#### Separating Income and Substitution Effects

#### Dakshina G. De Silva<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lancaster University

#### ECON 220

De Silva (Lancaster)

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

#### Effects of a Price Decrease

Can be broken down into two components

- Income effect
  - $\bullet\,$  When the price of one goods falls, w/ other constant
  - Effectively like increase in consumers real income
  - Since it unambiguously expands the budget set
  - Income effect on demand is positive, if normal good
- Substitution effect
  - Measures the effect of the change in the price ratio
  - Holding some measure of income or well being constant
  - Consumers substitute it for other now relatively more expensive commodities
  - That is, Substitution effect is always negative
- Two decompositions: Hicks & Slutsky.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Hicks & Slutsky Decompositions

Hicks

- Substitution Effect: change in demand, holding utility constant
- Income Effect: Remaining change in demand, due to m change

Slutsky

- Substitution Effect: change in demand, holding real income constant
- Income Effect: Remaining change in demand, due to m change

## Mathematics of Slutsky Decomposition

We seek a way to calculate mathematically the Income and Substitution Effects

Assume:

- Income: m
- 2 Initial prices:  $p_1^0, p_2$
- **3** Final prices:  $p_1^1, p_2$
- $\bullet$  Note that the price of good two, here, does not change

Given the demand functions, demands can be readily calculated as:

- Initial demands:  $x_i^0 = x_i(p_1^0, p_2, m)$
- 2 Final demands:  $x_i^1 = x_i(p_1^1, p_2, m)$

## Slutsky Mathematics (cont)

We need to calculate an intermediate demand that holds buying power constant

Let  $m_s$  the income that provides exactly the same buying power as before at the new price

- Thus: 
$$m_s = p_1^1 x_1^0 + p_2 x_2^0$$

The demand associated with this income is:

• 
$$x_i^s = x_i(p_1^1, p_2, m_s) = x_i^s(p_1^1, p_2, x_1^0, x_2^0)$$

Finally we have:

- Substitution Effect:  $SE = x_i^s x_i^0$
- Income Effect:  $IE = x_i^1 x_i^s$

#### Hicks' Mathematics

The only difference is between Hicks' and Slutsky is in the calculation of the intermediate demand

Let  $m_h$  the income that provides exactly the same utility as before at the new price

If  $u_0$  is initial utility level, then:  $m_h$  solves  $u_0 = u(x_1(p_1^1, p_2, m_h), x_2(p_1^1, p_2, m_h))$ 

The demand associated with this income is: •  $x_i^h = x_i(p_1^1, p_2, m_h) = x_i^h(p_1^1, p_2, u_0)$ 

Finally we have:

- Substitution Effect:  $SE = x_i^h x_i^0$
- Income Effect:  $IE = x_i^1 x_i^h$

#### Calculating the Slutsky Decomposition Assume that

$$u = x^{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha}$$

So the demand functions are:

$$\mathbf{x} = \alpha \frac{m}{p_x}$$

and

$$y = (1 - \alpha) \frac{m}{p_y}$$

Initial price is  $p_x^0$  and final price is is  $p_x^1$ 

$$x^0 = \alpha \frac{m}{p_x^0}$$

and

$$x^1 = \alpha \frac{m}{p_x^1}$$

伺い イヨト イヨト

Calculating the Slutsky Decomposition (cont.)

$$y^0 = y^1 = y = (1 - \alpha) \frac{m}{p_y}$$

Now sub from x and y

$$m_{s} = p_{x}^{1} x^{0} + p_{y} y = p_{x}^{1} \alpha \frac{m}{p_{x}^{0}} + p_{y} (1 - \alpha) \frac{m}{p_{y}} = \left[ \alpha \frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}} + (1 - \alpha) \right] m$$

De Silva (Lancaster)

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

## Calculating the Slutsky Decomposition (cont.)

since

$$m_{s} = \left[\alpha \frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}} + (1 - \alpha)\right] m$$

we get:

or

$$x^{s} = \alpha \frac{m^{s}}{p_{x}^{1}} = \alpha \frac{m}{p_{x}^{1}} \left[ \alpha \frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}} + (1-\alpha) \right] = \alpha^{2} \frac{m}{p_{x}^{0}} + \alpha (1-\alpha) \frac{m}{p_{x}^{1}}$$

$$x^{s} = \alpha x^{0} + (1 - \alpha)x^{1}$$

Finally, we get:

$$SE = x^{s} - x^{0} = \alpha x^{0} + (1 - \alpha)x^{1} - x^{0} = (1 - \alpha)(x^{1} - x^{0})$$

$$IE = x^{1} - x^{s} = x^{1} - [\alpha x^{0} + (1 - \alpha)x^{1}] = \alpha(x^{1} - x^{0})$$

De Silva (Lancaster)

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

#### Calculating the Hicks Decomposition

We need to calculate  $m_h$ 

Substituting our demand functions back into utility we get:

$$u = x^{\alpha} y^{1-\alpha} = \left(\alpha \frac{m}{p_x}\right)^{\alpha} \left((1-\alpha)\frac{m}{p_y}\right)^{1-\alpha} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_x}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{p_y}\right)^{1-\alpha} m$$

Then  $m_h$  solves:

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{p_x^1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{p_y}\right)^{1-\alpha} m_h = \left(\frac{\alpha}{p_x^0}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{p_y}\right)^{1-\alpha} m_h$$

or

$$m_h = \left(\frac{p_x^1}{p_x^0}\right)^\alpha m$$

De Silva (Lancaster)

3

ヘロト 人間ト 人注ト 人注ト

#### Calculating the Hicks Decomposition (cont.)

$$x^{h} = \alpha \frac{m^{h}}{p_{x}^{1}} = \alpha \frac{m}{p_{x}^{1}} \left(\frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}}\right)^{\alpha} = \alpha \frac{m}{\left(p_{x}^{0}\right)^{\alpha} \left(p_{x}^{1}\right)^{1-\alpha}}$$

Finally, we get:

$$SE = x^{s} - x^{0} = x^{1} \left(\frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}}\right)^{\alpha} - x^{0}$$
$$IE = x^{1} - x^{s} = x^{1} - x^{1} \left(\frac{p_{x}^{1}}{p_{x}^{0}}\right)^{\alpha}$$

De Silva (Lancaster)

3

ヘロト 人間ト 人間ト 人間トー

#### Demand Curves

We have already met the Marshallian demand curve

- It was demand as price varies, holding all else constant

There are two other demand curves that are sometimes used

- Slutsky Demand
- Change in demand holding purchasing power constant
- The function  $x_i^s = x_i(p_1^1, p_2, m_s)$  we just defined
- Hicks Demand
- Change in demand holding utility constant
- The function  $x_i^h = x_i(p_1^1, p_2, m_h)$  we just defined

# Demand Curves (cont.)

We mentioned before that with Giffen Goods, the Marshallian demand curve slopes upward

However,

- Since the substitution effect is always negative, then

- both the Slutsky and Hicks Demands always slope downward—even with Giffen Goods

- 4 同下 4 同下 - 4 同下 -