Characterization of a novel member of genus *Iflavirus* in *Helicoverpa armigera*
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**Abstract**

The cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*, is one of the most important agricultural pests of many economic crops worldwide. Herein, we found a novel single-strand RNA virus by RNA-Seq and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) method in *H. armigera* named *Helicoverpa armigera iflavirus* (HaIV), which possessed a genome with 10,017 nucleotides in length and contained a single large open reading frame (ORF) encoding a putative polyprotein of 3021 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 344.16 kDa and a theoretical isoelectric point (pI) of 6.45. The deduced amino acid sequence showed highest similarity (61.0%) with the protein of *Lymantria dispar iflavirus 1*. Phylogenetic analysis with putative RdRp amino acid sequences indicated that the virus clustered with members of the genus *Iflavirus*. The virus was mainly distributed in the fat body of its host and was found to be capable of both horizontal and vertical transmission. The efficiency of perorally horizontal transmission was dose dependent (100% infection rate with a viral dose of 10^8 copies/µl) while vertical transmission efficiency was found to be relatively low (<28.57%). These results suggest that we have found a novel member of genus *Iflavirus* in *H. armigera*.

**1. Introduction**

Insects are susceptible to a variety of pathogens, which can result in chronic or lethal infections (Burden et al., 2003). Historically, viruses have been isolated and subsequently studied after the observation of overt disease symptoms in the host. However, there are also covert infections found within hosts displaying no obvious signs of disease, which could remain undetected (Burden et al., 2003; Kemp et al., 2011; Murillo et al., 2011). These covert persistent viral infections, such as baculoviruses, are almost ubiquitous in many lepidopteran insect species and their discovery is driving further research into the dynamics and behavior of covert infections and their role in the ecology of host populations, especially those of economic and agricultural importance (Graham et al., 2015).

The cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*, is one of the most important agricultural pests of cotton and other important economic crops worldwide. The adult moth is highly migratory, and populations have been reported in Australia, Asia, Africa, Europe (Feng et al., 2007; Wu et al., 1997) and most recently from South America (Tay et al., 2013). Since the introduction of Bt-cotton into China in the 1990s, the *H. armigera* population has declined dramatically. However, several studies have reported that Bt-resistance has evolved in the field (Gunning et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2012). Thus, other forms of biological pest control, including the use of host-specific viral pesticides, derived from baculovirus (Allaway and Payne, 1984; Chen et al., 2001; Fuxa, 2004; Sun et al., 2002, 2004), small RNA viruses (Christian et al., 2005) and densoviruses (El-Far et al., 2012), has attracted more attention from researchers. Moreover, high-throughput analytical methods such as metagenomics and RNA sequencing provide sensitive and effective methods for the discovery of novel viruses and asymptomatic disease agents that may be useful as biological control products (Diatchenko et al., 1996; Gé et al., 2012; Marguerat and Bähler, 2009; Mokili et al., 2012; Radford et al., 2012; Roossinck et al., 2015), or conversely, may negatively or positively impact upon the biopesticide products being used. For example, the recent discovery of a novel densovirus (HaDV2) from healthy migratory cotton bollworms revealed that HaDV2 infection significantly increased host resistance to the host-specific baculovirus HaNPV.
and to the generalist biopesticide Bt toxin (Xu et al., 2014). The development of molecular tools and next generation sequencing technology paves the way for a greater understanding as to how we may manipulate the host-pathogen system, with the aim of reducing pest outbreaks and economic crop damage.

In this study, a novel virus infecting *H. armigera* named Helicoverpa armigera iflavirus (HaIV), was discovered by RNA-Seq. Alignment and phylogenetic analysis revealed that the virus showed a high sequence identity with members of the *Iflaviridae*. This is the only genus within the family *Iflaviridae*. Members of this genus possess a single positive-strand RNA genome and share several common features, including: (1) non-envelopedicosahedral particles measuring 30 nm in diameter; (2) genome translation into a polyprotein; (3) the viral coat proteins containing three jelly-roll domains; (4) a three-domain containing a superfamily III helicase, a (cysteine) proteinase with a chymotrypsin-like fold and an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Le Gall et al., 2008). The genome of *Iflaviridae* is mononcistronic with one single open reading frame (ORF) encoding a single large polyprotein. To date, only nine species of iflaviruses have been recognized by The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), including deformed wing virus (Lanzi et al., 2006), Ectropis obliqua virus (Wang et al., 2004), Infectious flacherie virus (Isawa et al., 1998), Lygus lineolaris virus 1 (Perera et al., 2012), Nilapavarta lugens honeydew virus 1 (Murakami et al., 2013), Perina nuda virus (Wu et al., 2002), Sacbrood virus (Ghosh et al., 1999), Slow bee paralysis virus (de Miranda et al., 2010), and Varroa destructor virus 1 (Ongus et al., 2004), although other iflaviruses have been reported (Silva et al., 2015; Suzuki et al., 2015). Herein, we report the nucleotide sequence, genome organization, phylogeny, transmission and tissue distribution of HaIV.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Insect culture

