Part III: Impurities in Luttinger liquids

1. Luttinger liquids
2. Impurity effects
3. Microscopic model
4. Flow equations
5. Results

S. Andergassen, T. Enss (Stuttgart)
V. Meden, K. Schönhammer (Göttingen)
U. Schollwöck (Aachen)

Phys. Rev. B **65**, 045318 (2002); Europhys. Lett. **64**, 769 (2003);
Phys. Rev. B **70**, 075102 (2004); Phys. Rev. B **71**, 041302 (2005);
Phys. Rev. B **71**, 155401 (2005); Phys. Rev. B **73**, 045125 (2006)

1. Luttinger liquids

One-dimensional interacting Fermi systems without correlation gaps
are Luttinger liquids.
(1D counterpart of Fermi liquid in 2D or 3D)

One-dimensional electron systems:

- Complex chemical compounds containing chains
- Quantum wires (in heterostructures)
- Carbon nanotubes
- Edge states



(Dekker's group)

Electronic structure of 1D systems:

Dispersion relations:

$\epsilon_k = k^2/2m$ (low carrier density)

$\epsilon_k = -2t\cos k$ (tight binding)

"Fermi surface": 2 points $\pm k_F$



Dispersion relation near Fermi points:



approx. linear:

$$\xi_k = \epsilon_k - \epsilon_F = v_F\,(|k| - k_F)$$

Electron-electron interaction:

has stronger effects than in 2D and 3D systems:

no fermionic quasi-particles, Fermi liquid theory not valid.

Fermi liquid replaced by Luttinger liquid:

- only bosonic low-energy excitations
  (collective charge/spin density oscillations)
- power-laws with non-universal exponents

$\Rightarrow$ Luttinger liquid theory

Review: T. Giamarchi: *Quantum physics in one dimension* (2004)

Bulk properties of Luttinger liquids:

- Bosonic low-energy excitations with linear dispersion relation

$\xi_q^c = u_c\, q \;, \quad \xi_q^s = u_s\, q$ (charge and spin channel)

$\Rightarrow$ specific heat $c_V \propto T$

- DOS for single-electron excitations:

$$D(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon - \epsilon_F|^{\alpha}$$

vanishes at Fermi level ($\alpha > 0$)



DOS in principle observable by photoemission or tunneling.

• Density-density correlation function $N(q)$:

finite for $q \to 0$ (compressibility)

divergent as $|q - 2k_F|^{-\alpha_{2k_F}}$ for $q \to 2k_F$

($\alpha_{2k_F} > 0$ for repulsive interactions)

$\Rightarrow$ enhanced back-scattering ($2k_F$) from impurity.

For spin-rotation invariant (and spinless) systems all exponents can be expressed in terms of one parameter K_ρ.

Asymptotic low energy behavior (power-laws) of Luttinger liquids described by Luttinger model:

$H_{\mathrm{LM}} =$ linear $\epsilon_k +$ forward scattering interactions

It is exactly solvable and scale-invariant (fixed point).

For spinless fermions only one coupling constant, parametrizing interaction between left- and right-movers:

$$H_I = g \int dx \, n_+(x) \, n_-(x)$$

2. Impurity effects

How does a single non-magnetic impurity (potential scatterer) affect properties of a Luttinger liquid?

Non-interacting system:

Impurity induces Friedel oscillations (density oscillations with wave vector $2k_F$)

DOS near impurity finite at Fermi level

Conductance reduced by a finite factor (transmission probability)

Kane, Fisher '92: impurity in interacting system (spinless Luttinger liquid)

- Weak impurity potential:

Backscattering amplitude V_{2k_F} generated by impurity grows as $\Lambda^{K_\rho - 1}$
for decreasing energy scale Λ.
($K_\rho < 1$ for repulsive interactions; V_{2k_F} is "relevant" perturbation of pure LL)

$\Rightarrow$ Low energy probes see high barrier even if (bare) impurity potential is weak!

