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Abstract
What is the social capital of a European teacher? We cannot give an answer for every teacher in Europe; but we have developed some measures for teachers participating in the eTwinning portal run by European Schoolnet as a case study. Driven by the idea that teachers collaborate across the borders with the support of an electronic platform, we were able to find correlations between social network analysis measures like degree and betweenness centrality as well as the local clustering coefficient, activity statistics about usage of eTwinning and the quality management of European Schoolnet. Only the combination of the three measures gives us indicators for the social capital gathered by a teacher. This learning analytics combines structural properties of the lifelong learning network of European teachers with the concrete usage statistics of a large-scale pedagogical social networking site using wall messages and blogs for communication among teachers and an established decentralized quality management framework which is unbiased by central policies. All work is implemented in a series of analysis and visualization prototypes working on anonymous data set extracted from the eTwinning network at certain time points. From this we can draw also on the evolution of the collaboration network as whole as well as on the development of the social capital of single teachers and their communities. As we have extracted different network structures mirroring the project and contact network of teachers and the online activities, we can compare the different factors contributing to the social capital of teachers.
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Introduction
Internet enables people to learn in a network without meeting in physical environments. Blogs, Wikis, Twitter messages and other Web 2.0 media forms bring learners many new learning experiences. People are fond of learning with other people and make competitions. Learning networks make it possible for learners to learn together and to show their achievements. Certain monitoring tools are required to monitor the learning activities. However, it is challenging to measure the performance of learning or to tell who make better progresses in learning some soft skills. The reasons are that it is difficult to find those “indicators”.

In this paper, we are concerned with performance indicators regarding to a sociological concept of “social capital” in a large-scale network data set, the eTwinning data set. eTwinning is a professional development network for European school teachers and has been aimed to promote European teachers’ collaboration through the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT). As of November of 2010, 163,330 teachers from 35 countries have registered in eTwinning and involved in 19,128 projects. However, alone from the statistics we cannot say that a teacher is active or develops his/her project cooperation skills well according to the project number he/she has. Every eTwinner in eTwinning Portal is a school teacher and is a learner at the same time, because they want to develop their professional skills.

At promoting eTwinning Portal to more European teachers, eTwinning management staffs at European Schoolnet are highly interested in teachers’ progress with the help of eTwinning Portal. In order to recognize teachers’ performance in project cooperation, additional values such as "Quality Label" and "European eTwinning Prizes" are applied to assess teachers’ achievements within eTwinning. That additional information may help teachers and management staffs learn about teachers’ learning performance or professional development path. We are interested in whether the social capital recognized by social network analysis is in line with these awards and what positions in the network are star teachers located.
We assume that there is a correlation between the underlying network structure and the performance of eTwinners. Specifically, the position of a teacher in the network would be an indicator or predictor for the performance. In our research, we explore learning network properties with selected centrality measures of social network analysis methods. We collect and observe the large network data set about project cooperation network, teachers’ wall messaging network, teachers’ contacts network, and teachers’ blogs network. Based on the network properties of different cooperation networks among teachers, we recognize the achievements of teachers in their eTwinning projects and identify teachers’ social capital.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents related work. Section 3 discusses the methodological background and methods we use in our study. Section 4 presents the results and some discussions. Section 5 concludes the paper with an outlook at the future research.

Related Work

Learning networks have been paid attention with community of practice and social capital. SNA methods contribute to learning analytics. The research is continuously conducted on some previous related work on the eTwinning network data set, which will be introduced in this section.

Development of Learning Networks

Informal learning (Cheetham & Chivers, 2005) has promoted the development of informal professional development/training as well. People get together to learn some knowledge or skills. This get-together has help learners gain knowledge and skills in many formal learning settings. The relationships among learners build up learning networks, e.g. a teacher with many pupils in a formal class room learning setting. However, learning networks come up with informal learning and informal professional training.

