## Innovation in the quotative system of London adolescents:

The emergence of this is me

Queen Mary, University of Londo

University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

**NWAV 36** 

-14 Oct 2007

The English quotative system:

# "a good place to catch language change in action"

Tagliamonte and D'Arcy (2004:493)

The emergence of quotative 'BE LIKE:

#### I'm like 'Oh my God'

Singler (2001) - *BE LIKE* has established itself as the primary quotative in vernacular American English, particularly in younger generations.

Tagliamonte and D'Arcy (2004) – *BE LIKE* increased in use by more than four and a half times from 1995 to 2002/3 among young Canadians and is now the most frequent quotative.

Buchstaller (2006) – *BE LIKE* starts to appear in British English in the early 1990s.

Tagliamonte and Hudson (1999) – *BE LIKE* accounts for 18% of quotatives among university students in York (recorded 1996).

Macaulay (2001) – reports 14% *BE LIKE* among Glaswegian adolescents in 1997.

Levey (2007) – reports 5% BE LIKE in London corpus of preadolescents.



Results based on sub sample of 53 speakers in the London English Corpus:-

- 6 elderly speakers (70 yrs +) inner London 3 male, 3 female, white Anglos
- 19 adolescents (16-19 yrs old) inner London 11 male, 8 female from a range of ethnic groups reflecting the local population
- 6 elderly speakers (70 yrs +) outer London 3 male, 3 female, white Anglos
- 22 adolescents (16-19 yrs old) outer London 12 male, 10 female, all white Anglos reflecting the local population
- All instances of reported speech extracted direct and indirect – 3158 instances of reported speech.

# **Direct Vs. Indirect speech**

	Inner London	Outer London	Inner London	Outer London
	Elderly	Elderly	Adolescents	Adolescents
	% ( <i>n</i> )			
Direct	95.3 (370)	91.2 (272)	93.5 (1282)	95.3 (1052)
speech				
Indirect	4.7 (18)	7.8 (23)	6.5 (89)	4.7 (52)
speech				
TOTAL	N 388	295	1371	1104

# **Distribution of Quotatives**

	Inner London Elderly	Outer London Elderly
	% ( <i>n</i> )	% ( <i>n</i> )
Say	70.8 (261)	73.5 (200)
Think	4.1 (15)	10.3 (28)
Go	4.6 (17)	0.4 (1)
Zero	18.9 (70)	12.9 (35)
Others	1.6 (6)	2.9 (8)
TOTAL N	370	272

# **Distribution of Quotatives**

	Inner London Elderly % ( <i>n</i> )	Outer London Elderly % ( <i>n</i> )	Inner London Adolescents % ( <i>n</i> )	Outer London Adolescents % ( <i>n</i> )
Say	70.8 (261)	73.5 (200)	27.4 (351)	31.2 (328)
Think	4.1 (15)	10.3 (28)	12.8 (164)	6.1 (64)
Go	4.6 (17)	0.4 (1)	11.7 (150)	26.5 (279)
Zero	18.9 (70)	12.9 (35)	15.1 (193)	12.3 (129)
Be Like	-	-	24.4 (313)	20.8 (219)
This is + (S	) -	-	4.8 (61)	-
Tell	-	-	1.9 (24)	-
Others	1.6 (6)	2.9 (8)	2.0 (26)	3.2 (33)
TOTAL N	370	272	1282	1052

#### Quotative GO:

 GO is favoured for introducing direct speech and nonlexicalised sounds or gestures but strongly disfavoured for introducing internal dialogue

 GO is strongly favoured to occur with conversational historical present (Stenström 2002; Levey 2007)

• GO is favoured in third person contexts

 Girls use the vernacular GO more than boys (Macaulay 2001; Stenström 2002; Buchstaller 2004) **Quotative BE LIKE**:

•Evidence of grammaticalisation as *BE LIKE* is used in 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> person contexts

• Further evidence of grammaticalisation as although BE LIKE is strongly favoured to introduce nonlexicalised sounds and gestures it is also used to introduce direct speech

• Further evidence of grammaticalisation as *BE LIKE* occurs in a range of tenses

• Female led in inner London but Male led in outer London

- now when i go in the shop HE'S JUST LIKE "yeh how are you alright?"
- and there was a cop and police car right there and I started screaming and I'M LIKE "go and get them"

• I was going into square with my friends and *THEY WERE LIKE* "yeah oh yeah be careful round here...."

- it's been a couple of times where *I'VE BEEN LIKE* "uuurr can't smoke no more"
- HE WOULD BE LIKE "aah shut up shut up..."
- IT'LL BE LIKE "why you always chatting English for?"

	Inner London Elderly	Outer London Elderly	Inner London Adolescents	Outer London Adolescents
Direct speech	93.9%	87.2%	81.6%	83.7%
	( <i>n</i> =341/363)	( <i>n</i> =225/258)	( <i>n</i> =1045/1280)	( <i>n</i> =867/1036)
Internal monologue/ thought	4.7%	<b>11.2%</b> ( <i>n</i> = 29/258)	<b>16%</b> ( <i>n</i> =204/1280)	<b>9.1%</b> ( <i>n</i> =94/1036)
Non-	( <i>n</i> =17/363) <b>0.6%</b>	( <i>n</i> = 29/238)	1.6%	2.2%
lexicalised sound	( <i>n</i> =2/363)	( <i>n</i> =4/258)	( <i>n</i> =21/1280)	( <i>n</i> =23/1036)
Ambiguous	0.8%	-	0.8%	5%
	( <i>n</i> =3/363)		( <i>n</i> =10/1280)	( <i>n</i> =52/1036)

## THIS IS + S(ubject)

- A new quotative accounts for 4.8% of quotatives among adolescents in inner London
- Used with a range of subjects
  - i) This is them 'what area are you from . what part?'

