



Eco-Innovation North West Awards 2023

Most Impactful Researcher or Student Award

Two awards are available, one for a postgraduate (PhD or Masters by Research) researcher, and one for an undergraduate student. Applicants should have worked alongside an Eco-I NW business on a collaborative research project. This award highlights outstanding researchers and students who have positively engaged and contributed to the Eco-I NW programme. We encourage applicants to put themselves forward to celebrate their success and commitment to their research.

Applications for this award:

- Should show if they were successful? Or if challenges were faced, how were these overcome?
- Should evidence going 'above and beyond' initial expectations, either in terms of the research delivered or commitment to wider Eco-I NW programme activities such as giving presentations, attending events, providing case studies etc.
- Should be able to have supervisor or company partner verification of their participation and work
- Should demonstrate strong stakeholder management, bringing together business and academic colleagues to maintain relationships with project partners

Marking Guidelines

This award has the following marking guidelines:

Collaboration

- What collaborative partners have you worked alongside?
- Were there any challenges to this partnership?
- If so, how did you overcome them? Please outline your approach to stakeholder management

Commitment

- Please demonstrate your commitment to this project.
- You may want to discuss the success of your research, or how you've gone 'above and beyond' to support this work e.g. by giving presentations, attending events, creating case study material. you are doing and who is involved.



Scoring

All guidelines have equal weighting and are each scored out of 10. Rating	Description of How Well Entry Meets Criteria	Marks Available
Outstanding	Compelling, robust, fully evidenced description with data/papers to back up claims	9-10
Strong	Strong case made with compelling evidence	7-8
Adequate	Adequate detail given with some detail and evidence	5-6
Limited	Some weak areas with minimal evidence or explanation	3-4
Weak	Unconvincing and weakly evidenced description	0 - 2