- Weak link:



DOS at boundary of LL vanishes as $|\epsilon - \epsilon_F|^{\alpha_B}$ $\Rightarrow$

Tunneling amplitude t_{wl} between two weakly coupled
chains scales to zero as Λ^{α_B} with $\alpha_B = K_\rho{}^{-1} - 1 > 0$ at low energy scales.
(t_{wl} is "irrelevant" perturbation of split chain)

Hypothesis (Kane, Fisher):

Any impurity effectively "cuts the chain" at low energy scales and physical properties obey weak link or boundary scaling. $\Rightarrow$

DOS near impurity:

$D_i(\epsilon) \propto |\epsilon - \epsilon_F|^{\alpha_B}$ for $\epsilon \to \epsilon_F$ at $T = 0$

Conductance through impurity:

$G(T) \propto T^{2\alpha_B}$ for $T \to 0$

supported within effective bosonic field theory by:

refermionization (Kane, Fisher '92)
QMC (Moon et al. '93; Egger, Grabert '95)
Bethe ansatz (Fendley, Ludwig, Saleur '95)

3. Microscopic model

Spinless fermion model:



nearest neighbor hopping t

nearest neighbor interaction U

$$H_{\mathrm{sf}} = -t \sum_j \left(c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} \right) + U \sum_j n_j n_{j+1}$$

Properties (without impurities):

- exactly solvable by Bethe ansatz
- Luttinger liquid except for $|U| > 2t$ at half-filling
- charge density wave for $U > 2t$ at half-filling

Impurity potential added to bulk hamiltonian $H_{\rm sf}$:

general form: $H_{\rm imp} = \sum_{j,j'} V_{j'j}\, c^\dagger_{j'} c_j$

"site impurity":

$H_{\rm imp} = V n_{j_0}$ (j_0 impurity site)

"hopping impurity":

$H_{\rm imp} = (t - t')\left(c^\dagger_{j_0+1} c_{j_0} + c^\dagger_{j_0} c_{j_0+1}\right)$

Later also double barrier (two site or hopping impurities)

4. Flow equations

Starting point (for approximations):

Exact hierarchy of differential flow equations for 1-particle irreducible vertex functions with infrared cutoff Λ:



etc. for Γ_3^Λ, Γ_4^Λ, ...

where

$$G^\Lambda = \left[(G_0^\Lambda)^{-1} - \Sigma^\Lambda\right]^{-1} \qquad S^\Lambda = \left[1 - G_0^\Lambda \Sigma^\Lambda\right]^{-1} \frac{dG_0^\Lambda}{d\Lambda} \left[1 - \Sigma^\Lambda G_0^\Lambda\right]^{-1}$$

Cutoff:

At $T = 0$ sharp frequency cutoff: $G_0^\Lambda = \Theta(|\omega| - \Lambda)\, G_0$

At finite T (discrete Matsubara frequencies) soft cutoff with width $2\pi T$

G_0 bare propagator without impurities and interaction

Approximations:

Scheme 1 (first order):

Approximate $\Gamma^\Lambda \approx \Gamma^{\Lambda^0}$ (ignore flow of 2-particle vertex)

$\Rightarrow$ Σ^Λ tridiagonal matrix in real space

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\Lambda}\Sigma^\Lambda =$$



Flow equation very simple; at $T = 0$:

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^\Lambda_{j,j} = -\frac{U}{2\pi}\sum_{s=\pm 1}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda}\tilde{G}^\Lambda_{j+s,j+s}(i\omega) \qquad \frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^\Lambda_{j,j\pm 1} = \frac{U}{2\pi}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda}\tilde{G}^\Lambda_{j,j\pm 1}(i\omega)$$

where $\tilde{G}^\Lambda(i\omega) = [G_0^{-1}(i\omega) - \Sigma^\Lambda]^{-1}$.

Kane/Fisher physics already qualitatively captured !

