



'Communicating' flood science to Local Authorities: A bridge over troubled waters Kate Donovan ^A, Carolyn Roberts ^B, Lindsey McEwen ^C

^A Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford
 ^B Environmental Sustainability KT, University of Oxford
 ^C Department of Natural and Social Sciences, University of Gloucestershire

28th September 2010, Lancaster Environment Centre Beyond PPS25: Should uncertainty in flood risk mapping make a difference?



- Following the serious 2007 floods the Pitt Report called for wider public participation in decision making.
- Recommended strong and coordinated action, close inter-agency cooperation.
- Increasing the roles of Environment Agency and Local Authorities.
- Science-based learning is not strong with local authorities.
- And communication between flood research and local authorities is poor.



 Engaging the public in science and technology is a popular theme within government and academia (StockImayer et al., 2001)

 Dissemination of scientific findings is rarely evaluated and so the effectiveness of different styles of dissemination programme is usually unknown (Meyer, 2010)

 Most local decision-makers are extremely busy with routine matters, and rarely find time to consider research findings from hydrologists carefully (Weis, 1986)

Flood Organisation Science and Technology Exchange Research

Project FOSTER

GLIF project (small NERC FREE grant, P.I Roberts 2008-9)

- County and District Councillors relied on when taking decisions
- anecdote, assumptions and personal experience.
- Challenged by unfamiliar scientific language.
- Scientific uncertainty, use of probabilistic information and risk scenarios, probable max rainfall/flood levels, pluvial and groundwater flooding characteristic, routes and velocities of water through natural and human impacted catchments, storage displace effects of floodplain development, potential for SUDs were almost unknown.
- Majority of stakeholders regarded their own understandings of the scientific background to flood management *totally inadequate* for their roles.









Project FOSTER and Flood Science Knowledge Exchange

Communicating science and disseminating flood information and related uncertainties

Evaluating the effectiveness of different dissemination methods



Over arching aims

- To improve the flood science understandings of users within Local Authorities, namely Worcestershire, Warwickshire and Gloucestershire County Councils.
- Evaluate a mix of science communication methods to Local Authorities.
- Explore best practice in science communication to enable flood scientists to disseminate their findings more appropriately.





Objectives

- Research and evaluate user needs
- Draw together cutting edge scientific flood data
- Translate and represent science case studies from NERC FREE programme and other relevant research projects
- Design a user driven set of flood science workshops
- Incorporate three contrasting learning and delivery science education and knowledge exchange styles
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the workshops
- Analyse best practice in flood science communication
- Disseminate findings to a wide audience









Flood workshops Part 1	
Sessions	Resources and sub-topics
Ice-breaker activity	
Introduction to floods	Local examples
What is the impact of a flood?	Video from 2007 flood from Gloucestershire
Introduce the River Severn Catchment	BGS fly-through, photos and video
What causes flooding?	 Visualisation from Nick Reynard CEH of rainfall and river response Need to bring in concepts of timings and scale
How can we measure floods? Introduce in sequence according to scale	 Instrumentation demonstration and bring in uncertainty CS Paul Smith, LEC, Gridstix network CS Guy Schurmann, University of Bristol, remote sensing, Tewkesbury case study
How do we forecast floods?	 Flood Forecast Centre video Human influences Land-use, Catchment surface, Diversion channels, Urban development What is the level of interference?
What is a flood plain and how can we visualise the it and the flood? Introduce different scales of mapping 1. Flood plain mapping – what is a flood plain 2. EA map 3. Local setting maps	 BGS geological indicators of flood plain CS James Porter, King's College London, discuss the EA map CS Geoff Parkin – monitoring and mapping using local information – video of flood and model from slides

Reflection and round up

Flood Workshops part 2		
Sessions	Resources and sub-topics	
Welcome and re-cap	Community digital interviews (Lindsey McEwen)	
How are the magnitudes of different floods estimated? Discussing probability and return periods	 CS David Leedal, LEC, probability and flood inundation model CS Jeff Neal, University of Bristol, 	
Modelling		
 How can this be measure in terms of impact? E.g. Economic impact Service disruption Business losses etc 	 CS Tim Fewtrell, University of Bristol, Risk analysis modelling Visualisation of graph – economic loss vs recurrence interval 	
What about future flood events?	Case studies at different scales	
 Climate change Re-cap on human impact, SUDS 	 CS Nick Reynard, FRACAS, CEH, UK scale model and uncertainty. Cs Helen Yi, Hannah Cloke, King's College London, River Severn modelling for climate change 	
But how certain is this?	• CS Sebastien Norbert, King's college London, Flood science uncertainty	
Finish with mini round table discussion based on Cypress garden case study		
Reflection and round up		



Tutor- led workshops

User driven content trialled via three learning





 Collaborating with The Open University through Second life



- Face-to-face workshops
- Discussions and activities
- Talking head videos

Participant- led workshops



- Role-play based
- Based on two scenarios
 - Communicating flood science during an emergency
 - Planning for a future development