Learning networks out of informal learning are interested and discussed in this research. Web 2.0 media such as microblogging provides people informal learning and training possibility, if people subscribe to some professional microblogging hosts. For example, in Twitter (twitter.com) “followers” and “following” build up two learning networks. Followers are those who follow the twitter messages of one person, while one is following the others and are followers of the others again. This represents the hub and authority relationships in Web. The eTwinning portal is considered as an “informal dialogue to improve teaching” (Vuorikari, 2010).

Consequently, informal assessment methods of learners’ learning performance or achievements are required for informal learning and informal professional development. In some professional social networks, people's personal contacts number may show how well their social relationships are. For instance, contacts number is a label for the prominent in the professional social network site LinkedIn (linkedin.com). Research on information diffusion patterns in people’s network may be employed for assess learners in learning networks. Gladwell (2000) identifies mavens, connectors, and salesmen as a group of information diffusion stars in networks. He argues those roles have big effects, and changes in network may take place at one dramatic moment like epidemic, instead of spreading gradually. This viewpoint is interesting to answer the questions addressed by the eTwinning portal management staff, e.g. "What type of relationships can be found between eTwinning and teachers’ professional development schemes at the local and national level?" (Vuorikari et al., 2011).

Learning Analytics

Learning analytics refers to the interpretation of a wide range of data produced by and gathered on behalf of students in order to assess academic progress, predict future performance, and spot potential issues (Johnson et al., 2011). On the methodological level, learning analytics employs advances in data mining, interpretation, and modelling to improve understandings of teaching and learning, and to tailor education to individual students more effectively (Johnson et al., 2011). Learning analytics is based on business intelligence, big data, EDM, statistical methods, intelligent tutors, personalization and adaptive learning (Siemens, 2011). In short, data mining methods and social network analysis methods are applied in technology enhanced learning research. Research and prototypes eVa (Breuer et al., 2009), CAfe (Song et al., 2011), and Ad Hoc Transient Communities (AHTC) services (Fetter et al., 2011) have been developed to conduct some experiments with the eTwinning network data.
The data set consists of over 45,000 schools and over 8,000 projects as of July, 2008. It visualizes school network, project network, teacher network, and country network, based on project cooperation relationship. Results show that ca. 70% nodes on average across these networks are unconnected. The largest connected school network component consists of 2783 schools and has a network diameter of 20. The teachers are a little better connected, since the largest connected teacher network component consists of 4965 teachers and has a network diameter of 19. SNA measures such as degree, closeness centrality, and betweenness centrality are calculated. Simple SNA is conducted to answer queries such as "Which schools are the most active?". Results are visualized. However, it is difficult for teachers to understand SNA and networks according to the survey.

CAfe (Competence Analyst for eTwinning) is an SNA-based competence management and teachers' self-monitoring tool. The data set consists of over 70,000 schools and over 160,000 teachers as of October 2010. Teachers' teaching/project competence, ICT skills, and communication skills are reflected from the data set of project network, blog and commenting network, and teachers' wall messaging network respectively. Besides teachers' attaining various ICT skills, their abilities to read and understand social network analysis and network visualization are developed and assessed in CAfe as well. Teachers' competence which also builds up their social capital is calculated on several indicators and factors. Then star teachers are recognized based on this calculation result. However, network properties may tell the "truth" such as "who the real star teachers are", if more social network analysis is conducted.

AHTC (Ad Hoc Transient Communities) services involve users into question-answer activities on the eTwinning Portal. The participant receives an answer from two participants whom were selected by the service. The results are evaluated in SNA and the goal is to recognize teachers' social capital in eTwinning. However, teachers' own assessment via their answering the questions may vary from the outcome of the learning network development.

In summary, these platforms have tried to deliver learning network information and recognize teachers' invest in their professional development in eTwinning based on social network analysis methods. The lacks lie in an explicit method convey between social capital and learning network properties, which is dealt with in this paper.

