

RECOMMENDATION OF PhD CONFIRMATION PANEL

Please submit to the Student Registry for approval on behalf of Senate.



Student's Name: Student ID:

Department/Institute:

Fees are due for a minimum of 12 months from the date of the panel, consequently if the panel has been held more than 24 months (full-time) or 36 months (part-time) after a student's initial registration their transfer to writing up fees will be delayed and their total fee liability will increase.

If this is the case in this instance please explain why it has taken longer than the standard period of time for the confirmation panel to be held:

.....

Summary of standard minimum and maximum periods of registration:

Degree	Status	Minimum	Maximum	Status	Minimum	Maximum
Ph.D.	Full time	36 months	48 months	Part time	48 months	84 months

PANEL RECOMMENDATION: In line with Lancaster University's Regulations and Procedures (MARF, section C13.2.18*) the recommendation of the panel is as follows (please tick as appropriate):

Options available following initial panel:

Confirm as PhD

Defer decision; student to continue as probationary PhD, with resubmission/reassessment to take place no later than

Date Panel Held:

Options available following second and final reassessment:

Confirm as PhD

Confirm as MPhil

Do not confirm as PhD or MPhil, recommend exclusion

Date Re-assessment Held:

Please also attach a short report (up to 2 pages) on the confirmation process undertaken for this student, including a summary of the evidence used in arriving at the panel recommendation.

Signature Date

Signature Date

Signature Date

Registry Use:

Approved on behalf of Senate: Date

The student's maximum expected completion date will be:

Please provide name and title (e.g. supervisor, HOD, Graduate Studies Director) of those on the panel.
 NB: there should be at least three academic staff on the transfer panel, as approved by Senate (June 1997). No more than two of these may be supervisors to this student.

Name Title
 Name Title
 Name Title

Have the student and supervisor(s) met regularly? Yes No
 If no, why not?.....

Have the student and the supervisory or progress panel met at least once per year? Yes No
 If no, why not?

Was the student present to discuss their work with the panel? Yes No
 If no, why not?

As outlined in Section 13.2.18 of the Manual of Academic Regulations and Procedures, did the student provide evidence to the panel that they had:

- | | Yes | No |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| • Attended Induction | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Carried out the DNA | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Attended the agreed research training | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • A viable research proposal | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • A completion timetable approved by their supervisors | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

How much further time have the panel and student estimated will be required until submission?

