I never for an instant failed in dutiful affection and honour. From John Bunyan and Isaac Ambrose, I had received the religion by which I still myself lived, as far as I had spiritual life at all; and I had again and again proof enough of its truth, within limits, to have served me for all my own need, either in this world or the next. But my ordained business, and mental gifts, were outside of those limits. I saw, as clearly as I saw the sky and its stars, that music in Scotland was not to be studied under a Free Church precentor, nor indeed under any disciples of John Knox, but of Signior David; that, similarly, painting in England was not to be admired in the illuminations of Watts’s hymns; nor architecture in the design of Mr. Irons’s chapel in the Grove. 2 And here I must take up a thread of my mental history, as yet unfastened.

18. I have spoken several times of the effect given cheaply to my drawings of architecture by dexterous dots and flourish, doing duty for ornament. 3 Already, in 1845, I had begun to distinguish Corinthian from Norman capitals, and in 1848, drew the niches and sculpture of French Gothic with precision and patience. But I had never cared for ornamental design until in 1850 or ‘51 I chanced, at a bookseller’s in a back alley, on a little fourteenth-century Hours of the Virgin, not of refined work, but extremely rich, grotesque, and full of pure colour.

1 [The first home of the Working Men’s College.]
2 [Joseph Irons (1785–1852), evangelical preacher; minister of Grove Chapel, Camberwell, 1818–1852.]
3 [In passages, however, omitted on revision: see now pp. 611, 612, 624.]
The new worlds which every leaf of this book opened to me, and the joy I had, counting their letters and unravelling their arabesques as if they had all been of beaten gold,—as many of them indeed were,—cannot be told, any more than—everything else, of good, that I wanted to tell.¹ Not that the worlds thus opening were themselves new, but only the possession of any part in them; for long and long ago I had gazed at the illuminated missals in noble-men’s houses (see above, § 6, vol. i.), with a wonder and sympathy deeper than I can give now; my love of toil, and of treasure, alike getting their thirst gratified in them. For again and again I must repeat it, my nature is a worker’s and a miser’s;² and I rejoiced, and rejoice still, in the mere quantity of chiselling in marble, and stitches in embroidery; and was never tired of numbering sacks of gold and caskets of jewels in the Arabian Nights: and though I am generous too, and love giving, yet my notion of charity is not at all dividing my last crust with a beggar, but riding through a town like a Commander of the Faithful, having any quantity of sequins and ducats in saddle-bags (where cavalry officers have holsters for their pistols), and throwing them round in radiant showers and hailing handfuls; with more bags to brace on when those were empty.

¹ [For Ruskin’s subsequent interest in and acquisition of illuminated MSS., see Vol. XII. pp. lxvii. seq.]
² [For his nature as “a worker,” compare above, p. 217, and below, p. 623; as “a miser,” p. 310.]
And then followed, of course, the discovery that all beautiful prayers were Catholic,—all wise interpretations of the Bible Catholic;—and every manner of Protestant written services whatsoever either insolently altered corruptions, or washed-out and ground-down rags and débris of the great Catholic collects, litanies, and songs of praise.¹

“But why did not you become a Catholic at once, then?”

It might as well be asked, Why did not I become a fire-worshipper? I could become nothing but what I was, or was growing into. I no more believed in the living Pope than I did in the living Khan of Tartary. I saw indeed that twelfth-century psalters were lovely and right, and that presbyterian prayers against time, by people who never expected to be any the better for them, were unlovely and wrong. But I had never read the Koran, nor Confucius, nor Plato, nor Hesiod, and was only just beginning to understand my Virgil and Horace. How I ever came to understand them is a new story, which must be for next chapter:² meantime let me finish the confessions of this one in the tale of my final apostacy from Puritan doctrine.

20. The most stern practical precept of that doctrine still holding me,—it is curiously inbound with all the rest,—was the Sabbath keeping:³ the idea that one was not to seek one’s own pleasure on Sunday, nor to do anything useful. Gradually, in honest Bible reading, I saw that Christ’s first article of teaching was to unbind the yoke of the Sabbath, while, as a Jew, He yet obeyed the Mosaic law concerning it; but that St. Paul had carefully abolished it altogether, and that the rejoicing, in memory of the Resurrection, on the Day of the Sun, the first of the week, was only by misunderstanding, and much wilful obstinacy, confused with the Sabbath of the Jew.⁴

¹ [Compare The Lord’s Prayer and the Church, Epilogue, § 5 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 219).]
² [The story was not told in the next chapter, as printed; but see now, p. 533.]
³ [For which, see above, ii. § 111 (p. 346).]
⁴ [For record of a conversation on this subject between Ruskin and Mr. Stillman, his travelling companion at Chamouni in 1860, see Vol. XVII. pp. xxiii.–xxiv.]
Nevertheless, the great passages in the Old Testament regarding its observance held their power over me, nor have ceased to do so; but the inveterate habit of being unhappy all Sunday, did not in any way fulfil the order to call the Sabbath a delight.

I have registered the year 1858 as the next, after 1845, in which I had complete guidance of myself. Couttet met me at Basle, and I went on to Rhein felden with great joy, and stayed to draw town and bridges completely (two of the studies are engraved in *Modern Painters*).

21. I think it was the second Sunday there, and no English church. I had read the service with George, and gone out afterwards alone for a walk up a lovely dingle on the Black Forest side of the Rhine, where every pretty cottage was inscribed, in fair old German characters, with the date of its building, the names of the married pair who had built it, and a prayer that, with God’s blessing, their habitation of it, and its possession by their children, might be in righteousness and peace. Not in these set terms, of course, on every house, but in variously quaint verses or mottoes, meaning always as much as this.

Very happy in my Sunday walk, I gathered what wild flowers were in their first springing, and came home with a many-coloured cluster, in which the dark-purple orchis was chief. I had never examined its structure before, and by this afternoon sunlight did so with care; also it seemed to me wholly right to describe it as I examined; and to draw the outlines as I described, though with a dimly alarmed consciousness of its being a new fact in existence for me, that I should draw on Sunday.

22. Which thenceforward I continued to do, if it seemed to me there was due occasion. Nevertheless, come to pass how it might, the real new fact in existence for me was

---

1. [See above, p. 25.]
2. [Isaiah lviii. 13.]
3. [See Plates 83 and 84: Vol. VII. pp. 436–437.]
4. [See above, p. 346.]
5. [For notices of such house mottoes, see Vol. VIII. p. 229.]
that my drawings did not prosper that year, and, in deepest sense, never prospered again. They might not have prospered in the course of things,—and indeed, could not without better guidance than my own; nevertheless, the crisis of change is marked at Rheinfelden by my having made there two really pretty colour-vignettes, which, had I only gone on doing the like of, the journey would have been visibly successful in everybody’s sight. Whereas, what actually followed those vignettes at Rheinfelden was a too ambitious attempt at the cliffs of the Bay of Uri, which crushed the strength down in me; and next, a persistently furious one to draw the entire town, three fortresses, and surrounding mountains of Bellinzona, gradually taming and contracting itself into a meekly obstinate resolve that at least I would draw every stone of the roof right in one tower of the vineyards,1—“cette baraque,” as Couttet called it.

I did draw every stone, nearly right, at last in that single roof; and meantime read the _Plutus_ of Aristophanes, three or four times over in two months,2 with long walks every afternoon, besides. Total result on 1st of August—general desolation, and disgust with Bellinzona,—cette baraque,—and most of all with myself, for not yet knowing Greek enough to translate the _Plutus_. In this state of mind, a fit took me of hunger for city life again, military bands, nicely-dressed people, and shops with something inside. And I emphasized Couttet’s disapproval of the whole tour, by announcing to him suddenly that I was going of all places in the world, to Turin!

23. I had still some purpose, even in this libertinage, namely, to outline the Alpine chain from Monte Viso to Monte Rosa. Its base was within a drive; and there were Veroneses in the Royal gallery, for wet days. The luxury of the Hôtel de l’Europe was extremely pleasant after brick

---

1 [For Ruskin’s various studies of Bellinzona and Rheinfelden, see the Catalogue of Drawings in the Index volume. The “attempt at the cliffs of the Bay of Uri” may be the sketch which was No. 123 in the Ruskin Exhibition of 1907.]
2 [For his notes on the play, see Vol. XXXIV. pp. 688–690.]
floors and bad dinners at Bellinzona;—there was a quiet little opera-house, where it was always a kindness to the singers to attend to the stage business; finally, any quantity of marching and manœuvring by the best troops in Italy, with perfect military bands, beautifully tossing plumes, and pretty ladies looking on. So I settled at Turin for the autumn.

There, one Sunday morning, I made my way in the south suburb to a little chapel which, by a dusty roadside, gathered to its unobserved door the few sheep of the old Waldensian faith who had wandered from their own pastures under Monte Viso into the worldly capital of Piedmont.

The assembled congregation numbered in all some three or four and twenty, of whom fifteen or sixteen were grey-haired women. Their solitary and clerkless preacher, a somewhat stunted figure in a plain black coat, with a cracked voice, after leading them through the languid forms of prayer which are all that in truth are possible to people whose present life is dull and its terrestrial future unchangeable, put his utmost zeal into a consolatory discourse on the wickedness of the wide world, more especially of the plain of Piedmont and city of Turin, and on the exclusive favour with God, enjoyed by the between nineteen and twenty-four elect members of his congregation, in the streets of Admah and Zeboim.1

Myself neither cheered nor greatly alarmed by this doctrine, I walked back into the condemned city, and up into the gallery where Paul Veronese’s Solomon and the Queen of Sheba glowed in full afternoon light. The gallery

1 [Deuteronomy xxix. 23. There is an account of this service in a letter from Ruskin to his father (August 4, 1858), given in a later volume. It is interesting to note that at a somewhat earlier date (1832) Gladstone experienced a similar “disenchantment, when he made his way from Turin to Pinerol, and saw one of the Vaudois valleys. He had framed a lofty conception of the people as ideal Christians, and he underwent a chill of disappointment on finding them apparently much like other men. Even the pastor, though a quiet, inoffensive man, gave no sign of energy or of what would have been called in England vital religion.” Ruskin turned from the Waldensian chapel to Paolo Veronese; Gladstone, “with this chill at heart, came upon the atmosphere of gorgeous Rome” (Morley’s Life of Gladstone, vol. i. p. 87).]
windows being open, there came in with the warm air, floating
swells and falls of military music, from the courtyard before the
palace, which seemed to me more devotional, in their perfect art,
tune, and discipline, than anything I remembered of evangelical
hymns. And as the perfect colour and sound gradually asserted
their power on me, they seemed finally to fasten me in the old
article of Jewish faith, that things done delightfully and rightly
were always done by the help and in the Spirit of God.

Of course that hour’s meditation in the gallery of Turin only
concluded the courses of thought which had been leading me to
such end through many years. There was no sudden conversion
possible to me, either by preacher, picture, or dulcimer. But, that
day, my evangelical beliefs were put away, to be debated of no
more.
24. I was crowded for room at the end of last chapter, and could not give account of one or two bits of investigation of the Vaudois character, which preceded the Queen of Sheba crash. It wasn’t the Queen herself,—by the way,—but only one of her maids of honour, on whose gold brocaded dress, (relieved by a black’s head, who carried two red and green parrots on a salver,) I worked till I could do no more;¹—to my father’s extreme amazement and disgust, when I brought the petticoat, parrots, and blackamoor, home, as the best fruit of my summer at the Court of Sardinia; together with one lurid thunderstorm on the Rosa Alps, another on the Cenis, and a dream or two of mist on the Viso.² But I never could make out the set of the rocks on the peak of Viso; and after I had spent about a hundred pounds at Turin in grapes, partridges, and the opera, my mother sent me five, to make my peace with Heaven in a gift to the Vaudois churches. So I went and passed a Sunday beneath Viso; found he had neither rocks nor glaciers worth mentioning, and that I couldn’t get into any pleasant confidences with the shepherds, because their dogs barked and snarled irreconcileably, and seemed to have nothing taught them by their masters but to regard all the rest of mankind as thieves.

I had some pious talk of mild kind with the person I

¹ [For Ruskin’s letters to his father giving account of the progress of this study, see Vol. XVI. pp. xxxvii.–xl. For a reproduction of a photograph of the picture, see ibid., p. 186.]
² [“Storm-Clouds on Mont Cenis, opposite the Monastery of St. Michael, from Rivoli; August 13, 1858,” No. 177 in the Ruskin Exhibition at the Society of Painters in Water-Colours, 1901; “Thunder Clouds, Turin,” No. 63 in the Ruskin Exhibition at the Fine Art Society, 1907. See also the engraved subjects in Vol. VII., Plates 70, 71, and p. 168.]
gave my mother’s five pounds to; but an infinitely pleasanter feeling from the gratitude of the overworn ballerina at Turin, for the gift of as many of my own. She was not the least pretty; and depended precariously on keeping able for her work on small pittance; but did that work well always; and looked nice,—near the footlights.

I noticed also curiously at this time, that while the drawings I did to please myself seemed to please nobody else, the little pen-and-ink sketches made for my father, merely to explain where I was, came always well;—one, of the sunset shining down a long street through a grove of bayonets, which he was to imagine moving to military music, is pleasant to me yet.1 But, on the whole, Turin began at last to bore me as much as Bellinzona; so I thought it might be as well to get home. I drove to Susa on the last day of August, walked quietly with Couttet over the Cenis to Lans-le-bourg next day; and on 2nd September sent my mother my love, by telegram, for breakfast-time, on her birthday, getting answer of thanks back before twelve o’clock; and began to think there might be something in telegraphs, after all.

25. A number of unpleasant convictions were thus driven into my head, in that 1858 journey, like Jael’s nail through Sisera’s temples; or Tintoret’s arrow between St. Sebastian’s eyes:2—I must return a moment to Mr. Maurice and Deborah3 before going on to pleasanter matters. Maurice was not, I suppose, in the habit of keeping a skull on his chimney-piece, and looking at it before he went to sleep, as I had been, for a long while before that talk;4 or he would have felt that whether it was by nail, bullet, or little pin, mattered little when it was ordained that the crowned forehead should sink in slumber. And he would have known that Jael was only one of the forms of “Dira

1 [This drawing has not been traced.]
2 [See the description of the picture in the Scuola di San Rocco: Vol. XI. p. 419.]
3 [See above, p. 487.]
4 [Ruskin refers to the habit in Stones of Venice, vol. i., Appendix 17 (Vol. IX. p. 452); and see his “Scythian Banquet Song,” Vol. II. p. 57.]
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Necessitas—she, Delilah, and Judith, all the three of them; only we haven’t any record of Delilah’s hymn when she first fastened Samson’s hair to the beam: and of Judith, nobody says any harm;—I suppose because she gave Holofernes wine, instead of milk and butter. It was Byron, however, not Deborah, who made me understand the thing; the passage he paraphrased from her, in the Giaour, having rung in my ears ever since I wrote the Scythian Banquet-song—

“The browsing camels’ bells are tinkling,
His mother looked from her lattice high,” etc.

And I felt now that I had myself driven nails enough into my mother’s heart, if not into my father’s coffin; and would thankfully have taken her home a shawl of divers colours on both sides, and a pretty damsel or two, in imitation of Sisera: but she always liked to choose her damsels for herself.

It was lucky, in her last choosing, she chanced on Joan Agnew; but we are a far way yet from Joanie’s time, I don’t quite know how far. Turner died, as I said, in 1851: Prout had left us still earlier; there could be no more sharing of festivities on my birthday with him. He went home to De-Crespigny Terrace from Denmark Hill one evening, seeming perfectly well and happy;—and we saw him no more.

26. And my dog Wisie, was he dead too? It seems wholly wonderful to me at this moment that he should ever have died. He was a white Spitz, exactly like Carpaccio’s dog in the picture of St. Jerome; and he came

1 [“Sæva Necessitas,” it should be, the reference being to Horace, Odes, i. 35, 17—the passage which first suggested to Ruskin the title Fors Clavigera: see Vol. XXVII. p. xix.]
2 [Judges xvi. 14. For the next reference, see Judith xii., where, however, it is not stated that she gave the wine to Holofernes.]
3 [See Vol. II. p. 57.]
4 [See Judges v. 30.]
5 [See ch. iv.; below, p. 537.]
6 [He died, however, in 1852.]
7 [See the engraving, from Ruskin’s drawing of the dog in this picture, in Vol. XXIV. p. 230.]
to me from a young Austrian officer, who had got tired of him,—the Count Thun, who fell afterwards at Solferino. Before
the dog was used enough to us, George and I took him to Lido to
give him a little sea bath. George was holding him by his
forepaws upright among the little crisp breakers. Wisie snatched
them out of his hands, and ran at full speed—into Fairyland, like
Frederick the Great at Mollwitz. ¹ He was lost on Lido for three
days and nights, living by petty larceny, the fishermen and
cottagers doing all they could to catch him; but they told me he
“ran like a hare and leaped like a horse.”

At last, either overcome by hunger, or having made up his
mind that even my service was preferable to liberty on Lido, he
took the deep water in broad daylight, and swam straight for
Venice. A fisherman saw him from a distance, rowed after him,
took him, tired among the weeds, and brought him to me—the
Madonna della Salute having been propitious to his repentant
striving with the sea.

From that time he became an obedient and affectionate dog,
though of extremely self-willed and self-possessed character. I
was then living on the north side of St. Mark’s Place, and he used
to sit outside the window on the ledge at the base of its pillars
greater part of the day, observant of the manners and customs of
Venice. Returning to England, I took him over the St. Gothard,
but found him entirely unappalled by any of the work of Devils
on it—big or little. He saw nothing to trouble himself about in
precipices, if they were wide enough to put his paws on; and the
dog who had fled madly from a crisp sea wave, trotted beside the
fall of the Reuss just as if it had been another White Dog, a little
bigger, created out of foam.

¹ [“Friedrich’s demeanour, in that disaster of his right wing, was furious despair. . . .
The King vanishes from Mollwitz Field at this point for sixteen hours, into the regions of
Myth, ‘into Fairyland,’ as would once have been said” (Carlyle’s Friedrich, Book xii.
ch. x.). For another reference to the incident, see A Knight’s Faith, ch. xii. (Vol. XXXI.
p. 479).]
27. Reaching Paris, he considered it incumbent upon him to appear unconscious of the existence of that city, or of the Tuileries gardens and Rue Rivoli, since they were not St. Mark’s Place;—but, half asleep one evening, on a sofa in the entresol at Meurice’s, and hearing a bark in the street which sounded Venetian,—sprang through the window in expectation of finding himself on the usual ledge—and fell fifteen feet* to the pavement. As I ran down, I met him rushing up the hotel stairs, (he had gathered himself from the stones in an instant), bleeding and giddy; he staggered round and round two or three times, and fell helpless on the floor. I don’t know if young ladies’ dogs faint, really, when they are hurt. He, Wisie, did not faint, nor even moan, but he could not stir, except in cramped starts and shivers. I sent for what veterinary help was within reach, and heard that the dog might recover, if he could be kept quiet for a day or two in a dog-hospital. But my omnibus was at the door—for the London train. In the very turn and niche of time I heard that Macdonald of St. Martin’s¹ was in the hotel, and would take charge of Wisie for the time necessary. The poor little speechless, luckless, wistfully gazing doggie was tenderly put in a pretty basket, (going to be taken where? thinks the beating heart,) looks at his master to read what he can in the sad face—can make out nothing; is hurried out of the inexorable door, downstairs; finds himself more nearly dead next day, and among strangers. (Two miles away from Meurice’s, along the Boulevard, it was.)

He takes and keeps counsel with himself on that matter. Drinks and eats what he is given, gratefully; swallows his medicine obediently; stretches his limbs from time to time. There was only a wicket gate, he saw, between the Boulevard and him. Silently, in the early dawn of the fourth or fifth

* Thirteen feet nine, I find, on exact measurement—coming back to Meurice’s to make sure. It is the height of the capitals of the piers in the Rue Rivoli.

¹ [See ii. § 194 (above, p. 423).]
day—I think—he leaped it, and along two miles of Parisian Boulevard came back to Meurice’s.

I do not believe there was ever a more wonderful piece of instinct certified. For Macdonald received him, in astonishment,—and Wisie trusted Macdonald to bring him to his lost master again. The Schehallion chief brought him to Denmark Hill; where of course Wisie did not know whether something still worse might not befall him, or whether he would be allowed to stay. But he was allowed, and became a bright part of my mother’s day, as well as of mine, from 1852 to 1858, or perhaps longer.\(^1\) But I must go back now to 1854–1856.

28. 1854. The success of the first volume of *Modern Painters* of course gave me entrance to the polite circles of London; but at that time, even more than now, it was a mere torment and horror to me to have to talk to big people whom I didn’t care about. Sometimes, indeed, an incident happened that was amusing or useful to me;—I heard Macaulay spout the first chapter of Isaiah, without understanding a syllable of it;—saw the Bishop of Oxford taught by Sir Robert Inglis to drink sherry-cobbler through a straw;\(^2\)—and formed one of the worshipful concourse invited by the Bunsen family, to hear them “talk Bunsenese” (Lady Trevelyan), and see them making presents to—each other—from their family Christmas tree,\(^3\) and private manger of German Magi. But, as a rule, the hours given to the polite circles were an angering penance to me,—

\(^1\) [It appears from an unused piece of proof for *Præterita* that Ruskin intended to connect the history of his various dogs with “the dearest of his friends, Dr. John Brown.” For notices of other dogs than Wisie, see above, pp. 87, 467, and Vol. XXVIII. p. 256. “Of my cats,” continues the piece of proof, “I fear there will be no space to say all they deserve; but they are meant to be connected with the expression of my loving respect for the poet Gray, and the story of the Cat’s Cradle in *Redgauntlet*. See Letter xi.]

\(^2\) [The incident belongs to an earlier date than 1854; it is mentioned in a letter of 1847 to W. H. Harrison, see Vol. XXXVI. The Bishop of Oxford was Wilberforce; for Sir Robert Inglis, see Vol. III. p. xlv., Vol. IV. p. 38 n., and Vol. XIV. p. 18.]

\(^3\) [Baron Christian Bunsen (1791–1860), German Ambassador in London, 1841–1854; his Christmas festivals are mentioned in the *Memoirs of Baron Bunsen*, by his wife, 1869, vol. i. pp. 98–99.]
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until, after I don’t know how many, a good chance came, worth all the penitentiary time endured before.

I had been introduced one evening, with a little more circumstance than usual, to a seated lady, beside whom it was evidently supposed I should hold it a privilege to stand for a minute or two, with leave to speak to her. I entirely concurred in that view of the matter; but, having ascertained in a moment that she was too pretty to be looked at, and yet keep one’s wits about one, I followed, in what talk she led me to, with my eyes on the ground. Presently, in some reference to Raphael or Michael Angelo, or the musical glasses,¹ the word “Rome” occurred; and a minute afterwards, something about “Christmas in 1840.” I looked up with a start; and saw that the face was oval,—fair,—the hair, light-brown. After a pause, I was rude enough to repeat her words, “Christmas in 1840!—were you in Rome then?” “Yes,” she said, a little surprised, and now meeting my eyes with hers, inquiringly.

Another tenth of a minute passed before I spoke again.

“Why, I lost all that winter in Rome in hunting you!”

It was Egeria herself² then Mrs. Cowper-Temple. She was not angry; and became from that time forward a tutelary power,—of the brightest and happiest; differing from Lady Trevelyan’s, in that Lady Trevelyan hadn’t all her own way at home; and taught me, therefore, to look upon life as a “Spiritual combat”; but Egeria always had her own way everywhere,—thought that I also should have mine,—and generally got it for me.

29. She was able to get a good deal of it for me, almost immediately, at Broadlands, because Mr. Cowper-Temple was at that time Lord Palmerston’s private secretary: and it had chanced that in 1845 I had some correspondence with the government about Tintoret’s Crucifixion;³—not the great Crucifixion in the Scuola di San Rocco, but the

¹ [Vicar of Wakefield, ch. ix.]
² [See above, pp. 277, 349.]
³ [Really in 1852: see Vol. XII p. lxi.]
bright one with the grove of lances in the Church of St. Cassan, which I wanted to get for the National Gallery. I wrote to Lord Palmerston about it, and believe we should have got it, but for Mr. Edward Cheney’s putting a spoke in the wheel for pure spite.¹ However, Lord Palmerston was, I believe, satisfied with what I had done; and now, perhaps thinking there might be some trustworthy official qualities in me, allowed Mr. Cowper-Temple to bring me, one Saturday evening, to go down with him to Broadlands. It was dark when we reached the South-Western station. Lord Palmerston received me much as Lord Oldborough receives Mr. Temple in Patrofnge;²—gave me the seat opposite his own, he with his back to the engine, Mr. Cowper-Temple beside me;—Lord Palmerston’s box of business papers on the seat beside him. He unlocked it, and looked over a few,—said some hospitable words, enough to put me at ease, and went to sleep, or at least remained quiet, till we got to Romsey. I forget the dinner, that Saturday; but I certainly had to take in Lady Palmerston; and must have pleased her more or less, for on the Sunday morning, Lord Palmerston took me himself to the service in Romsey Abbey: drawing me out a little in the drive through the village; and that day at dinner he put me on his right hand, and led the conversation distinctly to the wildest political theories I was credited with,* cross-examining me playfully, but attending quite seriously to my

* The reader will please remember that the “Life of the Workman” in The Stones of Venice,³ the long note on Education at the end of first volume of Modern Painters,⁴ and the fierce vituperation of the Renaissance schools in all my historical teaching, were at this time attracting far more attention, because part of my architectural and pictorial work, than ever afterwards the commercial and social analyses of Unto this Last.

¹ [For Edward Cheney, see, again, Vol. XII. p. lxi.; and Vol. X. p. xxvii.]
² [The reference is to the well-bred condescension with which the Minister in Miss Edgeworth’s novel treats the literary gentleman who became his private secretary.]
³ [Chapter vi. of vol. ii., “The Nature of Gothic,” to which title was added in the separate reprint “And herein of the True Functions of the Workman in Art” (Vol. X. p. lxviii.).]
⁴ [Really at the end of the fourth volume: see Vol. VI. p. 482.]
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points; and kindly and clearly showing me where I should fail, in practice. He disputed no principle with me, (being, I fancied, partly of the same mind with me about principles,) but only feasibilities; whereas in every talk permitted me more recently by Mr. Gladstone, he disputes all the principles before their application; and the application of all that get past the dispute. D’Israeli differed from both in making a jest alike of principle and practice; but I never came into full collision with him but once. It is a long story, about little matters; but they had more influence in the end than many greater ones,—so I will write them.

30. I never went to official dinners in Oxford if I could help it; not that I was ever really wanted at them, but sometimes it became my duty to go, as an Art Professor; and when the Princess of Wales came, one winter, to look over the Art Galleries, I had of course to attend, and be of what use I could: and then came commands to the dinner at the Deanery,—where I knew no more how to behave than a marmot pup! However, my place was next but one to D’Israeli’s, whose head, seen close, interested me; the Princess, in the centre of the opposite side of the table, might be glanced at now and then,—to the forgetfulness of the evils of life. Nobody wanted me to talk about anything; and I recovered peace of mind enough, in a little while, to hear D’Israeli talk, which was nice; I think we even said something to each other, once, about the salmon. Well—then, presently I was aware of a little ripple of brighter converse going round the table, and saw it had got at the Princess, and a glance of D’Israeli’s made me think it must have something to do with me. And so it had, thus:—It had chanced either the day before, or the day before that, that the Planet Saturn had treated me with his usual adversity in the carrying out of a plot with Alice in Wonderland.¹ For, that evening, the Dean and

¹ [Miss Alice Liddell, for whom “Lewis Carroll” wrote his book.]
Mrs. Liddell dined by command at Blenheim: but the girls were not commanded; and as I had been complaining of never getting a sight of them lately, after knowing them from the nursery, Alice said that she thought, perhaps, if I would come round after papa and mamma were safe off to Blenheim, Edith and she might give me a cup of tea and a little singing, and Rhoda show me how she was getting on with her drawing and geometry, or the like. And so it was arranged. The night was wild with snow, and no one likely to come round to the Deanery after dark. I think Alice must have sent me a little note, when the eastern coast of Tom Quad was clear. I slipped round from Corpus through Peckwater, shook the snow off my gown, and found an armchair ready for me, and a bright fireside, and a laugh or two, and some pretty music looked out, and tea coming up.

31. Well, I think Edith had got the tea made, and Alice was just bringing the muffins to perfection—I don’t recollect that Rhoda was there; (I never did, that anybody else was there, if Edith was; but it is all so like a dream now, I’m not sure)—when there was a sudden sense of some stars having been blown out by the wind, round the corner; and then a crushing of the snow outside the house, and a drifting of it inside; and the children all scampered out to see what was wrong, and I followed slowly;—and there were the Dean and Mrs. Liddell standing just in the middle of the hall, and the footmen in consternation, and a silence,—and—

“How sorry you must be to see us, Mr. Ruskin!” began at last Mrs. Liddell.

“I never was more so,” I replied. “But what’s the matter?”

“Well,” said the Dean, “we couldn’t even get past the parks; the snow’s a fathom deep in the Woodstock Road. But never mind; we’ll be very good and quiet, and keep out of the way. Go back to your tea, and we’ll have our dinner downstairs.”
And so we did; but we couldn’t keep papa and mamma out of the drawing-room when they had done dinner, and I went back to Corpus, disconsolate.

Now, whether the Dean told the Princess himself, or whether Mrs. Liddell told, or the girls themselves, somehow this story got all round the dinner-table, and D’Israeli was perfect in every detail, in ten minutes, nobody knew how. When the Princess rose, there was clearly a feeling on her part of some kindness to me; and she came very soon, in the drawing-room, to receive the report of the Slade Professor.

32. Now, in the Deanery drawing-room, everybody in Oxford who hadn’t been at the dinner was waiting to have their slice of Princess—due officially—and to be certified in the papers next day. The Princess,—knowing whom she had to speak to,—might speak to, or mightn’t, without setting the whole of Oxford by the ears next day, simply walked to the people she chose to honour with audience, and stopped, to hear if they had anything to say. I saw my turn had come, and the revolving zodiac brought its fairest sign to me: she paused, and the attendant stars and terrestrial beings round, listened, to hear what the marmot-pup had to say for itself.

In the space of, say, a minute and a half, I told the Princess that Landscape-painting had been little cultivated by the Heads of Colleges,—that it had been still less cultivated by the Undergraduates, and that my young-lady pupils always expected me to teach them how to paint like Turner, in six lessons. Finding myself getting into difficulties, I stopped: the Princess, I suppose, felt I was getting her into difficulties too; so she bowed courteously, and went on—to the next Professor, in silence.

33. The crowd, which had expected a compliment to Her Royal Highness of best Modern Painters quality, was extremely disappointed: and a blank space seemed at once to form itself round me, when the door from the nurseries opened; and—enter Rhoda—in full dress!
Very beautiful! But just a snip too short in the petticoats,—a trip too dainty in the ankles, a dip too deep of sweetbriar-red in the ribands. Not the damsel who came to hearken, named Rhoda,—by any means;—but as exquisite a little spray of rhododendron ferrugineum as ever sparkled in Alpine dew.

D’Israeli saw his opening in an instant. Drawing himself to his full height, he advanced to meet Rhoda. The whole room became all eyes and ears. Bowing with kindly reverence, he waved his hand, and introduced her to—the world. “This is, I understand, the young lady in whose art-education Professor Ruskin is so deeply interested!”

And there was nothing for me but simple extinction; for I had never given Rhoda a lesson in my life, (no such luck!); yet I could not disclaim the interest,—nor disown Mr. Macdonald’s geometry! I could only bow as well as a marmot might, in imitation of the Minister; and get at once away to Corpus, out of human ken.

34. This gossip has beguiled me till I have no time left to tell what in proper sequence should have been chiefly dwelt on in this number,—the effect on my mind of the Hospice of St. Bernard, as opposed to the Hermitage of St. Bruno. I must pass at once to the outline of some scenes in early Swiss history, of which the reader must be reminded before he can understand why I had set my heart so earnestly upon drawing the ruined towers of Fribourg, Thun, and Rheinfelden.

In the mountain kingdom of which I claimed possession by the law of love, in first seeing it from the Col de la Faucille, the ranges of entirely celestial mountain, the “everlasting clouds whose glory does not fade, are arranged in clusters of summits definitely distinct in form,

1 [Acts xii. 13.]
2 [See above, p. 481.]
3 [See i. § 194; above, p. 167.]
4 [From Rogers’s Italy (“The Alps”): “Who first beholds those everlasting clouds,” etc. Ruskin was doubtless thinking of this passage when, in describing his own first sight of the Alps, he says, “There was no thought of their being clouds” (above, p. 115).]
and always recognizable, each in its own beauty, by any careful observer who has once seen them on the south and north. Of these, the most beautiful in Switzerland, and as far as I can read, or learn, the most beautiful mountain in the world, is the Jungfrau of Lauterbrunnen. Next to her, the double peaks of the Wetterhorn and Wellhorn, with their glacier of Rosenlaui; next to these, the Aiguille de Bionnassay, the buttress of Mont Blanc on the south-west; and after these loveliest, the various summits of the Bernese, Chamouni, and Zermatt Alps, according to their relative power, and the advantage of their place for the general observer. Thus the Blumlis Alp, though only ten thousand feet high, has far greater general influence than the Mont Combin, which is nearly as high as Mont Blanc, but can only be seen with difficulty, and in no association with the lowlands.

35. Among subordinate peaks, five,—the Tournette of Annecy, the Dent du Midi of Bex, the Stockhorn, south of Thun, Mont Pilate at Lucerne, and the High Sentis of Appenzell,—are notable as outlying masses, of extreme importance in their effect on the approaches to the greater chain. But in that chain itself, no mountain of subordinate magnitude can assert any rivalship with Mont Velan, the ruling alp of the Great St. Bernard.

For Mont Velan signals down the valley of the Rhone, past St. Maurice, to Vevay, the line of the true natural pass of the Great St. Bernard, from France into Italy by the valley of Martigny and Val d’Aosta; a perfectly easy and accessible pass for horse and foot, through all the summer; not dangerous even in winter, except in storm; and from the earliest ages, down to Napoleon’s, the pass chosen by the greatest kings, and wisest missionaries. The defiles of the Simplon were still impassable in the twelfth century, and the Episcopate of the Valais was therefore an isolated territory branching up from Martigny; unassailable

1 [Leslie Stephen seems to have been of the same opinion: see his Playground of Europe, p. 139 (1894 ed.).]
from above, but in connection with the Monastery of St. Bernard and Abbey St. Maurice, holding alike Burgundian, Swiss, and Saracen powers at bay, beyond the Castle of Chillon.

And I must remind the reader that at the time when Swiss history opens, there was no such country as France, in her existing strength. There was a sacred “Isle of France,” and a group of cities,—Amiens, Paris, Soissons, Rheims, Chartres, Sens, and Troyes,—essentially French, in arts, and faith. But round this Frank central province lay Picardy, Normandy, Brittany, Anjou, Aquitaine, Languedoc, and Provence, all of them independent national powers: and on the east of the Côte d’Or,* the strong and true kingdom of Burgundy, which for centuries contended with Germany for the dominion of Switzerland, and, from her Alpine throne, of Europe.

36. This was, I have said, at the time “when Swiss history opens”—as such. It opens a century earlier, in 773, as a part of all Christian history, when Charlemagne convoked his Franks at Geneva to invade Italy, and dividing them there into two bodies, placed Swiss mountaineers at the head of each, and sending one division by the Great St. Bernard, under his own uncle, Bernard,† the son of Charles Martel, led the other himself over the Cenis. It was for this march over the Great St. Bernard that Charlemagne is said to have given the foresters of the central Alps their three trumpets—the Bull of Uri, the Cow of Unterwald, and the Horn of Lucerne;¹ and, without question, after his Italian victories, Switzerland became the organic centre of civilization to his whole empire. “It is

* The eastern boundary of France proper is formed by the masses of the Vosges, Côte d’Or, and Monts de la Madeleine.
† Don’t confuse him with St. Bernard of Annecy, from whom the pass is named; nor St. Bernard of Annecy with St. Bernard of Dijon, the Madonna’s chosen servant.

¹ [See Gaulleir’s *Suisse Historique*, p. 76. For other references to the Horn of Uri, see Vol. XII. p. 194, and Vol. XXXIII. p. 58 n.]
thus,” says M. Gaullieur, “that the heroic history of old Zurich, and the annals of Thurgovie and Rhétique, are full of the
memorable acts of the Emperor of the West, and among other
traditions the foundation of the Water-church, (Wasserkirche,) at
Zurich, attaches itself to the sight of a marvellous serpent who
came to ask justice of the Emperor, in a place where he gave it to
all his subjects, by the Limmat shore.”

37. I pause here a moment to note that there used to be
indeed harmless water serpents in the Swiss waters, when
perfectly pure. I myself saw those of the Lac de Chêde, in the
year 1833, and had one of them drawn out of the water by the
char-a-banc driver with his whip, that I might see the yellow ring
round its neck. The colour of the body was dark green. If the
reader will compare the account given in Eagle’s Nest of one of
the serpents of the Giessbach, he will understand at once how
easily the myths of antiquity would attach themselves among the
Alps, as much to the living serpent as to the living eagle.