A laboratory colony of *H. armigera* was originally captured in 2005 from Langfang (Hebei province, China). *H. armigera* larvae were reared on an artificial diet (Liang et al., 2008) and adult moths were cultured with a 10% sugar and 2% vitamin mix (Liang et al., 2014) at 25 ± 1 °C with a 14:10 light: dark photoperiod.

2.2. Transcriptome analysis and annotation

For transcriptome analysis, Illumina RNA-sequencing was conducted by Novogene (Beijing, China). Fifth instar larvae (one day post-ecysis) were individually collected and total RNA isolated using the TRIzol kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA samples were dissolved in RNase-free water and used to construct the cDNA library of *H. armigera* with suitable fragments (about 200 bp). Paired-end transcriptome sequencing was subsequently performed using an Illumina HiSeq™ 2000. Adaptor sequences and low-quality reads were trimmed and clean reads were used for de novo assembly using Trinity (Grabherr et al., 2011). The assembled contigs were annotated using BLASTx and BLASTn against the NCBI non-redundant nucleic acid database (NT) and the NCBI non-redundant protein database (NR), using a cut-off E-value of 10⁻⁵.

2.3. Cloning the iflavivirus genome of *H. armigera*

Total RNA was extracted from individual adult moths reared in laboratory using the TRIzol reagent kit as described above. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized using the FastQuant RT Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Based on the assembled sequence from RNA-seq, nine pairs of primers were subsequently designed (Table S1). The genome of the iflavirus isolated from *H. armigera* was amplified and sequenced using cDNA as template, using the following PCR program: 4 min at 94 °C; 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 2 min at 72 °C for 40 cycles. The PCR product was purified, inserted into the pEASY-T cloning vector (TransGen, Beijing, China), and sequenced.

2.4. Sequence and phylogenetic analysis

The open reading frame (ORF) of the viral genome was predicted using ORF finder at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orf/gorf/gorf.html). The amino acid sequences encoding non-structural proteins were compared with members of the order *Picornavirales* using Clustal W (Thompson et al., 1994). The complete nucleotide sequence of the virus described in this study was submitted to GenBank under accession number KX228231. The deduced RdRp amino acid sequence of the new virus, together with that of members of the family *Iflaviridae*, was used in phylogenetic analysis. Acoristithopon pisum virus and *Spodoptera exigua* virus AKJ-2014 were used as outgroup. The phylogenetic trees were constructed using the maximum likelihood method with a bootstrap of 1000 replicates in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013). Gaps were regarded as a complete deletion unless specifically noted.

2.5. Virus detection and quantification

A partial sequence exhibiting high similarities with known iflaviruses was identified from the RNA-seq data. For the detection of the novel virus, a pair of specific primers, VPFI/VPRI (Table S1) were designed to amplify a PCR product of 593 bp, according to the assembled sequence of the virus in *H. armigera*. The PCR program was as follows: 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 57 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C for 40 cycles. To assess the detection threshold of the virus, a 10-fold dilution series of cDNA (3.37 × 10⁶ to 3.37 × 10⁻¹ copies/μl) containing the virus was made and tested using the VPFI/VPRI primers. 10 µl of each PCR product was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Copy numbers of the novel virus were quantified using a standard curve by an absolute quantification qPCR method (Wong and Medrano, 2005). For quantification, the primers and probes of the virus (VPF/VPR, Table S1) were designed. A fragment was amplified using the primers and cloned into the pEASY-T Cloning Vector (TransGen, Beijing, China) and sequenced. The PCR program was as follows: 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 54 °C, and 30 s at 72 °C for 40 cycles. The plasmid was used for the construction of the standard curve. Virus quantification was conducted with TaqMan reagent agent, which comprised 1 µl of template DNA, 2 × SuperReal Premix (Probe, Tiangen, Beijing, China), 50 × ROX Reference Dye, 0.2 mM of each primer and 0.4 mM probe. The thermal cycling conditions on a 7500 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) were as follows: 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s, 60 °C for 30 s. The standard curve equation of y = −0.9990x + 41.6662 (y = the logarithm of plasmid copy number to base 2, x = Ct value, R² = 0.9998) was used to calculate the copy number of the virus (Fig. S1).