Scheme 2 (second order):

Neglect Γ_3^Λ; approx. Γ^Λ by flowing nearest neighbor interaction U^Λ

$\Rightarrow$ 1-loop flow for U^Λ; flow of Σ^Λ as in scheme 1 with renormalized U^Λ

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^\Lambda_{j,j} = -\frac{U^\Lambda}{2\pi}\sum_{s=\pm 1}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda}\tilde{G}^\Lambda_{j+s,j+s}(i\omega) \qquad \frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^\Lambda_{j,j\pm 1} = \frac{U^\Lambda}{2\pi}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda}\tilde{G}^\Lambda_{j,j\pm 1}(i\omega)$$

Works quantitatively even for rather big U

Derivation of flow equation (scheme 1):

Flow equation for self-energy:

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^{\Lambda}(1',1) = -T\sum_{2,2'} e^{i\omega_2 0^+}\, S^{\Lambda}(2,2')\,\Gamma_0(1',2';1,2)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\Lambda}\Sigma^{\Lambda} =$$



Single-scale propagator

$$S^{\Lambda} = G^{\Lambda}[\partial_{\Lambda}(G_0^{\Lambda})^{-1}]G^{\Lambda} = -\frac{1}{1-G_0^{\Lambda}\Sigma^{\Lambda}}\,\frac{\partial G_0^{\Lambda}}{\partial\Lambda}\,\frac{1}{1-\Sigma^{\Lambda}G_0^{\Lambda}}$$

Self-energy and propagator diagonal in frequency: $\omega_1 = \omega_{1'}$ and $\omega_2 = \omega_{2'}$.

Γ_0 freqency-independent $\Rightarrow$ Σ frequency-independent.

Sharp frequency cutoff ($T = 0$): $G_0^\Lambda(i\omega) = \Theta(|\omega| - \Lambda)\, G_0(i\omega)$ $\Rightarrow$

$$S^\Lambda(i\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - \Theta(|\omega| - \Lambda) G_0(i\omega) \Sigma^\Lambda}\, \delta(|\omega| - \Lambda) G_0(i\omega)\, \frac{1}{1 - \Theta(|\omega| - \Lambda) \Sigma^\Lambda G_0(i\omega)}$$

$\delta(.)$ meets $\Theta(.)$: ill defined!

Consider regularized (smeared) step functions Θ_ϵ with $\delta_\epsilon = \Theta'_\epsilon$,
then take limit $\epsilon \to 0$, using

$$\int dx\, \delta_\epsilon(x - \Lambda)\, f[x, \Theta_\epsilon(x - \Lambda)] \xrightarrow{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^1 dt\, f(\Lambda, t)$$

proof:
substitution $t = \Theta_\epsilon$

Integration can be done analytically, yielding

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda} \Sigma^\Lambda_{j'_1, j_1} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\omega = \pm\Lambda} \sum_{j_2, j'_2} e^{i\omega 0^+}\, \tilde{G}^\Lambda_{j_2, j'_2}(i\omega)\, \Gamma^0_{j'_1, j'_2; j_1, j_2}$$

where $\tilde{G}^\Lambda(i\omega) = [G_0^{-1}(i\omega) - \Sigma^\Lambda]^{-1}$

Insert real space structure of bare vertex for spinless fermions with nearest neighbor interaction U:

$$\Gamma^0_{j_1',j_2';j_1,j_2} = U_{j_1,j_2}\left(\delta_{j_1,j_1'}\delta_{j_2,j_2'} - \delta_{j_1,j_2'}\delta_{j_2,j_1'}\right)$$

$$U_{j_1,j_2} = U\left(\delta_{j_1,j_2-1} + \delta_{j_1,j_2+1}\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$ Flow equations

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^{\Lambda}_{j,j} = -\frac{U}{2\pi}\sum_{s=\pm 1}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda} e^{i\omega 0^+}\,\tilde{G}^{\Lambda}_{j+s,j+s}(i\omega)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\Lambda}\Sigma^{\Lambda}_{j,j\pm 1} = \frac{U}{2\pi}\sum_{\omega=\pm\Lambda} e^{i\omega 0^+}\,\tilde{G}^{\Lambda}_{j,j\pm 1}(i\omega)$$

Convergence factor $e^{i\omega 0^+}$ matters only for $\Lambda \to \infty$

Initial condition at $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \to \infty$:

$$\Sigma^{\Lambda_0}_{j_1,j_1'} = V_{j_1,j_1'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j_2} \Gamma^0_{j_1',j_2;j_1,j_2}$$

where $V_{j_1,j_1'}$ is the bare impurity potential and
the second term is due to the flow from ∞ to Λ_0 (!)