Also, let the reader not that the beryl-coloured water of the
Lake of Zurich and the Limmat gave, in old days, the perfectest
type of purity, of all the Alpine streams. The deeper blue of the
Reuss and Rhone grew dark at less depth, and always gave some
idea of the presence of a mineral element, causing the colour;
while the Aar had soiled itself with clay even before reaching
Berne. But the pale aquamarine crystal of the Lake of Zurich,
with the fish set in it, some score of them—small and great—to a
cube fathom, and the rapid fall and stainless ripple of the
Limmat, through the whole of its course under the rocks of
Baden to the Reuss, remained, summer and winter, of a constant,
sacred, inviolable, supernatural loveliness.

By the shore of the Limmat then, sate Charlemagne to do
justice, as Canute by the sea:—the first “Water

---

1 [See Gaullieur's Suisse Historique, p. 77.]
2 [In § 101: see Vol. XXII. p. 196.]
3 [Compare the Preface to the second edition of Sesame and Lilies (1865), Vol.
XVIII. p. 29.]
church” of the beginning river is his building;¹ and never was St. Jerome’s rendering of the twenty-third Psalm sung in any church more truly: “In loco pascue, ibi collocavit me, super aquam reflectionis educavit.”² But the Cathedral Minster of Zurich dates from days no longer questionable or fabulous.

38. During the first years of the tenth century, Switzerland was disputed between Rodolph II., King of Burgundy, and Bourcard, Duke of Swabia. The German duke at last defeated Rodolph, near Winterthur; but with so much difficulty, that he chose rather thenceforward to have him for ally rather than enemy; and gave him, for pledge of peace, his daughter BERTHA, to be Burgundian queen.³

Bertha, the daughter of the Duke Bourcard and Regilinda, was at this time only thirteen or fourteen. The marriage was not celebrated till 921,—and let the reader remember that marriage,—though there was no Wedding March played at it, but many a wedding prayer said,—for the beginning of all happiness to Burgundy, Switzerland, and Germany. Her husband, in the first ten years after their marriage, in alliance with Henry the Fowler of Germany, drove the Saracen and Hungarian nomad armies out of the Alps: and then Bertha set herself to efface the traces of their ravages; building, everywhere through her territories, castles, monasteries, walled towns, and towers of refuge; restoring the town and church of Soleure in 930, of Moutiers in the Jura, in 932; in the same year endowing the canons of Amsoldingen at Thun, and then the church of Neuchâtel; finally, towards 935, the church and convent of Zurich, of which her mother Regilinda

¹ [One of the towers of the Gross Münster, or Wasserkirche, on the right bank of the Limmat, is still called “Charlemagne’s Tower.”]
² [Psalm xxiii. 2 (Vulgate).]
³ [For the story of Bertha mentioned in Longfellow’s Courtship of Miles Standish—“... the beautiful Bertha, the spinner, the queen of Helvetia; Who as she rode on her palfrey, o’er valley and meadow and mountain, Ever was spinning her thread from a distaff fixed to her spindle” — see Gaulleir’s La Suisse Historique, ch. v. pp. 87–97, here followed by Ruskin. For other references to her, see Vol. XXXIII. p. 493 and n.]
became abbess in 949, and remained abbess till her death; —the
Queen Bertha herself residing chiefly near her, in a tower on
Mount Albis.

39. In 950 Bertha had to mourn the death of her son-in-law
Lothaire, and the imprisonment of her daughter Adelaide on the
Lake of Garda. But Otho the Great, of Germany, avenged
Lothaire, drove Berenger out of Italy, and himself married
Adelaide, reinstating Conrad of Burgundy on the throne of
Burgundy and Switzerland: and then Bertha, strong at once
under the protection of the king her son, and the emperor her
son-in-law, and with her mother beside her, Abbess of the
Convent des Dames Nobles of Zurich, began her work of perfect
beneficence to the whole of Switzerland.

In the summer times, spinning from her distaff as she rode,
she traversed—the legends say, with only a country guide to lead
her horse, (when such a queen’s horse would need leading!)—all
the now peaceful fields of her wide dominion, from Jura to the
Alps. My own notion is that an Anne-of-Geierstein-like maid of
honour or two must have gleamed here and there up and down
the hills beside her;¹ and a couple of old knights, perhaps,
followed at their own pace. Howsoever, the queen verily did
know her peasants, and their cottages and fields, from Zurich to
Geneva, and ministered to them for full twelve years.

40. In 962, her son Conrad gave authority almost monarchic,
to her Abbey of Payerne, which could strike a coinage of its
own. Not much after that time, her cousin Ulrich, Bishop of
Strasbourg, came to visit her; and with him and the king her son,
she revisited all the religious institutions she had founded, and
finally, with them both, consecrated the Church of Neuchâtel to
the Virgin. The Monastery of the Great St. Bernard was founded
at the same time.

I cannot find the year of her death, but her son Conrad died in
993, and was buried beside his mother at Payerne.²

¹ [See the first appearance of Anne of Geierstein among the hills at the end of chap.
ii. of the novel.]
² [See Gaulleir, p. 97.]
And during the whole of the eleventh century, and more than half of the twelfth, the power of Bertha’s institutions, and of the Church generally, increased in Switzerland; but gradually corrupted by its wealth of territory into a feudal hierarchy, against which, together with that of the nobles who were always at war with each other, Duke Berthold IV., of Zähringen, undertook, in 1178, the founding of Fribourg in Uchtland.¹

The culminating point of the new city above the scarped rocks which border the Sarine (on the eastern bank?) was occupied by the Château de Tyr (Tyrensis), ancient home of the Counts of that country, and cradle, it is believed, of the house of Thierstein. Berthold called his new town Freyburg, as well as that which existed already in his states of Breisgau, because he granted it in effect the same liberties, the same franchises, and the same communal charter (Handfeste) which had been given to the other Fribourg. A territory of nine leagues in circumference was given to Fribourg in Uchtland, a piece which they still call “the old lands.” Part of the new colonists came from Breisgau, Black Forest people; part from the Roman Pays de Vaud. The Germans lived in the valley, the others on the heights. Built on the confines of France and Germany, Fribourg served for the point of contact to two nations until then hostile; and the Handfeste of Fribourg served for a model to all the municipal constitutions of Switzerland. Still, at this day, the town is divided into two parts, and into two languages.²

41. This was in 1178. Twelve years later, Berthold V., the greatest and the best of the Dukes of Zähringen, made, of the village of Burgdorf in the Emmenthal, the town of Berthoud, the name given probably from his own;

¹ [See Gaullieur, p. 109. The following passage in Ruskin’s text is translated almost literally from the same page of Gaullieur.]
² [“The Canton Fribourg is singularly divided between the German and French languages; and the line of separation, extending from the S. E. corner to the N. W., passes through the town of Fribourg, so that in the upper town French is spoken, and in the lower German. This distinction, however, is wearing out” (Murray’s Handbook for Switzerland, 1891, vol. i. p. 261).]
Thun: the Castle and Plain.
From the drawing in the possession of T.F. Taylor, Esq.
and then, in the year 1191, laid the foundations of the town of Berne.¹

He chose for its site a spot in the royal domain, for he intended the new city to be called the Imperial city; and the place he chose was near a manor which had served in the preceding century for occasional residence to the Rodolphian kings. It was a long high promontory, nearly an island, whose cliff sides were washed by the Aar. The Duke of Zæhringen’s Marshal, Cuno of Babenberg, received orders to surround with walls the little island on which stood the simple hamlet of Berne, now become the powerful city of Berne, praiseworthy at first in the democratic spirit of its bourgeois, and afterwards in its aristocracy, whose policy, at once elevated, firm, consistent, and ambitious, mingled itself in all the great affairs of the neighbouring countries, and became a true power, upon which the sovereigns of the first order had sometimes to count.

Lastly, Berthold built the Castle of Thun, where the Aar issues out of its lake; castle which, as may be seen at the present day, commanded the whole level plain,² opening to Berne, and the pass into the Oberland.

42. Thus the three towns Fribourg, Berne, and Thun, form, at the close of the twelfth century, the triple fortress of the Dukes of Zæhringen, strengthened by a body of burghers to whom the Dukes have granted privileges till then unknown; this Ducal and Civic allied power asserting itself in entire command of Switzerland proper, against the Counts of Savoy in the south, the Burgundian princes in the east, and the ecclesiastical power of Italy, vested in the Bishops of Sion, in the Valais,—thence extending from the mouth of the Rhone into the Pays de Vaud, and enthroned there at Payerne by the bequests of Queen Bertha. The monks of her royal abbey at Payerne, seeing that all the rights they possessed over the Pays de Vaud were endangered by the existence of Fribourg, opposed the building

¹ [See Gaullieur, p. 111.]
² [See Plate XXXVI.]
of the Church of St. Nicholas there, asserting that the ground assigned to it and its monastery belonged to the Abbey of Payerne. Berthold IV. was on the point of attacking the monks on their own rock when the nobles of the Vaud interfered, as mediators.

Four of them—Amé, Count of Geneva, Vauthier of Blonay, Conrad of Estaveyer, and Rodolph of Montagny—compelled Berthold to ratify the privileges, and resign the lands, of the monks of Payerne, by a deed signed in 1178; the church and monastery of St. Nicholas being founded at Fribourg under their rule. And this constitution of Fribourg, whether the Dukes of Zähringen foresaw it or not, became the fecund germ of a new social order. The “Commune” was the origin of the “Canton,” “and the beneficent æra of communal liberty served for acheminement to the constitutional liberties and legislative codes of modern society.”

43. Thus far M. Gaullieur, from whose widow I leased my own châteat Mornex, and whose son I instructed, to the best of my power, in clearing land of useless stones on the slope of the Salève,—under the ruins of the old Château de Savoie, the central castle, once, of all Savoy; on the site of which, and summit of its conical hill-throne, seated himself, in his pleasure villa, all the summer long, my very dear friend and physician, old Dr. Gosse of Geneva; whose mountain garden, about three hundred feet above mine, was indeed enclosed by the remaining walls and angle towers of the Castle of Savoy, of which the Doctor had repaired the lowest tower so as to serve for a reservoir to the rain rushing down the steep garden slopes in storm,—and to let none of it be wasted afterwards in the golden Salève sunshine.

“C’était une tour de guerre,” said the Doctor to me triumphantly, as he first led me round the confines of his

1 [See Gaullieur, p. 109.]
2 [See Vol. XVII. p. liv.]
3 [For whom, see Vol. XVII. p. lxi., and Vol. XXXIV. p. 493.]
II. MONT VELAN


44. But that walk by the castle wall was long after the Mont Velan times of which I am now telling;—in returning to which, will the reader please note the homes of the four Vaudois knights who stood for Queen Bertha’s monastery: Amé of Geneva, Vauthier of Blonay, Conrad of Estaveyer, and Rodolph of Montagny?

Amé’s castle of Geneva stood on the island, where the clock tower is now; and has long been destroyed: of Estaveyer and Montagny I know nothing; but the Castle of Blonay still stands above Vevey, as Chillon still at the head of her lake; but the château of Blonay has been modified gradually into comfort of sweet habitation, the war towers of it sustaining timber-latticed walls, and crowned by pretty turrets and pinnacles in cheerful nobleness—trellised all with fruitage or climbing flowers; its moats now all garden; its surrounding fields all lily and meadow-sweet, with blue gleanings, it may be of violet, it may be of gentian; its heritage of human life guarded still in the peacefully scattered village, or farmhouse, here and there half hidden in apple-blossom, or white with fallen cherry-blossom, as if with snow.

45. I have already told how fond my father was of staying at the Trois Couronnes of Vevey, when I was up among the aiguilles of Chamouni. In later years, I acknowledged his better taste, and would contentedly stay with him at Vevey, as long as he liked,—myself always perfectly happy in the fields and on the hillsides round the Château Blonay. Also, my father and mother were quite able at any time to get up as far as Blonay themselves; and usually walked

1 [The years 1844, 1854, and 1856 are those specially connected with Vevey: see Ruskin’s list below, p. 632.]
2 [The description of the château and the surrounding country still holds; but it has to be added that there is an electric railway from Vevey to Chamby (and thence to Zweisimmen), with stations at “Blonay” and “Château de Blonay.” The walk to the château and the ascent of the neighbouring Pleiades was a favourite excursion of Ruskin’s: see Vol. V. p. xviii.]
3 [See above, pp. 335, 442.]
so far with me when I was intent on the higher hills,— waiting, they, and our old servant, Lucy Tovey,¹ (whom we took abroad with us sometimes that she might see the places we were always talking of,) until I had done my bit of drawing or hammering, and we all went down together, through the vineyards, to four o’clock dinner; then the evening was left free for me to study the Dent d’Oche and chains of crag declining southwards to Geneva, by sunset.

Thus Vevay, year after year, became the most domestic of all our foreign homes. At Venice, my mother always thought the gondola would upset; at Chamouni, my father, that I should fall into the Mer de Glace; at Pisa, he would ask me, “What shall I give the coachman?”² and at Florence, dispute the delightfulness of Cimabue. But at Vevay, we were all of a mind. My father was professionally at home in the vineyards,—sentimentally in the Bosquet de Julie;³ my mother liked apple orchards and narcissus meads as much as I did; and for me, there was the Dent du Midi, for eternal snow, in the distance; the Rochers de Naye, for climbing, accessibly near; Chillon for history and poetry; and the lake, in the whole breadth of it from Lausanne to Meillerie, for Turnerian mist effects of morning, and Turnerian sunsets at evening; and moonlights,—as if the moon were one radiant glacier of frozen gold. Then if one wanted to go to Geneva for anything, there were little steamers,—no mortal would believe, now, how little; one used to be afraid an extra basket of apples would be too much for them, when the pier was full of market people. They called at all the places along the north shore, mostly for country folks; and often their little cabins were quite empty. English people thought the lake of Geneva too dull, if they had ever more than an hour of it.

¹ [For whom, see above, p. 343.]
² [See above, p. 419.]
³ [At Clarens, three miles from Vevay, described by Rousseau in the Nouvelle Héloïse.]
46. It chanced so, one day, when we were going from Vevay to Geneva. It was hot on the deck, and we all went down into the little cabin, which the waves from the paddle wheels rushed past the windows of, in lovely wild masses of green and silver. There was no one in the cabin but ourselves (that is to say, papa, mamma, old Anne, and me), and a family whom we supposed, rightly, to be American, of the best sort. A mother with three daughters, and her son,—he in charge of them all, perhaps of five or six and twenty; his sisters younger; the mother just old enough to be their mother; all of them quietly and gracefully cheerful. There was the cabin table between us, covered with the usual Swiss news about nothing, and an old caricature book or two. The waves went on rushing by; neither of the groups talked, but I noticed that from time to time the young American cast somewhat keen, though entirely courteous, looks of scrutiny at my father and mother.

In a few minutes after I had begun to notice these looks, he rose, with the sweetest quiet smile I ever saw on any face (unless, perhaps, a nun’s, when she has some grave kindness to do), crossed to our side of the cabin, and addressing himself to my father, said, with a true expression of great gladness, and of frank trust that his joy would be understood, that he knew who we were, was most thankful to have met us, and that he prayed permission to introduce his mother and sisters to us.1

The bright eyes, the melodious voice, the perfect manner, the simple, but acutely flattering, words, won my father in an instant. The New Englander sat down beside us, his

1 [In a letter to Ruskin (dated “Shady Hill, 28 Sept. 1888”) Professor Norton wrote: “But I shall first write on the margin of the lovely account of our meeting on the little steam-boat on the Lake of Geneva that I, American as I was, should never have ventured to address your father or you on that memorable day, had you not before that time been previously kind to me. The autumn before, that poor fellow Jarves had given me a note of introduction to you. I had sent it to you, asking only to be allowed to see your Turners. You had kindly sent me word to come and look. I went, and besides the pictures found you! But because you were there, most kind and courteous, I did not stay long, and when we met in the little cabin you had forgotten my face. My excuse for addressing you was your previous goodness to me.”]
mother and sisters seeming at once also to change the steamer’s cabin into a reception room in their own home. The rest of the time till we reached Geneva passed too quickly; we arranged to meet in a day or two again, at St. Martin’s.

And thus I became possessed of my second friend, after Dr. John Brown;¹ and of my first real tutor, Charles Eliot Norton.

¹ [See above, p. 458.]
47. The meeting at St. Martin’s with Norton and his family was a very happy one. Entirely sensible and amiable, all of them; with the farther elasticity and acuteness of the American intellect, and no taint of American ways. Charles himself, a man of the highest natural gifts, in their kind; observant and critical rather than imaginative, but with an all-pervading sympathy and sensibility, absolutely free from envy, ambition, or covetousness: a scholar from his cradle, nor only now a man of the world, but a gentleman of the world, whom the highest born and best bred of every nation, from the Red Indian to the White Austrian, would recognize in a moment, as of their caste.

In every branch of classical literature he was my superior; knew old English writers better than I,—much more, old French; and had active fellowship and close friendship with the then really progressive leaders of thought in his own country, Longfellow, Lowell, and Emerson.

All the sympathy, and all the critical subtlety, of his mind had been given, not only to the reading, but to the trial and following out of the whole theory of Modern Painters; so that, as I said, it was a real joy for him to

* I mean, covetousness of beautiful things, the only sort that is possible to people like Charles Norton or me. He gave me his best Greek “Fortune,” a precious little piece of flying marble, with her feet on the world, engraved with hexagonal tracery like a honeycomb. We both love its honey—but best, given by each other.

1 [“Not a gift in the usual sense,” says Professor Norton in a note to the letter (May 18, 1871) in which Ruskin acknowledged the receipt. Presumably Ruskin bought it. The marble is mentioned in Aratra Pentelici: see Vol. XX. p. 328 n. The piece remains at Brantwood.]
meet me, and a very bright and singular one for both of us, when I knocked at his door in the Hotel du Mont Blanc at five in the morning; and led him, as the roselight flushed the highest snow, up the winding path among the mountain meadows of Sallenches.

I can see them at this moment, those mountain meadows, if I rise from my writing-table, and open the old barred valves of the corner window of the Hotel Bellevue;—yes, and there is the very path we climbed that day together, apparently unchanged. But on what seemed then the everlasting hills, beyond which the dawn rose cloudless, and on the heaven in which it rose, and on all that we that day knew, of human mind and virtue,—how great the change, and sorrowful, I cannot measure, and, in this place, I will not speak.

48. That morning gave to me, I said, my first tutor,* for Dr. John Brown, however far above me in general power, and in the knowledge proper to his own profession, yet in the simplicity of his affection liked everything I wrote, for what was true in it, however imperfectly or faultfully expressed: but Norton saw all my weaknesses, measured all my narrownesses, and, from the first, took serenely, and as it seemed of necessity, a kind of paternal authority over me, and a right of guidance;—though the younger of the two,—and always admitting my full power in its own kind; nor only admitting, but in the prettiest way praising and stimulating. It was almost impossible for him to speak to any one he cared for, without some side-flash of witty compliment; and to me, his infinitely varied and loving praise became a constant motive to exertion, and aid in effort: yet he never allowed me in the slightest violation of the laws, either of good writing, or social prudence, without instant blame, or warning.

I was entirely conscious of his rectorial power, and affectionately submissive to it; so that he might have done

* Gordon was only my master in Greek, and in common sense; he never criticized my books, and, I suppose, rarely read them.
anything with me, but for the unhappy difference in our innate, and unchangeable, political faiths.

49. Since that day at Sallenches it has become a matter of the most curious speculation to me, what sort of soul Charles Norton would have become, if he had had the blessing to be born an English Tory, or a Scotch Jacobite, or a French Gentilhomme, or a Savoyard Count. I think I should have liked him best to have been a Savoyard Count; say, Lord of the very Tower of Sallenches, a quarter of a mile above me at the opening of the glen,—habitable yet and inhabited; it is half hidden by its climbing grapes. Then, to have read the Fioretti di San Francesco, (which he found out, New Englander though he was, before I did,) in earliest boyhood; then to have been brought into instructively grievous collision with Commerce, Liberty, and Evangelicalism at Geneva; then to have learned Political Economy from Carlyle and me; and finally devoted himself to write the History of the Bishops of Sion! What a grand, happy, consistent creature he would have been,—while now he is as hopelessly out of gear and place, over in the States there, as a runaway star dropped into Purgatory; and twenty times more a slave than the blackest nigger he ever set his white scholars to fight the South for; because all the faculties a black has may be fully developed by a good master (see Miss Edgeworth’s story of The Grateful Negro1),—while only about the thirtieth or fortieth part of Charles Norton’s effective contents and capacity are beneficially spent in the dilution of the hot lava, and fructification of the hot ashes, of American character;—which are overwhelming, borne now on volcanic

* I showed the valley of Chamouni, and the “Pierre-à-Bot” above Neuchâtel, to Mrs. Beecher Stowe and her pretty little daughter Georgie,2—when Georgie was about sixteen, and wouldn’t let me say a word against Uncle Tom: howbeit, that story of the Grateful Negro, Robinson Crusoe, and Othello, contain, any of the three, more, alike worldly and heavenly, wisdom than would furnish three Uncle Tom’s Cabins.

1 [Published March 1802. Included in the “Popular Tales” in vol. vi. of the collected Tales and Miscellaneous Pieces (1825).]
2 [In 1856; as recorded in Time and Tide, Vol. XVII. p. 476.]
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air,—the life of Scotland, England, France, and Italy. I name Scotland first, for reasons which will be told in next Præterita,—"Joanna’s Care."

50. Meantime, here is the last letter I have from Norton, showing how we have held hands since that first day on Geneva lake:—

   "SHADY HILL, April 9th, 1887.

   "It is very good of you, my dearest Ruskin, to send me such a long, pleasant letter, not punishing me for my silence, but trusting to—

   'My thought, whose love for you,
   Though words come hindmost, holds his rank before.'

You are doing too much, and your letter gives me a fear lest, out of care for me, you added a half-hour of effort to the work of a too busy day. How long it is since I first began to preach prudence to you! and my preaching has availed about as much as the sermons in stones avail to convert the hard-hearted. Well, we are glad to take each other as we are, you ever imprudent, I ever—(I leave the word to your mercy).

   "The last number of Præterita¹ pleased me greatly. There was a sweet tone in it, such as becomes the retrospect of a wise man as he summons the scenes of past life before his eyes; the clearness, the sharp-cut outline of your memories is a wonder, and their fulness of light and colour. My own are very different. I find the outlines of many of them blurred, and their colours faint. The loss that came to me fifteen years ago included the loss of vividness of memory of much of my youth.

   "The winter has been long and hard with us. Even yet there are snowbanks in shady places, and not yet is there a sign of a leaf. Even the snowdrops are hardly venturing out of the earth. But the birds have come back, and to-day I hear the woodpeckers knocking at the doors of the old trees to find a shelter and home for the summer. We have had the usual winter pleasures, and all my children have been well, though Lily is always too delicate, and ten days hence I part with her that she may go to England and try there to escape her summer cold. She goes out under Lowell’s charge, and will be with her mother’s sister and cousins in England. My three girls have just come to beg me to go out with them for a walk. So, good-bye. I will write soon again. Don’t you write to me when you are tired. I let my eyes rest for an instant on Turner’s sunset, and your sunrise from Herne Hill, which hang before me; and with a heart full of loving thanks to you,—I am ever your affectionate

   "C. E. N.

   "My best love to Joan,—to whom I mean to write."

Somewhat more of Joan (and Charles also) I have to tell, as I said, in next Præterita.

51. I cannot go on, here, to tell the further tale of our

¹ [Chapter x. of vol. ii.]
peace and war; for the Fates wove for me, but a little while after they brought me that friend to Sallenches glen, another net of Love; in which alike the warp and woof were of deeper colours.

Soon after I returned home, in the eventful year 1858, a lady wrote to me from—somewhere near Green Street, W.,—saying, as people sometimes did, in those days, that she saw I was the only sound teacher in Art; but this farther, very seriously, that she wanted her children—two girls and a boy—taught the beginnings of Art rightly; especially the younger girl, in whom she thought I might find some power worth developing:—would I come and see her? I thought I should rather like to; so I went, to near Green Street; and found the mother—the sort of person I expected, but a good deal more than I expected, and in all sorts of ways. Extremely pretty still, herself, nor at all too old to learn many things; but mainly anxious for her children. Emily, the elder daughter, wasn’t in; but Rosie was,—should she be sent for to the nursery? Yes, I said, if it wouldn’t tease the child, she might be sent for. So presently the drawing-room door opened, and Rosie came in, quietly taking stock of me with her blue eyes as she walked across the room; gave me her hand, as a good dog gives its paw, and then stood a little back. Nine years old, on 3rd January, 1858, thus now rising towards ten; neither tall nor short for her age; a little stiff in her way of standing. The eyes rather deep blue at that time, and fuller and softer than afterwards. Lips perfectly lovely in profile;—a little too wide, and hard in edge, seen in front; the rest of the features what a fair, well-bred Irish girl’s usually are; the hair, perhaps, more graceful in short curl round the forehead, and softer than one sees often, in the close-bound tresses above the neck.

52. I thought it likely she might be taught to draw a little, if she would take time; I did not expect her to take pains, and told her mother so, at once. Rosie says

1 [Actually, at 10 Great Cumberland Place first and afterwards in Norfolk Street.]
never a word, but we continue to take stock of each other. “I thought you so ugly,” she told me, afterwards. She didn’t quite mean that; but only, her mother having talked much of my “greatness” to her, she had expected me to be something like Garibaldi, or the Elgin Theseus; and was extremely disappointed.

I expressed myself as ready to try what I could make of Rosie; only I couldn’t come every other day all the way in to Green Street. Mamma asked what sort of a road there was to Denmark Hill? I explained the simplicity and beauty of its ramifications round the “Elephant and Castle,” and how one was quite in the country as soon as one got past the triangular field at Champion Hill. And the wildernesses of the Obelisk having been mapped out, and determined to be passable, the day was really appointed for first lesson at Denmark Hill—and Emily came with her sister.

53. Emily was a perfectly sweet, serene, delicately-chiselled marble nymph of fourteen, softly dark-eyed, rightly tender and graceful in all she did and said. I never saw such a faculty for the arrangement of things beautifully, in any other human being. If she took up a handful of flowers, they fell out of her hand in wreathed jewellery of colour and form, as if they had been sown, and had blossomed, to live together so, and no otherwise. Her mother had the same gift, but in its more witty, thoughtful, and scientific range; in Emily it was pure wild instinct. For an Irish girl, she was not witty, for she could not make a mistake; one never laughed at what she said, but the room was brighter for it. To Rose and me she soon became no more Emily, but “Wisie,” named after my dead Wisie.¹ All the children, and their father, loved animals;—my first sight of papa was as he caressed a green popinjay which was almost hiding itself in his waistcoat. Emily’s pony, Swallow, and Rosie’s dog, Bruno, will have their day in these memoirs;² but Emily’s “Bully”

¹ [See above, p. 501.]
² [The memoirs were suspended, however, before the day came.]
III. L’ESTERELLE

was the perfectest pet of all;—he used to pass half his day in the air, above her head, or behind her shoulders, holding a little tress of her long hair as far out as he could, on the wing.

54. That first day, when they came to Denmark Hill, there was much for them to see;—my mother, to begin with, and she also had to see them; on both sides the sight was thought good. Then there were thirty Turners, including the great Rialto;\(^1\) half-a-dozen Hunts; a beautiful Tintoret; my minerals in the study; the loaded apple trees in the orchard; the glowing peaches on the old red garden wall. The lesson lost itself that day in pomiferous talk, with rustic interludes in the stables and pigsty. The pigs especially, it was observed, were highly educated, and spoke excellent Irish.

When next they came, lessons began duly, with perspective, and the analysis of the essential qualities of triangles! I must state here, generally, that ever since the year I lost in efforts to trisect an angle myself,\(^2\) education, both in drawing and ethics, has been founded by me on the pleasant and pretty mysteries of trigonometry! the more resolutely, because I always found ignorance of magnitudes at the root of modern bad taste and frivolity; and farther, because all the grace, and much of the sentiment, both of plant and mountain form, depends on the angle of the cone they fill with their branches, or rise into with their cliffs.

These geometrical lessons are always accompanied, when I have girls to teach, by the most careful pencil study of the forms of leaves as they grow, whether on ground or branch.

55. In botanical knowledge, and perception of plant-character, my eldest Irish pupil, mamma, was miles and miles my superior;\(^3\) and in powers of design, both the

---

1 [See above, p. 380; the Tintoret may have been the “Diana” (see Vol. XI. p. 376) or the portrait of a Doge (Vol. XI. p. 375, Vol. XXI. p. 170).]
2 [See above, i. § 95 (p. 86).]
3 [See, for instance, a communication from Mrs. La Touche in Proserpina, Vol. XXV. p. 523.]
children were so: but the fine methods of measurement and
delineation were new to all of them; nor less the charm of
faithfully represented colour, in full daylight, and in the open air.
Having Turner’s mountain drawings of his best time beside us,
and any quantity of convolvuluses, holly-hocks, plums, peaches,
and apples, to bring in from the garden, the afternoon hours went
fast; but so much more in talk than work, that I soon found, if
either triangles or bindweeds were to come to anything, it must
be under the governess’s superintendence, not mamma’s: and
that I should have to make my way to Green Street, and up to the
schoolroom, after all, on at least two out of three of the lesson
days. Both the children, to my extreme satisfaction, approved of
this arrangement, and the final order was that whenever I
happened to go through Green Street, I should pay them a visit in
the nursery. Somehow, from that time, most of my London
avocations led me through Green Street.

It chanced above all things well for me that their governess
was a woman of great sense and power, whom the children
entirely loved, and under whom mamma put herself, in the
schoolroom, no less meekly than they; partly in play, but really
also a little subdued by the clear insight of the fearlessly frank
preceptress into her own faults. I cannot call them “foibles,” for
her native wit and strength of character admitted none.

56. Rosie had shortly expressed her sense of her governess’s
niceness by calling her “Bun”; and I had not been long free of the
schoolroom before she wanted a name for me also, significant of
like approval. After some deliberation, she christened me
“Crumpet”; then, impressed by seeing my gentleness to beggars,
canonized me as “Saint Crumpet,” or, shortly and practically,
“St. C.,”—which I remained ever afterwards; only Emily said
one day to her sister that the C. did in truth stand for
“Chrysostom.”

The drawing, and very soon painting, lessons went on
meantime quite effectively, both the girls working with
III. L’ESTERELLE

quick intelligence and perfect feeling; so that I was soon able, with their mother’s strong help, to make them understand the essential qualities both of good painting and sculpture. Rose went on into geology; but only far enough to find another play-name for me—“Archigosaurus.” This was meant partly to indicate my scientific knowledge of Depths and Ages; partly to admit me more into family relations, her mother having been named, by her cleverest and fondest friend, “Lacerta,”—to signify that she had the grace and wisdom of the serpent, without its poison.

And things went on,—as good girls will know how, through all that winter;—in the spring, the Fates brought the first whirlpool into the current of them, in that (I forget exactly why) it was resolved that they should live by the Cascine of Florence in the spring, and on the Lung’ Arno, instead of in the Park by the Serpentine. But there was the comfort for me that Rosie was really a little sorry to go away; and that she understood in the most curious way how sorry I was.

57. Some wise, and prettily mannered, people have told me I shouldn’t say anything about Rosie at all. But I am too old now to take advice, and I won’t have this following letter—the first she ever wrote me—moulder away, when I can read it no more, lost to all loving hearts.

NICE, Monday, March 18th.

DEAREST S'[1], CRUMPET—I am so sorry—I couldn’t write before, there wasn’t one bit of time—I am so sorry you were disappointed—I only got yr letter yesterday (Sunday), & we only got to Nice late on Saturday afternoon—So I have got up so early this morning to try & get a clear hour before breakfast to write to you, which you see I’m doing—So you thought of us, dear S’. Crumpet, & we too thought so much of you—Thank you very much for the Diary letter; it was so nice of you to write so long a one—I have so much to tell you too Archigosaurus so I will begin from Dover, & tell what befell us up to Nice—Emily asks me to say that she did a picture at Dover of Dover Castle in a fog—I think it was to please you—Well we had a roughish passage, but we*

* I leave pauses where the old pages end.—J. R.
sat on deck & didn’t mind—We thought & talked about you—Every great
wave that came we called a ninth wave and we thought how pleasant it w’d be to
sit in a storm and draw them, but I think if you had wanted it done I’d have
tried to do it S’. Crumpet—There was what do you think at the prow of our
steamer—yr brother Archigosaurus, an alligator, and we said it was
you—Well so we got to Calais, breakfasted at the Table d’Hôte there, and then
began that weary railroad journey from Calais to Paris—The scenery was just
the same all the way—I suppose you know it—Those long straight rows of
polaris cut even at the tops & flat uninteresting country. I drew the polaris in
perspective for you S’. Crumpet —We got to Paris on

Friday evening & stayed till Wednesday—No, I couldn’t I tell you, there
wasn’t one bit of time or do you think I would not have seized it directly for I
know yr thinking why didn’t she write—Its too long to say all we did & didn’t
do in Paris, so I’ll only tell about the Louvre and Notre Dame. We went to the
Louvre. Oh S’, Crumpet how we thought of you there—How we looked and
talked about the Titians you told us to look at particularly the glass ball one &
the white Rabbit1—Yes we looked so much at them and we did, all of us, think
them so very beautiful— I liked two portraits of Titian’s of two dark
gentlemen with earnest eyes better than any I think. We thought his skins (I
mean the skins he made his picture-people have) so very beautifully done &
we looked at the pinks at the corners of the eyes & thought of the Portrait of
Lord Bute’s & you again S’. Crumpet.

58. We liked the picture of Paul Veronese of the children playing with the dog
very much I think one of them the most prominent with dark eyes & not
looking at the dog is very beautiful Why does Paul Veronese put his own
family in the pictures of sacred subjects, I wonder? I liked the little puppy in
the boys arms trying to get away—The statues in the Louvre I think most
beautiful. Is it wrong S’. Crumpet to like that noble Venus Victrix as well as
Titian. If it is, am I a hardened little tinner? Oh but they are so beautiful those
statues there’s one of a Venus leaning against a tree with a Lacerta running up
it—Notre Dame they are spoiling as quick as they can by colouring those
grand old pillars with ugly daubs of green and yellow etc. Is not that “light” in
the French?* It’s a bore saying all we thought of Paris, I must get on to the
mountains not

* Referring to a debate over Mrs. Browning’s poem in defence of them; the
one in which she says, rightly, that they are no more “light” than a rifle-ball
is.2

1 [“An Allegory in honour of Alfonso d’Avalos” (No. 1589) and “The Virgin with
the Rabbit” (No. 1578): for Ruskin’s notes on the pictures, see Vol. XII. pp. 458, 452.]
2 [“The English have a scornful insular way
Of calling the French light . . .
. . . Is a bullet light,
That dashes from the gun-mouth?”
(Aurora Leigh, at the beginning of the Sixth Book.)]
to say Alps—Don’t be Kingfishery* dear St. Crumpet; how good it was of you to give yr Turners that you love so much to the Oxford Museum. From Paris we started early on Wednesday morning & travelled all day & all the night in the train—Yes you would have said “Poor Posie” I was bored. But we got over it very well. It was so pleasant to be running after the sun to the south (Don’t be Kingfishery) & awaking at about 5 in the morning to see long plains of greyheaded silvery olives and here and there pink perky peach trees dancing among them—and there were groups of dark cool cypress trees pointing upwards, & hills & grey rocks sloping to the sea—the Mediterranean. So we shook off our sleepiness, at least Papa Mama and I did for Emily & Adèle still slept; & saw behind those peaks of craggy hills a pink smile coming in the sky telling us that the morning had come really at last. So we watched & suddenly there rose (popped w’d be a better word for it really rose in one instant)

such a sun—“nor dim, nor red” (you know the verse) & then dipped back again below the hills. It was so beautiful—but I shocked Mama by saying “Jack in the box” which awoke Emily who declared of course she had been wide awake and had seen it all. Why do people always do that, St. Crumpet? This was just before we came to Marseilles. It had been snowing the day before & it was nice to go to sleep & wake up in the summer—we got to Toulon and there we spent the day & oh Archigosaurus we saw so many Lacertas there; again we thought of you—How can you wish to be a parrot†—are you not our saint—You wouldn’t look a bit nice in a gold laced cap; don’t you know blue is the colour you should wear. At Toulon it was like July—I don’t like such heat—Transplantation & scorching is too much for an Irish rose—but I sat with

Mama and Emily on a rock & sketched Toulon Harbour, (or rather tried to) for you St. Crumpet. Then the next we posted, the country was so beautiful some of it & towards evening we saw snowy peaks, they were the mountains of Savoy. I was pretty tired that night & we had to sleep at Frejus such a disagreeable place. The next day we had six horses to our carriage for it was a hilly road. We walked about two hours of the way over the hills‡ You know what sort of a view there was at the top, St. Crumpet & how one stands & stares & says nothing because the words of Grand Glorious, Beautiful etc cannot in one quarter express what one thinks. You the author of M-Ps c’d describe it Irish roses can’t. But I can tell you how my cousins the moorland roses nodded at me as I passed and how they couldn’t understand why Irish hedge roses bloomed in July instead of March

59. I can tell you how the fields were white with Narcissi, how the roads were edged with mauve-coloured anemones & how the scarlet anemones

* Kingfishery. Sitting sulkily on a branch.
† I suppose I had not expressed this farther condition, of being her father’s parrot.
‡ The pass of the Esterelle, between Fréjus and Nice; more beautiful, always, to me, than all the groves and cliffs of the Riviera.—J. R., 1889.
stood up in the meadows tantalizing me in the carriage so much because I wanted to feel them. And there were myrtles (wild) growing close to the blue Mediterranean & Mama lay down on them by the seaside at Cannes while Papa and I were talking to a perfectly deaf old French fisherman who gave his* to me as he caught them putting them half alive into my hands, oh, you would have been alive there Archigosaurus. How I wish you had been there. Well we got here (Nice) on Saturday evening & we climbed up an old Roman Amphitheatre and saw of all sunsets the most glorious. We said it was like Light in the West, Beauvais,¹ and again we thought of you Oh St. Crumpet I think of you so much & of all your dearnesses to me.