2.6. Transmission of the virus

Filtered liquid containing an unpurified form of the iflavirus was prepared (Xu et al., 2014). RNA was isolated and the concentration of the virus was quantified. A NONINF strain was established from a single breeding pair that was not infected with the iflavirus, according to the method described by Xu et al. (2014). An infected line (INF strain) of *H. armigera* was established by orally infecting NONINF strain larvae with the filtered liquid.
Fifth-instar larvae were randomly selected to detect the infection rate of the virus.

For quantification of vertical transmission rates, four pair-types (♀+♂∗, ♀+♂−, ♂−♀∗, and ♂−♀−) were mated. Positive and negative individuals were from INF and NONINF culture strains respectively. The infection rate of the virus in offspring was detected from 3rd instar offspring larvae.

For quantification of horizontal transmission rates, non-infected NONINF strain neonates were provided artificial diet with different concentrations of the virus: 10^8, 10^7, 10^6, 10^5, 10^4, 0 (virus-free NONINF strain neonates were provided artificial diet with different concentrations of the virus: 10^8, 10^7, 10^6, 10^5, 10^4, 0 (virus-free control) copies/μl for 2 days, then transferred to a 24-well plate and reared in glass vials until eclosion. Newly eclosed adult moths were sampled to determine the infection rate of the virus under different titer regimes. This diet contamination assay (mimicking a similar mechanism in wild populations) was conducted according to the method described by Xu et al. (2014). Infection rate was detected by PCR and larval frass from the INF strain was used to quantify the virus copy number by qPCR.

2.7. Quantification of the virus in eggs and different tissues

To test whether vertical transmission was transovum or transovarial, we quantified the copy number of the virus in three groups of both non-treated (control) and sodium hypochlorite treated eggs (n = 50 eggs per group) of H. armigera. The eggs were from INF strain breeding pairs, that is both females and males were infected with the virus and treated according to the method described by Xu et al. (2014). Third instar larvae originating from these eggs were also sampled to quantify the copy number of the virus.

To examine the virus infection in different body tissues of the host, infected fifth-instar larvae were dissected into foregut, midgut, hindgut, hemolymph, malpighian tubules and fat body, using a fresh scalpel for every cut to avoid virus cross contamination. In addition, infected females and males were dissected into brain, muscle, wing, malpighian tubule, fat body, ovary/testis and gut as described above. Total RNA was extracted from the different body parts (both larval and adult stages) and cDNA was used as a template to quantify the copy numbers of the virus by qPCR. The cDNA sample of each body part was replicated three times. The copy number of the virus in each tissue was calculated, and these were summed to determine total copy numbers of the virus in each individual. The percentage of virus in each tissue in the same individual was statistically analyzed (larvae: n = 9; adult males: n = 6; adult females: n = 9) (Xu et al., 2014).

To examine virus replication in different developmental stages of the host, larvae 24 h to 240 h post-infection (1st-5th instar) and newly eclosed adults were sampled. Absolute quantification qPCR and the comparative 2^ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001) were used, respectively. β-actin (GenBank EU527017) was used as the reference gene to normalize the virus expression. The reaction was conducted in 20 μl reaction mixtures, containing 10 μl of SuperReal PreMix Plus (TIANGEN, Beijing, China), 0.6 μl of primers (10 μM), 1 μl of sample cDNA, 0.5 μl of Rox Reference Dye and 8.3 μl of RNase-free ddH₂O. The cycling parameters were: 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 62 °C for 32 s. To ensure reproducibility, each sample was carried out in three biological replicates and in three technical replicates.

