Criteria for Peer Review of Proposals

Single Paper Proposals

1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: *Locating Social Justice in Close-Up Research in Higher Education*
2. Appropriateness of the analytical or theoretical framework underpinning the paper
3. Clarity of the research questions or focus of enquiry
4. Appropriateness of research methods and literature used
5. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the paper
6. Engagement with or expansion on the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers

Symposium Proposals

1. Relevance of the topic to the conference theme: *Locating Social Justice in Close-Up Research in Higher Education*
2. Explicit engagement with the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers
3. Coherence of the different elements of the symposium
4. Appropriateness of the analytical or theoretical framework(s)
5. Clarity of the research questions or foci of enquiry
6. Appropriateness of research methods and/or literature used
7. Reflexive discussion of the significance of the papers and/or the symposium as a whole.
8. Engagement with or expansion on the issues raised in one or more of the think pieces provided by the keynote speakers
9. Effective use of the 90 minute time slot