**Methodology for the Analysis of eTwinning Networks**

The Social Network Analysis (SNA) approaches have been applied in many domains, e.g. knowledge discovery in digital libraries (Pham & KIamma, 2010; Pham et al., 2011) and analysis of community of practice (Kienle et al., 2005, 2006; Hoadley M. C., 2005). In this paper, we propose SNA method to study the network of teachers created from different medium, including project collaboration, blog and blog comment, wall messaging and contact information. Our goal is to understand the behavior of teachers in collaboration and communication within eTwinning community. Specifically, we focus on community aspect, i.e. whether teachers collaborate and communicate with teachers in their community or across different communities. Based on the observation of global properties, we analyze the performance of teachers regarding to their position in different networks and identify "star teachers" in term of "social capital", a sociological concept introduced by Coleman (1988). Different SNA measures are used in the study, including four global measures (clustering coefficient, largest connected component, diameter and average path length) and three centrality measures (degree, betweenness, and local clustering coefficient). They are defined as follows (Wasserman & Faust, 1994):

**Global measures**

- **Clustering coefficient** measures the probability that two nodes are connected if they already have a common neighbor:

\[
C = \frac{3 \times \text{number of triangles in the graph}}{\text{number of connected triples of vertices in the graph}}
\]

Intuitively, clustering coefficient measures the extent to which a network exposes community structure. When the nodes in the network are groups into dense clusters, clustering coefficient should be very high.

- **Largest connected component** measures the fraction of nodes in largest connected component. It reveals the connectivity of a network, i.e. whether nodes in a network are connected to each other in a giant component or separated in many disconnected components.

- **Diameter** is the length of the greatest geodesic distance (shortest path length) between any two nodes.

- **Average path length** is the average of shortest paths between any nodes in the network.

---


Node centrality measures and social capital

- **Degree** of a node measures the number of connections to it. In a directed network, we define in-degree as the number of in-coming connections to a particular node and out-degree as the number of out-going connections from that node.

- **Local clustering coefficient** of a node measures the probability that two neighbors of that node are connected to each other:

\[
C(v) = \frac{\left| \{w, u \in N(v) : (w, u) \in E \} \right|}{\left( N(v)(N(v) - 1)/2 \right)}
\]

where \( N(v) \) is the set of neighbors of node \( v \). Local clustering coefficient measures the extent to which a node is positioned in a dense-connected cluster. If a node is in a dense cluster, its local clustering coefficient is very high. If it is positioned in the border of a cluster and connects different groups, its local clustering coefficient is relatively low (e.g. node A in Fig. 1)

- **Betweenness** measures the extent to which a particular node lies between the other nodes in the network:

\[
B(u) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma^u(i,j)}{\sigma(i,j)}
\]

where \( B(u) \) is the betweenness of node \( u \), \( \sigma^u(i,j) \) is the number of shortest paths between node \( i \) and \( j \) that pass through \( u \) and \( \sigma(i,j) \) is the number of shortest paths between node \( i \) and \( j \). In contrast to high clustering coefficient nodes, nodes with high betweenness have more power to control the information flow in the network and are normally the gate-keepers who connect several dense groups (e.g. node B in Fig. 1).

![Figure 1: Structural property of social capital](image)

With node centrality measures, we are able to identify social capital in the networks. Social capital stands for the ability of actors to derive benefits from the membership in social networks or other social structures. In social network research, studies are concerned with the identification of network structures that are the most effective factor for creating social capital. Coleman (1988) emphasizes the benefits of being embedded into densely-connected groups, regarding to the confident, trust and secured relationship in the community. On the other hand, Burt (2001) discusses social capital as a tension between being embedded into communities and brokerage - the benefits arising from the ability to "broker" interactions at the interface between different groups. In this paper we evaluate these two forms of social capital in eTwinning networks.