I wish so very much that you were happy—God can make you so—We will try not to forget all you taught us—It was so nice of you. Thank you so much from both of us.—Mama is very glad you went to Dr. Ferguson She says you must not give him up. How very kind of you to see & talk to our old man Certainly the name is not beautiful We have all read your letter & we all care for it That was indeed a “dear Irish labourer.” I like him so much; such a nice letter. I hope M’ & M”’ Ruskin are well now. Will you give them our love please & take for yourself as much as ever you please. It will be a great deal if you deign to take all we send you. I like Nice but I don’t much like being transplanted except going home. I am ever your rose.

Postscript
Yes, write packets—trunks, & we shall like them so much. Indeed I couldn’t write before, I’ll try to write again. You must see how we think of you & talk of you—rose posie.

APPENDIX TO CHAPTER III
[The following additional passages found among Ruskin’s MSS. carry on the story of his life a little further.]

Chamouni, 18th September, 1888.—I was repeating over this letter to myself last night, the nearly full moon keeping me awake, not unwillingly, with unclouded light, as she rose above the Dôme, and set over the Breven, while the higher two stars of Orion’s belt seemed to pause above the peak of the Aiguille Blaitière, a film of white cloud filling the valley to the south, stretching upwards to Mont Blanc—the aiguilles all silver-grey in moonlight. Repeating it—and thinking over its character as distinguished from that

* “Fish” to be understood; also that the fisherman was not “perfectly” deaf, for papa could not have talked with his eyes only, as Rose could.

¹ [Plate 66 in Modern Painters, vol. v. (Vol. VII. p. 154).]
of other children's letters. There is no precocity in it. Any girl of real power, well taught, would feel and write, in all sincerity, just as this Rose does, of pictures, statues, flowers, and hills. But the quite singular character of the letter is its sympathy. There is not a sentence in which the child is thinking of herself. She knows exactly what I am feeling, and thinks only of that, without a shadow of vanity, or of impulsive egoism. Her one thought always is, "Can I help him, or give him any joy?" the consciousness of her own power being so habitual and frank that it is used as simply, as (? when) she first gave me her hand, her subsequent knowledge of me being deeper than a child's only in its religious anxiety that I should believe as she believed. And in the year 1860 the "new epoch of life," above spoken of, began for me in this wise, that my father and mother could travel with me no more, but Rose, in heart, was with me always, and all I did was for her sake.

BRIEG, SIMPLON, 20th September.—As much for her sake, that is to say, as of old, for theirs, and more distinctly also in the choice and tenour of it, beginning with Unto this Last, composed at Chamouni in walks to and fro under the wood of the Arveron. I recollect an American—not friend, but then intimate companion—asking me who Rosie-posie was,—the words sometimes being said aloud unconsciously.

Then in 1860, I could not bear being so far away from her, when she was at her home in Ireland, so, having it also in my mind to write some day the analysis of sea-waves which had baffled me in Modern Painters, I stayed at Boulogne instead of going on to the Alps, taking a little bedroom and parlour under the sandhills north of the pier, and set myself to watch sea and sky, Rose writing to me every week punctually, and Emily sometimes interlining a word or two, leaning over her shoulder. I taught myself to write what writing is possible to me in answer to these letters, but learned much more than that in the days when there were no waves to be looked at, except the little ones on the sands, which were if anything more puzzling than the great ones.

I had given up learning Greek by Gordon's, I finally think, quite wise advice, and Latin, because I hated Lucretius, and was teased by Tacitus. But now, when Rose began to ask me questions about her Greek Testament, and the thoughts I had first expressed in Unto this Last could receive support from Homer and Xenophon and Horace, it was needful for such purpose at least to make what verbal knowledge I had, sound.

I took the bit in my teeth, sent for my Plato to Boulogne, with Xenophon's Economist, and Horace, and read sometimes not more than a line a day of each, but that as perfectly as grammar and dictionary would do it. Gradually I gained real scholarship in pure plain Greek and in lyric Latin. I cannot translate a Greek chorus, nor do I know the force of the words used by Plato and Horace in every other writer; but I know what Plato and Horace mean themselves by them, and feel in meaning,
better than most other scholars. But Gordon’s warning came fatally true. I lost at least half of the precious years between 1860 and 1870 in gaining this scholarship, wholly useless in argument with modern writers formed in the French and American schools, and taking the place in my own life and time which ought to have been given to finish my well begun work in geology, or begin earlier that which I must leave merely hinted in Love’s Meinie.

If only I were back once again in the bright little room at Boulogne—with a Rosie letter on the table—and for all other companionship, a shrimp or a limpet in a bucket—she herself taught me to catch crawfish in the Liffey—what a history of streams and woods we could have written together!

I did learn more at Boulogne, however, of shrimps and limpets than one can find in books; and of waves, at least the look of them from the deck of a Boulogne lugger. For one day, as I was watching the mackerel boats come in, the captain of one which had moored alongside the pier came up the wooden ladder steps close beside me. I liked the intelligent and kindly face, and after watching the play of it a while, in his talk with the people he met, asked him if he would take me out with him to see some mackerel fishing. After a little debate, he consented, and from that time forward, took me out with him in the bright mornings, and brought me in with the next tide, sometimes in open sea leaving me at the tiller even in a brisk breeze; but he would never let me bring the boat into harbour. The prettiest piece of sailing I saw was one intensely warm night with high wind, the whole sea phosphorescent in its foam, the boat running gunwale under, and currents of blue fire floating continually over the lower side of the deck. For the rest, in sunny mornings, I saw beautiful things in the colours of the fresh caught fish, but could not reconcile it with my Utopian principles of Creation that any should have poisonous spines in their fins, and still less with my Utopian principles of society that my good and thoughtful sailing master should only be a Boulogne pilot.
CHAPTER IV

JOANNA’S CARE

60. The mischances which have delayed the sequence of *Præterita*¹ must modify somewhat also its intended order. I leave Rosie’s letter to tell what it can of the beginning of happiest days; but omit, for a little while, the further record of them,—of the shadows which gathered around them, and increased, in my father’s illness; and of the lightning which struck him down in death²—so sudden, that I find it extremely difficult, in looking back, to realize the state of mind in which it left either my mother or me. My own principal feeling was certainly anxiety for her, who had been for so many years in every thought dependent on my father’s wishes, and withdrawn from all other social pleasure as long as she could be his companion. I scarcely felt the power I had over her, myself; and was at first amazed to find my own life suddenly becoming to her another ideal; and that new hope and pride were possible to her, in seeing me take command of my father’s fortune, and permitted by him, from his grave, to carry out the theories I had formed for my political work, with unrestricted and deliberate energy.

My mother’s perfect health of mind, and vital religious faith, enabled her to take all the good that was left to her, in the world, while she looked in secure patience for the heavenly future: but there was immediate need for

¹ [Between chapters i. and ii. of vol. iii. there had been an interval of four months, and between chapters ii. and iii. another of nine months, owing to the author’s ill-health.]

² [He died, very suddenly at the end, on March 3, 1864: see Vol. XVII. p. lxxvii.]
some companionship which might lighten the burden of the days to her.

61. I have never yet spoken of the members of my grandmother’s family, who either remained in Galloway,* or were associated with my early days in London. Quite one of the dearest of them at this time, was Mrs. Agnew, born Catherine Tweddale, and named Catherine after her aunt, my father’s mother.† She had now for some years been living in widowhood; her little daughter, Joan, only five years old when her father died, having grown up in their pretty old house at Wigtown,‡ in the simplicity of entirely natural and contented life: and, though again and again under the stress of domestic sorrow, untellable in the depth of the cup which the death-angels filled for the child, yet in such daily happiness as her own bright and loving nature secured in her relations with all those around her; and in the habits of childish play, or education, then common in the rural towns of South Scotland: of which, let me say at once that there was greater refinement in them, and more honourable pride, than probably, at that time, in any other district of Europe;§ a certain pathetic

* See Præterita, vol. i. § 69 [p. 62].
† Now pulled down and the site taken for the new county buildings. The house as it once stood is seen in the centre of the woodcut at page 6 of Gordon Fraser’s Guide, with the Stewartry hills in the distance. I have seldom seen a truer rendering of the look of an old Scottish town.
‡ The following couple of pages, from Redgauntlet, put in very few words the points of difference between them and the fatally progressive follies and vanities of Edinburgh:—

“’Come away, Mr. Fairford; the Edinburgh time is later than ours,’ said the Provost.
“’And come away, young gentleman,’ said the Laird; ‘I remember your father weel, at the Cross, thirty years ago. I reckon you are as late in Edinburgh as at London; four o’clock hours, eh?’
“’Not quite so degenerate,’ replied Fairford; ‘but certainly many Edinburgh

1 [See the pedigree, below, p. 603.]
2 [Wigtown and Whithorn: Historical and Descriptive Sketches, Stories and Anecdotes (written and published by Gordon Fraser, Wigtown, 1877). In this edition the woodcut is the frontispiece.]
3 [Chapter xi.]
melody and power of tradition consecrating nearly every scene with some past light, either of heroism or religion.

62. And so it chanced, providentially, that at this moment, when my mother’s thoughts dwelt constantly on the past, there should be this child near us,—still truly a child, in her powers of innocent pleasure, but already so accustomed to sorrow, that there was nothing that could farther depress her in my mother’s solitude. I have not time to tell of the pretty little ways in which it came about, but they all ended in my driving to No. 1, Cambridge Street, on the 19th April, 1864: where her uncle (my cousin, John Tweddale) brought her up to the drawing-room to me, saying, “This is Joan.”

I had seen her three years before, but not long enough to remember her distinctly: only I had a notion that she would be “nice,”* and saw at once that she was entirely nice, both in my mother’s way, and mine; being now seventeen years and some—well, for example of accuracy

people are so ill-advised as to postpone their dinner till three, that they may have full time to answer their London correspondents.’

“ ‘London correspondents!’ said Mr. Maxwell; ‘and pray, what the devil have the people of Auld Reekie to do with London correspondents?’

“ ‘The tradesmen must have their goods,’ said Fairford.

“ ‘Can they not buy our own Scottish manufactures, and pick their customers’ pockets in a more patriotic manner?’

“ ‘Then the ladies must have fashions,’ said Fairford.

“ ‘Can they not busk the plaid over their heads, as their mothers did? A tartan screen, and once a year a new cockernony from Paris, should serve a countess; but ye have not many of them left, I think. Mareschal, Airley, Winton, Wemyss, Balmerino—ay, ay, the countesses and ladies of quality will scarce take up too much of your ballroom floor with their quality hoops nowadays.’

“ ‘There is no want of crowding, however, sir,’ said Fairford; ‘they begin to talk of a new Assembly Room.’

“ ‘A new Assembly Room!’ said the old Jacobite Laird. ‘Umph—I mind quartering three hundred men in the Assembly Room you have. But, come, come: I’ll ask no more questions—the answers all smell of new lords, new lands.’

* And the word means more, with me, than with Sydney Smith (see his Memoirs1); but it means all that he does, to begin with.

---

1 [“A nice person is neither too tall or too short, looks clean and cheerful, has no prominent feature, makes no difficulties, is never misplaced, sits bodkin, is never foolishly affected, and is void of affectations,” etc. See “Definition of ‘A Nice Person,’” in Lady Holland’s Memoir of Sydney Smith, 1850, vol. i. pp. 198–199.]
and conscience—forty-five days, old. And I very thankfully took
her hand out of her uncle’s, and received her in trust, saying—I
do not remember just what,—but certainly feeling much more
strongly than either her uncle or she did, that the gift, both to my
mother and me, was one which we should not easily bear to be
again withdrawn. I put her into my father’s carriage at the door,
and drove her out to Denmark Hill.

63. Here is her own account of what followed between my
mother and her:—

“I was received with great kindness by the dear old lady,
who did not inspire me, as she did so many other people, with a
feeling of awe! We were the best of friends, from the first. She,
ever most considerate of what would please me, and make me
happy; and I, (ever a lover of old ladies!) delighted to find it so
easily possible to please her.

“Next morning she said, ‘Now tell me frankly, child, what
you like best to eat, and you shall have it. Don’t hesitate; say
what you’d really like,—for luncheon to-day, for instance.’ I
said, truthfully, ‘Cold mutton, and oysters’; and this became a
sort of standing order (in months with the letter r !)—greatly to
the cook’s amusement.

“Of course I respectfully called the old lady ‘Mrs. Ruskin’;
but in a day or two, she told me she didn’t like it, and would I call
her ‘Aunt’ or ‘Auntie’? I readily did so.

“The days flew in that lovely garden, and as I had only been
invited to stay a week, until Mr. Ruskin should return home.* I
felt miserable when he did come, thinking I must go back to
London streets, and noise; (though I was always very happy with
my good uncle and aunts).

“So, when the last evening came, of my week, I said, with
some hesitation, ‘Auntie, I had better go back to my uncle’s
to-morrow!’

* I must have been going away somewhere the day after I brought her to
Denmark Hill.
“She flung down her netting, and turned sharply round, saying, ‘Are you unhappy, child?’ ‘Oh no!’ said I, ‘only my week is up, and I thought it was time—’

“I was not allowed to finish my sentence. She said, ‘Never let me hear you say anything again about going; as long as you are happy here, stay, and we’ll send for your clothes, and make arrangements about lessons, and everything else here.’

“And thus it came about that I stayed seven years!— till I married; going home now and then to Scotland, but always getting pathetic little letters there, telling me to ‘come back as soon as my mother could spare me, that I was much missed, and nobody could ever fill my place.’ And auntie was very old then (not that she ever could bear being called old, at ninety!), and I could not ever bear the thought of leaving her!”

64. Thus far Joanie; nor virtually have she and I ever parted since. I do not care to count how long it is since her marriage to Arthur Severn; only I think her a great deal prettier now than I did then: but other people thought her extremely pretty then, and I am certain that everybody felt the guileless and melodious sweetness of the face. Her first conquest was almost on our threshold; for half an hour or so after we had reached Denmark Hill, Carlyle rode up the front garden, joyfully and reverently received as always; and stayed the whole afternoon; even (Joan says) sitting with us during our early dinner at five. Many a day after that, he used to come; and one evening, “in describing with some rapture how he had once as a young man had a delightful trip into Galloway, ‘where he was most hospitably entertained in the town of Wigtown by a Mr. Tweddale,’ I (Joan) said quietly, ‘I am so glad! That was my grandfather, and Wigtown is my native place!; He turned in a startled, sudden way, saying, ‘Bless the child, is that so?’ adding some very pretty compliments to my place and its people, which filled my heart with great pride. And, on another occasion, after he had been to
meet the Queen at Dean Stanley’s,\textsuperscript{1} in describing to us some of the conversation, he made us laugh by telling how, in describing to Her Majesty the beauty of Galloway, that ‘he believed there was no finer or more beautiful drive in her kingdom than the one round the shore of the Stewartry, by Gatehouse of Fleet,’ he got so absorbed in his subject that, in drawing his chair closer to the Queen, he at last became aware he had fixed it on her dress, and that she could not move till he withdrew it! Do you think I may say farther” (Of course, Joanie), “that Carlyle as a young man often went to my great-aunt’s (Mrs. Church) in Dumfriesshire; and he has several times told me that he considered her one of the most remarkable and kindest women he had ever known. On one occasion while there, he went to the little Cummertrees Church, where the then minister (as a joke sometimes called ‘Daft Davie Gillespie’) used to speak his mind very plainly from the pulpit, and while preaching a sermon on ‘Youth and Beauty being laid in the grave,’ something tickled Carlyle, and he was seen to smile; upon which Mr. Gillespie stopped suddenly, looked with a frown at Carlyle (who was sitting in my aunt’s pew), and said, ‘Mistake me not, young man; it is youth alone that you possess.’ This was told to me, (Joan), by an old cousin of mine who heard it, and was sitting next Carlyle at the time.”

65. I am so glad to be led back by Joanie to the thoughts of Carlyle, as he showed himself to her, and to me, in those spring days, when he used to take pleasure in the quiet of the Denmark Hill garden, and to use all his influence with me to make me contented in my duty to my mother; which he, as, with even greater insistence, Turner,\textsuperscript{2} always told me was my first;—both of them seeing, with equal clearness, the happiness of the life that was possible to me in merely meeting my father’s affection and

\textsuperscript{1} [This was in 1869: see Froude’s \textit{Carlyle’s Life in London}, vol. ii. p. 379. For Carlyle’s own account of the meeting, see \textit{New Letters of Thomas Carlyle}, 1904, vol. ii. pp. 253–255.]

\textsuperscript{2} [See ii. § 106 (above, pp. 341–2).]
hers, with the tranquil exertion of my own natural powers, in the place where God had set me.

Both at the time, and ever since, I have felt bitter remorse that I did not make Carlyle free of the garden, and his horse of the stables, whether we were at home or not;¹ for the fresh air, and bright view of the Norwood hills, were entirely grateful and healing to him, when the little back garden at Cheyne Row was too hot, or the neighbourhood of it too noisy, for his comfort.

66. And at this time, nearly every opportunity of good, and peace, was granted in Joan’s coming to help me to take care of my mother. She was perfectly happy, herself, in the seclusion of Denmark Hill; while yet the occasional evenings spent at George Richmond’s, or with others of her London friends, (whose circle rapidly widened,) enabled her to bring back to my mother little bits of gossip which were entirely refreshing to both of us; for I used to leave my study whenever Joanie came back from these expeditions, to watch my mother’s face in its glittering sympathy. I think I have said of her before, that although not witty herself, her strong sense gave her the keenest enjoyment of kindly humour, whether in saying or incident;² and I have seen her laughing, partly at Joanie and partly with her, till the tears ran down her still brightly flushing cheeks. Joan was never tired of telling her whatever gave her pleasure, nor of reading to her, in quieter time, the books she delighted in, against which, girls less serenely—nay, less religiously, bred, would assuredly have rebelled,—any quantity, for instance, of Miss Edgeworth and Richardson.

(I interrupt myself for a moment to express, at this)

¹ [A letter to Mr. Allen from the Continent (June 13, 1861) seems to show, however, that Ruskin did in some sort try to do this:—

“Let flowers be taken as often as possible to Mrs. Carlyle, and as soon as the strawberries are ripe and weather nice, let Lucy go over to Chelsea and tell Mrs. Carlyle, and try to persuade her to come with Mr. Carlyle to eat strawberries and fresh cream.

“Mrs. Carlyle has been very ill, and if you can all behave so as to get her to come often and sit in the garden, or Mr. Carlyle to come there and smoke after his rides, I shall be much obliged to you all.”]

² [See above, p. 142.]
latter time of life, the deep admiration I still feel for Richardson.\footnote{[“At this latter time of life”; that is, as well as in the early years, as recorded in ii. § 70 (above, p. 308).]}
The follies of modern novel writing render it impossible for young people to understand the perfection of the human nature in his conception, and delicacy of finish in his dialogue, rendering all his greater scenes unsurpassable in their own manner of art. They belong to a time of the English language in which it could express with precision the most delicate phases of sentiment, necessarily now lost under American, Cockney, or scholastic slang.)

67. Joanie herself had real faculty and genius in all rightly girlish directions. She had an extremely sweet voice, whether in reading or singing; inventive wit, which was softly satirical, but never malicious; and quite a peculiar, and perfect, sense of clownish humour, which never for an instant diminished her refinement, but enabled her to sing either humorous Scotch, or the brightest Christy Minstrel carols, with a grace and animation which, within their gentle limits, could not be surpassed. She had a good natural faculty for drawing also, not inventive, but realistic; so that she answered my \textit{first} lessons with serviceable care and patience; and was soon able to draw and paint flowers which were a great deal liker the flowers themselves than my own elaborate studies;—no one said of them, “What wonderful drawing!” but everybody said, “How like a violet, or a buttercup!” At that point, however, she stayed, and yet stays, to my sorrow, never having advanced into landscape drawing.

But very soon, also, she was able to help me in arranging my crystals; and the day divided itself between my mother’s room, the mineral room, the garden, and the drawing-room, with busy pleasures for every hour.

68. Then, in my favourite readings, the deep interest which, in his period of entirely central power, Scott had taken in the scenery of the Solway, rendered everything that Joanie could tell me of her native bay and its hills,
of the most living interest to me; and although, from my father’s unerring tutorship, I had learned Scott’s own Edinburgh accent with a precision which made the turn of every sentence precious to me, (and, I believe, my own rendering of it thoroughly interesting, even to a Scottish listener,1)—yet every now and then Joanie could tell me something of old, classic, Galloway Scotch, which was no less valuable to me than a sudden light thrown on a chorus in Æschylus would be to a Greek scholar;—nay, only the other day I was entirely crushed by her interpreting to me, for the first time, the meaning of the name of the village of Captain Clutterbuck’s residence,—Kennaquhair.*

69. And it has chiefly been owing to Joan’s help,—and even so, only within the last five or six years,—that I have fully understood the power, not on Sir Walter’s mind merely, but on the character of all good Scotchmen, (much more, good Scotchwomen,) of the two lines of coast from Holy Island to Edinburgh, and from Annan to the Mull of Galloway. Between them, if the reader will glance at any old map which gives rivers and mountains, instead

* “Ken na’ where”! Note the cunning with which Scott himself throws his reader off the scent, in the first sentence of The Monastery, by quoting the learned Chalmers “for the derivation of the word ‘Quhair,’ from the winding course of the stream; a definition which coincides in a remarkable degree with the serpentine turns of the Tweed”! (“It’s a serpentine turn of his own, I think!” says Joanie, as I show her the sentence,) while in the next paragraph he gives an apparently historical existence to “the village of which we speak,” by associating it with Melrose, Jedburgh, and Kelso, in the “splendour of foundation by David I.,” and concludes, respecting the lands with which the king endowed these wealthy fraternities, with a grave sentence, perhaps the most candid ever written by a Scotsman, of the centuries preceding the Reformation: “In fact, for several ages the possessions of these Abbeys were each a sort of Goshen, enjoying the calm light of peace and immunity, while the rest of the country, occupied by wild clans and marauding barons, was one dark scene of confusion, blood, and unremitting outrage.”

1 [“On more than one visit to Brantwood,” says Mr. Wedderburn, “Ruskin read Scott aloud after dinner—quite admirably. The first novel I heard him read was The Fortunes of Nigel, then Quentin Durward, and later The Monastery. He thoroughly enjoyed the reading himself, and delighted in seeing his audience held by the book, and in yielding to (or refusing) their appeal for ‘just one more chapter.’”]
of railroads and factories, he will find that all the highest intellectual and moral powers of Scotland were developed, from the days of the Douglases at Lochmaben, to those of Scott in Edinburgh,—Burns in Ayr,—and Carlyle at Ecclefechan, by the pastoral country, everywhere habitable, but only by hardihood under suffering, and patience in poverty; defending themselves always against the northern Pictish war of the Highlands, and the southern, of the English Edwards and Percys, in the days when whatever was loveliest and best of the Catholic religion haunted still the—then not ruins,—of Melrose, Jedburgh, Dryburgh, Kelso, Dunblane, Dundrennan, New Abbey of Dumfries, and, above all, the most ancient Cave of Whithorn,—the Candida Casa of St. Ninian;\(^1\) while perfectly sincere and passionate forms of Evangelicalism purified and brightened the later characters of shepherd Cameronian life,\(^2\) being won, like all the great victories of Christianity, by martyrdoms, of which the memory remains most vivid by those very shores where Christianity was first planted in Scotland,—Whithorn is, I think, only ten miles south of Wigtown Bay; and in the churchyard of Wigtown, close to the old Agnew burying-ground, (where most of Joanie’s family are laid,) are the graves of Margaret MacLachlan, and Margaret Wilson,\(^3\) over which in rhythm is recorded on little square tombstones the story of their martyrdom.

70. It was only, I repeat, since what became practically my farewell journey in Italy in 1882,\(^4\) that I recovered the train of old associations by re-visiting Tweedside, from Coldstream up to Ashiestiel,\(^5\) and the Solway shores from Dumfries to Whithorn; and while what knowledge I had

---

\(^1\) [See Vol. XXIX. p. 450, and Vol. XXXIII. p. 226.]
\(^2\) [See Scott’s account at close of chapter xxxii. of Waverley with reference to gifted Gilfillan, for whom see Vol. XXXIV. p. 324.]
\(^3\) [“The Martyrs of the Solway” (1667–1685) suffered death by drowning at Bladenoch for refusing to conform to episcopacy. The incident is commemorated in a picture by Millais (1871), now in the Liverpool Gallery.]
\(^4\) [For on the actually last foreign journey, in 1888 (the year before that in which the present chapter was written), Ruskin was only in Italy for a short time.]
\(^5\) [In September 1883: see Fors Clavigera, Letter 92 (“Ashiestiel”), Vol. XXIX. pp. 449 seq.]
of southern and foreign history then arranged itself for final review, it seemed to me that this space of low mountain ground, with the eternal sublimity of its rocky seashores, of its stormy seas and dangerous sands; its strange and mighty crags, Ailsa and the Bass, and its pathless moorlands, haunted by the driving cloud, had been of more import in the true world’s history than all the lovely countries of the South, except only Palestine. In my quite last journey to Venice I was, I think, justly and finally impressed with the sadness and even weakness of the Mediterranean coasts; and the temptation to human nature, there, to solace itself with debasing pleasures; while the very impossibility of either accumulating the treasures, or multiplying the dreams, of art, among those northern waves and rocks, left the spirit of man strong to bear the hardships of the world, and faithful to obey the precepts of Heaven.

71. It is farther strange to me, even now, on reflection—to find how great the influence of this double ocean coast and Cheviot mountain border was upon Scott’s imagination; and how salutary they were in withdrawing him from the morbid German fancies which proved so fatal to Carlyle: but there was this grand original difference between the two, that, with Scott, his story-telling and singing were all in the joyful admiration of that past with which he could re-people the scenery he gave the working part of his day to traverse, and all the sensibility of his soul to love;* while

* Yet, remember, so just and intense is his perception, and so stern his condemnation, of whatever is corrupt in the Scottish character, that while of distinctly evil natures—Varney, Rashleigh, or Lord Dalgarno—he takes world-wide examples,—the unpardonable baseness of so-called respectable or religious persons, and the cruelties of entirely selfish soldiers, are always Scotch. Take for the highest type of Lord Lindsay of The Abbot, and for the worst, Morton in The Monastery, then the terrible, because at first sincere, Balfour of Burleigh in Old Mortality; and in lower kind, the Andrew Fairiservice and MacVittie of Rob Roy, the Peter Peebles of Redgauntlet, the Glossin of Guy Mannering, and the Saddletree of The Heart of Midlothian.

---

1 [In October 1888: see the Introduction, above, p. xxxii.]
2 [See Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 117 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 386).]

xxxv.
Carlyle’s mind, fixed anxiously on the future, and besides embarrassed by the practical pinching, as well as the unconfessed shame, of poverty, saw and felt from his earliest childhood nothing but the faultfulness and gloom of the Present.

It has been impossible, hitherto, to make the modern reader understand the vastness of Scott’s true historical knowledge, underneath its romantic colouring, nor the concentration of it in the production of his eternally great poems and romances. English ignorance of the Scottish dialect is at present nearly total; nor can it be without very earnest effort, that the melody of Scott’s verse, or the meaning of his dialogue, can ever again be estimated. He must now be read with the care which we give to Chaucer; but with the greater reward, that what is only a dream in Chaucer, becomes to us, understood from Scott, a consummate historical morality and truth.

72. The first two of his great poems, *The Lay of the Last Minstrel* and *Marmion*, are the re-animation of Border legends, closing with the truest and grandest battle-piece that, so far as I know, exists in the whole compass of literature;*—the absolutely fairest in justice to both contending nations, the absolutely most beautiful in its conception of both. And that the palm in that conception remains with the Scotch, through the sorrow of their defeat, is no more than accurate justice to the national character, which rose from the fraternal branches of the Douglas of Tantallon and the Douglas of Dunkeld. But,—between Tantallon and Dunkeld,—what moor or mountain is there over which the purple cloud of Scott’s imagination has not wrapt its light, in those two great poems?—followed by the entirely heroic enchantment of *The Lady of the Lake*,

* I include the literature of all foreign languages, so far as known to me: there is nothing to approach the finished delineation and flawless majesty of conduct in Scott’s Flodden.

1 [Compare *Love’s Meinie*, § 125 (Vol. XXV. p. 118).]
dwellling on the Highland virtue which gives the strength of
clanship, and the Lowland honour of knighthood, founded on the
Catholic religion. Then came the series of novels, in which, as I
have stated elsewhere, those which dealt with the history of
other nations, such as Ivanhoe, Kenilworth, Woodstock,
Quentin Durward, Peveril of the Peak, The Betrothed, and The
Crusaders, however attractive to the general world, were
continually weak in fancy, and false in prejudice; but the literally
Scotch novels, Waverley, Guy Mannering, The Antiquary, Old
Mortality, The Heart of Midlothian, The Abbot, Redgauntlet, and
The Fortunes of Nigel, are, whatever the modern world may
think of them, as faultless, throughout, as human work can be:
and eternal examples of the ineffable art which is taught by the
loveliest nature to her truest children.

Now of these, observe, Guy Mannering, Redgauntlet, a great
part of Waverley, and the beautiful close of The Abbot, pass on
the two coasts of Solway. The entire power of Old Mortality
rises out of them, and their influence on Scott is curiously shown
by his adoption of the name “Ochiltree” for his bedesman of
Montrose, coming, not from the near hills, as one at first fancies,
but from the Ochiltree Castle, which in Mercator’s old map of
1637 I find in the centre of the archbishopric, then extending
from Glasgow to Wigtown, and correspondent to that of St.
Andrew’s on the east,—the subordinate bishopric of Candida
Casa, answering to that of Dunkeld, with the bishoprics of the
isles Sura, Mura, and Isla. It is also, Mercator adds in his note,
called the “bishopric of Galloway.”

73. “Even I,” says Joanie, again, “remember old people who
knew the real Old Mortality. He used to come through all the
Galloway district to clean and re-cut the old worn gravestones of
the martyrs; sometimes, I have been told, to the long since
disused kirkyard of Kirkchrist, the place where my great aunt,
Mrs. Church (Carlyle’s

1 [See Fiction, Fair and Foul; and a letter of “Whit Tuesday, 1887,” now printed in
Arrows of the Chace, Vol. XXXIV. p. 607.]
friend, of whom I have spoken 1), began her married life. Kirkchrist is just on the opposite side from Kirkcudbright, overlooking the River Dee.”

I must go back to a middle-aged map of 1773, to find the noble river rightly traced from its source above Kenmure Castle to the winding bay which opens into Solway, by St. Mary’s Isle; where Kirkchrist is marked as Christ K, with a cross, indicating the church then existing.

I was staying with Arthur and Joan, at Kenmure Castle itself in the year 1876, and remember much of its dear people: and, among the prettiest scenes of Scottish gardens, the beautiful trees on the north of that lawn on which the last muster met for King James;2 “and you know,” says Joanie, “the famous song that used to inspire them all, of ‘Kenmure’s on and awa’, Willie!’”* The thoughts come too fast upon me, for before Joanie said this, I was trying to recollect on what height above Solway, Darsie Latimer pauses with Wandering Willie, in whom Scott records for ever the glory,—not of Scottish music only, but of all Music, rightly so called,—which is a part of God’s own creation, becoming an expression of the purest hearts.

74. I cannot pause now to find the spot,† and still less the churchyard in which, at the end of Wandering Willie’s tale, his grandsire wakes;3 but, to the living reader, I have this to say very earnestly, that the whole glory and blessing of these sacred coasts depended on the rise and fall of

* “Lady Huntley plays Scotch tunes like a Highland angel. She ran a set of variations on ‘Kenmure’s on and awa’, which I told her were enough to raise a whole country-side. I never in my life heard such fire thrown into that sort of music.”—Sir Walter writing to his daughter Sophia. Lockhart’s “Life,” vol. iv., page 371 [ed. 1, 1837].
† It is on the highest bit of moor between Dumfries and Annan. Wandering Willie’s “parishine” is only thus defined in Redgauntlet [Letter xi.]—“They ca’ the place Primrose Knowe.”

1 [See above, p. 540.]
2 [It is a local tradition that it was from the bowling-green of Kenmure Castle that Lord Kenmure rode away to take part in the rising of 1715.]
3 [See again Letter xi.]
their eternal sea, over sands which the sunset gilded with its withdrawing glow, from the measureless distances of the west, on the ocean horizon, or veiled in silvery mists, or shadowed with fast-flying storm, of which nevertheless every cloud was pure, and the winter snows blanched in the starlight. For myself, the impressions of the Solway sands are a part of the greatest teaching that ever I received during the joy of youth:—for Turner, they became the most pathetic that formed his character in the prime of life, and the five Liber Studiorum subjects, “Solway Moss,” “Peat Bog, Scotland,” “The Falls of Clyde,” “Ben Arthur,” and “Dunblane Abbey,” remain more complete expressions of his intellect, and more noble monuments of his art, than all his mightiest after work, until the days of sunset in the west came for it also.

75. As Redgauntlet is, in its easily readable form, inaccessible, nowadays, I quote at once the two passages which prove Scott’s knowledge of music, and the strong impression made on him by the scenery between Dumfries and Annan. Hear, first, of Darsie Latimer’s escape from the simplicity of his Quaker friends to the open downs of the coast which had formerly seemed so waste and dreary:—

“The air I breathed felt purer and more bracing. The clouds, riding high upon a summer breeze, drove, in gay succession, over my head, now obscuring the sun, now letting its rays stream in transient flashes upon various parts of the landscape, and especially upon the broad mirror of the distant Firth of Solway.”

A moment afterwards he catches the tune of “Old Sir Thom a Lyne,” sung by three musicians “cosily niched into what you might call a bunker,* a little sandpit, dry and

* This is a modern word, meaning, first, a large chest; then, a recess scooped in soft rock.

1 [For Ruskin’s numerous references to these plates, see the General Index.]
2 [That is, in the original edition in three volumes, with large print.]
3 [This and the following quotations are from Letter x.]
4 [This is Ruskin’s note, and it is curious that he misses Scott’s use of the word from the language of golf: “Furze” is “whins” in Scott.]
snug, surrounded by its banks, and a screen of furze in full
bloom.” Of whom the youngest, Benjie, at first

“somewhat dismayed at my appearance, but calculating on my placability, . . .
among in one breath assured the itinerants that I was ‘a grand gentleman, and
had plenty of money, and was very kind to poor folk,’ and informed me that
this was ‘Willie Steenson, Wandering Willie, the best fiddler that ever kitted
thairm (cat-gut) with horse-hair.’ . . . I asked him if he was of this country.
‘This country!’ replied the blind man, ‘I am of every country in broad
Scotland, and a wee bit of England to the boot. But yet I am in some sense of
this country, for I was born within hearing of the roar of Solway.’ “

76. I must pause again to tell the modern reader that no word
is ever used by Scott in a hackneyed sense. For three hundred
years of English commonplace, roar has rhymed to shore, as
breeze to trees; yet in this sentence the word is as powerful as if
it had never been written till now! for no other sound of the sea is
for an instant comparable to the breaking of deep ocean, as it
rises over great spaces of sand. In its rise and fall on a rocky
coast, it is either perfectly silent, or, if it strike, it is with a crash,
or a blow like that of a heavy gun. Therefore, under ordinary
conditions, there may be either splash, or crash, or sigh, or
boom; but not roar. But the hollow sound of the countless ranks
of surety breakers, rolling mile after mile in ceaseless following,
every one of them with the apparent anger and threatening of a
fate which is assured death unless fled from,—the sound of this
approach, over quicksands, and into inextricable gulfs of
mountain bay, this, heard far out at sea, or heard far inland,
through the peace of secure night—or stormless day, is still an
eternal voice, with the harmony in it of a mighty law, and the
gloom of a mortal warning.

The old man “preluded as he spoke . . . and then taking the old tune of
‘Galashiels’¹ for his theme, he graced it with a number of wild, complicated
and beautiful variations; during which it was wonderful to observe how his
sightless face was lighted up under the conscious pride and heartfelt delight in
the exercise of his own very considerable powers.

“ ‘What think you of that now, for three score and twa?’ “

¹ [See Fors Clavigera, Letter 31 (Vol. XXVII. p. 582).]
IV. JOANNA’S CARE

77. I pause again to distinguish this noble pride of a man of unerring genius, in the power which all his life has been too short to attain, up to the point he conceives of,—from the base complacency of the narrow brain and dull heart, in their own chosen ways of indolence or error.