This is me 'I'm from (inner London)

- ii) This is her 'that was my sister'
- iii) *This is him* 'don't lie . if I search you and if I find one I'll kick your arse'
- iv) This is my mum 'what are you doing? I was in the queue before you'



v) *This is my mum's boyfriend* 'put that in your pocket now'

## Quotative THIS IS + S(ubject):

Strongly favoured and led by females

- Strongly favoured in first person contexts
- Used predominantly in conversational historic present

Used categorically with direct speech

I literally walked past two thugs that I didn't not knew but they just grabbed me by the hood swang me in a alley and had me at knifepoint. and I couldn't do nothing but I said . and

THEY SAID "where you from?"

I SAID "east london that's whereI'm from"

THIS IS THEM "don't be funny" cos they're . I was right in a bit of east London so

THEY SAID "don't be funny with me like that cos I'll stab you" and

I SAID "I'm not trying to be funny"

THIS IS THEM "what area are you from . what part?"

THIS IS ME "I'm from (name of place)" and then like

**THEY JUST SAID** "oh yeh I don't like that area where area" and then like some hero. thank god there is some typical heros who. it's like if you're short don't even bother come over because you're just gonna get stabbed yourself like .



. so the man's gave him a big wad of money like about ten grand i don't know what he gave him. a fat loads of money. just got it in a bag now . his friend's come up to me and he's gone like that. and gone like that. so i've gone like that and i'm feeling is this some paper and he's just gave me a grand in my hand. i just looked at the money i was like "you just gave me one thousand pounds mate" (ZERO) "here are i only want a score no" went to give it <kisses teeth> some . this is my mum's **boyfriend** <kisses teeth> "put that in your pocket now" like so i just put it in my pocket said "see you later" boom ran out that bookies shop bruv

and he was like that "what's the answers?"

(Macaulay 2001: 13)

• [EXTREMELY HIGH PITCHED] <u>Here was I</u>: "Then I must be hard of hearing or something – you rapped the door and I didn't hear you" ....out the back and everywhere they were. <u>Here's</u> <u>me</u>: "Have youse took leave of your senses?" [HIGH PITCHED]. He says – uh – "Get everybody up, everybody up"

(Milroy and Milroy, 1977:54)

#### SUMMARY:

- Among London adolescents there are three main competitors to quotative SAY GO, BE LIKE and THIS IS S(ubject).
- Compared to the elderly speakers:
  - High incidence of GO, especially in outer London
  - Emergence of *BE LIKE*, and higher frequencies compared to COLT(0.5%) and outer London preadolescents (5%) (Levey 2007)
  - BE LIKE well on the way to being grammaticalised, particularly in inner London
- Emergence of *THIS IS* + *S(ubject)* among inner London adolescents. At present confined to a particular role in narratives.
  - Age-graded?
  - Grammaticalising?
  - Diffusing beyond inner London?

Thanks to.....

• Eivind Torgersen

•The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) for research grant RES-000-23-0680

# Linguistic Innovators: the English of adolescents in London

Paul Kerswill<sup>1</sup>, Jenny Cheshire<sup>2</sup>, Eivind Torgersen<sup>1</sup>, Sue Fox<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Lancaster <sup>2</sup>Queen Mary, University of London

www.lancs.ac.uk/fss/projects/linguistics/innovators/index.htm (website maintained by E.Torgersen)

#### References

- Buchstaller, I. (2004). The sociolinguistic constraints on the quotative system: British English and US English compared. Unpublished PhD thesis. University of Edinburgh.
- Buchstaller, I. (2006). Diagnostics of age-graded linguistic behaviour: The case of the quotative system. *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 10/1: 3-30.
- Levey, S. (2007). The next generation. Aspects of grammatical variation in the speech of some London preadolescents. Unpublished PhD thesis. Queen Mary, University of London.
- Macaulay, R. (2001). You're like 'why not?' The quotative expressions of Glasgow adolescents. Journal of Sociolinguistics 5/1: 3-21.
- Milroy, J. and Milroy, L. (1977). Speech and Context in an Urban Setting. *Belfast Working Papers in language and Linguistics*. Vol.2.
- Singler, J. (2001). Why You Can't Do a VARBRUL Study of Quotatives And What Such a Study Can Show Us. *University of Penn Working Papers in Linguistics*. Vol.7.3:257-278.
- Stenström, A., G.Anderson and I.Hasund. (2002). *Trends in Teenage Talk.* Amsterdam, John Benjamins.
- Tagliamonte, S. and Hudson, R. (1999). *Be like* et al. beyond America: The quotative system in British and Canadian youth. *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 3/2: 147-172.
- Tagliamonte, S. and D'Arcy, A. (2004). *He's like, she's like:* The quotative system in Canadian youth. *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 8/4: 493-514.