## Results and Discussions

### eTwinning Data Set

The statistics of the eTwinning network data related to our study is given in Table 1, specifically data used to create networks: project collaboration, contact list, blog post/comment and wall messaging. The data set is provided by European Schoolnet with anonymous teachers’ information for the sake of data privacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>#data entries</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>23641</td>
<td>Schools from at least two schools from at least two different European countries create a project and use ICT to carry out their work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>769578</td>
<td>Teachers are able to explore other teachers’ profiles and add them into their own contact list. It is suggested to use forum and other media to contact the other teachers before taking them as a contact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teachers' performance is recognized in eTwinning in formal ways. Formal recognition consists of "Quality Label" and "European eTwinning Prizes" as specified above. In addition, eTwinning Reach is a new measure concept for country assessment and specified as the registered users of a country / teacher population of this country (Vuorikari et al., 2011). Again, this assessment has its limitations and needs statistical support from SNA-based learning analytics.

Global Properties of eTwinning Networks

We create four networks using project collaboration between teachers, contact information, blog and blog post, and wall messaging. These networks are defined as follows:

- **Project network**: nodes are teachers (eTwinners) and there is a connection (edge) between two teachers if they collaborated in at least one project. Edges in the network are undirected and weighted by the number of projects in which the two teachers collaborate.
- **Contact network**: nodes are teachers and there is an edge between two teachers if at least one teacher is in the contact list of the other. Edges are undirected and unweighted.
- **Blog network**: nodes are teachers and there is an edge between two teachers if one teacher has commented on at least one blog post created by the other. Edges are directed and weighted by the number of comments.
- **Wall network**: nodes are teachers and there is an edge between two teachers if one teacher has sent at least one message to the other. Edges are directed and weighted by the number of messages.

### Table 2: Statistics on eTwinning networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>#nodes</th>
<th>#edges</th>
<th>Clustering coefficient</th>
<th>Average path length</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>#components</th>
<th>Largest component size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project network</td>
<td>37907</td>
<td>804856</td>
<td>0.4016247</td>
<td>3.519308</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>25616 (91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact network</td>
<td>109331</td>
<td>573602</td>
<td>0.01694971</td>
<td>4.319833</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>108140 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blog network</td>
<td>3264</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>0.009402538</td>
<td>6.830471</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>2036 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall message network</td>
<td>23919</td>
<td>30048</td>
<td>0.004086266</td>
<td>9.257115</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>19275 (80%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We compute global measures as defined in Section 3 for the above networks. The results are presented in Table 2. Several observations can be made here. First, teachers are more active in project, contact and wall message networks (17%, 49% and 11% of registered teachers, accordingly) than in blog network (only 1.4% of registered teachers) network. Project and contact networks are densely and well connected, while blog and wall message networks are quite sparse. This can be seen by the number of edges, average path length, diameter, the number of components, and the size of giant component in these networks. The giant component exists in all four networks, but it is bigger in project and contact networks (91% and 99%). Second, project network exposes a strong community structure with the clustering coefficient of 0.401, while other networks do not (clustering coefficient are 0.017, 0.009, and 0.004 respectively). Communication between teachers in contact, blog and wall messaging networks are clustered in disconnected groups, but these groups are not well-connected. These results raise our special interest in exploring the community structure of the eTwinning project collaboration network. Specifically, we would like to see whether project collaboration of eTwinners depends on a core community.
We detect the communities in project network using a clustering algorithm proposed by Newman (2004) and get 3070 clusters (including disconnected components) with their sizes as listed in Table 3. The modularity calculated by the algorithm indicates the quality of clusters. It is the fraction of any node’s connections within its cluster and its connections to other clusters. Empirical observation indicates that the modularity greater than 0.3 corresponds to significant community structures. With the algorithm eTwinning project network receives the modularity equals 0.486, corresponding to a significant clustering of the project network. This result shows that eTwinning collaboration depends on a main core of five large communities (LC1-LC5). These clusters are formed by eTwinners who have collaborated with each other in a high number of projects over a long period of time. Large number of small communities connects to the core via many gate-keepers.