The feeling comes out more distinctly still, three pages forward, when his wife tells him,

“‘The gentleman is a gentleman, Willie; ye maunna speak that gate to him, hinnie.’ ‘The devil I maunna!’ said Willie,* ‘and what for maunna I? If he was ten gentles, he canna draw a bow like me, can he?’ ”

78. I need to insist upon this distinction, at this time in England especially, when the names of artists, whose birth was an epoch in the world’s history, are dragged through the gutters of Paris, Manchester, and New York, to decorate the last puffs written for a morning concert, or a monthly exhibition. I have just turned out of the house a book in which I am told by the modern picture dealer that Mr. A., B., C., D., or F. is “the Mozart of the nineteenth century”; the fact being that Mozart’s birth wrote the laws of melody for all the world as irrevocably as if they had been set down by the waves of Solway; and as widely as the birth of St. Gregory in the sixth century fixed to its date for ever the establishment of the laws of musical expression. Men of perfect genius are known in all centuries by their perfect respect to all law,

* Joanie tells me she has often heard the fame of the real Wandering Willie spoken of: he was well known in travel from the Border right into Galloway, stopping to play in villages and at all sorts of out-of-the-way houses, and, strangely, succeeded by a blind woman fiddler, who used to come led by a sister; and the chief singing lessons in Joanie’s young days were given through Galloway by a blind man, who played the fiddle to perfection; and his ear was so correct that if in a class of fifty voices one note was discordant, he would stop instantly, tap loudly on the fiddle with the back of his bow, fly to the spot where the wrong note came from, pounce on the person, and say, ‘It was you, and it’s no use denying it; if I can’t see, I can hear!’ and he’d make the culprit go over and over the phrase till it was conquered. He always opened the class with a sweeping scale, dividing off so many voices to each note, to follow in succession.
and love of past tradition; their work in the world is never innovation, but new creation; without disturbing for an instant the foundations which were laid of old time. One would have imagined—at least, any one but Scott would have imagined—that a Scottish blind fiddler would have been only the exponent of Scottish feeling and Scottish art; it was even with astonishment that I myself read the conclusion of his dialogue with Darsie Latimer:

“‘Are ye in the wont of drawing up wi’ all the gangrel bodies that ye meet on the high road, or find cowering in a sand-bunker upon the links?’ demanded Willie.

“‘Oh, no! only with honest folks like yourself, Willie,’ was my reply.

“‘Honest folks like me! How do ye ken whether I am honest, or what I am? I may be the deevil himself for what ye ken; for he has power to come disguised like an angel of light; and besides, he is a prime fiddler. He played a sonata to Corelli, ye ken.’”

79. This reference to the simplest and purest writer of Italian melody being not for the sake of the story, but because Willie’s own art had been truly founded upon him, so that he had been really an angel of music, as well as light to him. See the beginning of the dialogue in the previous page:

“‘Do you ken the Laird?’ said Willie, interrupting an overture of Corelli, of which he had whistled several bars with great precision.”

I must pause again, to crowd together one or two explanations of the references to music in my own writings hitherto, which I can here sum by asking the reader to compare the use of the voice in war, beginning with the cry of Achilles on the Greek wall, down to what may be named as the two great instances of modern choral war-song: the singing of the known Church-hymn* at the

*Psalm,* I believe, rather; but see my separate notes on St. Louis’ Psalter (now in preparation).
Battle of Leuthen\(^1\) (Friedrich, vol. ii. p. 259), in which “five-and-twenty thousand victor voices joined”:

>“Now thank God one and all,
>With heart, with voice, with hands,
>Who wonders great hath done
>To us and to all lands;”—

and, on the counter side, the song of the Marseillaise on the march to Paris,\(^2\) which began the conquests of the French Revolution, in turning the tide of its enemies. Compare these, I say, with the debased use of modern military bands at dinners and dances, which inaugurate such victory as we had at the Battle of Balaclava, and the modern no-Battle of the Baltic, when our entire war fleet, a vast job of ironmongers, retreated, under Sir C. Napier, from before the Russian fortress of Cronstadt.\(^3\)

80. I preface with this question the repetition of what I have always taught,\(^4\) that the Voice is the eternal musical instrument of heaven and earth, from angels down to birds. Half way between them, my little Joanie sang me yesterday, 13th May, 1889, “Farewell, Manchester,”\(^5\) and “Golden Slumbers,” two pieces of consummate melody, which can only be expressed by the voice, and belonging to the group of like melodies which have been, not invented, but inspired, to all nations in the days of their loyalty to God, to their prince, and to themselves. That Manchester has since become the funnel of a volcano, which, not content with vomiting pestilence, gorges the whole rain of heaven,\(^6\) that falls over a district as distant as the ancient Scottish border, —is not indeed wholly Manchester’s fault, nor altogether Charles Stuart’s fault; the beginning of both faults is in the substitution of mercenary armies for the troops of nations

---

\(^1\) [For another reference to this battle, see A Knight’s Faith, ch. xii. (Vol. XXXI. p. 479).]
\(^2\) [Compare Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 48 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 316).]
\(^3\) [See Vol. XXXIV. p. 524, and other references there given.]
\(^4\) [See, for instance, Vol. XXXI. pp. 107–112.]
\(^5\) [See Vol. XXXIV. p. 429.]
\(^6\) [For other references to Thirlmere water-works, see Vol. XIII. p. 517 n., and Vol. XXIX. p. 162.]
led by their kings. Had Queen Mary led, like Zenobia, at Langside;¹ had Charles I. charged instead of Prince Rupert at Naseby; and Prince Edward bade Lochiel follow him at Culloden, we should not to-day have been debating who was to be our king at Birmingham or Glasgow. For the rest I take the bye-help that Fors gives me in this record of the power of a bird’s voice only.*

81. But the distinction of the music of Scotland from every other is in its association with sweeter natural sounds, and filling a deeper silence. As Fors also ordered it, yesterday afternoon, before Joanie sang these songs to me, I had been, for the first time since my return from Venice, down to the shore of my own lake, with her and her two youngest children, at the little promontory of shingle thrown out into it by the only mountain brook on this eastern side, (Beck Leven,) which commands the windings of its wooded shore under Furness Fells, and the calm of its fairest expanse of mirror wave,—a scene which is in general almost melancholy in its perfect solitude; but, when the woods are in their gladness, and the green—how much purer, how much softer than ever emerald!—of their unsullied spring, and the light of dawning summer, possessing alike the clouds and mountains of the west,—it is,

* "An extraordinary scene is to be witnessed every evening at Leicester in the freemen’s allotment gardens, where a nightingale has established itself. The midnight songster was first heard a week ago, and every evening hundreds of people line the roads near the trees where the bird has his haunt. The crowds patiently wait till the music begins, and the bulk of the listeners remain till midnight, while a number of enthusiasts linger till one and two o’clock in the morning. Strange to say, the bird usually sings in a large thorn bush just over the mouth of the tunnel of the Midland main line, but the songster is heedless of noise, and smoke, and steam, his stream of song being uninterrupted for four or five hours every night. So large has been the throng of listeners that the chief constable has drafted a number of policemen to maintain order and prevent damage." —Pall Mall Gazette, May 11th, 1889.

¹ [The battle of Langside, May 13, 1568; fatal to the cause of Mary Queen of Scots: see The Abbot, ch. xxxvii. (compare Vol. XXXIV. p. 381 n.). For Zenobia, see Gibbon, chapter xi.]
literally, one of the most beautiful and strange remnants of all that was once most sacred in this British land,—all to which we owe, whether the heart, or the voice, of the Douglas “tender and true,” or the minstrel of the Eildons, or the bard of Plylimmon, or the Ellen of the lonely Isle,—to whose lips Scott has entrusted the most beautiful Ave Maria that was ever sung, and which can never be sung rightly again until it is remembered that the harp is the true ancient instrument of Scotland, as well as of Ireland.*

* Although the violin was known as early as 1270, and occurs again and again in French and Italian sculpture and illumination, its introduction, in superseding both the voice, the golden bell, and the silver trumpet, was entirely owing to the demoralization of the Spanish kingdom in Naples, of which Evelyn writes in 1644. —The building of the city is, for the size, the most magnificent in Europe. To it belongeth three thousand churches and monasteries, and those best built and adorned of any in Italy. They greatly affect the Spanish gravity in their habit, delight in good horses, the streets are full of gallants on horseback, and in coaches and sedans, from hence first brought into England by Sir Sanders Duncomb; the country people so jovial, and addicted to music, that the very husbandmen almost universally play on the guitar, singing and composing songs in praise of their sweet-hearts, and will commonly go to the field with their fiddle,—they are merry, witty, and genial, all which I attribute to the excellent quality of the air.”

What Evelyn means by the fiddle is not quite certain, since he himself, going to study “in Padua, far beyond the sea,” there learned to play on “ye theorba, taught by Signior Dominico Bassano, who had a daughter married to a doctor of laws, that played and sung to nine several instruments, with that skill and addresse as few masters in Italy exceeded her; she likewise composed divers excellent pieces. I had never seen any play on the Naples viol before.”

---

1 [The references here are to Bishop Gawin Douglas (the translator of Virgil, for whom see Vol. XXXIV. p. 339), whom Ruskin associates with the old song (“O Douglas, O Douglas Tendir and trewe”—The Buke of the Howlat, st. xxxi.); to Thomas of Ercildoune (see Vol. XXXIV. p. 331); to The Bard of Gray: “Mountains, ye mourn in vain
Modred, whose magic song
Made huge Plylimmon bow his cloud-topped head”;
and to The Lady of the Lake, canto iii. 29.]

2 [Compare Fors Clavigera, Letter 83 (Vol. XXIX. p. 259).]

3 [See the Diary for February 8, 1644–1645; and for the passage about the theorba, October 10, 1645.]

4 [The Lay of the Last Minstrel, canto i. 11:—
“He learned the art that none may name,
In Padua, far beyond the sea.”]
I am afraid of being diverted too far from Solway Moss, and must ask the reader to look back to my description of the Spirit of music in the Spanish chapel at Florence ("The Strait Gate," pages 134 and 135\(^1\)), remembering only this passage at the beginning of it, "After learning to reason, you will learn to sing: for you will want to. There is much reason for singing in the sweet world, when one thinks rightly of it. None for grumbling, provided always you have entered in at the strait gate. You will sing all along the road then, in a little while, in a manner pleasant for other people to hear."

82. I will only return to Scott for one half page more, in which he has contrasted with his utmost masterhood the impressions of English and Scottish landscape. Few scenes of the world have been oftener described, with the utmost skill and sincerity of authors,\(^2\) than the view from Richmond Hill sixty years since; but none can be compared with the ten lines in *The Heart of Midlothian*, edition of 1830, page 374:—

"A huge sea of verdure, with crossing and intersecting promontories of massive and tufted groves, was tenanted by numberless flocks and herds, which seemed to wander unrestrained, and unbounded, through the rich pastures. The Thames, here turreted with villas, and there garlanded with forests, moved on slowly and placidly, like the mighty monarch of the scene, to whom all its other beauties were but accessories, and bore on his bosom a hundred barks and skiffs, whose white sails and gaily fluttering pennons gave life to the whole.

"As the Duke of Argyle looked on this inimitable landscape, his thoughts naturally reverted to his own more grand and scarce less beautiful domains of Inveraray. 'This is a fine scene,' he said to his companion, curious perhaps to draw out her sentiments; 'we have nothing like it in Scotland.' 'It's braw rich feeding for the cows, and they have a fine breed o' cattle here,' replied Jeanie; 'but I like just as weel to look at the craigs of Arthur's Seat, and the sea coming in ayont them, as at a' thae muckle trees.'"

83. I do not know how often I have already vainly dwelt on the vulgarity and vainness of the pride in mere

\(^1\) [Ruskin refers to the first edition of *Mornings in Florence*: see now § 101 (Vol. XXIII. p. 393).]

\(^2\) [As, for instance, by James Thomson and Mallet.]
magnitude of timber which began in Evelyn’s *Sylva*, and now is endlessly measuring, whether Californian pines or Parisian towers,—of which, though they could darken continents, and hide the stars, the entire substance, cost, and pleasure are not worth one gleam of leafage in Kelvin Grove, or glow of rowan tree by the banks of Earn, or branch of wild rose of Hazeldean;—but I may forget, unless I speak of it here, a walk in Scott’s own haunt of Rhymer’s Glen,* where the brook is narrowest in its sandstone bed, and Mary Ker stopped to gather a wild rose for me. Her brother, then the youngest captain in the English navy, afterwards gave his pure soul up to his Captain, Christ,—not like banished Norfolk, but becoming a monk in the Jesuits’ College, Hampton.

84. And still I have not room enough to say what I should like of Joanie’s rarest, if not chiefest merit, her beautiful dancing. *Real* dancing, not jumping, or whirling, or trotting, or jigging, but dancing,—like Green Mantle’s in *Redgauntlet*, winning applause from men and gods,

* “Captain Adam Ferguson, who had written, from the lines of Torres Vedras, his hopes of finding, when the war should be over, some sheltering cottage upon the Tweed, within a walk of Abbotsford, was delighted to see his dreams realized; and the family took up their residence next spring at the new house of Toffield, on which Scott then bestowed, at the ladies’ request, the name of *Huntley Burn*;—this more harmonious designation being taken from the mountain brook which passes through its grounds and garden,—the same famous in tradition as the scene of Thomas the Rhymer’s interviews with the Queen of Fairy.

“On completing this purchase, Scott writes to John Ballantyne:—’Dear John,—I have closed with Usher for his beautiful patrimony, which makes me a great laird. I am afraid the people will take me up for coining. Indeed these novels, while their attractions last, are something like it. I am very glad of your good prospects. Still I cry, *Prudence! Prudence!* Yours truly, W. S.’”—Lockhart’s *Life*, vol. iv. page 82 [ed. 1, 1837].

1 [The book is referred to, in much the same connexion, above, pp. 244–245 n. For “magnitude of timber,” see Vol. XXV. pp. 505 n., 507. The point here made by Ruskin is rather implied than expressly enforced in such passages as Vol. VII. p. 19, Vol. XXVII. pp. 491–492, and Vol. XXX. p. 18.]

2 [Ruskin had already mentioned this incident in *Pleasures of England*, § 67 (Vol. XXXIII. p. 462). The walk is mentioned by Ruskin in a letter to his mother of July 4, 1867 (Vol. XXXVI.).]

3 [For the reference to Shakespeare, see *Fors Clavigera*, Letter 25 (Vol. XXVII. p. 459).]

4 [See Letter xii.]
whether the fishermen and ocean Gods of Solway, or the
marchmen and mountain Gods of Cheviot.* Rarest, nowadays,
of all the gifts of cultivated womankind. It used to be said of a
Swiss girl, in terms of commendation, she “prays well and
dances well”; but now, no human creature can pray at the pace of
our common prayers, or dance at the pace of popular
gavottes,—more especially the last; for

*I must here once for all explain distinctly to the most matter-of-fact
reader, the sense in which throughout all my earnest writing of the last twenty
years I use the plural word “gods.” I mean by it, the totality of spiritual
powers, delegated by the Lord of the universe to do, in their several heights, or
offices, parts of His will respecting men, or the world that man is imprisoned in;—not as myself knowing, or in security believing, that there are such, but in
meekness accepting the testimony and belief of all ages, to the presence, in
heaven and earth, of angels, principalities, powers, thrones, and the
like,—with genii, fairies, or spirits ministering and guardian, or destroying or
tempting; or aiding good work and inspiring the mightiest. For all these, I take
the general word “gods,” as the best understood in all languages, and the truest
and widest in meaning, including the minor ones of seraph, cherub, ghost,
wraith, and the like; and myself knowing for an indisputable fact, that no true
happiness exists, nor is any good work ever done by human creatures, but in
the sense or imagination of such presences. The following passage from the
first volume of Fors Clavigera gives examples of the sense in which I most
literally and earnestly refer to them:—

“You think it a great triumph to make the sun draw brown landscapes for
you! That was also a discovery, and some day may be useful. But the sun had
drawn landscapes before for you, not in brown, but in green, and blue, and all
imaginable colours, here in England. Not one of you ever looked at them, then;
not one of you cares for the loss of them, now, when you have shut the sun out
with smoke, so that he can draw nothing more, except brown blots through a
hole in a box. There was a rocky valley between Buxton and Bakewell, once
upon a time, divine as the vale of Tempe; you might have seen the gods there
morning and evening,—Apollo and all the sweet Muses of the Light, walking
in fair procession on the lawns of it, and to and fro among the pinnacles of its
crags. You cared neither for gods nor grass, but for cash (which you did not
know the way to get). You thought you could get it by what the Times calls
‘Railroad Enterprise.’ You enterprised a railroad through the valley, you
blasted its rocks away, heaped thousands of tons of shale into its lovely
stream. The valley is gone, and the gods with it; and now, every fool in Buxton
can be at Bakewell in half-an-hour, and every fool in Bakewell at Buxton;
which you think a lucrative process of exchange, you Fools everywhere!”

1 [Letter 5, § 9 (Vol. XXVII. p. 86).]
however fast the clergymen may gabble, or the choir-boys yowl, their psalms, an earnest reader can always think his prayer, to the end of the verse; but no mortal footing can give either the right accent, or the due pause, in any beautiful step, at the pace of modern waltz or polka music. Nay, even the last quadrille I ever saw well danced, (and would have given half my wits to have joined hands in,) by Jessie and Vicky Vokes, with Fred and Rosina, was in truth not a quadrille, or four-square dance, but a beautifully flying romp. But Joanie could always dance everything rightly,* having not only the brightest light and warmth of heart, but a faultless foot; faultless in freedom—never narrowed, or lifted into point or arch by its boot or heel, but level, and at ease; small, almost to a fault, and in its swiftest steps rising and falling with the gentleness which only Byron has found words for—

“Naked foot,
That shines like snow—and falls on earth as mute.”

* Of right dancing, in its use on the stage, see the repeated notices in *Time and Tide*. Here is the most careful one:—“She did it beautifully and simply, as a child ought to dance. She was not an infant prodigy; there was no evidence, in the finish or strength of her motion, that she had been put to continual torture through half her eight or nine years. She did nothing more than any child, well taught, but painlessly, might do. She caricatured no older person,—attempted no curious or fantastic skill. She was dressed decently,—she moved decently,—she looked and behaved innocently,—and she danced her joyful dance with perfect grace, spirit, sweetness, and self-forgetfulness. And through all the vast theatre, full of English fathers and mothers and children, there was not one hand lifted to give her sign of praise but mine.

“Presently after this came on the forty thieves, who, as I told you, were girls; and there being no thieving to be presently done, and time hanging heavy on their hands, arms, and legs, the forty thief-girls proceeded to light forty cigars. Whereupon the British public gave them a round of applause.

“Whereupon I fell a-thinking; and saw little more of the piece, except as an ugly and disturbing dream.”

---

1. [For this family of dancers and comedians, see the *Dictionary of National Biography*.]
2. [The Corsair, ii. 12.]
3. [*Time and Tide*, § 24 (Vol. XVII. p. 338). For other notices of dancing, see *ibid.*, pp. 352, 357–358; and compare what Ruskin says of Taglioni (above, p. 176).]
The modern artificial ideal being, on the contrary, expressed by
the manner of stamp or tap, as in the Laureate’s line—

“She tapped her tiny silken-sandalled foot.”

From which type the way is short, and has since been traversed
quickly, to the conditions of patten, clog, golosh, and
high-heeled bottines, with the real back of the foot thrown
behind the ankle like a negress’s, which have distressed alike,
and disgraced, all feminine motion for the last quarter of a
century,—the slight harebell having little chance enough of
raising its head,\(^2\) once well under the hoofs of our proud
maidenhood, decorate with dead robins, transfixed
humming-birds, and hot-house flowers,—for its “Wedding
March by Mendelssohn.” To think that there is not enough love
or praise in all Europe and America to invent one other tune for
the poor things to strut to!

85. I draw back to my own home, twenty years ago,
permitted to thank Heaven once more for the peace, and hope,
and loveliness of it, and the Elysian walks with Joanie, and
Paradisiacal with Rosie, under the peach-blossom branches by
the little glittering stream which I had paved with crystal for
them.\(^3\) I had built behind the highest cluster of laurels a
reservoir, from which, on sunny afternoons, I could let a quite
rippling film of water run for a couple of hours down behind the
hayfield, where the grass in spring still grew fresh and deep.
There used to be always a corncrake or two in it. Twilight after
twilight I have hunted that bird, and never once got glimpse of it:
the voice was always at the other side of the field, or in the
inscrutable air or earth. And the little stream had its falls, and
pools, and imaginary lakes. Here and there it laid for itself lines
of graceful sand; there and here it lost itself under beads of
chalcedony. It wasn’t the Liffey,

\(^1\) [The Princess, Prologue, 149.]
\(^2\) [Scott, Lady of the Lake, i. 18: quoted also in Sesame and Lilies, § 94 (Vol. XVIII.
p. 142).]
\(^3\) [See above, p. 317.]
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nor the Nith, nor the Wandel; but the two girls were surely a little cruel to call it “The Gutter”! Happiest times, for all of us, that ever were to be; not but that Joanie and her Arthur are giddy enough, both of them yet, with their five little ones, but they have been sorely anxious about me, and I have been sorrowful enough for myself, since ever I lost sight of that peach-blossom avenue. “Eden—land” Rosie calls it sometimes in her letters. Whether its tiny river were of the waters of Abana,¹ or Euphrates, or Thamesis, I know not, but they were sweeter to my thirst than the fountains of Trevi or Branda.

86. How things bind and blend themselves together! The last time I saw the Fountain of Trevi,² it was from Arthur’s father’s room—Joseph Severn’s, where we both took Joanie to see him in 1872, and the old man made a sweet drawing of his pretty daughter-in-law, now in her schoolroom; he himself then eager in finishing his last picture of the Marriage in Cana,³ which he had caused to take place under a vine trellis, and delighted himself by painting the crystal and ruby glittering of the changing rivulet of water out of the Greek vase, glowing into wine. Fonte Branda⁴ I last saw with Charles Norton,* under

* I must here say of Joanna and Charles Norton this much farther, that they were mostly of a mind in the advice they gave me about my books; and though Joan was, as it must have been already enough seen, a true-bred Jacobite, she curiously objected to my early Catholic opinions as roundly as either Norton or John P. Robinson.⁵ The three of them—

¹ [2 Kings v. 12.]
² [See Plate XIII.; above, p. 276.]
³ [Left among other works unfinished in Severn’s studio, at the time of his death in 1879. A visitor to the studio says of it that it “evinced a touch of genius in representing the transformed water poured from one pitcher at first transparent as crystal, but changing colour in its are, like a rainbow, and descending red into the other. Severn was proud of this idea; but it was characteristic of the man that when he had painted in the miracle, with a few sketchy figures in the background, he abandoned the design for a new memory portrait of Keats at the age of eighteen” (Professor E. S. Robertson, quoted in William Sharp’s Life and Letters of Joseph Severn, p. 303.).]
⁴ [For other reference to this fountain of Siena, celebrated by Dante, see Vol. XVII. p. 551, Vol. XXIII. p. 29, and Vol. XXXII. p. 223.]
⁵ [Of Lowell’s Biglow Papers: “John P. Robinson he Sez they didn’t know everything’ down in Judee.”]
the same arches where Dante saw it. We drank of it together, and walked together that evening on the hills above, where the fireflies among the scented thickets shone fitfully in the still undarkened air.\(^1\) How they shone! moving like fine-broken starlight through the purple leaves. How they shone! through the sunset that faded into thunderous night as I entered Siena three days before, the white edges of the mountainous clouds still lighted from the west, and the openly golden sky calm behind the Gate of Siena’s heart, with its still golden words, “Cor magis tibi Sena pandit,”\(^2\) and the fireflies everywhere in sky and cloud rising and falling, mixed with the lightning, and more intense than the stars.

**BRANTWOOD,**

*June 19th, 1889.*

not counting Lady Trevelyon or little Connie,\(^3\) (all together five opponent powers)—may be held practically answerable for my having never followed up the historic study begun in Val d’Arno, for it chanced that, alike in Florence, Siena, and Rome, all these friends, tutors, or enchantresses were at different times amusing themselves when I was at my hardest work; and many happy days were spent by all of us in somewhat luxurious hotel life, when by rights I should have been still under Padre Tino in the sacristy of Assisi,\(^4\) or Cardinal Agostini at Venice, or the Pope himself at Rome, with my much older friend than any of these, Mr. Rawdon Brown’s perfectly faithful and loving servant Antonio. Of Joanna’s and Connie’s care of me some further history will certainly, if I live, be given in No. VII., “The Rainbows of Giessbach”;\(^5\) of Charles Norton’s visit to me there also.

---

1 [Ruskin refers again to the fireflies, seen at Siena in 1870, in a note added at the end of *Ethics of the Dust* in 1877: see Vol. XVIII. p. 368. A passage from an earlier letter (to his father) may be added:—

   *Pistoja, May 28, 1845.*—I have just come in from an evening walk among the stars and fireflies. One hardly knows where one has got to between them, for the flies flash, as you know, exactly like stars on the sea, and the impression to the eye is as if one was walking on water. I was not the least prepared for their intense brilliancy. They dazzled me like fireworks, and it was very heavenly to see them floating, field beyond field, under the shadowy vines.”]

2 [For this inscription, see Vol. XXIII. p. 27.]

3 [For Miss Constance Hilliard (Mrs. W. H. Churchill), see above, p. 458.]


5 [For another reference to this unwritten chapter, see below, p. 633.]
O hand

How they tied themselves together! The last time I saw the
fountain of Tiber, it was from another father too—Joseph
Severi, where we both took leave to see him, in 1872
—and the old man made a sweet dream of his pretty
doughter in law, who in her memory: he himself took
cause in finishing his last portion of the marriage in Cena,
which he had caught to take place under a moon table
and delighted himself by painting the myrtle branches
flashing in the changing sequence of moon out of the great vase
flushing into eyes. 

Even Bacchus I last saw with

Charles Watkins, whose Dante is in we sighed if it settled
and walked together that evening in the hills about where
the fireflies among the scented thickets burned fitfully in
the still understand air—how the stars moved like firebird
straight through the dark leaves—and how they shone in
though the spacious covert that foamed into thousands might as I entered
Siena, three days before; the white edges of the mountain
clouds still lighted from the west—the dusky golden sky white
seen behind the gates of Siena's breach, with its still golden
wells: "Can imagine the same pandit" and the fireflies
too, with rising and falling, mixed with the lightning and moon

Luci, Lauc

Brantwood—18th June
19th June; 1889.
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PREFACE

The readers of Præterita must by this time have seen that the limits of its design do not allow the insertion of any but cardinal correspondence. They will, of course, also know that during a life like mine, I must have received many letters of general interest, while those of my best-regarded friends are often much more valuable than my own sayings. Of these I will choose what I think should not be lost, which, with a few excerpts of books referred to, I can arrange at odd times for the illustration of Præterita, while yet the subscribers to that work need not buy the supplemental one unless they like. But, for the convenience of those who wish to have both, their form and type will be the same.

The letters will not be arranged chronologically, but as they happen, at any time, to bear on the incidents related in the main text. Thus I begin with some of comparatively recent date, from my very dear friend Robert Leslie, George Leslie’s brother, of extreme importance in illustration of points in the character of Turner to which I have myself too slightly referred. The pretty scene first related in them, however, took place before I had heard Turner’s name. The too brief notes of autobiography left by the quietly skilful and modest painter, the “father who was staying at Lord Egremont’s,” C. R. Leslie, contain the truest and best-written sketches of the leading men of his time that, so far as I know, exist in domestic literature.

J. Ruskin.

Brantwood, 26th June, 1886.
DILECTA
CHAPTER I

“6, MOIRA PLACE, SOUTHAMPTON,
June 7th, 1884.

1. “My father was staying at Lord Egremont’s; it was in September, I believe, of 1832. The sun had set beyond the trees at the end of the little lake in Petworth Park; at the other end of this lake was a solitary man, pacing to and fro, watching five or six lines or trimmers, that floated outside the water lilies near the bank. ‘There,’ said my father, ‘is Mr. Turner, the great sea painter.’ He was smoking a cigar, and on the grass, near him, lay a fine pike. As we came up, another fish had just taken one of the baits, but, by some mischance, this line got foul of a stump or tree root in the water, and Turner was excited and very fussy in his efforts to clear it, knotting together bits of twine, with a large stone at the end, which he threw over the line several times with no effect. ‘He did not care,’ he said, ‘so much about losing the fish as his tackle.’ My father hacked off a long slender branch of a tree and tried to poke the line clear. This also failed, and Turner told him that nothing but a boat would enable him to get his line. Now it chanced that, the very day before, Chantrey, the sculptor, had been trolling for jack, rowed about by a man in a boat nearly all day; and my father, thinking it hard that Turner should lose his fish and a valuable line, started across the park to a keeper’s cottage, where the key of the boathouse was kept. When we returned, and while waiting for the boat, Turner became quite chatty, rigging me a little ship, cut out of a chip, sticking masts into it, and making her sails from a leaf or two torn from a small sketch-book, in which I recollect seeing a memorandum in colour that he had made of the sky and sunset. The ship was hardly ready for sea before the man and boat came lumbering up to the bank, and Turner was busy directing and helping him to recover the line, and, if possible, the fish. This, however, escaped in the confusion. When the line was got in, my father gave the man a couple of shillings for bringing the boat; while Turner, remarking that it was no use fishing any more after the water had been so much disturbed, reeled up his other lines, and, slipping a finger through the pike’s gills, walked off with us toward Petworth House. Walking behind, admiring the great fish, I noticed as

* I have put “sea” in italics, because it is a new idea to me that at this time Turner’s fame rested on his marine paintings—all the early drawings passing virtually without notice from the Art world.
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Turner carried it how the tail dragged on the grass, while his own coattails were but little further from the ground; also that a roll of sketches, which I picked up, fell from a pocket in one of these coat-tails, and Turner, after letting my father have a peep at them, tied the bundle up tightly with a bit of the sacred line. I think he had taken some twine off this bundle of sketches when making his stone rocket apparatus, and that this led to the roll working out of his pocket. My father knew little about fishing or fishing-tackle, and asked Turner, as a matter of curiosity, what the line he had nearly lost was worth. Turner answered that it was an expensive one, worth quite half a crown.

"Turner’s fish was served for dinner that evening; and, though I was not there to hear it, my father told me how old Lord Egremont joked Chantrey much about his having trolled the whole of the day without even a single run, while Turner had only come down by coach that afternoon, gone out for an hour, and brought in this big fish. Sir Francis was a scientific fisherman, and president of the Stockbridge Fishing Club, and, no doubt, looked upon Turner, with his trimmers, as little better than a poacher. Still there was the fish, and Lord Egremont’s banter of Chantrey must have been an intense delight to Turner as a fisherman.

2. “It was about this time that I first went with my father to the Royal Academy upon varnishing days, and, wandering about watching the artists at work, there was no one, next to Stanfield and his boats, that I liked to get near so much as Turner, as he stood working upon those, to my eyes, nearly blank white canvases in their old Academy frames. There were always a number of mysterious little gallipots and cups of colour ranged upon drawing stools in front of his pictures; and, among other bright colours, I recollect one that must have been simple red-lead. He used short brushes, some of them like the writers used by house decorators, working with thin colour over the white ground, and using the brush end on, dapping and writing with it those wonderfully fretted cloud forms and the ripplings and filmy surface curves upon his near water. I have seen Turner at work upon many varnishing days, but never remember his using a maul-stick.* He came, they said, with the carpenters at six in the morning, and worked standing all day.1 He always had on an old, tall beaver hat, worn rather off his forehead, which added much to his look of a North Sea pilot.

(Parenthetic.)

“Have you noticed the sky lately in the north-west when the sun is about a hand’s breadth above the horizon; also just after sunset, when your ‘storm cloud’ has been very marked, remaining like a painted sky, so still, that it might have been photographed over and over again by the slowest of processes?”

* Italics mine. I have often told my pupils, and, I hope, printed for them somewhere,2 that all fine painting involves the play, or sweep, of the arm from the shoulder.

---

1 [Compare what Ruskin says, of Turner’s work on varnishing days, in Modern Painters, vol. v. (Vol. VII. p. 248).]
2 [See Vol. XIX. p. 120 and Vol. XXIV. p. 20.]
(From a following letter):—

3. “The only thing I am not certain about is the exact date of that first sight of Turner. I know that in 1833 I did not go to Petworth, as my father took us all to America in the autumn of that year, returning again in the spring of ’34; and I am inclined to think that the scene in the park, which I tried to describe, must have taken place in the September of ’34. I remember it all as though it were yesterday; I must then have been eight years old. I was always with my father, and we spent every autumn at Petworth for many years, both before and after then. I did not think it worth mentioning, but I had been allowed to spend the whole of the day before with Sir Francis Chantrey in that boat, and recollect his damning the man very much, once during the day, for pulling ahead rather suddenly, whereby Sir Francis, who was standing up in the boat, was thrown upon his back in the bottom of her—no joke for such a heavy man.

“I think the foundation of the ship was a mere flat bit of board or chip, cut out for me by my father, and that Constable, the artist, had stuck a sail in it for me some days before (he was also at Petworth). I must have mentioned this to Turner, as I have a recollection of his saying, as he rigged it, ‘Oh, he don’t know anything about ships,’ or ‘What does he know about ships? this is how it ought to be,’ sticking up some sails which looked to my eyes really quite ship-shape at that time.

4. “I saw Turner painting at the R. A. on more than one varnishing day, as my father took me with him for several years in succession. Every academician, in those good old times of many varnishing days, was allowed to take an assistant or servant with him, to carry about and clean his brushes, etc.; and my father and others always took their sons. This went on for some years, and I recollect my disappointment when my father told me he could not take me any more, as there had been a resolution passed at a council meeting against the custom. I know that most of the pictures which I saw Turner working upon, just as I have described to you, were the Venetian subjects. Mr. Turner was always rather pleasant and friendly with me, on account, I think, of my love of the sea. I have been to his house in Queen Anne Street many times with my father, and recollect once that he took us into his dining-room and uncorked a very fine old bottle of port for us. I was much older then, perhaps fifteen or sixteen. I can never of course forget a few kind words which he spoke to me when I was myself an exhibitor at the R. A.1 My picture was a scene on the deck of a ship of two sailors chaffing a passenger, called ‘A Sailor’s Yarn.’ Turner came up to the picture, and after looking at it for a minute, said, ‘I like your colour.’ I have the picture now, and always think of him when I look at it.

“I have written all this in great haste to answer your questions, dear Mr. Ruskin; and am sorry I have so little to tell, and that I am obliged to bring myself forward so much in the matter.

5. “I have often thought that Turner went out to catch that pike because he knew that Chantrey had been unsuccessful the day before.

“I don’t know whether you were ever a fisherman; if you were, you would understand the strange fascination that the water has from which you snatched your first fish, after feeling the tug and sweep of it upon the line. Now the lake in Petworth Park had that fascination for my early fishy mind. Most boys’ minds are very fishy, and shooty too,* as you have pointed out, and I was no exception; but I was always intensely boaty as well, caring less for rowing than sailing; and when I could not get afloat myself, I was never tired, even as a big boy, of doing so in imagination in any form of toy sailing-boat I could devise or get hold of. Hence it was that when I saw Turner’s fish upon the grass, and was told that he was a sea painter, I looked upon him at once as something to fall down and worship—a man who could catch a big fish, and paint sea and boats! My father, though he had much of the backwoodsman in his nature, and could make himself a bootjack in five minutes when he had mislaid or lost his own, was no sportsman, and cared little for boating beyond taking a shilling fare sometimes from Hungerford Stairs in a wherry.

6. “As to my recollections of Turner upon the varnishing days, you must bear in mind that, as I had been used to spend from a child many hours a day in a painting-room, I never recollect a time when I was not well up in all matters relating to paint and brushes; and the first thing that struck me about Turner, as he worked at the R. A., was, that his way of work was quite unlike that of the other artist; and it had at once a great interest for me, so that I believe I watched him often for long spells at a time. I noticed, as I think I told you, that his brushes were few, looked old, and that among them were some of those common little soft brushes in white quill used by house-painters for painting letters, etc., with. His colours were mostly in powder, and he mixed them with turpentine, sometimes with size, and water, and perhaps even with stale beer, as the grainers do their umber when using it upon an oil ground, binding it in with varnish afterwards; this way of painting is fairly permanent, as one knows by the work known to them as wainscotting or oak-graining. Besides red-lead, he had a blue which looked very like ordinary smalt; this, I think, tempered with crimson or scarlet lake, he worked over his near waters in the darker lines. I am almost sure that I saw him at work on the Téméraire, and that he altered the effect after I first saw it. In fact, I believe he worked again on this picture in his house long after I first saw it in the R. A. I remember Stanfield at work too, and what a contrast his brushes and whole manner of work presented to that of Turner.

7. “My brother George tells me to-day that he too has seen Turner at work, once at the R.A., and describes him as seeming to work almost

* Dear Leslie, might we not as well say they were bird’s-nesty or dog-fighty? Really useful fishing is not play; and to watch a trout is indeed, whether for boy or girl, greater pleasure than to catch it, if they did but know!
with his nose close to the picture. He says that the picture was that one of the railway engine coming towards us at full speed. 1 But my brother is nearly ten years younger than I am. Turner was always full of little mysterious jokes and fun with his brother artists upon these varnishing days; and my father used to say that Turner looked upon them as one of the greatest privileges of the Academy. It is such a pleasure to me to think that I can be of any use to you, that I have risked sending this after my other letters. I have always been a man more or less of lost opportunities, and when living some fifteen years ago at Deal one occurred to me that I have never ceased to regret. My next-door neighbour was an old lady of the name of Cato; her maiden name was White; and she told me that she knew Turner well as a young man, also the young lady he was in love with. She spoke of him as being very delicate, and said that he often came to Margate for health. She seemed to know little of Turner as the artist. I cannot tell you how much I regret now not having pushed my inquiries further at that time; but twenty years ago I was more or less an unregenerate ruffian in such matters; and though I have always felt the same for Turner as the artist, I cared little to know much more than I remembered myself of him as a man.