2.8. Electron microscopy

Adult moths from the INF culture strain were collected. Then the virus were isolated and purified using the method of Sucrose Density Gradient Centrifugation according to the method described by La Fauce et al. (2007). Purified particles were negatively stained with 2% sodium phosphotungstate at pH 6.8 and observed with a transmission electron microscope.

3. Results

3.1. Transcriptome analysis and annotation

Using RNA-Seq we sequenced the transcriptome of H. armigera, obtaining about 5 gigabases (in-depth) for each sample, and a total of 106,785 assembled contigs. Using BLASTx and BLASTn, 45,609 genes (42.71% of transcripts) and 34,383 genes (32.20% of transcripts), respectively, were obtained through BLAST hits, using the E-value cutoff. Because of the relatively short length of transcripts (mean size of 758.65 bp) and lack of genome reference, most of the assembled sequences could not be matched to any known genes. The E-value distribution of the best hit in the nr database showed that 57% of the mapped sequences had strong homology (smaller than 1.0E−50), whereas 43% of the homologous sequences ranged from 1.0E−5 to 1.0E−50 (Fig. S2A). Homologous genes came from several species, with 66% of the unigenes having the highest homology to genes from Danaus plexippus, followed by Bombyx mori (5%), Tribolium castaneum (3%), and Helicoverpa armigera (2%) (Fig. S2B). The RNA-seq original datasets generated in this study are available in the NCBI GEO database (accession number: GSE86914) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

3.2. The genome sequence of HaIV

The assembled contig of 1495 nucleotides (nt) in length from 103,935 reads encoded actin of H. armigera, and one contig of 10,008 nt in length assembled using 41,719 reads showed high identity with Lymantria dispar iflaviruses. Using BLASTn, 45,609 genes (42.71% of transcripts) and 34,383 genes (32.20% of transcripts), respectively, were obtained through BLAST hits, using the E-value cutoff. Because of the relatively short length of transcripts (mean size of 758.65 bp) and lack of genome reference, most of the assembled sequences could not be matched to any known genes. The E-value distribution of the best hit in the nr database showed that 57% of the mapped sequences had strong homology (smaller than 1.0E−50), whereas 43% of the homologous sequences ranged from 1.0E−5 to 1.0E−50 (Fig. S2A). Homologous genes came from several species, with 66% of the unigenes having the highest homology to genes from Danaus plexippus, followed by Bombyx mori (5%), Tribolium castaneum (3%), and Helicoverpa armigera (2%) (Fig. S2B). The RNA-seq original datasets generated in this study are available in the NCBI GEO database (accession number: GSE86914) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

3.3. Phylogenetic analysis

Neighbor-joining trees with Poisson model were constructed for the putative RdRp amino acid sequences (Fig. 1A) of the family Iflaviridae using Acrystosiphon pisum virus and Spodoptera exigua virus AKJ-2014 as the outgroup. The result indicated that the HaIV clustered with members of the genus Iflavirus.

3.4. Sensitivity of detection

An amplification product could be visualized by ethidium bromide staining when as little as 3.37 × 10^3 copies/μl of HaIV in cDNA were used as template (Fig. S3).
Fig. 1. Protein domain alignments. Shown are alignments of the helicase (A), protease (B) and RdRp sequences (C) domains of the novel virus (HaIV) with those of the other Iflaviridae (ApIV, DWV, EoV, HeIV, IFV, LyLV-1, PnV, SBV and VDV-1), some Dicistroviridae (ABPV, BQCV, CrPV and DCV) and one Picornaviridae (EMCV). Conserved regions corresponding to those recognized by Koonin et al. (1993) are indicated by bars above the protein alignment. Black shading indicates 100% sequence identity and other residues that are also conserved among these sequences are shaded in gray. The full names and the accession numbers of the virus are given in Table S3.
3.5. Transmission of HaIV

The virus was capable of being vertically transmitted from both infected males and females, but the transmission efficiency was relatively low (<28.6%) and that from infected females was higher than that from infected males (Table 2). To test whether vertical transmission of the virus was due to transovum or transovarial, quantification of INF eggs was conducted and indicated that the virus titers were 1000 times greater in non-treated eggs than in eggs treated with sodium hypochlorite solution (paired t-test: t = 15.649, d.f. = 5, \(P < 0.001\)) (Fig. 3). 8 out of 17 individuals (47.06%) hatching from non-treated eggs contained more than \(1.0 \times 10^7\) copies/mg of HaIV, whereas larvae hatching from eggs treated with sodium hypochlorite solution contained no more than \(3.71 \times 10^2\) copies/mg of HaIV (n = 10), suggesting that transovum transmission was occurring via the surface of eggs (Fig. 4).

