The River Don Partnership

group exercise summary tables

Where ar	e we now?
 Note on format: some topics appear in one or more sections. Through out these are shown in the same alphabetical order and colour coded. Conflict Conflict of use waters Conflicting of aims of people/groups 	 Flooding and drought Lots of interest and activity but there are concerns around the size and type of solutions e.g. land management and very large schemes, people/business versus sustainability. More extreme events expected Public fears of flooding Lack of resources and knowledge in local flood authorities
 Data and evidence Responsibilities/causes for failure not shared or clear Information being gathered by many but lacking coordination, verification, consideration, specifics e.g. species Data poorly understood Science needs to be applied 	Leadership Lack of coordination Some sections of society not involved e.g. business Leadership lacking Action uncoordinated Lacking the legal 'teeth' required Joined-up thinking by organisations versus just
Finance and funding Are the benefits of water truly recognised i.e. economic value? Don't consider sustainable solutions enough. Opportunities: • Huge opportunities • Joint funding bids • New funding for flood projects • New funding for WFD • Funding not co-ordinated	enthusiastic individuals Management of the river is • Fragmented • Lacking vision • Involves duplication
	Continued

Where are we now? continued one

People

- Low public awareness of watercourses
- Low use of the river
- Constraint of vested interest
- Ownership and identity
- Public alienation from the river environment
- Negative perception of rivers due to threat of flooding
- Value placed on river varies with location and community
- Heritage assets not celebrated
- Involvement of young people is low
- Concentration of community groups in Sheffield
- Volunteers active in practical work in Sheffield

Pollution issues

- Some pollution problems remain
- Pollution from current activity and industrial legacy
- Fly-tipping is a problem in rivers too!
- Is the current regulation adequate?

Projects

Rivers are already a fantastic resource! Wildlife even returning to the city centres

There are a large number of projects planned or being delivered. Some on a small scale.

- Some good examples of joint working emerging
- Extent of current activity isn't known
- Need to see the big picture

Lots of organisations delivering different things. Good delivery. Lots of good intentions but requires joining up.

Vision

• There isn't one – no agreement

WFD

- Clarity needed how to understand what is needed to comply with the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and so understand the best way to fund and deliver it
- There is a lack of clarity about WFD objectives

Constraints on development

- Flood risk
- Red tape
- Economic blight
- Despoiled by previous use

Communities

- Need to engage more with communities.
- Involve a broad range of people
- Some organisations are already involving communities
- Some of us are better at this than others

Habitats and species

- Largely in decline
- Threat of invasive species
- Public lack of awareness of what's out there

Continued

Where are we now? co	ontinued	two
----------------------	----------	-----

Opportunities

Lots of opportunities:

- Hydropower on weirs
- Removal of weirs
- Improved access
- Recreation / tourism
- Commercial gain

Physical condition

Many water bodies heavily modified

- Flood defences
- Water resources
- Waste water
- Riverside floodplain development
- Legacy of heavy industry
- Barriers to fish movement
- Urbanisation
- Water courses often physically hidden in culverts or at the back of buildings so little public awareness or interest.
- Footpaths etc are not maintained

Public Perception

- People not aware of improvements in water quality in the River Don
- People believe it is dirtier than it is
- Cleared up waters are underused for recreation
- Safety concerns lead to lack of use
- Identify media opportunities to change perceptions

End of section

What could the future be like?		
Conflict Conflict between different users of water and watercourses is resolved Data and evidence • Accessible • Available	 Legal Better legislation Pollution prevention and enforcement strengthened Ownership of river / banks Better development control powers 	
Integrated Simple Finance and funding	Reaching a variety of audiences Farming community Business General public 'Friends of' groups formed	
Adequate funding is available No 'feast and famine' of funding		
 Flooding and drought People are more resilient Flood defences are well maintained The risk of flooding is understood The risk of flooding is reduced More natural solutions to flood risk are considered 	Plan There is a strategic plan in place including • Land management • Agriculture • Invasive species • Urban drainage • Flooding and drought • Bio/geodiversity	
 Leadership Joined up One body manages resources, effort and money Political consensus achieved, political backing obtained A body has been established to manage the river network a board 	 Forestry and woodlands Pollution Better awareness of it leading to better control Zero pollution! No fly-tipping in rivers 	
 A monitoring and evaluation process is established 	Continued	

What could the future be like? continued one		
 Projects There is still lots to do Effort will be needed to maintain good status of waterbodies and the gains that have been made 	 Capital investment In to urban drainage Culverts – removal of Other infrastructure 	
 Vision A healthy thriving Don Watercourses both look attractive and are ecologically sound 	 Civic Pride Don catchment viewed as one of the best in the country People are aware of and utilise their water environment Waterways central to the community £££ benefits realised including using rivers for transport 	
 Water as a resource Water resources are protected in terms of quality and quantity Water used sustainably Adequate water supplies Hydropower – rivers will contribute to renewable energy supply 	Fish As a recreation resource (angling) as well as of ecological value New ways of working Consider the whole cost of intervention Cost for maintenance will be built in to new works	
 WFD Waterbodies returned to as natural a state as possible WFD is part of the national curriculum 	Partnership working is the norm Network of shared interests Burden of action is spread	
 Better land management practices Soil Agriculture and forestry Model of expertise to share or sell 	RecreationBetter access to the river and river banksRecreational network and routesTourism and HeritageWaterways festivals in many towns	
 Biodiversity/geodiversity Public understand and value it Diverse and flourishing wildlife and habitats A joined up ecological network Decline reversed and invasive species controlled 	Cultural events around water River-based tourism contributes to the local economy Value of riverside heritage - assets restored and maintained End of section	

Important milestones to get to the future		
 Data and evidence A shared understanding is developed Gaps in knowledge identified and filled Agreement on issues and solutions Finance and funding	 Water as resource Impact of reservoirs on whole of the Don system is understood Reservoir management practices changed where necessary 	
 Money is spent on <u>shared</u> priorities Available funding sources are understood and the process required to access funds is understood and utilised. 	 WFD Embracing other peoples objectives - not just about WFD Understanding needs of others Building the capacity of others to take action for them selves 	
Leadership Leadership for coordination of action to build a catchment plan A group is established to coordinate effort and resources Legal Legislative changes support environmental improvements	 Causes of failure under WFD are understood Quick wins Quick wins are identified and delivered Hard to fix problems are also explored and a way forwar agreed. Urban versus rural Stronger relationships with various communities and businesses developed leading to closer working practice 	
People Annual River Don conference and celebration Plan		
 A plan for the catchment exists, including for invasive species. The plan is based on sharing knowledge and data. It is linked to and integrated with other relevant plans in the area. The plans is supported by resources – funding and trained staff 	Closer working between NGOs End of	