"Trust you will forgive the haste again of this letter,

"Believe me, dear Mr. Ruskin,

"Yours faithfully,

"ROBT. LESLIE."

8. "Out of many visits to the house in Queen Anne Street, I never saw or was admitted to Turner’s working studio, though he used to pop out of it upon us, in a mysterious way, during our stay in his gallery, and then leave us again for a while. In fact, I think my father had leave to go there when he pleased. I particularly remember one visit, in company with my father and a Yankee sea captain, to whom Turner was very polite, evidently looking up to the sailor capacity, and making many little apologies for the want of ropes and other details about certain vessels in a picture. No one knew or felt, I think, better than Turner the want of these mechanical details, and while the sea captain was there he paid no attention to any one else, but followed him about the gallery, bent upon hearing all he said. As it turned out, this captain and he became good friends, for the Yankee skipper’s eyes were sharp enough to see, through all the fog and mystery of Turner, how much of real sea feeling there was in him and his work. Captain Morgan, who was a great friend of Dickens, 2 my father, and many other artists, used to send Turner a box of cigars almost every voyage after that visit to Queen Anne Street.

9. "Nothing I can ever do or write for you would repay the good you have done for me and mine in your books; and will you allow me to say, that in reading them I am not (much as I admire it) carried actually off my legs by your style, but that I feel more and more, each day I live,

1 ["Rain, Steam, and Speed"; No. 538 in the National Gallery; exhibited at the Academy in 1844.]

2 [He is mentioned in the Letters of Charles Dickens, vol. ii. pp. 136, 143. He was the original of “Captain Jorgan” in the Christmas number of 1860 (written jointly by Dickens and Wilkie Collins).]
the plain practical truth of all you tell us. I cannot bear to hear people talk and write as they do of your style, and your being the greatest master of it, etc., while they sneer at the matter, etc. Nothing lowers the present generation of what are called clever men more to me than this" (nay, is not their abuse of Carlyle’s manner worse than their praise of mine?). "I am rather thankful, even, that my best friends here do not belong to this class, being mostly pilots, sea captains, boat-builders, fishermen, and the like.

"I shall, in a day or two, be with my mother at Henley-on-Thames, and if I learn anything more from her about Turner, will let you know. She is now eighty-four, but writes a better letter, in a finer hand, without glasses, than I can with them."

10.     “6, MOIRA PLACE, SOUTHAMPTON,
         “June 25th, 1884.

“DEAR MR. RUSKIN,—I have before me the engraving by Wilmore of the Téméraire. I think it was Stanfield who told me that the rigging of the ship in this engraving was trimmed up and generally made intelligible to the engraver by some mechanical marine artist or other. I am not sure now who, but think it was Duncan; whether or no, the rigging is certainly not as Turner painted it; while the black funnel of the tug in the engraving is placed abaft her mast or flagpole, instead of before it, as in Turner’s picture; his first, strong, almost prophetic idea of smoke, soot, iron, and steam, coming to the front in all naval matters, being thus changed and, I venture to think, weakened by this alteration. You most truly told us years ago that ‘Take it all in all, a ship of the line is the most honourable thing that man, as a gregarious animal, has ever produced.’ I shall not therefore hesitate to ask you to put on your best spectacles and look for a moment at the enclosed photograph, which I have had taken for you from a model of the Téméraire, which we have here now in a sort of museum. The model is nearly three feet long, and belonged to an old naval man; it was made years ago by the French prisoners in the hulks at Portsmouth out of their beef-bones! Even if we were at war with France, and had the men and ships likely to do it, it would be impossible to catch any prisoners now who could make such a ship as this out of anything, much less of beef-bones; and as I foresee that this lovely little ship must soon, in the nature of things, pass away (some unfeeling brute has already robbed her of all her boats), and that there will be no one living able to restore a rope or spar rightly once they are broken or displaced in her, I felt it almost a duty to have this record taken and to send you a copy of it. I focussed the camera myself, but there is, unavoidably, some exaggeration of the length of her jibboom and flying-jibboom. These spars, however, in old ships really measured, together with the bowsprit, nearly the length of the foremost from deck to truck. In fact, the bowsprit, with its spritsail and spritsail-topsailyards, formed a sort of fourth mast.

11. “I have just returned from a visit to my dear old mother at Henley, and she told me of how Turner came up to our house one evening

1 [See Harbours of England, Vol. XIII. p. 28.]
by special appointment to sup upon Welsh rabbit (toasted cheese). This must have
been about the year 1840 or '41, as it was at the time my father was engaged upon a
portrait of Lord Chancellor Cottenham; and during the evening Turner went into the
painting-room, where the robes, wig, etc., of the Chancellor were arranged upon a
lay-figure; and, after a little joking, he was persuaded to put on the Lord Chancellor’s
wig, in which, my mother says, Turner looked splendid, so joyous and happy, too, in
the idea that the Chancellor’s wig became him better than any one else of the party.

"I must have been away from home then, I think in America, for I never should
have forgotten Turner being at our house; and this, I believe, is the only time he ever
was there.

"Turner, my father, and the Yankee captain were excellent friends about this time,
as the captain took a picture of Turner’s to New York which my father had been
commissioned to buy for Mr. Lenox. There used to be a story, which I daresay you
have heard, of how Turner was one day showing some great man or other round his
gallery, and Turner’s father looked in through a half-open door and said, in a low
voice, ‘That ‘ere’s done,’ and that Turner taking no apparent notice, but continuing to
attend his visitor, the old man’s head appeared again, after an interval of five or six
minutes, and said, in a louder tone, ‘That ‘ere will be spoiled.’ I think Landseer used to
tell this story as having happened when he and one of his many noble friends were
going the round of Turner’s gallery about the time that Turner’s chop or steak was
being cooked."

"MY DEAR MR. RUSKIN,—After sending you that photograph of the Téméraire, it
occurred to me to see if I could find out anything about the ship or her building in an
old book I have (Charnock’s Marine Architecture), and I was surprised to find there,
in a list of ships in our navy between the years 1700 and 1800, TWO ships of that
name—one a seventy-four, taken from the French in 1759, the other a ninety-eight
gun ship, built at Chatham in 1798. This made me look again at Mr. Thornbury’s
account of the ship and her title,2 and leads me to doubt three things he has stated: first,
that the ship (if she was the French Téméraire) ‘had no history in our navy before
Trafalgar’; secondly, that ‘she was taken at the battle of the Nile’; and, thirdly, that the
Téméraire which fought at Trafalgar was French at all.

"The model we have here, and which has the name Téméraire carved upon her
stern, is a ninety-eight gun ship, and would be the one built at Chatham in 1798. But
what I am driving at, and the point to which all this confusion leads, is, that after all,
perhaps, dear old Turner was perfectly right in his first title for his picture of ‘The
Fighting Téméraire,’

1 [The picture was a sunset view of Staffa, and Mr. Lenox complained that the
picture was ‘indistinct.’ “You should tell him,” said Turner to Leslie, “that
indistinctness is my forte”: see C. R. Leslie’s Autobiographical Recollections, 1860,
vol. i. pp. 206–207.]
2 [See ch. xlii. in Thornbury’s Life of Turner, 2nd ed., 1877.]
for if she was the old seventy-four gun ship (and in the engraving she looks like a two-decker) that he saw being towed to the ship-breaker’s yard, she, having been in our navy for years, may have been distinguished among sailors from the other and newer Téméraire by that name; while it is significant (if true) that Turner, when he reluctantly gave up his title, said, ‘Well, then, call her the Old Téméraire.’

13. “Thornbury’s book, which I have not seen since it was published until I borrowed it a few days back, appears to me a sort of hashed-up life of Brown, Jones, and Robinson, with badly done bits of Turner floating about in it. I have copied the passage from it referring to the Téméraire upon a separate sheet, also the history of the capture of the French Téméraire from the Gentleman’s Magazine.

“I have only now to add, in answer to your last and kindest of notes, that I read French in a bumbly sort of way, like a French yoke of oxen dragging a load of stone uphill upon a cross road, but that my wife reads it easily. Twice, dear Mr. Ruskin, you have said, ‘Is it not strange you should have sent me something about Turner just as I was employing a French critic to write his life?’ Now, I believe that nothing is really strange between those where on the one side there is perfect truth and honesty of purpose, and on the other faith in, and love and reverence for, that purpose.

“Forgive me if I have said too much; and believe me, yours faithfully and affectionately,

“ROBT. C. LESLIE.”

14. EXTRACT FROM A LIST OF SHIPS IN OUR NAVY BETWEEN THE YEARS 1700 AND 1800.

“Téméraire, 1685 tons, 74 guns, taken from the French, 1759.
Téméraire, 2121 tons, 98 guns, built at Chatham, 1798.”
Charnock’s Marine Architecture (1802).

“Saturday, Sept. 15th, 1759, Admiral Boscawen arrived at Spithead with His Majesty’s ships, Namur, etc., and the Modeste and Téméraire, prizes. The Téméraire is a fine seventy-four gun ship, forty-two-pounders below, eight fine brass guns abaft her mainmast, ten brass guns on her quarter, very little hurt.”
Gentleman’s Magazine, September, 1759.

HOW THE OLD TÉMÉRAIRE WAS TAKEN

Extract of a letter from Admiral Boscawen to Mr. Cleveland, Secretary of the Admiralty, dated off Cape St. Vincent, August 20th, 1759—

“I acquainted you in my last of my return to Gibraltar to refit. As soon as the ships were near ready, I ordered the Lyme and Gibraltar frigates, the first to cruise off Malaga, and the last from Estepona to Ceuta Point, to look out, and give me timely notice of the enemy’s approach. On the 17th, at 8 P.M., the Gibraltar made the signal of their appearance, fourteen sail, on the Barbary shore. . . . I got under sail as fast as possible, and was out of the bay before 10 P.M., with fourteen sail of the line. At daylight I saw the Gibraltar, and soon after seven sail of large ships lying to; but on our not answering their signals

1 [M. Chesneau: see Vol. XIII. p. lvi.]
DILECTA

they made sail from us. We had a fresh gale, and came up with them fast till about noon, when it fell little wind. About half an hour past two some of the headmost ships began to engage, but I could not get up to the Ocean till near four. In about half an hour my ship the Namur’s mizen-mast and both topsail-yards were shot away; the enemy then made all the sail they could. I shifted my flag to the Newark, and soon after the Centaur, of seventy-four guns, struck.

15. “I pursued all night, and in the morning of the 19th saw only four sail of the line standing in for the land. . . . We were not above three miles from them, and not above five leagues from the shore, but very little wind. About nine the Ocean ran amongst the breakers, and the three others anchored. I sent the Intrepid and America to destroy the Ocean. Capt. Pratten, having anchored, could not get in; but Capt. Kirk performed that service alone. On his first firing at the Ocean she struck. Capt. Kirk sent his officers on board. M. de la Clue, having one leg broke, and the other wounded, had been landed about half an hour; but they found the captain, M. Le Comte de Carne, and several officers and men on board; Capt. Kirk, after taking them out, finding it impossible to bring the ship off, set her on fire. Capt. Bentley, of the Warspite, was ordered against the Téméraire, of seventy-four guns, and brought her off with little damage, the officers and men all on board. At the same time, Vice-Admiral Broderick, with his division, burnt the Redoubtable, her officers and men having quitted her, being bulged; and brought the Modest, of sixty-four guns, off very little damaged. I have the pleasure to acquaint their Lordships, that most of His Majesty’s ships under my command sailed better than those of the enemy.” . . .

From the Gentleman’s Magazine for September, 1759.¹

“I could not resist copying this letter in full.—R. L.”

16. “I have just read the appendix to your Art of England, and was particularly interested in the account of how you felt that cold south-west wind up in Lancashire.² This is the second, if not third season, that we have remarked them here in the south of England, though I think the south-westers of this spring were more bitter than usual. I told you, I believe, that my wife and I started away for Spain this April. Now, on all this journey, down the west coast of France, across the north of Spain, to Barcelona, in lat. 41º, and up through Central France again, I watched and noted day by day the same strange sky that we have with us, the same white sun, with that opaque sheet about him, or else covered by dark dull vapours, from which now and then something fell in unexpected drops, followed by still more unexpected clearings-ups. There were one or two days of intense sunshine, followed always by bad pale sunsets, and often accompanied by driving storms of wind and dust. But, returning to the cold south-westers, I don’t suppose you care much for the why of them, even if I am right, which is, that I think we owe them to the very great and early break up for the last year or two of the northern ice, which in the western ocean was met with before March this year, several steamers being in collision with it, while one report from Newfoundland spoke of an iceberg aground there I am afraid to say how many miles

* Yes; but what makes the ice break up? I think the plague-wind blows every way, everywhere, all round the world.—J. R.

¹ [Vol. 29, p. 435.]
² [See Vol. XXXIII. p. 399.]
long and over a hundred feet high. Now, when I was young (I am fifty-eight), and a
good deal upon that sea, it was always thought that there was no chance of falling in
with ice earlier than quite the end of May, and this was exceptional, the months of July
and August being the ice-berg months. (I have seen a large one off the Banks in
September.) This early arrival of the northern ice seems to show that the mild winters
have extended up even into the Arctic Circle, and points to some real increase in the
power or heat of the sun.*

*I have many things I should like to talk over with you, but fear that will never be,
unless you are able to come some time and have a few days’ rest and boating with me.”

* I don’t believe it a bit. I think the sun’s going out.—J. R.
CHAPTER II

17. MR. LESLIE’S notes on the Téméraire and her double have led to some farther correspondence respecting both this ship and Nelson’s own, which must still take precedence of any connected with the early numbers of Præterita.

“DEAREST MR. RUSKIN—Mr. W. Hale white, of the Admiralty, has, as you will see, written to me about the Téméraires, and I thought you ought to know what he has to say on the subject, especially that postscript to his note about placing some short history of the ship under Turner’s picture. Also the fact of the old French ship being sold in the year 1784, when there could have been no tugs on the river, and when Turner was only nine years old, seems to settle the point as to which of the two ships it was, in favour of ‘the English Téméraire.’ Still, as boyish impressions in a mind like Turner’s must have been very strong, it is just possible that he may have seen the last of both ships when knocking about the Thames below London.

“In the picture, as I said before, the ship is a two-decker, and her having her spars and sails bent to the yards looks very like a time before steam, when a hulk without some kind of jury-rig would be almost useless, even to a ship-breaker, if he had to move her at all.

“Ever affectionately,
“ROBT. C. LESLIE.”

18. “ADMIRALTY, WHITEHALL, S.W.,
20th November, 1886.

“DEAR SIR,—I see in Mr. Ruskin’s Dilecta a letter of yours about the Téméraire. Perhaps you will like to know the facts about the two vessels you name.

“The Téméraire taken by Admiral Boscawen from the French in 1759 was sold in June 1784.

“The Téméraire which Turner saw was consequently the second Téméraire. She was fitted for a prison ship at Plymouth in 1812. In 1819 she became a receiving ship, and was sent to Sheerness. There she remained till she was sold in 1838.

“What Mr. Thornbury means by ‘the grand old vessel that had been taken prisoner at the Nile’ I do not know. I may add that it cannot be ascertained now, at any rate without prolonged search amongst documents
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in the Record Office, whether the second Téméraire was sold ‘all standing,’ that is to say, with masts and yards as painted; but it is very improbable, as she had been a receiving ship, that her masts and yards were in her when she left the service.

“Truly yours,

W. HALE WHITE."

“R. C. LESLIE, ESQ.

“It seems to me a pity, considering the importance of the picture, that the truth about the subject of it should not somewhere be easily accessible to everybody who cares to know it—say upon the picture-frame. I would undertake to put down in tabular form the principal points in the vessel’s biography, if it were thought worth while.”

I should at all events be most grateful if Mr. Hale White would furnish me with such abstract, as, whether used in the National Gallery or not, many people would like to have it put beneath the engraving."

1 [For whom, see Vol. XXIX. p. 80.]

2 [This abstract was duly supplied by Mr. Hale White in a subsequent letter, which Ruskin put into type but did not include in Dilecta. He sent it to Mr. Cook for use in his Popular Handbook to the National Gallery, where it appeared in an abbreviated form in the notes to the picture (No. 524). Mr. Hale White’s letter is here printed in extenso from a proof found among Ruskin’s papers:—]

“PARK HILL, CARSHALTON, SURREY,

12th December, 1886.

MY DEAR MR. RUSKIN,—Mr. Leslie tells me you would like a note on the history of the Téméraire, and here it is.

Please allow me to call your attention to what I have said about her being jury-rigged at the time she was sold. The beakhead peculiarity appears in the original drawing of the vessel exactly as Turner has painted it. If you print what I have sent you, will you kindly let me see a proof, as some of the technical terms are a little unusual, and my writing, as I get older, is not so plain as it used to be?

With sincerest wishes for your health and happiness, faithfully and affectionately yours,

W. HALE WHITE.

JOHN RUSKIN, ESQ."

“The Téméraire, second rate, ninety-eight guns, was begun at Chatham, July 1793, and launched on the 11th September 1798.

She was named after an older Téméraire taken by Admiral Boscawen from the French in 1759, and sold in June 1784.

The Chatham Téméraire was fitted at Plymouth for a prison ship in 1812, and in 1819 she became a receiving ship and was sent to Sheerness. She was sold on the 16th August 1838, to Mr. J. Beatson, for £5530.

The Téméraire was at the battle of Trafalgar on the 21st October 1805. She was next to the Victory, and followed Nelson into action; commanded by Captain Eliab Harvey, with Thomas Kennedy as first lieutenant. Her main topmast, the
In a subsequent note from Mr. Leslie about the pike fishing at Lord Egremont’s, he gives me this little sketch of the way Turner rigged his ship for him with leaves torn out of his sketch book.

19. The following note, also from Mr. Leslie, with its cutting from St. James’s Gazette; and the next one, for which I am extremely grateful, on the words “dickey” and “deck,” bear further on Turner’s meaning in the little black steamer which guides the funeral march of the line of battle ship,—and foretell the time now come when ships head of her mizenmast, her foreyard, her starboard cathead and bumpkin, and her fore and main topsail yards were shot away; her fore and main masts so wounded as to render them unfit to carry sail, and her bowsprit shot through in several places. Her rigging of every sort was cut to pieces; the head of her rudder was taken off by the fire of the Redoubtable; eight feet of the starboard side of the lower deck abreast of the mainmast were stove in, and the whole of her quarter galleries on both sides carried away. Forty-six men on board of her were killed, and seventy-six wounded.

“It was Lieutenant Kennedy who captured the Fougueux. The Fougueux fouled the Téméraire, whereupon the Téméraire immediately lashed the two vessels together. Kennedy, accompanied by James Arscott, master’s mate, and Robert Holgate, midshipman, with twenty seamen and six marines then jumped on board, and in ten minutes the Fougueux was taken.

“The Téméraire was built with a beakhead, or, in other words, her upper works were cut off across the catheads; a peculiarity which can be observed in Turner’s picture. It was found by experience in the early part of the French war that this mode of construction exposed the men working the guns to the enemy’s fire, and it was afterwards abandoned.

“It has been objected that the masts and yards in the picture are too light for a ninety-eight gun ship; but the truth is that when the vessel was sold she was jury-rigged as a receiving ship, and Turner therefore was strictly accurate. He might have seemed more accurate by putting heavier masts and yards in her; but he painted her as he saw her. This is very important, as it gets rid of the difficulty which I myself have felt and expressed, that it was very improbable that she was sold all standing in sea-going trim, as I imagined Turner intended us to believe she was sold, and answers also the criticism just mentioned as to the disproportion between the weight of the masts and yards and the size of the hull.”

In a further letter (December 17) Mr. Hale White added: “Part of the Téméraire is still in existence. Messrs. Castle, the shipbreakers of Millbank, have the two figures which supported the stern-gallery.”]
have neither masts, sails, nor decks, but are driven under water with their crews under hatches.

“DEAREST MR. RUSKIN,—I have just finished ‘The State of Denmark,’ which is delightful, especially the story of the row of expectant little pigs.¹ They are wonderful animals—our English elephant I think as to mental capacity. But they always have an interest to me above other edible live stock, in the way they make the best of life on shipboard; and when you can spare time to look at the enclosed little paper of mine, you will find that others have found their society cheerful.

¹I have been reading all the old sea voyages I can get hold of lately, with a view to learn all I can about the way they handled their canvas in the days of sails (for my Sea—Wings),² and I come constantly across the pig on board ship in such books. For some reason or other, sailors don’t care to have parsons on board ship. This perhaps dates back to time of Jonah; and your passages in this Præterita, in which you describe and dispose of the teaching of some modern ones,³ are quite perfect, and in your ‘making short work’ best style.

“Ever yours affectionately,

ROBT. C. LESLIE.”

20. “In smaller vessels, carrying no passengers, pigs and goats were seldom home—fed; but were turned loose to cater for themselves among the odds and ends in the waist or deck between the poop and forecastle. Some of the poultry, too, soon became tame enough to be allowed the run of this part of a ship; the ducks and geese finding a particular pleasure in paddling in the wash about the lee scuppers. Pigs have always proved a thriving stock on a ship-farm, and the one that pays the best. Some old skippers assert, indeed, that, like Madeira, pig is improved greatly by a voyage to India and back round the Cape; and that none but those who have tasted boiled leg of pork on board a homeward-bound Indiaman know much about the matter. But here also, as in so many other things, there was a drawback. Pigs are such cheerful creatures at sea that, as an old soft-hearted seaman once remarked, you get too partial towards them, and feel after dinner sometimes as though you had eaten an old messmate. Next to the pig the goat was the most useful stock on a seafarm. This animal soon makes itself at home on shipboard; it has good sea-legs, and is blessed with an appetite that nothing in the shape of vegetable fibre comes amiss to, from an armful of shavings from the carpenter’s berth to an old newspaper. Preserved milk was, of course, unknown in those times, and the officers of a large passenger-ship would rather have gone to sea without a doctor (to say nothing of a parson) than without a cow or some nanny-goats. Even on board a man-of-war the admiral or captain generally had at least one goat for his own use, while space was found for live stock for other ward-room officers. But

¹[See above, p. 392.]
²[For this book, see Vol. XXXIII. p. 218 n.]
³[See above, pp. 387, 388.]
model-farming and home-feeding was the rule then as now in a King’s ship; and it is related that, on board one of these vessels, the first lieutenant ordered the ship’s painter to give the feet and bills of the admiral’s geese that were stowed in coops upon the quarter-deck a coat of black once a week, so that the nautical eye might not be offended by any intrusion of colour not allowed in the service.

“The general absence of colour among real sea-fowl is very marked; and when, as it sometimes happened, a gay rooster escaped overboard after an exciting chase round the decks with Jemmy Ducks, and fluttered helplessly down upon the bosom of the sea, his glowing plumage looked strangely out of harmony with things as he sat drifting away upon the waste of waters.”

22. “BERKELEY, GLOUCESTERSHIRE,
    Oct. 29th, 1886.

“MY DEAR SIR,—I notice in the first chapter of Præterita1 that you profess yourself unable to find out the derivation of the word ‘dickey’ as applied to the rumble of a carriage.

“At the risk of being the hundredth or so who has volunteered the information, I send you an extract from Dr. Brewer’s Dictionary of Phrase and Fable:—

    ‘Dickey.—The rumble behind a carriage; also a leather apron, a child’s bib, and a false shirt or front. Dutch dekken, Germ. decken, Sax. thecan, Lat. tegō, to cover.’

    ‘I suppose that the word ‘deck’ has its derivation from the same source.
    “Sincerely hoping that you may be speedily restored to health,
    “I am, dear Sir,
    “Yours very faithfully,
    “HERBERT E. COOKE.”

23. The following extract from a letter written to his sister by a young surgeon on board the Victory, gives more interesting lights on Nelson’s character than I caught from all Southey’s Life of him:—

    “On my coming on board I found that the recommendation which my former services in the Navy had procured for me from several friends, had conciliated towards me the good opinion of his lordship and his officers, and I immediately became one of the family. It may amuse you, my dear sister, to read the brief journal of a day such as we here pass it at sea in this fine climate and in these smooth seas, on board one of the largest ships in the Navy, as she mounts 110 guns, one of which, carrying a 24 lb. shot, occupies a very distinguished station in my apartment.

1 [See above, p. 29 (§ 30).]
“Jan. 12. Off the Straits of Bonifacio, a narrow arm of the sea between Corsica and Sardinia.—We have been baffled in our progress towards the rendezvous of the squadron at the Madeline Islands for some days past by variable and contrary winds, but we expect to arrive at our destination to-night or to-morrow morning. To resume, my dear sister, the journal of a day. At 6 o’clock my servant brings a light and informs me of the hour, wind, weather, and course of the ship, when I immediately dress and generally repair to the deck, the dawn of day at this season and latitude being apparent at about half or three-quarters of an hour past six. Breakfast is announced in the Admiral’s cabin, where Lord Nelson, Rear-Admiral Murray, the Captain of the Fleet,—Captain Hardy, Commander of the Victory, the chaplain, secretary, one or two officers of the ship, and your humble servant, assemble and breakfast on tea, hot rolls, toast, cold tongue, etc., which when finished we repair upon deck to enjoy the majestic sight of the rising sun (scarcely ever obscured by clouds in this fine climate) surmounting the smooth and placid waves of the Mediter-ranean which supports the lofty and tremendous bulwarks of Britain, following in regular train their Admiral in the Victory. Between the hours of seven and two there is plenty of time for business, study, writing, and exercise, which different occupations, together with that of occasionally visiting the hospital of the ship when required by the surgeon, I endeavour to vary in such a manner as to afford me sufficient employment. At two o’clock a band of music plays till within a quarter of three, when the drum beats the tune called ‘The Roast Beef of Old England’ to announce the Admiral’s dinner, which is served up exactly at three o’clock, and which generally consists of three courses and a dessert of the choicest fruit, together with three or four of the best wines, champagne and claret not excepted; and—what exceeds the relish of the best viands and most exquisite wines,—if a person does not feel himself perfectly at his ease it must be his own fault, such is the urbanity and hospitality which reign here, notwithstanding the numerous titles, the four orders of knighthood, worn by Lord Nelson, and the well-earned laurels which he has acquired. Coffee and liqueurs close the dinner about half-past four or five o’clock, after which the company generally walk the deck, where the band of music plays for near an hour. At six o’clock tea is announced, when the company again assemble in the Admiral’s cabin, where tea is served up before seven o’clock, and, as we are inclined, the party continue to converse with his lordship, who at this time generally unbends himself, though he is at all times as free from stiffness and pomp as a regard to proper dignity will admit, and is very communicative. At eight o’clock a rummer of punch with cake or biscuit is served up, soon after which we wish the Admiral a good night (who is generally in bed before nine o’clock). For my own part, not having been accustomed to go to bed quite so early, I generally read an hour, or spend one with the officers of the ship, several of whom are old acquaintances, or to whom I have been known by character. Such, my dear sister, is the journal of a day at sea in fine or at least moderate weather, in which this floating castle goes through the water with the greatest imaginable steadiness, and I have not yet been long enough on board to experience bad weather.”
24. I must find room for a word or two more of Mr. Leslie’s, for the old floating castles as against steam; and then pass to matters more personal to me.

"MOIRA PLACE, Sept. 20th, 1886.

"I believe that the whole of the present depression in what is called trade is entirely due to the exaggerated estimate of the economy of steam, especially when applied to the production of real wealth upon the land; also to the idea that the wealth of the world is in any way increased by making a lawn tennis court of it, the world, and knocking goods to and fro as fast as possible across it by steam. No doubt I shall be told that I am quite out of my depth in this matter, and that France (a really self-supporting country) is at least five hundred years behind the times. I won’t apologize for sending you enclosed, which, for the animal’s sake alone, I fear is true. The cutting is from the Times of the 18th:—

"A writer in the Revue Scientifique affirms that, from a comparison of animal and steam power, the former is the cheaper power in France, whatever may be the case in other countries. In the conversion of chemical to mechanical energy, 90 per cent. is lost in the machine, against 68 in the animal. M. Sanson, the writer above referred to, finds that the steam horse-power, contrary to what is generally believed, is often materially exceeded by the horse. The cost of traction on the Mount Parnasse-Bastille line of railway he found to be for each car, daily, 57 f., while the same work done by the horse cost only 47 f.; and he believes that for moderate powers the conversion of chemical into mechanical energy is more economically effected through animals than through steam engines."

25. The following two letters from Turner to Mr. W.B. Cooke,¹ which I find among various papers relating to his work given to me at various times, are of great interest in showing the number of points Turner used to take into consideration before determining on anything, and his strict sense of duty and courtesy. The blank line, of which we are left to conjecture the meaning, is much longer in the real letter:—

"Wednesday morning.

"DEAR SIR,—I have taken the earliest opportunity to return you the touched proof and corrected St. Michael’s Mount. I lament that your brother could not forward the Poole, or Mr. Bulmer the proof sheets, for if

¹ [The brothers W. B. and G. Cooke were the principal engravers, and also the publishers, of Picturesque Views on the Southern Coast of England, from drawings made principally by J. M. W. Turner, R.A. The first number, containing St. Michael’s Mount and Poole, appeared on January 1, 1814. The accompanying letterpress was by G. Combe (author of Dr. Syntax). Among the MS. material for Dilecta is a copy in Ruskin’s hand of Turner’s receipt for three of the Southern Coast drawings; the receipt is printed in The Cestus of Aglaia, § 104 (Vol. XIX. p. 148).]
the two cannot be sent so as to arrive here before Tuesday next, I shall be upon the
wing for London again, where I hope to be in about a fortnight from this time;
therefore, you’ll judge how practicable you can make the sending the parcel in time, or
waiting until I get to Queen Ann Street, N.W. Your number coming out on the 10th of
December I think impossible; but to this I offer only an opinion (what difference
would it make if the two numbers of the Coast, Daniel’s and yours, came out on the
same day?). All I can say, I’ll not hinder you, if I can avoid it, one moment. Therefore
employ Mr. Pye if you think proper, but, as you know, there should be some objection
on my part as to co-operation with him without———; yet to forego the
assistance of his abilities for any feeling of mine is by no means proper to the majority
of subscribers to the work.

“Yours most
truly,
“J. M. W. TURNER.

“P.S.—I am not surprised at Mr. Ellis writing such a note about his signature. Be
so good as put the enclosed into the Twopenny Post Box. The book which I now send
be kind enough to keep for me until I return, and expect it to be useful in the
descriptions of Cornwall.”

26

“ThursdayE& Dec. 16, 1813.

“Dear Sir,—From your letter of this morning I expected the pleasure of seeing
you, but being disappointed, I feel the necessity of requesting you will, under the
peculiar case in which the MSS. of St. Michael and Poole are placed, desire Mr.
Coombe to deviate wholly from them; and if he has introduced anything which seems
to approximate, to be so good as to remove the same, as any likeness in the
descriptions (though highly complimentary to my endeavours) must compel me to
claim them—by an immediate appeal as to their originality. Moreover, as I now shall
not charge or will receive any remuneration whatever for them, they are consequently
at my disposal, and ultimately subject only to my use—in vindication; never do I hope
they will be called upon to appear, but if ever offer’d that they will be looked upon
with liberality and candour, and not considered in any way detrimental to the interests
of the Proprietors of the Southern Coast work.

“Have the goodness to return the corrected proof of St. Michael, which I sent from
Yorkshire with the MS. of Poole; and desire Mr. Bulmer either to send me all the proof
sheets, or in your seeing them destroyed you will much oblige.

“Yours most truly,
“J. M. W. TURNER.”

27. I find in my father’s diary of the journey of 1833¹ some
notes on the state of Basle city and its environs at the time of our
passing through them, which are extremely

¹ [See above, p. 112.]
interesting to me in their coolness, especially in connexion with
the general caution which influenced my father in all other kinds
of danger. No man could be more prudent in guarding against
ordinary chances of harm, and in what may be shortly expressed
as looking to the girths of life. But here he is travelling with his
wife and son through a district in dispute between not only
military forces but political factions, without appearing for an
instant to have contemplated changing his route, or felt the
slightest uneasiness in passing through the area of most active
warfare. My mother seems to have been exactly of the same
mind,—which is more curious still, for indeed I never once saw
the expression of fear on my father’s face, through all his life, at
anything; but my mother was easily frightened if postillions
drove too fast, or the carriage leaned threateningly aside; while
here she passes through the midst of bands of angry and armed
villagers without a word of objection.

28. “BADEN (SWISS BADEN, 5th August, 1833).—We heard here of the Basle
people fighting with peasantry and burning their villages; and of a battle betwixt
Liechstal1 and Basle soldiers on Saturday; the latter were driven into the town; 80
killed and 400 prisoners. We came to Stein to dine; a single house on the borders of the
Rhine, commanding a beautiful view of that river and plains beyond it, and Black
Forest in the distance. We had eighteen miles to go to Basle, but, hearing Swiss gates
were shut, we crossed into Baden state at Rheinfeld,2 where there are some very old
buildings and two wooden bridges; the river rolls like a brass cannon, in a field which
the peasants were ploughing, on an eminence commanding the road. We arrived at 7
o’clock at Three Kings, Basle, and early next morning I walked to cathedral; found
many of the first houses with windows entirely closed, in mourning for officers lost in
battle of Saturday; and a report prevailed of there being a plot to admit the peasantry
into the town to fire it in the night. The people were much alarmed.

29. “Tuesday, 6th August, we left by a gate just opened to let us pass, being sent
from another gate we tried, and which we saw, after we

1 [Liestal, nine miles from Bâle, severed its political connexion with that city in
1833, and has since been the capital of the half-canton of Bâle Campagne (Baselland).]
2 [Rheinfelden: for the bridge, see Plate 83 in Modern Painters, vol. v. (Vol. VII. p.
436).]
got out, had its drawbridge entirely cut away. The guns were placed with twigs and basketwork in embrasures, soldiers stood on the walls ready, and looking out over the country with glasses. The road lay through Liechstal, where the strife was. It is a fine road, as the best in England, generally much frequented, and the country is beautiful and rich in cultivation; but on twenty-seven miles of this fine road we met neither carriage, diligence, gig, nor waggon. The land seemed deserted, only a peasant occasionally in the fields. We soon met a small band of armed peasants in the act of stopping a small market-cart which had preceded us. The man, when released, went quickly off. They let us pass. We then met two bands of armed peasants, very Irish-like in costume, and having guns swung behind or in their hands, about fifteen or twenty in each body,—part, we suppose, of the Liberals who had defeated the Tories of Basle.* They looked, and lifted their hats, and said nothing to us. Approaching Liechstal, we met a Swiss car with eight or ten gentlemen in plain clothes, well armed; also cars filled with armed peasants, and a few soldiers at their side. We entered Liechstal, and found every street barricaded breast high with pine logs, except at entrance, where an opening was left just wide enough for cart or carriage, and a gate at the other end. These gentlemen, I was afterwards told, were Polish refugees, who served the artillery of the peasantry against the Basle people, who had refused to shelter them, whilst the Liechstal people had received them kindly.”

30. And so all notice of states of siege, whether at Liechstal or anywhere else, ends in my father’s diary; and he continues in perfect tranquility to give account of his notes on the roads, inns, and agriculture of Switzerland.

Of which, however, the reader will, I think, have pleasure in seeing some further passages, representing, not through any gilded mists of memory, but with mercantile precision of entering day by day, the aspect of Switzerland at the time when we first saw it, half a century ago:—

“18th July.—We left Berne early, and went eighteen miles to Thun. The road is one of the best possible, beginning through an avenue of trees, large and fine, and proceeding to Thun through fields of amazing beauty, bordered with fruit trees; the corn sometimes bordering the road without enclosure. The cottages, houses, farms, inns, all the way, each and all remarkable for neatness, largeness, and beauty. We left our carriage at the Freyenhof Inn, and took boat, three hours’ rowing, to Neuhaus, then one league in char-à-banc; through Unterseen to Interlachen, a sweet watering-place sort of a village, with one hotel and many very elegant boarding-houses, where persons stop to take excursions to

* Papa cannot bring himself to think of anybody in Irish-like costume as Conservative. It was Basle that was liberally and Protestantly endeavouring to make the men of Liechstal abjure their Catholic errors.
neighbouring hills. We took boat down lake Brienz as far as waterfall of Giesbach, the finest fall next to those of Rhine I have yet seen; but the best thing was the Swiss family in the small inn up the hill opposite to the fall. The old man, his son, and two daughters, sung Swiss songs in the sweetest and most affecting manner, infinitely finer than opera singing, because true alike to Nature and to music,* no grimace nor affectation, nor strained efforts to produce effect. The tunes were well chosen, and the whole very delightful; more so than any singing I remember. We returned to Interlachen, where the Justice condemned Salvador to pay twelve francs for a carriage not used, which he had hired to go to the Staubbach. Next morning we returned by water to Thun to breakfast, and again to Berne, where we had very nice rooms, with fine prospect.