---

**Table 1**
Sequence identity and similarity in an alignment between nucleotide and amino acid of HaIV and HeIV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Sequence identity (similarity) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'NTR</td>
<td>20.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'UTR</td>
<td>35.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire polyprotein</td>
<td>58.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RdRp</td>
<td>80.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease</td>
<td>67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicase</td>
<td>92.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhv_like</td>
<td>58.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhv_like</td>
<td>77.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPV_capsid</td>
<td>52.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**
Vertical transmission efficiency of HaIV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Number testing +ve</th>
<th>Number testing -ve</th>
<th>Transmission efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female+/Male+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female+/Male-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-/Male+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-/Male-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infected individuals = “+”, uninfected individuals = “-”.

---

**Fig. 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of the family Iflaviridae, with Acyrthosiphon pisum virus and Spodoptera exigua virus AKJ-2014 used as the outgroup. The phylograms are based on the putative RdRp amino acid sequence (Fig. 2). The Genbank accession numbers are listed behind each virus species. Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values as percentages estimated by 1000 replicates in an analysis using Clustal_X software. Branches with less than 50% bootstrap support were collapsed.
To examine horizontal transmission, NONINF strain neonates were exposed to different concentrations of virus. The results showed that the infection rate of NONINF strain larvae was dose-dependent, with 100% infection rates at a dose of $10^8$ copies/$\mu$l (Table 3). To examine the transmission efficiency through frass of larvae, we placed NONINF strain neonates in diet cells which had previously housed infected insects ($n=12$) and quantified the copy number of the virus in frass of larvae. The results showed that the frass contained no more than $10^6$ copies/mg (Fig. 5). However, 75% ($9$ of $12$ samples) of NONINF strain individuals could be infected via horizontal transmission by frass (Fig. 6).

### 3.6. Host tissue distribution

The copy number of the virus in different body tissues of *H. armigera* was quantified by qPCR. In both larvae and adults, the virus titers in the fat body were significantly higher than in other tissues: larvae: $F=11.32$, $df=5,12$, $P<0.001$ (Fig. 7A); adult females: $F=11.57$, $df=6,56$, $P<0.001$ (Fig. 7B); adult males: $F=2.89$, $df=6,21$, $P=0.033$ (Fig. 7C). The virus was also detected in female ovaries and male testes, but at lower titers than in fat body.

### 3.7. Total levels of HaIV

Absolute quantification qPCR results showed that the copy number of HaIV increased over time, reaching the highest infection load ($1.36 \times 10^7$ copies/mg) at 144 h post infection and remaining stable after this time (Fig. 8A). In undertaking the relative quantification assay, all of the expression levels of HaIV were compared with those at 24 h. The expression levels of HaIV increased over time and reached 10,000 fold at 240 h, with an addition slight increase in expression during the adult stage (Fig. 8B).

### Table 3: Detection of HaIV infecting larvae dosed at a range of concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations (copy number/$\mu$l)</th>
<th>Number testing +ve</th>
<th>Number testing -ve</th>
<th>Infection rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^7$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infected individuals = “+ve”, uninfected individuals = “−ve”.

**Fig. 3.** Viral load of HaIV in cotton bollworm eggs. Absolute quantification of HaIV copy number per $\mu$g of host RNA in eggs washed or non-washed in 1% sodium hypochlorite ($n=6$).

**Fig. 4.** Viral load of HaIV in larvae hatching from non-treated eggs (C1-C17) and eggs treated with sodium hypochlorite solution (T1-T10).

**Fig. 5.** Absolute quantification of HaIV copy number per mg of frass of larvae. LF: larval frass.

**Fig. 6.** The detection of HaIV in sample used in diet contamination assay. (a) PCR detection of HaIV in larvae which were reared on contaminated diet for 6 days; (b) PCR detection of HaIV in frass of larvae; (c) β-actin was used as internal reference gene to test the integrity of each cDNA templates.
3.8. Virus morphology

The virus particles purified from *H. armigera* were observed by using an electron microscope. They had an isometric appearance and an approximate diameter of 30 nm (Fig. 9).

