

#### Using a Keyness Metric for Single and Multi Document Summarisation

Mahmoud El-Haj m.el-haj@lancaster.ac.uk Paul Rayson p.rayson@lancaster.ac.uk

School of Computing and Communications

Lancaster University

UK

### Multiling 2013 Participation



We participated with single-document and multidocument corpus-based summarisers for both Arabic and English languages.

Coordinated the creation of the Arabic version of Multiling 2013 dataset.

#### **Our Summarisers**



The summarisers used word frequency lists and log likelihood calculations to generate single and multi document Arabic and English summaries.

#### **Corpus-based Summarisation**



- Comparing a sample corpus with a larger standard corpus (Scott, 2000).
- The first word list is the frequency list of all words in the document(s)
- The second list is a much larger standard corpus.

## Why Word Frequency?



- 1) Words with high frequency in the input documents are very likely to appear in the human summaries.
- 2) The automatic summarisers include less of these high frequency words.
- Overlap can be improved by including more of the high frequency words. Nenkova et al. (2005), (Li et al., 2006).

### Standard Word Frequency Lists



- Most frequent 5,000 words for both Arabic and English using:
- 1. The frequency dictionary of Arabic (Buckwalter and Parkinson, 2011).
- 2. The Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) top 5,000 words (Davies, 2010).

### **Summarisation Methodology**



- For each word in the dataset (Arabic and English) we calculated the log likelihood scores using the word frequency lists.
- We summed up the log likelihood scores for each sentence
- We picked sentences (<=250 words) with the highest sum of log likelihood scores.

## Results Single-document (Auto)



System	AutoSumm	MeMoG	NPowER
ID2 (Lancs)	0.136	0.136	1.685
ID41	0.129	0.129	1.661
ID42	0.127	0.127	1.656
ID3	0.127	0.127	1.654
ID1	0.124	0.124	1.647
ID4	0.123	0.123	1.641
ID5	0.040	0.040	1.367

English Automatic Evaluation Scores (single-document)

System	AutoSumm	MeMoG	NPowER
ID3	0.092	0.092	1.538
ID2 (Lancs)	0.087	0.087	1.524
ID41	0.055	0.055	1.418
ID42	0.055	0.055	1.416
ID4	0.053	0.053	1.411
ID5	0.025	0.025	1.317

Arabic Automatic Evaluation Scores (single-document)

## Results Multi-document (Manual)



		System
ystem	Score	ID6
04	3.547	ID2
11	3.013	ID3
6	2.776	
21	2.639	ID4
51	2.571	ID1
61	2.388	ID11
5	2.245	ID21
)1	2.244	ID51
03	2.208	ID5
)2	1.893	ID61

English Manual Evaluation Scores (multi-document)

Arabic Manual Evaluation Scores (multi-document)

# Results Multi-document (Auto)



SysID	Avg Perf
ID4	0.2220
ID11	0.2129
ID61	0.2103
ID1	0.2085
ID21	0.1903
ID6	0.1798
ID2	0.1751
ID5	0.1728
ID3	0.1590
ID51	0.1588
	ID4 ID11 ID61 ID1 ID21 ID6 ID2 ID5 ID3

Group	SysID	Avg Perf
a	ID61	0.2488
ab	ID4	0.2235
abc	ID1	0.2190
abc	ID11	0.2054
abc	ID21	0.1875
abc	ID2	0.1587
abc	ID5	0.1520
ЪС	ID51	0.1450
bc	ID6	0.1376
С	ID3	0.1230

English: Tukey's HSD test MeMoG groups Arabic: Tukey's HSD test MeMoG groups

#### **Enhance Multi-document Scores**



- First, we treated the set of related documents (multi-documents) as a single big document.
- This can be solved by running the summariser on each then selecting the top sentence(s) of each document.
- Second, we did not work on eliminating redundancies.

### **Thanks**



• Questions?

#### References



- W. Li, B. Li, and M. Wu. 2006. Query Focus Guided Sentence Selection Strategy.
- A. Nenkova and L. Vanderwende. 2005. The impact of frequency on summarization. Microsoft Research, Redmond, Washington, Tech. Rep. MSR-TR-2005-101.
- T. Buckwalter and D. Parkinson. 2011. A Frequency Dictionary of Arabic: Core Vocabulary for Learners. Routledge, London, United Kingdom.
- M. Davies. 2010. The Corpus of Contemporary American English as the First Reliable Monitor Corpus of English. Literary and Linguistic Computing, 25:447–464.

## Calculating Log-Likelihood



	Corpus One	Corpus Two	Total
Frequency of Word	а	b	a+b
Frequency of other words	c-a	d-b	c+d-a-b
Total	С	d	c+d

The values c and d correspond to the number of words in corpus one and corpus two respectively. Where a and b are the observed values (o). For each corpus we calculated the expected value E using the following formula:

 $E_i = \frac{N_i \sum_i O_i}{\sum_i N_i}$ 

**Ni** is the total frequency in corpus *i* (*i* in our case takes the values 1 (*c*) and 2 (*d*) for the Multi-Ling Arabic summaries dataset and the frequency dictionary of Arabic (or MultiLing English Summaries dataset and COCA corpus) respectively. The log-likelihood can be calculated as follows:

$$E1 = c*(a+b) / (c+d)$$
 and  $E2 = d*(a+b) / (c+d)$