31. “The portico walks in almost every street in Berne are very convenient for rain or sun: it is in this like Chester, though the one appearing a very new town, and the other very old. We left Berne 22nd July by a narrow but not bad road through Sumiswald; dined at Huttwyl; slept at Sursee, in the Catholic canton of Lucerne. The hill and dale country we passed through to the very end of the Berne canton was a scene of unequalled loveliness out of this canton. The face of the country was varied, but the richness of cultivation the same, and the houses so large, and yet so neat and comfortable. This is, indeed, a country for which a man might sigh, and almost die, of regret, to be exiled from. I have seen nothing at all approaching to it in the neatest parts of England. The town of Berne is equally remarkable for good though not lofty buildings, and for cleanliness and neatness. The street-sweepers were women; and I never saw a city or town so beautifully kept. I walked up many back streets and lanes, all in the most perfect order; and the country seen from the cathedral terrace and ramparts is just suited to such a town. There is no formed, squared, or trimmed neatness, but every field, and hedge, and tree, and garden, seem to be tended and kept in the finest state possible. The variety of scenery on the grandest scale,—the snowy Alps, the lower Alps, the woods on undulating grounds, or sloping down from the mountain tops; the fine river passing round the town; the rich cornfields, meadows, and fruit trees, abounding over all; nature doing so much, and man just bestowing the care and culture required, and applying art only where it seems to improve nature.

32. “If any country on earth can be deemed perfect as far as nature and art can make it, the canton of Berne is that country. The farm houses are each a picture, and the peasantry are as beautiful and healthy as the country. They express contentment. Their costume is handsome, excepting the black, stiff, whalebone-lace ears of immense size from the women’s heads; when they wear black lace over their heads partially, the rest of their dress is extremely becoming. On Wednesday, July 17th, we

* I shall make this sentence the text of what I have to say, when I have made a few more experiments in our schools here, of the use of music in peasant education.1

---

1 [This chapter of Dilecta was issued in January 1887. For Ruskin’s experiments in teaching music to the village children, see the Introduction, above, p. xxvi. Nothing further, however, was written on the subject.]
rode to Hofwyl Farm, Mr. Fellenberg’s Institution,\(^1\) combining a large fine boarding-house for eighty to ninety young gentlemen of fortune, where all branches of education are taught, and agriculture added if they choose; and a school for poor boys and girls, and for masters of country schools to learn.

“Some Russian princes have attended the boarding school. The expense, about three thousand francs yearly. Everything is made on the farm—bread, butter, clothes, shoes, etc. There are from two hundred and eighty to three hundred acres of land in cultivation, lying in a sort of basin sloping gently away from house towards a piece of water. It is impossible to conceive anything so beautiful for a farm as this. There being four hundred people about it there is no want of labour; and added to the usual Swiss neatness, there is the completeness of an amateur farmer possessing ample means. There were fifty-four milk cows kept on hay and potatoes under cover. (The want of cattle in the field is always a drawback to a foreign landscape.) The oxen very handsome. The system of farming same as Scotch, only one new product seen by a Scotch amateur whom we met. Italian rye grass, very fine. The poorer young men cutting hay, all very happy. The workshops, the washing-houses, the outhouses all very perfect, but in implements or machinery nothing new. It was the beauty of the situation on a fine day, and the fulness and apparent comfort, that struck the observer particularly.”

\(^1\) [Philipp Emanuel von Fellenberg (1771–1844), Swiss educationist, friend of Pestalozzi. In 1799 he purchased the estate of Hofwyl, near Berne, and founded a school there in which agriculture was made the basis of his system of education. The buildings are now used as a Training College for Teachers.]
CHAPTER III

33. I MUST leave the chronology of Dilecta to be arranged by its final index,¹ for the choice of the letters printed in the course of it must depend more on topic than date; and, besides, it will be needful sometimes to let it supply the place of my ceased Fors, and answer in the parts of it under my hand, any questions that occur in an irritating manner to the readers of Præterita.

For instance, my morning post-bag has been lately filled with reproaches, or anxious advice, from pious persons of Evangelical persuasion, who accuse me of speaking of their faith thoughtlessly, or without sufficient knowledge. Whereas there is probably no European writer now dealing with the history of Christianity, who is either by hereditary ties more closely connected, or by personal inquiry more variously familiar, with the characteristic and vitally earnest bodies of the Puritan Church.

34. The following letter from her uncle to Mrs. Arthur Severn,—(for whose sake the complexities of our ancient and ramifying cousinships have long since been generalized into the brief family name for me, the Coz,)—contains, with as much added genealogy as the most patient reader will be likely to ask for, evidence of the position held by my great grandfather among the persecuted Scottish Puritans.

“1, CAMBRIDGE STREET, HYDE PARK, W.
“August 25th, 1885.

MY DEAR JOANNA,—The only thing that I can think of that has historical interest for the Coz, in connection with his father’s relations, is that his great grandfather, the Rev. James Tweddale, of Glenluce, had in

¹ [This was never compiled, nor was Dilecta carried further by Ruskin himself than chapter ii.; this third chapter, prepared by him for the press, was not published till 1900.]
his possession during his ministry the National Covenant of the Scotch Covenanters. It was given to him by his aunt, who received it from Baillie of Jarviswood, who was suspected of having it in his possession, and was executed. I suppose it was given to my grandfather’s aunt, because, being a lady, it would be assumed that she would not be suspected of having it.

“My father was left an orphan when ten years of age, and when he became of age, the trustees had parted with the ‘Covenant’; at all events, he could not trace it. However, he then inherited his parental property, ‘Glenlaggan,’ which is rather a picturesque place situated between New Galloway and Castle Douglas, in the county of Kirkcudbright. When his uncle, Dr. Adair, died, he left him £10,000. He then sold Glenlaggan to enable him to buy a larger estate in Wigtownshire. In this he made a mistake, for it was during the war in the time of the first Napoleon, when land was very dear; and when the peace came it became very cheap, and fearing complete ruin, he sold at an immense loss; but this latter part of my father’s history is not worth recording.

“The ‘National Covenant’ is now in the Glasgow museum. Perhaps these particulars may be interesting to the Coz, who, I hope, is progressing favourably towards recovery.

“With kind love,

“Your affectionate uncle,

“J. R. (John Ruskin) TWEDDALE.”

“The accompanying note contains the particulars of the relationship that exists between our family and the Professor. My father’s sister was his grandmother, and mother to the late Mr. Ruskin; so that my father was full uncle to the late Mr. Ruskin, and grand uncle to the Professor. The father of the Professor’s grandmother was minister of Glenluce, but that is a long time back, for if my father had been living, he would have been one hundred and seventeen years old.

“The Rev. J. Garlies Maitland’s son was the late Rev. Dr. James Maitland, minister of New Galloway, and husband of the heiress of Kenmure, by his second marriage with the eldest daughter of the Hon. Mrs. Bellamy Gordon, whose son now inherits that property. Dr. Maitland was, some years before his death, Moderator of the General Assembly, and was otherwise a man of mark.”

35. As for my own knowledge of the Evangelical character and doctrine, what I have related already of my

1 [Here, in previous editions, the words “(on next page)” were inserted, and the following Note was appended to a family tree given “on next page”:—

“N.B.—The ‘note’ referred to in the text is now (1899) missing, and its place is therefore supplied by a reprint of the family tree given in W. G. Collingwood’s Life of Ruskin, vol. 1. p. 8, with the addition of a few references to passages in Præterita.”

In the present edition, the family tree has been corrected and amplified, and some further information supplied. All this is now transferred, so as not to interrupt Ruskin’s text, to the end of the chapter: see below, pp. 602–604.]
mother, my Scottish aunt, and her servant Mause,\textsuperscript{1} ought to have been guarantee enough to attentive persons; the inattentive I would beg at least not to trouble me with letters till the sequels of \textit{Præterita} and \textit{Dilecta} are in their hands.

36. For the present I return to the documents in my possession respecting Turner; of which the following, signed by Turner the day after I was born, must, I think, take priority in point of date, and has this much of peculiar interest in it, that the drawings of which it disposes the destiny with so much care, were never made. Turner’s intention that they should be all of equal value is prettily intimated by his submitting the decision of his property in them to cast of lots.


“Mr. Turner agrees to make Thirty Six Drawings on the Rhine, between Cologne and Mayence, at the Price of Seventeen Guineas each Drawing. —The first Two Drawings to be made in advance, which are to be paid out of the Profits of the Work.—The Second Two Drawings to be paid by W. B. Cooke in June 1819, and the rest to be paid on delivery.

“It is agreed that none of the Drawings shall be sold for less than Thirty-four Guineas each under the Penalty of One Hundred Guineas. Mr. Turner to be paid Two Pounds on the Sale of every Five Hundred Numbers. The Plates to be estimated at Fifty Guineas each—they are to be the Size of Eleven Inches and a half by Eight Inches and a Quarter.

“The Work to be divided as follows,—Mr. Turner to hold one Eighth Share, W. B. Cooke to hold Five Eighths of the Work, T. C. Allen to hold Two Eighths. The Work to pay its Expenses by its returns before any Dividend is made between the Parties.

“Mr. Turner to have a best copy of the Work, with Etchings.

“A Settlement for all Numbers and Copies sold, to be made at regular half Yearly periods within a Week after Mr. Murray settles his half Yearly Accounts on the Work.

“When Seven Drawings are made for the Work, Mr. Turner to have one of them by casting lots. When the second Seven are made, a like casting of Lots to take Place for one of them. The Third Seven the same. The fourth Seven the same, and Mr. Turner to have the casting of lots for one out of the remaining Eight.

“No other Engraver to be employed in the Work than W. B. Cooke, and J. C. Allen, without the Consent of Mr. Turner. It is agreed that

\textsuperscript{1} [See above, p. 63.]
three Numbers containing Two Plates each shall be published in a Year, and that the
Proofs shall be printed in Imperial Folio. The Prints in Quarto Grand Eagle French
Paper. The first Number, which is to contain Two Plates, to be published during the
Year 1819.

“Jos. MALLORD W. TURNER.
“W. B. COOKE.
“J. C. ALLEN.”

38. Next to this piece of shrewd business, I have great delight
in giving an exhaustive delineation of Turner’s character,
written by an able phrenologist and physiognomist from the cast
of his head taken after death. No one person was ever intimately
enough acquainted with him to form such estimate by
experience, so that the document bears internal evidence of its
honesty:—

“He is of the motive mental temperament, and is of an earnest, industrious
disposition. He possesses great activity and energy, and works with both mind and
body at the same time. He would not give up until he had accomplished his object,
especially if principle or if right and justice were at stake.

“According to the development indicated, he must have been compelled to cut out
a road of his own. He has developed a character peculiar to himself; his individuality is
very marked.

“He inherited a sound constitution, is tough and wiry, and has long life in him.
This gives him promptness of action, determination of purpose, firmness and
resolution in all his undertakings.

“He is a man who will not use half measures; he works to the full extent of his
powers, and is resolved to surmount all obstacles and remove all difficulties that may
be in his path.

39. “He is ever ready to defend friends, or to oppose enemies; so far as his
physical organization is concerned, he is very fervently constituted, and has not
suffered much except from the strain imposed upon himself by overwork. There is not
an idle bone in his whole organization. A man with his development cannot possibly
have led an idle life, or have indulged himself much in luxury and ease. His life cannot
have been a life of holidays. If there is work to do, it must be done, in his opinion,
without any faltering or hesitancy.

“He is descended from an old-fashioned family that care more for the useful and
real than for the merely ornamental or theoretical.

“He has a large social brain, which gives him an ardent and loving nature. He
forms strong attachments to those around him; to his wife, to his children, and friends.

40. “He is most constant in his friendship, and faithful in fulfilling his promises.
Once a friend, always a friend, in his case. Friends he will defend to the uttermost of
his powers. He is willing to do anything which would render them assistance; but once
deceived by a friend, although
he bears no malice, he shakes him off for ever, and will have no further dealings with
him.

“He love of home, which is fully developed, gives him a patriotic spirit; and as
his veracity, force of character, and executiveness are large, he is ready to defend his
country and his homestead should defence be required.

“He cannot bear abrupt changes, and although he would travel, if it were
necessary to further his studies, and enable him to gain certain information, he will
return with feelings of delight to his old home and old friends.

“He is a man who cannot adapt himself to new ways and fashions.

“He is rather impatient with slow people, and especially with idle ones.

“Opposition only serves to call his talents and powers into activity, and the more
opposed he is, the more determined he becomes to have his own way.

“His word is his bond; he is reliable and trustworthy in all things.

41. “There are two directly opposite elements in his character; the one contradicts
the other. His large acquisitiveness leads him to acquire and to accumulate, to have
things of his own, to look out for a rainy day, and store up for the future.

“Yet when help is required, his large benevolence urges him to do all in his power
to assist those in need. He requires, however, a complete explanation before he will
give his support, and a cause must be a good one to receive support from him. Once
convinced of the truth of a cause, he is most earnest in its advocacy.

“He is cautious in his plans and undertakings; slow to decide, but once his plans
are formed, quick in carrying them out. If he fails the first time, he tries again until he
has attained his object, or accomplished his task. Conquer he must.

“He does not aim after self-glorification, but for the benefit of others; and is
prompted not so much by selfish motives as by a desire to raise and elevate his fellow
men. Having large veneration, he must be an earnest worker in a religious cause.

42. “Hope appears so largely developed,* that it will stimulate him to undertake
tasks which few men have the courage to take in hand. Hope, it may be said, carries
him through life. Hope has enabled him to go on when the difficulties in his path
appeared well-nigh insurmountable.

“He must have had many struggles, battles, and difficulties to encounter, else he
could never have attained his present development. He would never allow himself to
be beaten, and having large hope, he clings tenaciously to life.

“He never overrates his talents; he is rather inclined to underrate them. He has
been unassuming, unpretentious, and undemonstrative. In the social circle he is quite
the reverse of what he is when working in opposition. Among homely people he is
social and agreeable, but once roused, he becomes very severe and determined.

“He cannot tolerate nonsense or foolishness, and must out with the

* This is a very interesting piece of penetrative science. Turner’s chief mental
emotion was always striving to express itself in the broken poem which he called the
“Fallacies of Hope.”
facts and realities of life. Although he enjoys a hearty laugh and joke, they must be
cased by genuine wit.

34. “Having a nude head in the front, he is constructive and skilful; can plan,
arrange, and invent. He is more of a utilitarian than a poet. Yet he loves the beautiful
and sublime in nature, the pure and refined.

“Having remarkably large observant powers, he is keen of discernment, and quick
in noticing details. Very few things escape his eyes. He is most practical, methodical,
and regular. It is not everybody who can please him.

“He can judge of distances, proportions, lengths, breadths, etc., by the eye. He
likes a place for everything, and everything in the right place; a time for everything,
and everything purposed to time.

“He has calculating powers are large; he will not enter into rash undertakings; he can
generally see right ahead, and is therefore successful in his undertakings.

“His memory is good for incidents, events, etc., and he would make a good
descriptive speaker. As a speaker, he would be to the point, and easily understood. If
success depends upon work, he must be a successful man, for he has a hard-working

element in him that will never allow him to remain idle.

“Having a large causality, comparison, intuition, he is an excellent reasoner, and is
subtle in a debate. If his talents have been directed into the right channel, he must have
made his mark, and have accomplished a marvellous work, to the astonishment of all
beholders, either in a mercantile or professional sphere of labour. Men of his tribe are
very rare nowadays.

“GUSTAVUS COHENS.”

44. Next to this mental chart of him, I place a sketch from the
life, written for me by my mother’s friend, named in Præterita,
vol. ii. § 203, Mrs. John Simon:—

“In the spring of the year 1843, I went to Plymouth, and remained until
Midsummer; when, on a certain day of June, it was arranged that I should return to
London via Southampton; I being then very fond of the sea. John (to whom I was not
then married) was to meet me at Southampton, and see me home.

“Accordingly, on the day fixed, I was duly ready, my boxes packed, and I,
chatting with my hostess, Mrs. Snow Harris, and her daughters, awaiting the arrival of
Mr. Harris, who was (as we fondly believed) securing my berth, and coming to fetch
me to the boat. Time passed on,—no Mr. H.! At last at half-past one he appeared.

“’Oh, papa, how late you are; Miss—will lose the boat!’

“’She has lost it,’ (in Devon accent, and with a loud laugh.)

“’Miss—. ‘Oh! Mr. Harris.’

“’Yes, it’s blowing up for such a storm as we haven’t had for long, and I’m not
going to let you go up Channel to-night. Why, the boats in Catwater are bouncing
about already.’

“’But the boat’s gone,—the Captain,—the other passengers,—oh, you should
have let me go!’
‘No, no, I shouldn’t, and I wouldn’t.’

‘But I must go somehow. I can’t let my friends’ (admire the plural!) ‘come to Southampton for nothing!’ (Now be it remembered, that in those days was no electric telegraph, the mails were closed and just starting, and the Great Western Railway itself only finished as far as Beam Bridge, a small outlying station.) ‘I must go. So please send to tell the coach to come for me.’

“And I had my way. Just saved the coach, which started at 2 P.M., with strong injunctions from Mrs. H. not to get out at Exeter, as it might there become crowded.

45. “I had had nothing since eight o’clock breakfast. The coachman was charged to stop and get me buns; he promised, but did not. The guard was charged to be most careful of me; he promised, and was.

“As we drove on to Exeter, the hitherto bright, breezy day began to justify Mr. Harris, as it was pretty sure to do, he being the great electrician, as well as a first-rate sailor and judge of the weather. (He is well known as Sir W. Snow Harris, the inventor of the conductors which are the safeguards of our ships from lightning.) The clouds gathered, distant low whistlings of wind came from all around, and in a threatening evening, at eight, we reached Exeter; and waited for an hour. I had thus far been alone, and keeping in view Mrs. H.’s advice, stuck firmly to my place, resisting all the blandishments of waiter and chambermaid, and continuing fasting, but in good heart, and not at all hungry.

46. “Some gentlemen got up outside and one young man inside. Of this I could say something which might amuse you, but it has nothing to do with the main point, so I pass it over. The weather after Exeter got worse and worse,—the wind began to bluster, the lightning changed from summer gleams to spiteful forks, and the roll of thunder was almost continuous; and by the time we reached Beam Bridge the storm was at such terrible purpose, that the faithful guard wrapped me up in his waterproof and lifted me, literally, into the shed which served as a station. In like manner, when the train was ready, he lifted me high and dry into a firstclass carriage, in which were two elderly, cosy, friendly-looking gentlemen, evidently fellows in friendship as well as in travel. The old Great Western carriages were double, held eight persons, four in each compartment, and there was a glass door between; which was on this occasion left open. One old gentleman sate with his face to the horses (so to speak) on my side, and one in the inside corner, opposite to me exactly. When I had taken off my cloak and smoothed my plumes, and generally settled myself, I looked up to see the most wonderful eyes I ever saw, steadily, luminously, clairvoyantly, kindly, paternally looking at me. The hat was over the forehead, the mouth and chin buried in the brown velvet coat collar of the brown greatcoat. I looked at him, wondering if my grandfather’s eyes had been like those. I should have described them as the most ‘seeing’ eyes I had ever seen. My father had often spoken of my grandfather’s eyes, as being capable of making a hundred ugly faces handsome; and the peasants used to say, ‘Divil a sowl could tell a lie to his Riverence’s Worship’s eyes.’ (He was a magistrate as well as a parson.) My opposite neighbour’s seemed much of this sort.

47. Well, we went on, and the storm went on more and more, until
we reached Bristol; to wait ten minutes. My old gentleman rubbed the side window with his coat cuff, in vain; attacked the centre window, again in vain, so blurred and blotted was it with the torrents of rain! A moment’s hesitation, and then:

‘‘Young lady, would you mind my putting down this window?’

‘‘Oh no, not at all.’

‘‘You may be drenched, you know.’

‘Never mind, sir.’

Immediately, down goes the window, out go the old gentleman’s head and shoulders, and there they stay for I suppose nearly nine minutes. Then he drew them in, and I said:

‘‘Oh please let me look.’

‘‘Now you will be drenched;’ but he half opened the window for me to see. Such a sight, such a chaos of elemental and artificial lights and noises, I never saw or heard, or expect to see or hear. He drew up the window as we moved on, and then leant back with closed eyes for I dare say ten minutes, then opened them and said:

‘‘Well?’

“I said, ‘I’ve been “drenched,” but it’s worth it.’

“He nodded and smiled, and again took to his steady but quite inoffensive perusing of my face, and presently said it was a bad night for one so young and alone. He had not seen me at Exeter.

‘‘No, I got in at Plymouth.’

‘‘Plymouth!!’

‘‘Yes.’ I then said I could only save my friends trouble and anxiety by travelling up that night, and told simply the how it came to pass. Then, except a little joke when we were going through a long tunnel (then the terror of ‘elegant females’), silence until Swindon, but always the speculative, steady look. There we all got out and I got some tea and biscuits. When we were getting in (the storm by then over), they asked me if I had got some refreshment, and when I said tea, my friend with the eyes said:

‘‘Tea! poor stuff; you should have had soup.’

“I said tea was more refreshing, as I had not had anything since eight the previous morning. We all laughed, and I found the two cosy friends had had something more ‘comfortable’ than tea, and speedily fell into slumber, while I watched the dawn and oncoming brightness of one of the loveliest June mornings that have ever visited the earth.

48. “At six o’clock we steamed into Paddington station, and I had signalled a porter before my friends roused themselves. They were very kind,—could they do anything to help me?—where had I to go to? ‘Hammersmith: that was a long drive.’ Then they took off their hats, and went off arm in arm.

“I reached North End, where George* now lives, as I hoped I should, just as our baker was opening his shop at seven o’clock; wrote on rough baker’s bill-paper a note to John, and sent it off by the baker’s boy on the cab, begging John to let my sister know; and then leaving my luggage at the baker’s, walked on the short way to our dear friend’s house, where I

* Mrs. Edward Burne-Jones.
knew my mother had had no sleep for the storm and thinking Jane was in it at sea. ‘Jane, how d’ye do?’ to the astonished servant, and walked straight up to mamma’s room, opened the door, to meet, as I expected, her wide-open, anxious, patient eyes, and to hear ‘Jane!—Oh, thank God!’

49. “The next year, I think, going to the Academy, I turned at once, as I always did, to see what Turners there were.

“Imagine my feelings:—

“‘RAIN, STEAM, AND SPEED,
GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY, JUNE THE —, 1843.’

“I had found out who the ‘seeing’ eyes belonged to! As I stood looking at the picture, I heard a mawkish voice behind me say:

“‘There now, just look at that; ain’t it just like Turner?—whoever saw such a ridiculous conglomeration?’

“I turned very quietly round and said:

“‘I did; I was in the train that night, and it is perfectly and wonderfully true;’ and walked quietly away.

“When I saw your young portrait of Turner, I saw that some of it was left in the face, enough to make me feel it always delightful to look at the picture.

“Then, my dearest Mr. John, I’ve scribbled (for I can no longer write) as you wished. Best love to you, and love to all. I send it to Joan to read to you.

“Ever yours, with John’s truest love,

“J. S.”

1 [There is, however, some difficulty in accepting Lady Simon’s recollections as accurate. The railway journey she describes was by night, during a terrific storm, in company with an elderly gentleman whom she afterwards assumed to be Turner, on recognising, as she thought, the storm in his picture of “Rain, Steam, and Speed” in the Royal Academy; but Turner’s picture represents neither night nor storm, but a passing shower on a bright, sunny day! Mr. Wedderburn remembers once asking Ruskin why, if an engine was so ugly, Turner had painted one in this picture. “To show,” replied Ruskin, “what he could do even with an ugly subject.”

Ruskin, as explained in Vol. XIII. p. lvi., had at various times collected a good many recollections, etc., relating to Turner. A few anecdotes may here be given. “At Farnley once, a young lady said to him, ‘Oh, Mr. Turner, how could you make the sky in your picture so yellow?’ He said, ‘Where’s the mustard pot?’ and flung the contents on to the sky, and worked them in.” Lucy Tovey, the parlour-maid (see above, p. 343), used to describe how Turner at dinner “would pull down his coat-sleeves over his wrists to try to hide the dirty, crumpled shirt-cuffs.” The difficulty of seeing Turner in his studio induced people to ring at the area bell in Queen Anne Street. The old housekeeper used to great them with “Aca—Acadameemians! ye can come up.” Turner told the Rev. W. Kingsley that “he had learned more from Watteau than any other painter.”]

2 [The frontispiece to Vol. XIII.]
APPENDIX TO CHAPTER III

RUSKIN’S FAMILY TREE

Ruskin’s parents being first cousins, the number of his great-grandparents is reduced from eight to six. Among these, nothing is ascertained of the parents of his mother’s father, who was English. Of the Ruskin family (the progenitors, that is, of both his father’s father and his mother’s mother) something has been said in the Introduction (pp. iviii.—lxi.). Further back than the grandfather of Ruskin’s father we know nothing definite, and of him our information is limited to his name, the date of his baptism in 1732, and the fact that he was at one time resident in the city of London. Of his origin, his occupation in life, his marriage, and children (if any) other than John Thomas Ruskin, we have no particulars.

In the case of the indisputably Scottish ancestry of Ruskin, through his father’s mother, Catherine Tweddale, the position is different. Here we know something of her father’s family, the Tweddales, and not a little of that of her mother, Catherine Adair, of her grandmother, Jean or Janet Ross, and of her great-grandmother, Mary Agnew, of the family of Lochnaw.

The Tweddales were a staunch Presbyterian family. Catherine Tweddle’s father, James Tweddale’s was minister of Glenluce from 1758 to 1777,\(^1\) having succeeded in that post his namesake and uncle, who had held it from 1716 to 1757. Another Catherine Tweddale, aunt of this last-named James, had been thought worthy to receive charge of the “Solemn League and Covenant” from Baillie of Jerviswood. From her it passed in turn to her nephew and great-nephew, the two ministers of Glenluce, but at the death of the second of them, was sold with his library, and is now in the Museum at Glasgow. A reference to this matter will be found in Dilecta, § 34 (p. 594). John Tweddale, the writer of the letter there given, died unmarried.

The Adairs of Dunsekey (Portree), Kinhilt, and Dromore, are an old Scottish family, a cadet of which, one Robert Adair, got possession of the lands of Little Gainoch or Genoch in the fifteenth century. From him no doubt descended an Andrew Adair, who was proscribed, with others of his name, in 1682, and declined Episcopacy in 1684. His son John Adair married Mary Agnew, and dying in 1721, left a son Thomas, who succeeded to Little Genoch on his father’s death. This Thomas Adair, who was a captain in the army, acquired another property, Balkail (since sold to Lord Stair), where he chiefly resided. He married Janet or Jean Ross, daughter of Andrew Ross of Balsarroch, and was the father of the Rev. Andrew Adair, minister of Whithorn, 1746–1795, of Dr. John Adair, who as an army surgeon went out to Canada, and whose portrait is seen in West’s picture of the Battle of Quebec. He died in London in 1794, leaving considerable legacies to many members of his family, including one of £1500 to his niece “Mrs. Risken (sic) married to Mr. Risken at Edinburgh.” It was a sister of his, Mary, who married the Rev. Dr. James Maitland of Sorbie, to whom Ruskin refers in a passage printed below, p. 607.

\(^1\) [See Hew Scott’s Fasti Ecclesiae Scoticanæ, 1867, vol. i. p. 767.]
The family of Ross of Balsarroch descend from one Andrew Ross, a cadet of the family of Ross of Balneil. This Andrew, who was living in 1704, had four children: (1) Alexander of Balkail, grandfather of Field-Marshal Sir Hew Dalrymple Ross, G.C.B. (1779–1868), whose son, Sir John Ross, G.C.B., commanded the forces in Canada, 1888–1893; (2) George of Balsarroch, whose son, Andrew, minister of Inch, was the father of one Arctic traveller, Sir John Ross (1777–1856), and grandfather of another Arctic and Antarctic explorer, Sir James Charles Ross (1800–1862); (3) James of Stranraer, who married and had issue; and (4) Jean or Janet, who is described in a letter from her daughter, Catherine Tweddale, to her son, John James Ruskin, as “a very remarkable woman. As a proof of her learning, she was a good Latin scholar, and at the age of seventy she could repeat from memory every syllable of Young’s Night Thoughts, besides many other productions.”

Of the Agnews of Lochnaw, hereditary sheriffs of Galloway, a full account has been printed, by Sir Andrew Agnew. But unfortunately this account does not exhaust the cadet branches of the family, and Ruskin’s ancestress, the Mary Agnew who married John Adair, is not identified. It would have been of interest to know exactly Ruskin’s relationship to the family, to one member of whom, Joan Ruskin Agnew, more closely connected with him through another line, he was to owe so much during the latter half of his life.
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GALLOWAY ANCESTRY

[This piece is printed from sheets of MS. found among Ruskin’s papers for Fors Clavigera. It is preceded by a passage which was used in Letter 63, § 11 (Vol. XXVIII. pp. 546–547), and repeated in Præterita, i. § 69 (above, p. 62, down to “. . . its gifts and promises”). The MS. then continues:—]

My grandmother was a Miss Tweddale, and brought with her what dim gleam of ancestral honour I may claim for myself; her people being right Earth-born and of Galloway, and, as far as I learn or have noticed, honest, religious, and delicately-hearted persons: some of them not without strength of character, and more or less inly gifted with spiritual faculty, manifested in the wayward manner of which many old Scottish families are still and certainly conscious among themselves.1

For instance,—I am not sure whether it was my great-grandmother or her sister who was a beautiful and self-willed girl in Wigton, where election was to be made of a new pastor; the Wigton electors sitting, on successive Sabbaths, in their congregational Court of Judicature to judge of the qualities of candidates by probational sermons. My great-grandmother, hearing one day some gossip of the probability of a certain pastor’s success, calmly negatived the rumour. “He will never be the minister. The man who is to be your minister I shall marry; and that man I shall not marry.” On the next, or some speedily following, Sabbath a Mr. Maitland preached in Wigton church. Whereupon, my great-grandmother, though she had never seen, nor heard of him, before, coming forth of church, announced, serenely Sibylline: “Now, that man will be your minister, and I shall marry him.”

Which accordingly came to pass: to the great benefit of the town, for Mr. Maitland did his pastor’s duty with stern Presbyterian conscience and pure heart; rebuking and exhorting with all authority, and fearlessly exercising the needful excommunicative power of all living churches, Puritan or Papal. For when Lady—, who had openly quarrelled with her son, desired still to receive the sacrament, Mr. Maitland resolutely interdicted her; and when, thinking so to shame him into concession, she came forward and knelt at the altar to receive it, the undaunted pastor lifted her up bodily and conveyed her, with as much force as her presumably helpless astonishment might render needful, back to her seat.2

1 [See Fors Clavigera, Letter 63 (Vol. XXVIII. p. 546).]
2 [This anecdote is told more briefly in The Lord’s Prayer and the Church, Vol. XXXIV. p. 227.]
I have seemed conceited enough in the account just given of my faculty of admiration—but have to add this fatal depreciation, that I had not the slightest power of invention. My brain in this is as powerless as an animal’s.

This old want of mine, however, while it entirely destroyed my power of being an artist, made me an undisturbedly accurate observer,—not but that real artists like Turner or Carpaccio observed with greater accuracy, but they alter things to their minds and spend time in painting dreams.

The supernatural charm of wild scenery to me was a spiritual joy in the thing itself and in nothing else. I felt it on getting down to Catterick bridge in 1837 with extreme intensity, because I had been four years,—and those changeful ones,—abroad or at Oxford, and this was like coming home again.

In this pleasure in returning to my old thoughts and ways, let me note a point in my character which might easily be lost sight of, or even quite misinterpreted—by the tenor of my life—its pervicacity and unchangeableness.

It has so chanced that I knew little of the world while I was young, and saw a good deal of it as I got older,—also a great many curious and entirely new things have happened in the world since I was twenty; also, I have always been trying to learn or discover things, and have had much leisure to do so. Hence, the figure and contents of my mind are necessarily very different now from what they were when I was twenty.

But farther, though entirely destitute of formative or poetical imagination, my practical imagination, the conception of what might be done in any matter, has always been keen and vast beyond any—so called “schemes” I ever knew;—keen in its perception of what could be done, vast in its hope and audacity in attempting to do it,—never checking itself at less than the entire logical expansion of its idea. Thus Mr. Eben’ Elliott,—or whoever it was,—invented the notion that bread should not be taxed—but I instantly expand that initial notion into the conclusive one that neither bread, drink, nor lodging should be. Mr. Rowland Hill invents the idea that letters carried cheap would bring in a good revenue to the Government;—I instantly expand that idea into the conclusive one that everything carried cheap would bring a much greater revenue to the Government, and that, when we wanted to travel ourselves, we should all be posted. It could not but follow on this habit of mind that I should plan the doing of much that I never did,—easily and remorselessly abandon a fourfold plan to take up a sevenfold one, and begin a great many merely single or double ones without carrying them—so much as to the middle, far less to an end. So that the aspect of my life to its outward beholder is of an extremely desultory force—at its best—confusedly iridescent—unexpectedly and wanderingly sparkling or extinct like a ragged bit of tinder.

1 [Compare above, pp. 120, 304.]
2 [See above, p. 218.]
3 [Not quite accurate; he was at home in 1834, abroad in 1835, at Oxford 1836–1837.]
4 [For a quotation from Elliott’s Corn-Law Rhymes, see Vol. XXIX. pp. 39–40.]
Only by much attention—if any one cares to give it,—nor then without some clue of personal word, like this I am writing,—could the spectator of me at all imagine what an obstinate little black powder of adamant the faltering sparks glowed through the grain of.

[The MS. then continues as in the text of i. § 246 (p. 220), and thereafter continues:—]

And in this place, therefore, I will sum once for all the places, and mark the times, as far as I remember, which thus formed my instincts and sense of nativity for ever. First—Market Street, Croydon, and its lovely rough wooden pump with rude stones round it, and tiled cottage roofs. Thence all my steady love of cottages, lattices, littlenesses, roughnesses, humilities—to this day—so that I am never at ease in a fine house, nor happy among anything proud or polished.

Then, in a more solemn way, the Tay, Erne, and Wandel, as early familiar rivers—Loch Leven and Queen’s Ferry, Derwent Water and Coniston Water, till I was ten years old—and later, with some scientific interest meddling in the business, Matlock and Bristol. Add the open sea beach at Sandgate, and the general type of ruined abbey from Tintern to Furness, and of round-towered English castle, and I have pretty nearly numbered what are properly native elements to me. I may rejoice in other things intensely, but always as exotic.

On this stem of obstinate nature, then, rooted in wild rock, there had been scarcely any pruning done, still less training—and what watering and salt of learning, most curiously mixed and thin. The oddest point to me now, looking back, is that while every other day in travelling I saw some new city-gate, vale-abbey, or historic castle, nobody ever thought of teaching me, nor I of picking up, a single crumb of human history. I knew the stories of marathon and Salamis—had heard of Alexander the Great, and tried to imagine Hannibal passing the Alps. Of English history I knew that Richard III. had smothered his nephew, and that Charles I. had lost his head and Charles II. hid in an oak,—that much out of history books, and what I picked up out of Shakespeare and Scott, formed the total fund of knowledge possessed by me in illustration of either castle or abbey, of which one was just as good to me as another—I being entirely content with the indisputable conviction that knights and monks had lived in them some time or other. The want of imagination was, I suppose, the fatal obstacle to me; but also my extreme enjoyment of the thing as it was, and general notion that the world was in its perfection now, and that the comfortable inn, well-kept cathedral, and ornamental ruins all over ivy, were originally contemplated by Providence in allowing the Fall of man, prevented me from giving myself the trouble of thinking what might have happened in the Dark Ages.

xxxv. 2 0
OXFORD STUDIES

Had it been given to elementary work, and had my tutors forbidden me to read for honours, and forced me to learn my grammar thoroughly, some practical trigonometry, and some English history, I should have been—so far as any of us can say what we should have been—heathfully and usefully employed, not to say happily, all those years. As it was, I learned my Herodotus and Thucydides history fairly well—got to know the look of a good many Greek and Latin words, and some sense of their power and meaning, never clearly of their construction—learned enough of conic sections to make me want to know more, in vain—but, alas, lost the spring and joy of my own especial faculties, getting no useful lessons in drawing, and feeling ill at ease in conscience at my mineralogy. I learned four dialogues of Plato—of Theology, the Thirty-nine Articles;—of myself—or the world I was to live in—nothing.

Nor was my Herodotus, though I never mastered his dialect, ill known by the end of my second year, and some extremely useful study got through in the Clouds, Knights, and Frogs; the Birds beat me,—but I owe more of the general tone and form of my political thoughts to Aristophanes than to any other writer, living or dead. It is extremely curious to me to find that from my earliest years, whatever stuff I might be writing myself, or whatever nonsense I might be thinking, I never liked a bad book—and even began very early indeed to rank the good ones at their true value. I sometimes disliked, or did not value, a good one—yet never without some right cause. Both Virgil and Milton were too rhetorical and parasitical for me; Sophocles I found dismal, and in subject disgusting, Tacitus too hard, Terence dull and stupid beyond patience;—but I loved my Plato from the first line I read—knew my Ethics for what they were worth, (which is not much) and detested with all my heart and wit the accursed and rascally Rhetoric,1—which my being compelled to work at gave me a mortal contempt for the whole University system, which little helped my Oxford labours in general. The quantity of that work which my being able already so to judge of all these books meant, must have been considerable, and partly accounts for my having no spare energy for the pursuit of such English history as the buildings of Oxford and its within-walk district ought to have provoked me, and pleaded with me, to know. If any of my tutors had only had the sense to stop off the books I did not like, see that I mastered the dialects

of those I did, and taken two or three summer afternoon walks with me to Godstow and Abingdon, telling me what the places meant, I count that it would have saved me good seven years of strong life, spent in finding out for myself what I might have been told in a summer term.

[The following passage comes in the MS. at the end of what is i. § 137 in the text (p. 118). Incidents related in it were ultimately embodied in i. § 225 and ii. § 155 (pp. 198, 385).]