4. Discussion

Recently, next-generation sequencing technology has provided a rapid approach to high-throughput sequence determination and allowed a wide diversity of novel viruses to be discovered (Ansorge, 2009; Mokili et al., 2012; Roossinck et al., 2015). Herein, a novel virus named *H. armigera* iflavivirus (HaIV) was detected in larvae of *H. armigera* by RNA-seq method. The genome organization of HaIV has the common features of other members within the family *Iflaviridae*. Briefly, the virus is monocistronic, with a single-stranded RNA genome, and contains a single large ORF encoding both structural and non-structural proteins. It also possesses the functional motifs of RNA helicase, protease and RdRp conserved in members of the order *Picornavirales* (van Oers, 2010). As members of the genus *Iflavirus*, the genomic sequence of HaIV is A/U rich (>60% A/U). Based on the genomic nucleotide sequences and the amino acid sequences, phylogenetic analysis indicated HaIV clustered with the members of *Iflaviridae*, suggesting that HaIV was a novel member of genus *Iflavirus* (Carrillo-Tripp et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2015; van Oers, 2010).

Viruses distribution in host tissues were diverse according to different virus species, such as HaDV2, a beneficial densovirus which could accelerate the growth rate of its insect host, was mainly distributed in fat body of *H. armigera* (Xu et al., 2014) and HaNPV, a lethal baculovirus, viral loads of which were higher in the head, legs and wings than in the abdomen and thorax (Graham et al., 2015). Previously, some members of *Iflaviridae* are known to be harmful to their hosts by lead to developmental problems and host mortality (van Oers, 2010). For example, sacbrood virus (SBV) is mainly found in larval fat body cells of the honeybee, which may impact metabolic function of these cells, resulting in failure to pupate and ultimately causing death (Bitondi et al., 2006; Park et al., 2016). Interestingly, HaIV was also mainly distributed in the fat body both in larvae and adults while with much lower titers in both the ovary and testis, suggesting a similar function with other members of genus *Iflavirus*. 

Fig. 7. Tissue distribution of the HaIV in (A) larvae, (B) adult females and (C) adult male cotton bollworms. Within each figure, significant differences ascribed using Tukey tests are shown using different letters. Percentage (%) = the ratio of HaIV in different tissues (per mg), as described by Xu et al. (2014) (larvae: n = 9; adult males: n = 6; adult females: n = 9). Means ± SE.

Fig. 8. HaIV levels in different developmental stages of host were tested using two methods. (A) Absolute quantification qPCR method. (B) The comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_{t}}$ method.

Fig. 9. Electron microscopy image showing HaIV particles purified from an extract from INF strain (100000×).
As beneficial virus, HaDV2 could be high efficiently transmitted by both the horizontal and vertical mode (Xu et al., 2014). However, the harmful virus (eg. HaNPV) could infect host through high horizontal transmission rate (86.2% mortality at a dose of 10^7 OBs/ml) whereas quite low vertical transmission rate (12.6% ± 2.0%) (Zhou et al., 2005). Members of Iflaviridae can transmit horizontally and vertically. For example, DWV could vertically transmit from queens to both worker and drone offspring (Yue and Generisch, 2005), and horizontally transmit through Varroa mites (Bowne-Walker et al., 1999; Wilfert et al., 2016). Transovarial and horizontal transmission of SBV have also been found to occur (Shen et al., 2005). Herein, we also found that HaLV could be both horizontally and vertically transmitted, which the horizontally transmitted efficiency was dose-dependent and the vertically transmitted efficiency was quite low (<28.57%). To examine the possibility of horizontal transmission on natural conditions, we performed diet contamination assay and the results suggested that although the dose of HaLV in frass was no more than 10^5/mg, the infection rate was 75%. The copy number of HaLV associated with eggs was significantly decreased by washing with sodium hypochlorite solution, suggesting that transovum transmission was occurring via the surface of eggs.

In conclusion, we report a novel virus isolated from the host lepidopteran H. armigera named H. armigera Iflavirus. Molecular characterization and phylogenetic analysis indicated that HaLV was a novel member of the genus Iflaviridae. HaLV was found to be mainly distributed in the fat body of its host, and could be both horizontally and vertically transmitted but with low efficiency, suggesting a harmful factor to its host.
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