| Cluster size (number of eTwinners) | 8785  
|-----------------------------------|-------
| (LC1)                             | 7067  
| (LC2)                             | 4494  
| (LC3)                             | 2021  
| (LC4)                             | 1058  
| (LC5)                             | 100-1000  
| 10-100                            | 2-9   
| Total                             | 3070  |

**Table 3: eTwinning project network clusters**

Identification of Social Capital in eTwinning Networks

Now we study the local network structure of nodes (eTwinners) in the networks that make them more effective in performing tasks, mostly related to projects. We address two questions to identify teachers' social capital in eTwinning Networks.

First, does this kind of structure exist in eTwinning networks? Fig. 2 depicts the degree distribution of nodes in four networks. We see that all four networks are complex networks with a power law degree distribution (with a fat-tail distribution). In complex network theory, the power law degree distribution indicates that super connectors (or hubs) exist. Super connectors (or hubs) refer to those nodes which connect many nodes or communities. They play an important role to ensure the connectivity, the information spreading, and behavior cascading in networks. They also have more power and control over the network than the other nodes which lie in the tail of the distribution.

![Figure 2: Degree distribution of eTwinning networks](image-url)
Second, how can we identify the network structures that characterize the positions of super connectors in the networks? As discussed in Section 3, the notion of social capital can be used and there are two forms of social capital: nodes being embedded in dense groups (identified by high local clustering coefficient) and nodes being positioned as the interface between different communities (characterized by high betweenness). Furthermore, which form are eTwinners following? Here we take the aforementioned "quality label" as an indicator for teachers’ performance and reputation. With this indicator, we are able to find the correlation between the performance and the teachers’ positions in the eTwinning network. We compute network properties as well as number of projects, blogs, massages and contacts as functions of the number of quality labels, as depicted in Fig. 3. It shows that the properties of local network structure of a node clearly state that being positioned at the interface between communities has a big advantage, although pure number of projects, blogs, massages and contacts, and degree of a node somehow are correlated to the quality label. In detail, the nodes (eTwinners) with a high number of quality labels have very high betweenness and low local clustering coefficient, which indicates that they connect different communities together. Similarly, the nodes (eTwinners) with a low number of quality labels have low betweenness and very high local clustering coefficient, which means that they are clustered and located within the communities.

Figure 3: Performance of teachers as functions of the number of project, blog, contacts and wall posts, degree, betweenness and local clustering coefficient in eTwinning networks

Conclusions and Future Work

Our research results reveal two significant aspects of learning analytics for professional development networks. First, that the degree distributions follow the power law, which indicates the existence of complex network and its underlying community structures in the eTwinning professional development network. In this regard, the project cooperation network is more interesting, because it has a better connectivity than blog contact, and wall posts networks. The existence of complex networks assures the effective employment of social network analysis methods to assess roles and positions of single nodes. Second, those teachers who have more quality labels are indeed more active in project cooperation, blogging, and other activities in eTwinning Portal than the other teachers. More importantly, the position of teacher in the network can be an indicator or a predictor for their performance. Lying at the border of different communities has an advantage, since teachers in this position have more control and power over the network as well as more sources for new information. This form of social capital is approved through calculation of node and network properties.

So far, we have explored learning analytics for social capital recognition. More analysis approaches with different learning network data set can be conducted. First, those network properties can also be applied to find


out learners’ learning activities based on different learning networks. Do people like to communicate through new Web 2.0 media such as blogging or the traditional emails? This could help design and development of learning networks. Second, overlapping community detection can be applied on different networks such as teachers’ wall messaging network, project cooperation network, and contact network. The explored network properties of those networks in combination can help eTwinning Portal identify “star eTwinners”. The research enlightens us some new thoughts about SNA-based learning analytics for learning networks.
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