Flow equations at finite temperatures $T > 0$:

Replace $\omega = \pm\Lambda$ by $\omega = \pm\,\omega_n^\Lambda$ in flow equations,
where ω_n^Λ is the Matsubara frequency most close to Λ.

Calculation of conductance:

Interacting chain connected to semi-infinite non-interacting leads via smooth or abrupt contacts



Conductance $\quad G(T) = -\frac{e^2}{h} \int d\epsilon \, f'(\epsilon) \, |t(\epsilon)|^2 \quad$ with $\quad |t(\epsilon)|^2 \propto |G_{1,N}(\epsilon)|^2$

Propagator $G_{1,N}(\epsilon)$ calculated in presence of leads, which affect the interacting region only via boundary contributions $\Sigma_{1,1}(\epsilon)$ and $\Sigma_{N,N}(\epsilon)$ to the self-energy

Vertex corrections vanish within our approximation (no inelastic scattering) (see Oguri '01)

fRG features:

- perturbative in U (weak coupling)
- non-perturbative in impurity strength
- arbitrary bare impurity potential (any shape)
- full effective impurity potential
  (cf. Matveev, Yue, Glazman '93: only V_{2k_F})
- cheap numerics up to 10^5 sites for $T > 0$ and 10^7 sites at $T = 0$.
- captures all scales, not just asymptotics.

5. Results

Renormalized impurity potential (from self-energy Σ_{jj} at $\Lambda = 0$):



long-range $2k_F$-oscillations ! (associated with Friedel oscillations of density)

$2k_F$-oscillations also in renormalized hopping amplitude around impurity

Results for local DOS near impurity site:

(half-filling, ground state, $U = 1$, $V = 1.5$, 1000 sites)



red: Lutt. liquid

green: Fermi gas

Strong suppression of DOS near Fermi level

Power law with boundary exponent α_B for $\omega \to 0$, $N \to \infty$

Spectral weight at $\omega = 0$ in good agreement with DMRG for $U < 2$.

Log. derivative of spectral weight

at Fermi level as fct. of system size:

- near boundary (*solid lines*)
- near hopping impurity (*dashed lines*)

circles: quarter-filling, $U = 0.5$

squares: quarter-filling, $U = 1.5$

open symbols: fRG

filled symbols: DMRG

top panel: without vertex renorm.

bottom panel: with vertex renorm.

horizontal lines:

exact boundary exponents



Friedel oscillations from open boundaries:

(half-filling, ground state)



Excellent agreement between fRG and DMRG

One parameter scaling of conductance ($T = 0$):

Single impurity, smooth contacts: $G(N) = \frac{e^2}{h}\,\tilde{G}_K(x)$, $x = [N/N_0(U,V)]^{1-K}$





Crossover size

as function of bare

reflection amplitude



Conductance at $T > 0$ — smooth contacts



Asymptotic power law $G(T) \propto T^{2\alpha}$ reached on accessible scales only for sufficiently strong impurities

Resonant tunneling through double barrier:





(Dekker's group '01)

Treated theoretically by many groups; controversial results !

Model setup:



Resonance peaks in conductance as a function of gate voltage:



At $T = 0$, width $w \sim N^{K-1}$

T-dependence of $|t(\epsilon)|^2$ important fRG results for $G_p(T)$ (symmetric double barrier):



Various distinctive power laws, in particular (Furusaki, Nagaosa '93,'98):

- exponent $2\alpha_B$ (looks like independent impurities in series)
- exponent $\alpha_B - 1$ (*"uncorrelated sequential tunneling"*)

No indications of exponent $2\alpha_B - 1$ (*"correlated sequential tunneling"*)

Summary . . .

- fRG is reliable and flexible tool to study Luttinger liquids with impurities
- can be applied to microscopic models, restricted to ”weak” coupling
- provides simple physical picture
- interplay of contacts, impurities, and correlations
- method covers all energy scales
- resonant tunneling: universal behavior and crossover captured

. . . and outlook

- include spin
  (extended Hubbard model: Andergassen et al., PRB **73**, 045125 (2006))

- more complex geometries
  (Y-junctions: Barnabé-Thériault et al., PRL **94**, 136405 (2005))

- include bulk anomalous dimension

- include inelastic processes

- extend to non-linear transport