I need not carry farther the reminiscences of that journey of 1833 to explain the apathy with which I saw the small sublimities and lowly beauties of the neighbourhood of Oxford, after these strong excitements in other directions—but I must again complain with sad astonishment that the University as a historical body, having a youth cast into their hands for educational treatment with his head full of mountains and cathedrals, never required of him a single exercise in map or section drawing, and never taught him either the tradition of a saint or the dynamics of a buttress.

Something was done for me by Mr. Parker, and the Architectural Society,¹ and I got two telling lessons from Henry Acland and Charles Newton. I was one day drawing the cathedral spire from the nearest possible point, the angle of the cloister quadrangle, when Henry, passing, and pausing to observe me a while, began with ironical gravity to express astonishment and sorrow. He had always before, though with the same tone of gentle irony, put himself in the position of a pupil, and pretended to learn from my drawing “how everything was to be done.” On this occasion, with extreme sadness in his countenance, he expressed his disappointment in his master. “But, Ruskin, how many arches do you count in the cornice brackets?” I had to count them on his question. “Eight,” I answered—or whatever the number was, I forget now. “And how many have you got in your drawing?” There were but five! I explained, without much humiliation of myself, that it would have been impossible to draw them with the clearness and delightfulness of the Ruskin manner, unless I had made them a little larger than they were in reality, and that my drawing really gave the effect of the spire better than a more literal one would. But Henry Acland was not to be comforted, nor, afterwards, my once awakened conscience to be put to rest. I did not immediately reform my style—but the lesson told, and the day came when I counted not only the arches in a cornice but the coils in a cable moulding, and whatever the art of my drawing might be, its arithmetic at least was trustworthy.

From Charles Newton, the lesson came less consciously, in the form of a request, that I would draw a Norman door for him, on which he was going to read a paper to the Architectural Society. When I got to work on it, he had to point out to me that my black dots and Proutesque breaks were no manner of use to him, and that I must be content to draw steady lines in their exact place and proportion. I fulfilled his directions with more difficulty than I had expected—and produced the first architectural

¹ [See in the text i. § 225 (p. 198).]
drawing of any value I ever had made in my life. If only I had gone on so! but the accuracy was irksome to me;—the result I thought cold and commonplace. I went back to my dots and breaks for three years more. Yet the lesson stayed with me.

These gains in scholarship, and shocks to my artistic conceit, having been the result of the University residence of 1837–1838, in the vacation of ’38 we went into Scotland to see the Trossachs. I look back with great puzzlement to the state of my mind that year. The hard work on Greek and Algebra had greatly, not sobered, but, numbed me; my child’s simplicity and joy were for ever gone,—my mind was full of more serious thoughts mixed with meaner ambitions. To be a poet like Byron was no base aim, at twelve years old—but to get the Newdigate at nineteen, base altogether.

My drawing, from foolish, but vital effort, which gave it real interest and charm at fourteen, had sunk into a practised skill of vulgar mannerism at nineteen, which not only prevented my farther progress in art, but in great degree destroyed my perception of nature. I looked now merely for bits of building on which my dots and breaks of touch would be effective, and for lines in the landscape about them which would fit into something like a composition. The drawings of this and the following year are in reality the worst I ever made; but when I got a subject that suited my trick of style, the practised ease of it told, and one or two of those Scottish sketches have been extremely popular among the public of my friends,—those of the interior of Roslyn chapel, 1 and of Salisbury Craigs seen from the east end of Princes Street, are allowed, for the sake of their subjects, to occupy permanent places on the drawing-room wall of Brantwood.

In the moral of me, I had suffered far more. The storm of stupid passion in which I had sulked during 1836 and 1837 had passed into a grey blight of all wholesome thought and faculty, in which a vulgar conceit remained almost my only motive to exertion. And even that conceit was feeble and of little practical use,—which feebleness, however, lamentable enough at the time, was indeed the best sign about me—I had at least sense enough to understand that I was not, and never could be, Rubens, or Roubillac—or even—(by this time I knew so much) Byron. I had also so much of languid personal religion in sincerity and understanding, as wholly to prevent my being led away by any vanity of presenting myself for admiration in a pulpit. If I ever entered a pulpit, I well knew what my duty would be there. I had great doubts by this time whether I ever should be fit for such duty; but never for one instant contemplated the assumption of it in pretence, and entirely, though gently, disclaimed the episcopal dreams and complacently selfish pieties in which my parents had planned that future for me.

My love for them and respect for them were great, but both were—to them and to me alike—“a comfortless and hidden well.” 2 My feelings gave me no pleasure in outflow, and to them, none in expression. They

1 [Reproduced in this volume: Plate XI. The drawing of “Salisbury Craigs” is probably the one shown in 1878 as “Edinburgh,” etc., see Vol. XIII. p. 506 (No. 24).]
2 [Wordsworth: the last line of the second verse in the piece called “A Complaint.”]
were exhausted in reading Greek to please them, and in coming down from the side of Skiddaw or Ben Ledi punctually to seven o’clock tea.

After all analysis, however, possible to me of the mischances, or at the time adverse coincidences, which reduced me to this inanition, I cannot explain the grasp it had on a youth of my inner fervour and impressionability. The only aspect under which it becomes intelligible to me is that of the torpor and deformity of a chrysalid. I had wriggled through infancy, and through the days of boyhood, as a sufficiently lively and amiable little caterpillar. I had left off my leaf diet,—wanted honey, before I had any wings or proboscis,—and had tumbled over into a brown bundle of unknown capabilities, without having had sense enough first to spin a cocoon.

I do not in the least remember by what animating heat, or provoking touch, I was stirred out of this chrysalid torpor into the beginning of my real life’s work. Perhaps my good-natured old friend Mr. Loudon, of whom I must give some account presently, had asked of me, or perhaps in some sudden instincts of loquacity I had offered him, a series of papers for his Architectural Magazine on the native characters of Architecture.¹

I said just now that I spent much of my day in the idea of reading. Curiously, I don’t remember, in Yorkshire or the Lakes, that year, opening a single book! But I must have done something, for I was reading for honours, and under distinct tutorial orders, which I entirely meant to obey. Books I must have opened, and mechanically read, and looked out the words I did not know in the dictionary. Somehow I did scrape together some knowledge of Attic Greek; of Homer I never could construe a line, but really mastered the non-construction of Thucydides, and could find my way about in Plato. It seems to me—looking back—as if I never knew or read any Latin at all, except—of all books in the world—Juvenal—the worst and ugliest that could have been put into my hands,—but which I did master, and which founded sternly my first notions of national fault and dishonour in Rome, and so far as she has followed falling Rome, in England.

Thus, in some degree progressive, the third year of Oxford residence —perhaps too much despised by me in its farther Greek reading—passed serenely enough, wasted only in the pains spent on my third try for the Newdigate—which I got at last, to my father’s tearful joy—and my own entirely ridiculous and ineffable conceit and puffing up. I cannot understand how schoolmasters of sense allow their boys ever to try for prizes.

We went on our summer travels that year, 1839, to Cornwall, where I expected the miners to regard me with admiration as the winner of the Newdigate —where, however, I still had the grace and sense to spend all the time I could get, after my miserable forenoon’s task of Lucretius was done, in staring at the sea.² I have ever since held it the most hopeless sign of a man’s mind being made of flint-shingle if he liked Lucretius.

¹ [See i. § 250 (p. 224).]
² [Compare pp. 78, 141, 616.]
And at this point I thankfully quit Oxford for a while, to give account of the way my education was conducted during the long vacations. A certain quantity of Oxford reading was carried on, I know, rather than remember;—all I do remember is having my study of the basalt at St. Michael’s Mount bothered by having at the same time to read Lucretius— whom I had detested with a bitterly wholesome detestation, and have ever since. But this Oxford work, all against grain, was little more than a log at a pig’s neck to me,—I made nothing of it when I was at it, and had all the rest of my day spoiled by an uneasy conscience when I was not at it. However, my father and the new Editor of Friendship’s Offering having agreed between them that I was certainly going to be another Byron, and I—feeling in myself, not without grounds, a certain power of rhythm which was in its way beyond most people’s, or even all people’s I knew, and a sense of beauty which nothing of other men’s writing satisfied in description, whether of mountains or girls, but his,—did, and on the conditions assumed not unwisely, set the goal of being a second Byron far beyond that of getting a First-class at Oxford—valuing (so much sense at least I had already) the last only as a momentary distinction, but the first as a power, and more or less duty of life. Under which convictions and subtle temptations, I spent the sunny hours of many a glorious morning,—when I ought to have been hammering on the hilltops or ploughing in the fields,—in trying which of two fine words would fit best at the end of a stanza, and how the stanza might best be twisted so as to get them both in,—sustaining my stomach for this work at the same time by dwelling on my own disappointed love and on any picturesque horrors or sorrows I could find in Herodotus, or for myself imagine which might have déchirantes—the English word “tearing” does not quite express the same idea—sentiments expressed in rhyme upon them. My tragedy was given up, because after I had described a gondola, a Venetian palace, the beautiful Bianca, and a bravo in a cloak, I didn’t see my way to any particular plot,—but of mere rhythmic mewing and execration, I felt myself—to my sufficient satisfaction—capable. I had seen the dead bodies in the dead-house of St. Bernard, and had really, as the reader has heard, been already face to face with Death himself—as with Love, to my very great cost—and my notions of both the Demons, in their shadow, were therefore very real indeed. With which experiences, powers, and aspirations, I wrote at intervals during these college years, —the “Scythian Banquet Song,” “The Tears of Psammenitus,” “The Broken Chain” (in five links or cantos), the “Walk in Chamouni,” a long “Farewell,” in imitation of Byron’s “Dream”; and three poems for the Newdigate—“The Gipsies,” “The Exile of St. Helena,” and “Salsette and Elephanta,” of which the last won it—I imagine because the subject not being popular, there was nobody else to give it to.

1 [W. H. Harrison.]
2 [Marcolini: see above, pp. 182, 223.]
3 [See above, p. 151.]
4 [For these several poems, see Vol. II. pp. 57, 185, 124, 222, 193, 27, 45, 90.]
And to this day, when I am putting down the aphorisms which I hold most vital for the early guidance of youth or girl through the giddiness and glitter of the lying world, I cannot tell whether the thoughts which I most endeavour to fasten for them are Johnson’s or my own.

Only a week or two since, I find that by curious chance I pencilled in the last leaf of this volume of the *Idler*, (read during breakfast in bed) the first words which occurred to me for the title of this book, “Sketches of scenes and hours which I hold worthy of memory.” Out of this same volume the reader will perhaps have patience with me, while I transcribe the few passages which have been to myself, cardinal[ly] protective, and which—in page after page of my own most careful writings—are in various lights expanded, applied, and with my best skill in every hearing of them farther fortified.

I am amazed to find, as I re-write these passages, how much they had convinced and fortified me in all that afterwards I most desired to convince others of:—and I am a little proud to find on re-reading some detached passages of those first Architectural essays, that it had indeed been the substance, not the manner, of Johnson which had chiefly been seized on by me, and that, with the principles I had learned from him, there are already formed convictions of my own, from which in after life I never saw cause to swerve, on matters in nature and in art of which Johnson was totally insentient. The following passage in the concluding paper of December 1838, gives a sufficient instance of the extent to which I had already carried the theories of ornament which were developed exactly ten years afterwards in the *Seven Lamps*, and it shows also that I had already quite definitely taken my own manner in writing; not at all an imitation of Johnson’s calculated periods, but a carelessly connected throwing out of thoughts as they came into my head, modulating the sentence in any time or rhythm that suited them, and only, when I began to lose breath, finishing it off with a neatly tied knot or melodious flourish:—


“When Nature determines on decorating a piece of projecting rock . . . gratifying the natural requirements of the mind for the same richness in the execution of the designs of men, which it has found on a near approach lavished so abundantly, in a distant view subdued so beautifully into the large effect of the designs of Nature.”]

The passage immediately following this may also be perhaps read with interest, containing as it does nearly the sum of heresies objected to by

1 [Chap. iv., § 15 (Vol. VIII. p. 154).]
me—and of truths insisted on by me, in all future teaching which bore on minor ornament:—

[The passage is § 251—ibid., p. 184—a passage insisting on the relation of ornamental design to natural forms.]

It is quite out of my power, now, to explain the temper or expectation in which all this and the like of it was set down in these anonymous papers, with the air, and apparently the self-security, of a Daniel come to judgment. I had not the slightest idea of becoming either an architect, a painter, or a critic. One of the most unlucky stupidities and blank places in my mind was precisely in this insouciance of what I would do, or be. Wholly idiotic, it appears to me, as my way of staring at the sea. I can only guess—I cannot in the least remember—that the idea of being a clergyman to please my mother, certainly not definitely yet renounced by me, had taken the form of a vague hope to live like White of Selborne, in England, and, occasionally travelling, take Sunday service in Protestant cantons of Switzerland. But I lived always like a grasshopper from day to day, and finding these notions and feelings in me, and having unlimited trust in myself as far as I went, which every true boy, man, and beast has a right to have, set them down in this dictatorial manner, trusting to what I knew was honest in them for their impression on the reader. Which has indeed been my way, more or less, ever since.

The most interesting and vigorous parts of these essays are their descriptions of the Swiss and Westmorland cottages, and the most curious point about them is that after passing from these to more or less forced and feeble observations of Italian villas and Elizabethan halls, illustrated by drawings mostly filched from Turner vignettes (the Swiss cottages are really from nature and good), the papers close abruptly, as if their business was at its natural end, without a word of allusion in any part of them, or of apology for the want of allusion, to the higher forms of civil and religious architecture.

[The MS. then continues as in the text, i. § 253 (p. 227).]

THE TOUR OF 1841

[This passage follows in the MS. upon ii. § 57 (p. 297).]

I am surprised to find my diary take no more cheerful or dutiful colour, after that morning at Lans-le-bourg, but having to leave the Alps as soon as I had found them again perhaps kept me sulky—one of the sulky entries at Rheims may be worth a minute’s notice.

I have not, in recording events at Rome, insisted enough on the really serious study of Michael Angelo which I carried on there, or the state of mind in which it left me. In this matter only, I found the public mind and authority in concord with my own feeling, and assuming that what

1 [Merchant of Venice, Act iv. sc. 1.]
2 [See above, p. 613.]
3 [See above, pp. 296–297.]
thus pleased everybody must be rightly pleasing, spent much time in the Sistine, seeing really on that roof much more than most other people did, and I am able now to say with confidence, pretty nearly all that was to be seen. I felt more and more distinctly through every examining hour that Michael Angelo had all the power of Rubens without his distortion or wantonness;—that he was more spiritual than Sir Joshua, and more natural than the Antique, and with all this had a gift of chiaroscuro and cloudy involution of moving form, which had something in common with my Alps, and Turner. And for some four years after this, that is to say, through the whole writing of the first volume of Modern Painters, remained under the conviction that he was indeed the Lord of modern art.

Adding this new and highest idol to my former group of Rubens, Sir Joshua, Gainsborough, Vandyke, Velasquez, and Turner, it will be evident to the artistic reader that every motive and direction of admiration in my mind was wholly adverse to the character of Gothic sculpture, and that it would not have been possible to put myself under worse conditions to the criticism of the Cathedral of Rheims.

Accordingly I find entered in my diary for June 20th, 1841, as the sum of my opinion of the Cathedral of Rheims on my second visit, that

“There is not one good or graceful detail in the whole edifice, with the single exception perhaps of the bracket and figure beneath it between the great central arch” (of the porch) “and the arch on the left. All the rest is the coarsest cheap mason’s work, but certainly well applied for effect, and very far from anything one could call barbarous.”

Similarly of the Cathedral of Laon, I write on the 21st of June:—

“Excessively singular old Norman, chiefly remarkable for its strange mouldings over the doors—branches uniting at intervals with figures sitting in them instead of niches—nave of many columns just like those of Christ Church, going up only one-third of the height, supporting on their capitals groups of three or four jointed columns going up to the roof and very like gas-pipes.”

The “strange mouldings over the doors” must certainly be flamboyant sculpture introduced subsequently in the pediments—tympana, I mean— which it looks as if I did not at that time know from Norman work. In any case, the state of mind shown by these entries is altogether amazing to me, considering what progress I had made in drawing Gothic three years before 1838. And another entry in the same page equally puzzles me— “On the whole I like French towns as much as I detest French country” —seeing that I had been studying Turner’s Rivers of France when first at Oxford, and that at the bottom of the next page I find this entry of Laon itself:—

“A bold promontory commanding between it and another projection of the same hills to the south a plain of as lovely avenue forest as ever I saw in my life, lines of rich green poplar, running into long shadowy masses exquisitely symmetrical, alternating with fields of bright yellow corn.”
I am glad I had at least the grace to recognize thus much of the beauty of landscape round Laon. I did not see the place again till 1882. The following fragment of a letter to Joanie, giving my new impression of it, will show the degree of progress in taste made during the forty years of intermediate work:—

“(HOTEL DE L’ECU DE FRANCE, LAON, 12th Aug., 1882.)—Except Assisi, I never saw a place like it. Cathedral, for that matter, out and out grander than Assisi would be without the supporting terraces. Instead of them it has avenues of plane-trees above a sloping garden of mixed vineyard and flowers, and the town, cheerfully old-fashioned, and lively, yet contented, with the quaintest pepper-boxes and cruets and cat’s-ears of ins and outs in roofs, and ups and downs in walls, and, on the really old outside walls, the houses mixed among the buttresses and towers, with a window here, and a balcony there, and a bit of arch built in, and a bit of bow built out, and a peep-hole in the roof, and a secret stair in the corner, and nooks and crooks, and outlooks and side-looks; and beautiful bits of garden kept gay but not trim; and vines and pear-trees dropping all over with big pears; and lovely moss and ivy and feathery grass and house-leek, and everything that ever grew on walls or in chinks, and every now and then a cluster of spiry bluebells rooted on a buttress angle; and seven feet high themselves—like foxgloves made saints of—and going off into raptures of chimes; and little dripping wells into cisterns, and recesses with steps down and roofs over—for all the world like Siena—with sweet gush and tinkle and gleam of running surface—and presently all aglow again with marigolds and purple clematis and scarlet geranium—and blue distance seen beyond all.”

The right work which brought me into this better mind lasted forty years exactly, beginning, as already stated,* in the spring of 1842; and here on the heights of Laon, where I unconsciously measured the change, I will pause for a little while, to describe the sort of creature I then was, and had to be changed, or grown, out of.

In the first place, I had the invaluable quality of ductility. In fact, I was a mere piece of potter’s clay, of fine texture, and could not only be shaped into anything, but could take the stamp of anything, and that with precision. Which is the real virtue of me as respects other people. What shape of vase or cylinder I may arrive at myself is really of small consequence to them, but the impressions I take of things of them are trustworthy to the last line, and by the end of the forty years became sufficiently numerous.

In the second place, I had a curiously broad scope of affection, alike for little things and large. From my ants’ nests in Herne Hill garden,1 up to Mont Blanc and Michael Angelo, nothing came amiss to me.


1 [See above, p. 45.]
Or rather, everything came blissful to me. I liked small things for being small, great for being great; the weak for their weakness, and the strong for their strength. And with this power of adaptation, I had also a sensual faculty of pleasure in sight, as far as I know unparalleled. Turner very certainly never took the delight in his own drawings that I did, else he had more uniformly drawn beautiful and sublime things, instead of, as too often, merely intellectually true ones (I will return to this point afterwards1), and certainly he would often have painted subjects for his own pleasure, instead of waiting for commissions. Ductility, comprehensiveness, sensitiveness—and associated with this third, horror of pain and disorder—leading me to wide human compassion; then fourthly, intense delight in, with sound elementary knowledge of, physical science, based on a love of mathematical structure, which in the issue led me continually away from painting into architecture, and, once or twice, very nearly from both into geology and botany. I scarcely count my love of music as a separate and additional faculty, because it is merely the same sensitiveness in the ear to sound as in the eye to colour, joined with the architectural love of structure. But this faculty never had the same chance of cultivation as the others, for the simple reason that while I could see good painting or architecture whenever I chose, it was impossible at this period of my chrysalid existence to hear good music anywhere. The modern Italian school was represented by executants of the highest genius, with the result of such popularity throughout France and Italy, that the optional music of cathedral services continually was arranged from opera airs of that school, which also had as much power over my then temperament as Shelley’s poetry,—and I never came across any one who could explain a single principle of music to me, nor had any opportunity of hearing music of a pure school in simplicity.

Scientific German music—full of conceit and effort—I rightly abhorred then, as I abhor now; and rightly feeling besides that no energy would be enough to follow up painting and music together, I allowed the latter only such chance thought as I could spare—steadily progressive thought, however—until I felt myself justified in speaking of its laws, as I have done lately, in their perceived relation to the laws of other arts.

These various capacities and qualities in me then were at this time fairly ready for action if any stimulus or explicit direction came to them; —but there was for me absolutely nothing of either. I never read, or heard, or knew of a youth so aimless at that age, with so great habits of industry and so many sources of interest, and am a great puzzle to myself in looking back.

This much, however, I perceive with some satisfaction, that the main cause of the indecision was a true feeling of my own littleness. With all the arrogance I have confessed in comparing myself with my companions or tutors, I never for an instant thought of matching myself against great men. I might fancy I could draw better than Prout, and write better than Mr. Pringle —and in some sort these fancies were true—but I never thought I could be Rubens or Michael Angelo or Walter Scott. In nothing

1 [This, however, was not done.]
that I did was I satisfied, and in everything I attempted, found my limits fixed. Neither was I ambitious of false praise. Fond enough of praise, unless I felt I had done the thing well it was no good to me; and though I still wrote poetry to please my father, was by this time perfectly conscious that I had small power that way. For political action or distinction I had neither faculty nor ambition—for the Church I every day felt myself less fit—and my scientific instincts had been stamped out, partly by the classic work at Oxford, and partly because I was never allowed to climb hills by myself, nor to load the carriage with specimens. For the last year also, I had been more or less in a state of disgust with life and yet fear of death—both ignoble and both paralyzing. Fate had at last brought the time for me to shake off these.

[Then as in ii. § 59 (p. 299): “We reached Rochester . . .”]

A RIDE IN WALES: 1841

[This passage in the MS. follows on end of ii. § 59 (p. 300).]

I wanted to see the same hills by the same road that we had taken that happy day from Hereford1—to Hereford we went and posted half the way to Rhaiadyr. But the hills I remembered had vanished completely, as if they had melted into air. It is the only instance in which the impression received from greater things has entirely subdued the smaller ones so as to make the memory seem treacherous to me. In every other case—I write every again and italicize, for I recollect no single exception—my early impressions have been invincible by later ones, however grand. Matlock is still Matlock to me, soar the cliffs of Lauterbrunnen never so high; Skiddaw still Skiddaw, however well I love Mont Blanc. This once only I found my imagination had been deceived by the eager rapture.

We stopped at Rhaiadyr, however, not discontented. Though the hills were low they were more than I could climb, and in some freak of obeying for once the oft-repeated prescription of horse exercise (meaning now to do all I could to get well), I inquired if there was any such thing as a Welsh pony in the stable.

Pony there was not, but a white horse twenty years old, and blind of one eye. This seemed to me exactly the sort of steed I could with comfort and credit bestride. I ordered him to be saddled, got up (I believe on the right side), and moved through the village with serene dignity at a walking pace. When we got out of the village the old horse did not think it necessary to quicken his pace,—neither did I see any reason why he should. Content with each other, we walked on for half a mile on a narrow road carried round a green hill side. It seemed to me that we might as well walk on the grass. My horse—the bridle being slightly bent that way—thought so too. Then I thought perhaps he would not mind going up the hill a little: and being asked, he did not

1 [See above, p. 95.]
mind, but ascended leisurely among the heath, enlarging at each step my view of the pretty valley. We got to the top of the green wave of mountain in half-an-hour or so, and on my intimating to the horse that I should like to go down the other side, he went down the other side at the same tranquil pace. We walked on in this perfect harmony of mind for an hour or two, to my extreme contentment, until I observed that somehow I had put the river between me and the village, and that through it lay much the nearest way home.

Thinking it also about time to turn homewards, and that perhaps my horse might like the shorter way best, I made him understand that I should like to go down to the river-side. It is quite properly what is called in Wales a river—no less indeed than Wye himself rushing bright from Plynlimmon—and had some three-quarters across, very clearly, a place of deepest current. So that I intimated in an extremely gentle and interrogative manner to my friend that I thought we might as well, if he saw no objection, walk through. But he had no objection, and proceeded with the same tranquillity as on the heath, till the water indeed deepened a little more than I myself expected, and presently rippled so high off his breast that I was forced to kneel on the saddle. At this point I observed to him that I thought he should keep his head a little more up stream. Which he did immediately, and finding the water conveniently near his nose, stopped and took a long drink; after which he seemed lost for a minute or two in contemplation of the neighbouring scenery, or at least of the side of it he could see. Tired by this time of kneeling on the saddle, I softly advised him of my wish to proceed—with which he complied on the instant, and placidly pacing through the shallowing water, chose, as I expected, the shortest way home.

I dwell with some complacency on this little excursion—the only occasion in all my life on which I ever arrived at terms of amity and mutual understanding with a horse.

[Then as in ii. § 60 (p. 300), “Next day we went on to Pont-y-Monach, where . . .”]

THE AUTHOR’S DRAWINGS

[The following passages from the MS. are mainly concerned with the author’s criticism of his drawings, and descriptions of his successive styles. The first comes from the portion of the MS. which was afterwards curtailed into the opening sentences of i. § 108 in the text (p. 95).]

1832

First visit to Oxford, Gloucester, Wales. I got my first drawing lessons in 1830–1831—fifty-six good years ago—and conceive myself now at last to know something about it. But in 1832, I thought I knew a great deal about it. In the summer of that year we were at Gloucester, where I made, though I say it, a really pretty drawing of the Cathedral tower. With extreme industry, and an independence of mind, quite distinct from originality—that is to say, I borrowed or imitated just what pleased myself—
I had constructed a style of pen-drawing with shade stippled out of doubled lines, and outline carefully broken for picturesqueness, yet not inelegant, formed chiefly in endeavours to show architecture. Fragments of subjects begun in that year, at Richmond Hill and Windsor, Oxford, Gloucester, and Tewkesbury, are all extremely presentable—the Gloucester tower is even framed in my Oxford schools,1 and I leave it to them in memory of the year in which I first saw Oxford, and remember the look of its towers against the sunset as we drove down the hill at Ifley. There once existed, and may somewhere yet, a piece of joint diary by Mary and me, supplemented occasionally—which was the greatest of favours and encouragements to us—by a word or two from my father. He added to our account of visiting Christ Church cathedral in charge of a guide—we knew no one in the University—“They only let us half in, and we soon let ourselves wholly out, for they put us into a seat directly under the organ.” Such the exact beginning of my Oxford life. From Gloucester we went on to Hereford, having planned that year an expatriation into North Wales from Shrewsbury after my father had seen his business people there. But, as we were breakfasting at Hereford, came talk of the Welsh hills, being thence visible, and I expressing some torture of hope delayed at the thought of skirting them all the long day to Shrewsbury, my father and mother, looking at each other across the table a little while, at last ordered the horses out with their heads towards Wales.

The rapture of that wonderful morning coming suddenly on me, and of the every moment more wonderful and delicious day, as the Welsh hills rose round me, swelling up at first in long knolls out of Hereford plain, closing into steep downs, lifting themselves soon into masses studded with intermitting shade, then into crag, and at last into mountain moorlands; the streams becoming steep, the falls light, the road narrow among the glens of Plynlimmon, and at evening the marvel and majesty of torrent and defile and meeting of waters looked down on from the little inn at Pont-y-Monach! I suppose I had as much pleasure in that single day as some men have in all their lives.

We spent the Sunday at Pont-y-Monach, the joy of a walk . . . (see § 108).

“PROUTESQUE” STYLE

For the enjoyment of all alike, I was further prepared by my ignorance. Hitherto having never so much as drawn the form of a single leaf with attention, even in the living tree, far less in sculpture, all carving came nearly alike to me, so only that it was rich. I carved only for “curlie-wurlies and whigmaleeries,”2 and was as happy in the fifteenth century as in the tenth. Although already I had begun to draw traceries carefully, and the tabernacle work connected with them, for crockets, bosses, or

1 [No. 87 in the Rudimentary Series: see Plate XLIII. in Vol. XXI., where in the note (p. 193) “1834” should, it seems, be 1832.]
2 [Scott, Rob Roy: see Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 30 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 295).]
decorated mouldings, I used only such rude and confused lines as I had learned to imitate from Prout, and left their places blank in my sketches, to be filled up "out of my head" at home. But richness, the aspect of much work on the building, was essential to my pleasure. I hated Greek buildings, firstly because I had never seen a real one, but only the imitations of them in London; and partly in the real and constant love of labour and life which to this day makes me rejoice in a foreground of flowers and a forest of pines. Various association and some dawning sense of the structure of Gothic made me on the whole prefer it to Renaissance, but it mattered not early or late, northern or southern, the Gothic of Rouen or Milan was all one to me—and the Castle of Heidelberg as good as the Certosa of Pavia.

I was now thoroughly dextrous and quick with my pencil in getting as much as I wanted of a building or street in Prout's manner—entirely master of perspective, and had great sense of position, and composition, in a subject. The crowd behind me in the street were always interested, and satisfied, 1—artists, however cognizant of the faults, were usually astonished by my decision and rapidity, and a certain number of the drawings made on the spot at this period are good enough to be extremely useful as copies for the younger drawing pupils at Oxford. 2 My enjoyment in this ready power was very great, my industry indefatigable, and the pride and hope with which I beheld the arrival, the week before we started, of my square-folio sketch book of smooth grey paper, with long ruler and square fitted into its purple binding—unspeakable.

1837

[This passage in the MS. follows i. § 241 of the text (p. 216).]

I have already said 3 that the pencil drawings from nature of the year 1835 were really meritorious and of value. But their technical virtue was an acicular precision of sharp black line ending with a dot which, now at eighteen, I began to feel were inconsistent with repose and consistency of flow in contour, and very slowly began to quit my bars and dots, and draw curves where they were necessary, with a gentler and greyer line.

The drawings of Bolton Choir, Brougham Castle, Newark Castle, and Lichfield Cathedral, executed this year [1837], show the style of this transitional period at its best, those of Roslyn Chapel, Stirling gate and church,— given away I believe,—and Edinburgh in the following one, and of St. Michael's Mount in 1839, 4 are all inferior, the bad method becoming more and more mannered, and my Oxford work—and foolish poetry,—with general disorganization of temper, taking all healthy spirit, cheerfulness, and sense out of the already mannered and narrow design. In this state of things,

1 [See above, ii. § 123 (p. 356).]
2 [The reference may be to such drawings of 1840–1841 as Nos. 64, 65, and 88 in the Reference Series: Vol. XXI. pp. 31, 34.]
3 [See above; and p. 214.]
4 ["Bolton" was shown in 1878: see Vol. XIII. p. 506 (24 c); "Newark" also, there dated 1838 (24 d); and "Lichfield" (24 b). "Roslyn Chapel" is in Mr. Wedderburn's collection. The two of "Stirling" were shown in 1878 (24 h and i). "St. Michael's Mount" was shown at the Ruskin Exhibition at Coniston in 1900.]
which continued till 1840, and may be broadly considered as the fourth, extremely snuffy and guttery lustre of my life, sixteen to twenty—1835 to 1840—I will here mark only what good was growing through the general ruggedness and temporary blight.

First, I had the sense not to go on making bad drawings in colour, though occasionally by way of indulgence—or for fame in Cornhill1—doing a vignette in imitation of Turner. And in the pencil work I retreated upon, did honestly try to carry away as much fact as I could, though I saw my way to very little. And here, be it observed in passing, that the method of outline drawing applied to landscape is an entirely modern scientific process, the landscape sketches of all early masters being merely notes of material to be immediately used in the backgrounds of pictures, and therefore merely painter’s shorthand of fragments useful to him, each in his own manner. The idea of a mathematically accurate and attentive summary of the facts of an entire landscape or street view, for the sake of those facts, is essentially modern. Dutch in its origin—in the mere dulness of pleased imitations developed by the Early English water-colour school as preliminary to their attentive work, and explanatory of its rapid and too accidental work—it becomes afterwards a delight in itself, and pleasant insistence on the natures and forms of things, without proceeding to their realization. Turner and Prout perfected the system of it, and throughout their lives made ten outlines to one drawing—nine for their own sake.

There is yet one very important fact to be noted of outline drawing in general, that it entirely refuses emotion. The work must be done with the patience of an accountant, and records only the realities of the scene—not the effects on them. Prout’s towns are all in forenoon sunshine, mine in tranquil shade—Turner’s outlined as it were with camera-lucida. The artist must be happy, at leisure, and resolute—above all, careless of praise. He well knows that no attention will ever be paid by the public to the qualities of an outline.

In my own case, I got much more praise from the general public than I deserved, for my outlines; yet on the whole worked honestly for my own instruction and the record of the scene. Finding, however, my now formed architectural touch incapable of rendering foliage or rocks rightly, I was contented to indicate them by quite wretched conventionalism, the rather that having at present, at all events in idea, to spend most of my time in reading, there was not a moment left to draw mere stones or trees in—if I got my abbey or castle, it was all I hoped.

Nevertheless, the extreme stupidity of the landscape conventionalism into which I fell at this time requires crucial analysis,—which may be reserved to the time of its abandonment, as I have spent enough parenthetic pains on art matters for the present.

In this more or less again prospering and reviving temper, I entered the Yorkshire hill country at Catterick bridge, in 1837, and spent the Sunday and a day or two more at Greta Bridge in a rapture which has been one of the great landmarks and pleasures of memory ever since.2

1 [Then the place of business of Messrs. Smith, Elder & Co., the publishers of *Friendship’s Offering*: see above, pp. 90, 91.]
2 [See in the text, i. § 244 (p. 218).]
Before then I had been in possession of the Pilgrims of the Rhine, entirely illustrated by him; and of the volumes of Landscape Annual, in which were exquisitely engraved his drawings at Burgos, Granada, and Seville. I had been much interested by his careful and well-relieved rendering of tracery, and any meaningless ornamental forms or rich surfaces—such as those of tiled roofs, arabesque walls, and Gothic niches; and had been for some time modifying my own imitations of Prout by attempting to follow this more rich and, as far as it went, true manner of delineation. The Egyptian drawings were made with a diligence and patience greatly edifying to me, and with a precision of line which I had no pretence to equal, though I had been drawing little more than lines for the last seven years.

This linear work, however, was completed to the pitch of shadow that Roberts chose by flat grey washes, giving the forms of shade with precision and its gradations with delicacy, and finally touched, for light, with whitish yellow. I immediately saw the facilities given by these means for obtaining the essential forms in any subject, and their adoption at once enabled me to use what powers of delineation I had already obtained to the best possible effect. The drawings made on this principle satisfied myself, for the first time, and gave much pleasure to most people interested in the scenes they represented—such of them as I possess remain to this day delightful to me. I must run the chance of being tedious so far as to indicate the difference in the way I applied these restricted means, from their use by Roberts. To the end of his life Roberts remained merely a draughtsman and oil painter in grey and yellow—he never looked for the facts of colour in anything, nor received, as far as can be judged from his work, emotion from anything but in so far as it was large—varied in picturesque surfaces, and capable of being arranged in a composition of light things against dark ones, and dark against light.

How far at this time, on the contrary, I saw and enjoyed the colour I never attempted to represent, may be judged accurately from the passage of Modern Painters so often quoted by my shallow literary admirers—the description of sunshine after storm at La Riccia. That passage is merely the description of one of the thousand thousand sights and scenes which were then the delight of life to me—but, in the splendour and fulness of them, wholly beyond any form of painting I had reached. And I had the general sense to draw only what I could draw, already, rightly, looking forward—as far as the serious fear of death now overshadowing me permitted—to being able to paint such things some day or other, or if not, to be happy in seeing Turner do them, while I

1 [The Pilgrims of the Rhine. By the Author of “Eugene Aram” (Saunders and Otley, 1834)—illustrated by David Roberts. Jennings’ Landscape Annual for 1835, 1836, 1837, and 1838, contained “The Tourist in Spain, by Thomas Roscoe, illustrated by David Roberts.”]

2 [See Vol. III. p. 279.]
pleased myself and my friends enough with pencil outlines washed with cobalt and touched with Naples yellow.

If anybody at this time had shown me in the least the way to what I wanted—if Turner had even let me see him lay a tint, or if William Hunt could have travelled with us on the front seat—there had been a chance, as before of my being a great geologist, so now of me being a notable painter, in a certain limited sphere. Again I have only to write—Parcis Aliter.1

However, the chrysalid epoch was at last past, and in a fluttering, blundering, blinded way I was beginning to see the world of light again; nor did a day pass without my making an advance of some kind or other. My first fair trial of my new method, learnt from Roberts, was on the Château de Blois—from the courtyard of which I came back to the Inn so extremely satisfied with the result, in the form of a flimsy, yet somewhat graceful drawing of its spiral staircase, that I declared to my father that “Prout would give his ears if he could make such a drawing as that”!2 Something must be allowed for the first excitement of an unexpected success—something for my fast advancing sense of delicacy and grace in architecture; what, after all allowance, remains of inexcusable arrogance was yet at this time immensely useful to me, in enabling me to plough my way on through every form of false teaching, trusting my own joyful instincts for the right. I forgot to count among my college expenses, very early (I recollect feasting on [it] the first night in my little bedroom at Peckwater,) the cost of Turner’s Rivers of France (how little thinking what was to become of the Loire series!’3), and the book thenceforward became the criterion of all beauty to me; so early had I got to the understanding of his latest work, in its light and shade. Nobody but the engravers had ever seen the drawings—Turner had tied them up in a roll and put them away in a drawer.