Virtual online workshops

Participant-driven

- Role-play
- Two scenarios
 - Communication
 - Planning ahead
- Talking heads and visualisations

Tutor-driven

- More conventional workshops
- Talking heads and visualisations

Discussions and reflections will be encouraged

<u>video</u>



Primarily based on tutor driven workshops

Encouraging learning in an active participatory environment promotes learning and communication

A stimulating social interactive environment embeds learning and improves enjoyment

Cost

Jarmon (2009) Minocha and Robert (2008)

Picture courtesy of the da pilture islands, Department of Computing, The Open University, UK

Managing risk

creasing risk



Evaluations





- Quasi-phenomenographic
- Final round table discussions with selection of participants.
- Continuous Partner feedback

In-depth interviews with 30 participants

Observations –

notes, videos and recordings

Semi-structured questionnaires for all participants



Project FOSTER

Flood Organisation Science and Technology Exchange Research

Challenges engaging science with local authorities

- Lack of commitment from scientists
- Continuity of LA workforce
- LA time and work commitments
- Flood orientated events overload
- Flooding not a priority at the moment
- Short term memory of flood impact
- Misunderstandings about flood frequency – it won't happen again...

Challenges for translating science

Making science:

- Practical
- Interesting
- Understandable
- Sustainable

Addressing uncertainty:

- Through scientists
- Through problem solving and exploratory learning

Project Flood Organisation Science and Technology Exchange Research

Dissemination

- Website <u>www.foster.ox.ac.uk</u>
- Variety of publications
 - Local Authorities bulletins
 - Academic Journals
 - EA publications
 - Publically accessible reports
- Seminar series
- Conferences
- Workshops and public talks



Search this site:

Search

Flood Research News

News from FRMRC

Home Contact Us Project 🔻 Science Communication 🔻 Flood Research News

Welcome to the Project FOSTER website.

Project FOSTER is a research project that explores the communication of cutting edge flood science information to decision makers, such as County Councils who have a responsibility to protect their communities. An <u>interdisciplinary team</u> from the Universities of Oxford and Gloucestershire are working to produce

useful and understandable flood resources for people working in local authorities and other flood management agencies. Project FOSTER will run for two years from February 2010. This website will follow the team's progress

and communicate the findings. For further details on the Project, the team and the project partners please click on Project at the top

For further details on the Project, the team and the project partners please click on Project at the top of this page.

Our ethos:

It is vital that practical research findings are available to those who need them the most.

Our aim:

To explore best practice in communicating flood research to the decision makers.

We hope that anyone with an interest in flooding or science communication will find this website useful and please make use of our links to other websites, such as the <u>Environment Agency</u>, that can tell you more about flooding by area and how to become more resilient to this devastating hazard.

Add new comment



Practical Flood Research

Flood Hazard Research Centre, University of Middlesex and Earth Science/ESKTN, University of Oxford Joint Seminar Series

- Encouraging collaborations between stakeholders and flood science
- First seminar was held at fhrc on 20th September.
- Lost in translation: communicating flood science to professional stakeholders

Feedback:

- Attendees wanted to network and have an informal exchange of ideas
- They found the interactive discussions an excellent form of communication and although the wide range of attending stakeholders was praised they would like this to be expanded further.

- Spring 2011- Communicating flood risk to the public.
- Summer 2011– Engaging business in flood risk research and management.
- For more information visit <u>www.foster.ox.ac.uk</u>
- Or email Kate Donovan, <u>Katherine.Donovan@earth.ox.ac.uk</u>
- or Meghan Alexander at fhrc, <u>MA1679@live.mdx.ac.uk</u>



- Jarmon, L., T. Traphagan, et al. (2009). "Virtual world teaching, experiential learning, and assessment: An interdisciplinary communication course in Second Life." <u>Computers & Education</u> 53(1): 169-182.
- Meyer, M. (2010). "The Rise of the Knowledge Broker." *Science Communication* **32**(1): 118-127.
- Minocha, S. and D. Roberts (2008). "Laying the groundwork for socialisation and knowledge construction within 3D virtual worlds." <u>ALT-J Research in Learning</u> <u>Technology</u> 16(3): 181-196.
- StockImayer, S. M., M. M. Gore, et al., Eds. (2001). Science communication in theory and practice. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Van Ments, M., (1999) <u>The effective use of role-play: practical techniques for</u> improving learning, Second Edition, Kogan Page: London.
- Weiss, C. H. (1986). "The Circuitry of Enlightenment: Diffusion of Social Science Research to Policymakers." *Science Communication* 8(2): 274-281.
- Wheater, H. (2006). "Flood hazard and management: a UK perspective." <u>Phil</u> <u>Trans. R. Soc. A</u> 364: 2135-2145.