At Rouen, I hunted down all his points of view from the riverside and hill; and virtually we started for Rome by traversing the “gate of the forest,” which seems to have been his principal object in the view from Pont de l’Arche. Very truly that gap cut by the broad chausée through the hundred feet high forest—upright wild forest—pathless, except by formal green allée, or paved chausée, must have struck him as an altogether French feature of landscape, impossible among the fungoid bosses of oak or broken clumps of beech in English parks,—how much more in Yorkshire copse and Scottish wild wood.

By Pont de l’Arche to Louviers and Évreux, a long day by Dreux to Chartres,—and I learnt for ever what painted glass was; —another long day to Orleans,—and I learnt at once what bad modern Gothic was.

The essential catastrophe of all that was best in France may be dated by the building of Orleans Cathedral. So to Blois and Amboise, which rightly made a great impression on me with its St. Hubert’s chapel—and

1 [See above, p. 224 n.]
2 [This remark is in the text, ii. § 21 (p. 263).]
3 [Ruskin was afterwards to present them to the University of Oxford: see Vol. XIII. p. 559.]
4 [Seen prominently in the drawing by Turner, which is No. 136 in the National Gallery.]
so to Tours, where, finding another bad cathedral, I was glad to give up architecture and turn the horses’ heads to the mountains.

In a couple of days—one given to see the tapestry work at Aubusson—we saw the blue waves of Auvergne rolling along the southern sky. A little white stone, dull white enough, and of an extremely uncrystalline, indefinable, metamorphic sort, much like my own mind at this time, is still kept in my cabinet at Brantwood, in memory of a happy Sunday afternoon at Pont Gibaud.

The drive thence by Le Puy to Clermont showed me—what I knew at the time would be all I should ever care to see, of volcanic mountain and country, in which the so-called rocks are not really rocks, but cinders. The unnatural architecture of the basalt interested me only at Le Puy, where it is less formally columnar. I have only confirmed by afterthought, and experience, the conviction expressed in Modern Painters of the harm done to landscape painters by studying the rugged disorders, or graceless order, of volcanic rock.

Thence, the journey by Valence to Avignon was all made gloomy . . .

[The MS. here continues as in the text, ii. § 22 (p. 263).]

1842

[Although it relates to the tour of 1842, this passage in the MS. follows i. § 194 of the text (p. 167).]

It had been planned that we should spend a month in Chamouni; which being duly given, we went up to Berne and home by Carlsruhe, Mayence, Cologne, and St. Quentin. At the last two towns I made the two last drawings ever executed in my “first manner.” One careful outline of Mont Blanc with the village of the Prieuré, a few studies of towers at Mayence, a bit of the Hotel de Ville at Louvain and the lighthouse of Calais, were all that I brought home that year, with one sheet of studies of figures.

The two outlines of St. Quentin and Cologne were made for the sake of knowing the places only—the sheet of figures was an experiment on the time necessary to draw them rightly. I thought and looked, much more than I drew; and was surprised to find at Louvain and Antwerp that my taste in architecture was also changing, and that their Flemish buildings were by no means so good as I had supposed.

I made careful notes on Vandyck and Rubens in the principal galleries, and came home humiliated indeed about my former work—but in a state of extreme pride and enthusiasm, at having found out so much that was assuredly now right, for myself;—and of corresponding contempt for the various masters of whom none had set me in the right way.

1 [See, for instance, Vol. III. pp. 426, 473; and Vol. VII. p. 307.]
2 [See above, p. 316.]
3 [Perhaps the frontispiece to Vol. II.]
4 [Plate XII. in Vol. XIV. (p. 408).]
In its simplest terms, my scheme of education is only that all the energies of the mind shall be founded on affection and benevolence; and that all the faculties of the body shall be developed in due time to healthy and balanced strength. One thing only has given a peculiar and, it seemed, a personal colour to the development of these quite general ideas, namely, the extreme importance attached to the faculty of Sight, and the studies which cultivate it. That of Hearing had been exhaustively treated of by Plato, and, in the modern art and science of Music, addressed with servile and extravagant indulgence: while the faculty of sight has been virtually despised by every leader in education, its sensibilities not only un cared for, but insulted; and the pleasures derivable from it usually narrowed into the lazy perception that roses are pleasingly red, gold attractively yellow, and diamonds conspicuously bright. In the third of the essays I lately began on the laws of Fiction, I claimed for myself a peculiar fineness in the pleasures of sight, such as had been possessed in the same degree only by four other men in the last century; yet this special faculty would never have been allowed by me to give any prevailing colour or direction to my work, had it not been compelled by the scorn of it in the thoughts of all other teachers and philanthropists. I have not written about clouds and flowers because I love them myself, but because the energies of mankind are devoted all around me to the pollution of skies and desolation of fields; and I have not written of pictures because I loved pictures, but because the streets of London were posted over with handbills, and caricatures, and had become consistent and perpetual lessons in abomination and abortion to every soul that traversed them, so far as it used its sight.

I have not—again let me say with insistence—written of any of these things because I especially loved them. I hear it often said by my friends that my writings are transparent, so that I may myself be clearly seen through them. They are so, and what is seen of me through them is truly seen, yet I know no other author of candour who has given so partial, so disproportioned, so steadily reserved a view of his personality. Who could tell from my books, for instance, except in the course and common event of the abandonment of a sectarian doctrine, what has been the course of religious effort and speculation in me? Who could learn anything of my friendships or loves, and the help or harm they have done me? Who could find the roots of my personal anger? or see the dark sprays of them in the sky? The only parts of me that my readers know, even if they have common-sense, are, first, my love of material as well as human beauty (so that when another man, reduced to despair, suppose, by a cruel shepherdess, would go miauling and howling about the vale and the valleys, I can climb the nearest crag, and silence, if not solace, myself in the study of granite, as uncomplainingly and irrefragably cleft); secondly, my love of justice and hatred of thieves; and thirdly, my general wish

1 [Fiction, Fair and Foul, § 73 (Vol. XXXIV. p. 343).]
to make all honestly living creatures happy—even at some inconvenience to myself.

Lastly, but perhaps, practically, of all the chief characteristics legible of me, is an instinct for Teaching which resolves itself, as far as I can make out the thing under my own microscope, partly into an extreme dislike of folly absolute, and for the rest, into an almost inexplicable but strongly instinctive pleasure in the filling of empty heads and hearts, as if they were so many bottles, like to be broken for having nothing inside, or cells of honeycomb too hollowly fragile. And under the growling of this indignation at public folly and the minor buzzing and murmuring of the hymenopterous instinct for pouring good conserve of eternal fact, sweet in the taste and nourishing in the substance, into every cell of human soul that will let it in, I have gone on throughout my life, printing everything I could discover of such fact as fast as I could, and snarling at foolish things and people as hard as I could; but often with no more sense of duty than the tide has in filling sandpits, or a stone in rolling down hill.

These four main characters of me, then, are, as I have said, legible enough, in my constant work. The tendency to moralise or sermonise— involved on one side with the common vanity of a clerk, and on the other with more or less right religious sentiment—I do not here think it proper, or needful, to discuss.

But whatever belongs to it, or has been dictated by it, may perfectly well by any reader whom it offends be skipped, or denied: the practical substance of my books, if he knows how to read, will remain for him exactly the same.
LETTERS INTENDED FOR “DILECTA”

FROM J. C. LOUDON (1837) TO J. J. RUSKIN

“(November 30, 1837.)—My wife and myself unite in thanking you for your kindly sending F. O. 1838, and I beg you will also thank your son. Both my wife and myself had recognised ‘Christ Church, Oxford’ in the Athenæum long before we received your letter. It and the other poems are exquisitely beautiful; but not less so in my opinion is an article by your son on the Poetry of Architecture in the December number of the Architectural Magazine, of which number I send you a copy. Your son is certainly the greatest natural genius that ever it has been my fortune to become acquainted with; and I cannot but feel proud to think that, at some future period when both you and I are under the turf, it will be stated in the literary history of your son’s life that the first article of his which was published was in Loudon’s Magazine of Natural History.”

FROM SAMUEL PROUT TO RUSKIN (1848)

“5, De Crespiigny Terrace, Monday Night.

“My dear Sir,—Please to accept my best thanks for your last kind letter. Such assurances of friendship give me real happiness, and make me feel ambitious of preserving it. I ought to have sooner acknowledged the favour, but have been sadly hindered.

“I was in town on Friday last, to give a promised vote, when the cold bitter N. E. wind harassed my weak lungs, and I have had to bear up under much suffering. But this has, in one form or another, been the tale of past years, from my youth.

“Many persons can talk of health through life—others for many months together; my share has always been measured by days, sometimes only by hours. But, by God’s blessing, I have been favoured with assistance to persevere in living hope, and with a lively temperament.

“Pray do not suffer this kindness of writing for me to intrude on your valuable time; the MS., I believe, will not be required before the end of January.”

1 [Part of this letter has already been printed in Vol. I. p. xxxvii.]
2 [The MS. of Ruskin’s paper on Prout which appeared in the Art Journal of March 1849: see Vol. XII. p. 305.]
“Your third volume ought not to be hindered a moment, and shame is often felt for this intrusion. You are now the standard-bearer of art, and lead a host. Yet, a few words ‘in the person of the intangible’ will be interesting, as Rouen is a city of which you can write as one having authority. It is after your own heart, and although much loved by myself, it is best appreciated by you. Would I could exchange twenty old years for twenty new ones, and, with the eyes you have opened, I would be a real ‘architectural draughtsman,’ without resigning my enthusiasm for the picturesque.

“Formerly, (although I never drew what I did not see,) my sketches were but approaching resemblances, mere indications of grace and beauty. At the eleventh hour I feel that the breadth I then wished to express would have been equally broad, had they possessed a clearer expression of ornamented parts.

“You also kindly add, ‘and about your works,’ in which you must have discovered many faults, and I could point out many more. Happily, I do not thirst for the plaudits of the multitude, nor, in asking for criticism, mean approbation. Your criticism is like the knife of a skilful surgeon, so that, as I am in safe hands, you may cut away without hearing a moan. Leave page 111 as it is,1 and I never shall be unhappy.

“Why is it that few, besides your honour and your humble servant, have made pilgrimages to the shrines of St. Rouen, St. Nuremberg, St. Venice, St. Rome, and many others? Oh that I could, in the garb of penitence, atone for many transgressions, and before those precious relics make fervent vows to sin no more. Alas! at sixty-five I fear it is now too late.

“You lost very little at the first meeting of the ‘Graphic.’2

“Pray forgive my troubling you with so long a letter; shall I say, because it is Christmas Day evening, I make merry with my friends? The fatted turkey has been slain, and our table has humbly honoured the festive season, yet without music and dancing.

“Our windows are closed for the death of a dear brother’s wife, released from long suffering.”

1 [That is, of vol. i. of Modern Painters, in which Ruskin, while praising Prout, referred to his “manifold faults”: see Vol. III. p. 217.]
2 [The editor of the Art Journal. Ruskin, it seems, had promised Prout to write the appreciation above referred to.]
3 [A drawing society of the time.]
SCHEME FOR COMPLETION OF
THE WORK

LIST OF JOURNEYS AND SUBJECTS OF STUDY

The following is a copy of a sheet of MS., showing Ruskin’s chief journeys and subjects of study from 1826 to 1876:—

1826. Paris (at age of 7).
1833. Schaffhausen, over Splügen to Como. Milan.
1835. Chamouni. North Switzerland by Tyrol and Stelvio to Venice; back over Brenner to Munich, home by Rhine.
1838. Scotland.
1840. Winter in Rome. 1841, Spring. Mont Cenis.
1842. Chamouni. First right study of rocks and foreground foliage.
1844. Chamouni and Vevay.
1845. Florence and Venice. First study of Angelico and Tintoret.
1846. Florence and Riviera of Genoa.
1847. Scotland. First careful colour study.
1848. Normandy. First architectural analysis.
1850. Venice.
1852. Venice.
1853. Scotland. Foreground study completed.
1854. Oberland.
1860. Sallenches and Chamouni.
1861. Lucerne and Aldorf.
1863. Annecy and Bonneville. Alpine limestones.
1876. Venice and Simplon.
Among the MSS. is a sheet in Ruskin’s hand, another in that of a secretary, and four proofs with MS. notes and corrections, all relating to the scheme and titles of chapters intended to complete the third volume of Præterita, and also a third volume of Dilecta, as the proofs are headed “Præterita, Vol. III.” and “Dilecta, Vol. III.” although, in fact, only two of the three published chapters of Dilecta had then appeared. From these papers we can see both the plan ultimately arrived at, and the way in which it was reached, as well as some hints as to the meanings of the titles, and subjects of the chapters.

“PRÆTERITA,” VOL. III.

i. The Grande Chartreuse.

ii. Mont Velan. Other titles proposed were “Monte Viso,” “The Great St. Bernard” (and “Vevay”), and, different in subject, “The Garden of the Hesperides,” “Oranges and Lemons”; and again “Dash, Thistle, and Wisie,” afterwards placed as Dilecta, III. ii.

iii. L’Esterelle. Other titles proposed were “The Nereids’ Guard,” “The Fight with the Dragon,” and (perhaps as motto) “A damsel came to listen called Rhoda.” At one time “Königstein” was to be united with this chapter as “L’Esterelle and Königstein.”

iv. Joanna’s Care. Other titles, corresponding to one of the alternatives for chap. iii., were “The Dog-Dragon” or “The Dragon Changed,” and, different in subject, “The Salève” or “The Salève and Lucerne,” and “The Lost Sunsets” or “The Sunsets that Nobody Saw” (with note “Lady Trevelyan”). This last chapter was at one time placed as vi. or vii. Other subjects were “Boulogne Sands,” and “Dash, Thistle, and Wisie,” or “Königstein.”


vi. Königstein. Noted as “Happy Swiss and Chamouni life with father and mother—Both their characters.” This chapter would have taken the place of one on “Chamouni” placed in one list as No. xi. Under another plan chapter vi. was to be entitled “The Wisdom (or Laws, or Proverbs) of the Son of Sirach,” and deal with Winnington and with Political Economy.

vii. The Rainbows of Giessbach. Called in one list “Marie of the Giessbach.” See also above s. Chap. iv. for another subject proposed.

1 [Thus some material for Dilecta, iii., Golden Water, is headed “Dilecta, xxv.”]

2 [See below, p. 641.]
viii. Regina Montium. Other titles, “Red Righi” or “The Red Righi,” and “Isola San Michele,” or “Verona” (see Dilecta, III. vi.).

ix. The Hunter’s Rock. A note in MS. adds, “The rock of marble between Lucca and Pisa, where Ugolino dreamed he was hunting”; and on other lists is added, “Last of Lucca,” “Pisa and Lucca.” “Looking down on Pisa” was also a possible title.

x. Fairies’ Hollow. MS. note adds, “At Chamouni, my last happy days there with old Couttet and Rosie’s last letters.”

xi. Shakespeare’s Cliff. MS. adds, “Early Dover returned to. Summing of literary purpose. Last review of England.” One list has for this chapter “Boulogne Sands,” or (but struck out) “Colwith Force.”

xii. Calais Pier. MS. adds, “Early France returned to and ended with. Last review of France.”

“DILECTA,” VOL. III.

i. Golden Water.

ii. Dash, Thistle, and Maude1 (or Wisie, or Bramble). See s. Præterita, III. ii. iv.

iii. Ara Celi. MS. notes, “Love, the Altar of Heaven, Rule of Life. Love, the Rule of Life.”

iv. Schaffhausen, or Brave Galloway (Scott, Edinburgh). See below, s. vi.

v. Rose Fluor. (My own mineralogical life and study. Crossthwaite, Couttet.)

vi. Verona (Final work there in 1869 before taking Oxford professorship) or Schaffhausen. See above, s. iv.

vii. The Jungfrau.

viii. The Bay of Uri. (Lucerne.)

ix. St. Martin’s Porch. (Lucca Porch.)

x. St. Martin’s Bridge. (Sallenches.)

xi. St. Martin’s Chapel. (Canterbury.)

xii. Notre Dame of the Isle. In one list “La Sainte Chapelle.”

Yet another scheme carries the book down to 1882. In its actual form it comes down in some sort to 1864. Of later (or in some cases somewhat earlier) date would have been chapters on some of these:—

| Boulogne Sands | Marie of the Giessbach |
| Milan | Keswick |
| Mornex | Abbeville |
| Lucerne | Verona |
| The Crystal Palace | Matlock |

In the next scheme these chapters, covering the years 1860–1870, were to have been compressed into five; and the series then continued:—

6. 1872. Carpaccio’s Chapel
7. 1874. The Sacristan’s Cell
8. 1874. Broadlands
9. 1875. The Vale of Thame
10. 1876. Domo d’Ossola
11. 1878. The Vision
12. 1882. Monti di Lucca

1 [Ruskin’s dogs; for a note upon them, see above, p. 502 n.]
In this scheme, Chapter I. was to have told of his sojourn at Boulogne—the “story of the Hurets,1 steady beginning of Greek, the phosphor sea.” Chapter II. Would have told of Unto this Last and his long sojourn at Mornex; Chapter III., of his stay at Lucerne and “the Georgie time.”2 “Chapter IV. must be Neuchâtel and give account of my father’s death first; then Lady Trevelyan’s; and the coming of Joanie. The parting from my young life; what Lady Trevelyan had been to me.” “Chapter V. to be a cheerful number of general interludes—Connie, Joanie, and Marie, with Norton.” Chapter VI., “Venice from beginning; the first wonder of the Bridge of Sighs, first drawing in St. Mark’s Place. The last time at Venice, 1876. Prince Leopold’s wish.” Chapter IX., “Go back here to the Broadlands time. Then filO and filh [Mr. and Mrs. Cowper-Temple]. Waiting for Rose under the cedar.” Chapter XI., “General life at Brantwood —illness.” Chapter XII., “The Hills of Carrara. The 1882 journey —revisiting Ilaria. Farewell to Lucca and Italy.”

PASSAGES INTENDED FOR “PRÆTERITA,” VOL. III.

The following are a few fragments from the MSS. or proof-sheets, arranged under the several headings of the intended chapters of volume iii. as shown above.

Chapters i.–iv. of Præterita, vol. i ii., were issued by Ruskin. Among unused material for chapter ii. is the following scrap on the Rhine at Basle:—

My father and mother were always comfortable at the Trois Rois, and I had notes to make on Holbein, and to explore the hills north of the Rhine with Couttet: and watch the Rhine itself—in the moment of its turning away for ever from its native land.

I do not find in modern guidebooks any notice of the total difference in character, as well as power, between the Rhine and Rhone. The Gods of both rivers having deigned to concern themselves much in my own education, I cannot go farther in record of it without some word about this greatest, though less loved, river-tutor.

The Rhone, in truth, from its glacier to the sea, remains merely a great torrent. It is simply the mountain stream of the Valais, receiving what of snow melts, which is small in proportion to their height, in summer on Monte Rosa, Mont Combin, and Mont Blanc. But the Rhine receives the rainfall virtually over the whole face of Switzerland, and the snow melttings of the entire wilderness of Alpine rock, from Berne to the Grisons. Every great Swiss river joins it, besides the streams of Jura that feed the lake of Neuchâtel, and those that rage down from unthought-of ravines in the Tyrol and Black Forest, and the mass of water that sweeps ceaselessly under the bridge of Basle has always been, though unimaginable to me, one of the chiefly majestic things I knew in the world. Majestic in a way proportionate to human faculties, I mean—American rivers that one can’t see from

---

1 [Ruskin’s friends among the fishermen: see above, p. 534.]
2 [His tour with Edward and Georgiana Burne-Jones: see Vol. XVII. p. liii.]
one side to the other of, I class with the Deluge, the Glacial Period, and the void of Space.

But it is extremely curious to me that among the many scientific jottings on bygone deluges and the microscopic vermin of modern ditches, I can’t lay my hand on any document concerning the depth of the Rhine either at Basle, Strasburg, or Cologne; nor among the long talks on aqueous denudation, do I find the slightest notice whether the Rhine is supposed to be washing Basle, Strasburg, and Cologne away, or whether those venerable cities are, on the contrary, with the remains of their mortality, inconveniencing the Rhine: to a greater extent than they have pleased it with their poetry.

My own impression has always been that considering the quantity of mud and sand the river carries down, as soon as it has entered the sandstone districts, it is a wonder the often languid flow clears its bed so continuously, and that practically Basle and Cologne stand pretty much at the brim of it as they did in the year 1200. Little of Basle dates so far back, but it was, when I first knew it, one of the venerablest cities in Europe, in its mingling of simple Swiss manner of building with the plain Burgundian Gothic of the fifteenth century.

Some additional matter, found among the MSS. and intended for ch. iii. ("L’Esterelle"), is now printed as an Appendix to it (pp. 532–534).

Ch. v.—"The Source of the Arveron"—was to have told, among other things, of Ruskin’s love of Alpine streams, meadows, and flowers. The following fragment, dated “Brantwood, 31st May 1889,” was to have been the beginning of the chapter:—

Not only in the order, but a little in the method, of Præterita, the delay of its conclusion has involved changes;—there are so many things now pleading to be told distinctly as soon as possible that I cannot resolutely choose among them, but must let the accidents of each day guide or divert my thoughts as I used to do in For:—only, I have now both design and fixed boundaries in each chapter, of which the one must be in some sort fulfilled, and the other not exceeded.

And it was by a pleasant and helpful chance yesterday that Miss Kate Greenaway, who came down last week to consult with me, among other matters, on the possibility of getting a pied piper or two enrolled in the Coniston Band, gathered and brought in to show me as new to her a little branch of the mountain vetch, which has been wonderful always to me for the grace of its fading flower;—there are so few flowers that are lovely in their passing away, but this branch is still in its first springing; the flower is almost as bright as a pink, the leaf faultless in symmetry, and the sight of it brings back instantly, and compels me to record with some care, the course of the last happy day I ever spent with Lady Trevelyan.

It was at this time of the spring, in 1866. Sir Walter and she, with their little Connie, now rising fourteen and a dainty little vetch of a girl, intended a journey into Switzerland,—chiefly for Lady Trevelyan’s health, but partly also to enable me to take Joanna for a
month's summer holiday from her nursing task to better her drawing of Alpine flowers—Sir Walter finding the rarest for us with unfailing knowledge of locality, and Lady Trevelyan, ill though she was, rejoicing in the progress of the notes I was then writing for Proserpina.

Chapter vi. ("Königstein") was to have given some general account of Ruskin's tours abroad with his parents, of which the tour of 1859 (to Königstein, near Dresden, among other places) was the last. The following scrap was to have formed the beginning of the chapter:

The close of the journey\(^1\) was memorable to me, in having granted the last happy walk in the Alps which I had with my mother. I had long intended to make a careful study of the pine forests, traversed by cascades, on the left bank of the Arc, four miles above St. Michel on the Cenis road. We found very pleasant rooms in the little inn of the village of St. Michel, and there papa and mamma settled themselves for ten days or a fortnight, in which time I promised to complete my drawing; and for a wonder, and for once, did so. But of course my subject, with effect of sunshine aslope from the east and south, could only be worked upon in the morning; and I used to drive the four miles up hill to it, work for two or three hours steadily, and get back to the village in time to take papa and mamma for a walk before dinner. On both sides of the valley of St. Michel, the terraced walks from cottage to cottage are of perfect beauty.

For chapter vii. ("The Rainbows of Giessbach") Ruskin had copies made of some letters written from there to his mother in 1866: these have been printed in Vol. XVIII. pp. xl.–xlii.

Chapter viii. was to have told of the Righi (as promised in the text, see p. 167)—hence the title of the chapter, "Regina Montium," that being one of the traditional derivations of the name.

Chapter ix. ("The Hunter's Rock") was to have had as its "motto" "The Hills of Carrara" from Ruskin's "old poems" (see Vol. II. p. 208). It would have dealt with Lucca and Pisa. There was a drawing in the Ruskin Exhibition of 1907 (No. 96) which Ruskin entitled "View from Lucca, under the Hunter's Rock." The following scrap, intended to introduce the chapter, is printed from a proof-sheet:

In the only bit of Dante that English people ever read or have heard of (after their favourite piece of the adultery of Francesca), the starving of Count Ugolino, they are content to enjoy the description of his starvation, when they might see any quantity of Ugolinos, not counts, starved to death in their own villages. Also, they never inquire what the Count had done to deserve starving; nor what sort

\(^1\) [It is not possible to say which year is meant. The drawing of the pine-forest on the Cenis road (now at Oxford, Vol. XXI. p. 99 and Plate XXXIV.) was dated by Ruskin "1854 or 1856" (Vol. XIII. p. 510). In W. G. Collingwood's Life and Work of Ruskin (1st ed., 1893, vol. i. p. 232) the sojourn on the Cenis road is given to the year 1859; the diaries, however, while not fixing the date, make it almost certain that Ruskin must here refer to one of the former years.]
of feasting he had in hell after he was starved: least of all do they notice when, in the first dream of his despair, he dreamt he was hunting the wolf in the mountain which Dante is content to indicate with one line—

“Per che i Pisani veder Lucca non ponno”¹
(“Because of which the Pisans cannot see Lucca”).

They do not see why the Pisans should wish to see it. Or why, being only twelve miles away, it is so impossible they should!

Due north and south they lie to each other,—like this: L Lucca, P Pisa, as the black thick line for the Arno; they, as I said, twelve miles apart. Florence (F) thirty miles eastward,—level with Pisa. Putting the triangle south instead of north from the river, and putting M for Maidstone, R for Rochester, and G for the bit of London round Grosvenor Square, beloved of Sydney Smith, the distances are about the same; and if Rochester and Maidstone were ultimately fighting with Grosvenor Square, and sparring aside for practice, you can fancy the sort of life the three loving cities led each other.

Chapter X. (“Fairies’ Hollow”) was to have given “Rosie’s last letters.” One of these was set up in type, and some extracts from it are given in the Introduction (above, pp. lxix., lxx.).

PASSAGES INTENDED FOR “DILECTA,” VOL. III.

CHAPTER I.: “GOLDEN WATER”

In one of the latest, and, on the whole, best directed, efforts of the benevolent University men who interest themselves in the East End of London, and are endeavouring to explain to the East End of London what sort of a place Florence was, in all the quarters of it, and what sort of places there were once at the East End of the world, as compared with Havannah, New York City, Naphtha Settlement, and other presently religious and artistic centres or capitals of its West End,—in one of the latest, I say, of these efforts at exposition of things hitherto unseen, and undreamed-of, to the newly-couched eyes of Islington and the Tower Hamlets,—one of the most zealous directors of the exhibition asked me to lend him for it my Rossetti drawing of the “Passover in the House of Zacharias,”—which I was only too glad to do;² and to obtain for him

¹ [Inferno, xxxiii. 30; compare Vol. V. p. 308, and Vol. XXI. p. 268.]
² [The reference is to the Whitechapel Fine Art Loan Exhibition, promoted by Canon Barnett of Toynbee Hall. “Golden Water” was No. 130 in the Exhibition of 1888, and “The Passover” No. 132. The latter drawing is reproduced on Plate XXXIV. in Vol. XXXIII. (p. 288). There is a reproduction of “Golden Water” at p. 100 of H. C. Marillier’s Dante Gabriel Rossetti.]
besides, from St. David's, Reigate, the loan of an equally beautiful drawing by Rossetti, in another kind, "Golden Water,"—which had also been mine once, but which I gave away, long ago, thinking it would be more useful elsewhere than at Denmark Hill.

But neither the Passover, nor Golden Water, nor any of Rossetti's nobler drawings, have ever yet, so far as I know, been useful anywhere; their designs being founded on close reading of legends, whether Persian or Christian, which the modern picture-student never reads, and has not the means of understanding, when he gets extracts from them.

I did not see the description of these drawings in the East End catalogue; and may therefore, perhaps, be repeating now what has already been told, of the story of "Golden Water." But as it is a quite favourite story with me, and has had an immense power over my own life, it is perhaps well that I tell it without reference to any previous form in which it may have appeared. It is only the close of a longer one, the last in the French translation of the *Arabian Nights*; and I must say in the outset that this simple French translation is the only good one existing for the modern reader. Mr. Lane's, while it presents the Arabian shell or casket of the stories in perfection, has dropped out the kernels of them, and the jewels; the living germ and contents of each tale, by which it had become, long ago, a part of the world's legend-book, and a proverb in its education. This particular story, which for general instruction is quite the most precious in the old series,—either because it is not Arabian enough, or not Aryan enough, or not modern Republican enough, is omitted by Mr. Lane altogether.

It begins gloomily. A great sultan marries the youngest of three sisters. Her elder sisters, at heart jealous of her to the death, obtain leave from the sultan to attend her in child-birth. She bears in succession two princes and a princess; all as beautiful as the day. But her sisters, at each of the births, conceal the child, and tell the sultan that his sultana has been delivered of a deformed or senseless brood. At the third asserted miscarriage, he orders her death; and devotes himself, in perpetual mourning, to the interests only of his kingdom. A faithful vizier, however, though unable to expose the sisters' treachery, saves the sultana, and keeps her in seclusion, as Hermione in *Winter's Tale*; while the three children are brought up, by his orders, in a palace of their own, in a retired province; and there taught every princely learning and exercise. When they reach the prime of youth,—the Princess Parizade, perhaps, about sixteen, her brothers a year and couple of years older,—they are accomplished and beautiful and good, beyond all telling; and their palace is a miracle of household grace, brightness, and order.

One day, when her brothers are out hunting, an old woman asks hospitality from the princess; which being granted, she farther asks leave to see the palace. She is shown all the chambers, and all the treasures of it,—her hostess requiring afterwards that she present herself to say what she thinks of all she has seen. The old woman is courteous in

thanks, lavish in wise praise; yet intimates that three things are still wanting to the
palace, which if they could be obtained, would make it a faultless pattern of a royal
dwelling, radiant with honour and felicity. Pressed to say what things these are, the old
woman for a while refuses, warning the princess that there would be danger in seeking
them. At last she tells her,—the Talking Bird, the Singing Tree, and the Golden Water;
and so departs, without farther lessoning.
Returning from their chase, the princes find their sister melancholy. She would
fain keep the secret from them—but to have any secret to keep is already an unnatural
and unendurable state for her; she cannot but confess to them; then the three resolve,
like wise children, to be content with their palace as it is; but the unwisdom of
mortality prevails against the girl—her brothers see that the perfect cheerfulness of her
youth is clouded; they determine to go in quest of what she desires,—not together, but
first the elder, leaving her in the younger’s charge. At parting he gives his sister a
sheathed dagger, which she is to draw out of its sheath every morning. If it is bright
and stainless, her brother is well; if blood runs down the point, he is lost or dead. And
he rides away alone. After many days’ journey, he sees a grey-haired dervish praying
by the roadside, who asks alms of him. Giving with free hand, the prince asks if the
dervish can tell him the way to find the Talking Bird, the Singing Tree, and Golden
Water. The old man’s face becomes very grave, and he answers that indeed he can tell
him; but that many have before asked that question, and of all who have gone forward
on the venture, none have ever returned. But the prince will not be deterred. Then the
dervish gives him a ball (I suppose a ball of thread) and tells him, arriving at such and
such a place, to throw it before him, and that it will roll on till it guides him to the foot
of a steep hill, up which there is a straight path marked out by multitudes of black
stones on each side.
I pass to the interpretation of the tale, in which there is no doubt for any one
accustomed to the use of symbols in the mythology common to all nations in their
strength.
The careless reader might at first think the bird should have sung, and the tree
spoken. But,—with all love and honour to the bird nation be it said,—birds can’t sing!
They can only chirp and whistle. There is no living creature that can sing, but the
immortal one. Song is only possible, physically, to the lip of man: it is not possible to
the beaks of birds, nor the jaws of beasts, nor, spiritually, to the hearts of any but those
creatures of God who can see Him, and rejoice before Him.
When we are ourselves happy, we are of course ready to call the skylark’s twitter,
or the nightingale’s zug, song. A blackbird’s whistle is a beautiful and tender
whistle,—to my own mind, finer than a flute,—but it is not singing, except in so far as
we ourselves sing with it and put soul into it. Any mountebank can imitate it, so as to
deceive the bird himself, on the ends of his fingers.
And though birds cannot sing, they can talk, to purpose; and to more purpose than
any of us, bred in these accursed days of sport in killing birds, can ever know. Supposing
the wanton slaughter of all birds forbidden, for shame, and their companionship accepted,—the greater number of land-birds would more or less
associate with man, and all their voices
become intelligible to him, not only in their talk over their own affairs, but in advice
and warning to himself, in auguries which never erred, and which every child could
learn to understand.

Nor do I hesitate to say that to all persons who look faithfully for guidance to the
aspects and powers of Nature, distinct help and grave warning will be given by the
voice of birds, which could be received in no other way. . . .

Then for the Singing Tree: the voice of melody is given to it as being a part of
Humanity, put expressly in our charge, planted and tended and grafted and guided, as
animals, even domestic ones, cannot be; and in its medicinal balms and fruit, an
essential part of spiritual life (think what the olive, orange, and rose—those three
alone—have been to mankind); with the pine for his ships and the oak for his building.
I write these lines (1st Sept., 1888) at my old home of Champagnole, where but the
day before yesterday I had a walk in the pine wood, and on rocks glowing with deep
purple cyclamen above the glen of the Ain, which might well have been in the Earthly
Paradise after Christ’s Kingdom shall be come. And in the actual sound of forests, and
the murmur or whisper of the spring winds through budding branches and setting
blossoms, there is a true Eolian song, addressed partly to the ear, but more to the heart
and to the true and creative imagination. The fable of Apollo and Daphne, chief of
those founded on the humanity of trees, and the resultant acceptance of the laurel
crown as the purest reward of moral and intellectual power used nobly in the service of
man, has yet a deeper symbolism in its expression of the true love which may be felt, if
we are taught by the Muses, for the beautiful earth-bound creatures that cherish and
survive our own fleeting lives.

[The proof breaks off without any interpretation of the Golden Water. As this
intended chapter of Dilecta was to have been parallel with vol. iii. ch. i. of Praeterita
(“The Grande Chartreuse”), Ruskin would, no doubt, have moralized the story by
reference to sacred wells, such as that of the Chartreuse (above, p. 482).]

For chapter iv. (“Brave Galloway”) Ruskin had collected some little information
about his Scottish ancestry: see now, above, pp. 602–604.

Chapter vii. (“The Jungfrau,” or alternatively “The Laws of the Son of Sirach”\(^1\))
was to have contained “final note on my girl acquaintances and poetry.” In a MS.
beginning, the chapter is headed “He heard music and dancing.”\(^2\) On another
beginning, in printed proof, the motto is “The March of the Scarlet Lancers”; then
follow the verses by Ruskin called “The Peace Song” in his Poems (see Vol. II. p.
245); and the chapter begins thus:—

These lines were written to be sung by those who could sing, to the
dancing of those who could dance, chosen among the girls who had feeling
and sound practice in such mysteries, at the school of

\(^1\) [The reference being to the Book of Ecclesiasticus (e. g., xxxii. 2, 5, 6) written by
“Jesus, the Son of Sirach.”]

\(^2\) [Luke xv. 25.]
Winnington, near Northwich, Cheshire, between the years 1865 and 1868. It was once a nobleman’s house, part of his park still surrounding it; seventeen miles beyond Crewe, on the north Edinburgh road; and I used to stay there when I had lectures to give at Liverpool, Rochdale, Glasgow, Bradford, or the like miserable and abysmal localities, on the subjects of Heaven, Earth, the Bottomless Pit, and other places up and down the midst or outside of the universe, abroad and at home, better known to me than to the working audiences who came to give me contemptuous audit.

Chapter x. (“St. Martin’s Bridge”) would have given further notes, it seems, on Sallenches and the Bridge at St. Martin, in addition to those in Praeterita, vol. ii. ch. xi. The following scrap was to have introduced the chapter:—

All that is wonderful, and for people who love pine forest and ice, beautiful, in Chamouni has rivalship or counterpart in other pastoral valleys of the high Alps. In Grindelwald, or at Rosenlaui, or in Lauterbrunnen or at Macugnaga, one may receive virtually the same kinds of impressions, often in more exciting variety. But there is nothing else in Europe like the valley of Sallenches; and the little Hotel du Mont Blanc at the bridge of St. Martin was in old days the hermitage whence one might see whatever was mightiest in Alpine form, and rightly spell whatever legends were most precious on tablet of rock or scroll of cloud.

At no other point of the Alps does the region of the vine reach so near the central snow; and where in other places it approaches the higher chain nearest, the last vines climb irregularly among their glowing islets of crag, and there is no agricultural district of transition between them and the lower pasturages. But at Sallenches, the vines wander among the lower villages and trellis their gardens, while, above, wide extents of orchard and arable separate the grape-district from the rock bases of the higher mountains. Nor are these less singularly varied than the disposition of their woods and fields.

For chapter xi. (“St. Martin’s Chapel”) some historical extracts were put into type—from Nicolas Battely’s Cantuaria Sacra and other sources—with regard to St. Martin’s Chapel at Canterbury (compare Vol. XXXIII. pp. 437, 438).

END OF VOLUME XXXV