Knowledge about Palliative Care - mapping, user involvement (and development of practice)

International Observatory on End of Life Care. Research seminar 19th November 2015

Helle Timm, professor, head of the Danish Knowledge Centre for Rehabilitation and Palliative Care

STATENS INSTITUT FOR FOLKESUNDHED Syddansk Universitet



Knowledge Centre and knowledge







The Knowledge Centre of Rehabilitation and Palliative Care

- The Danish Knowledge Centre of Palliative Care (PAVI), 2009 2013
- The Danish Knowledge Centre of Rehabilitation and Palliative Care (REHPA), 2014 –
- The purpose of the national centre is to gather, to produce/construct and to share knowledge about rehabilitation and palliative care for people suffering from life threathening diseases
- Target groups; mainly professionals, but also lay-people, civil servants, politicians etc.
- Publically financed, part of the University of Southern Denmark, situated in Copenhagen and Nyborg, Funen

11/20/2015





The way, we work

Mappings - of services and knowledge	Research and development - rehabilitation and PC	Communication and dialog
 Mappings of services Descriptive (surveys) Explorative (interviews, observations) Litteratur studies and reviews 	<section-header> Cancer rehabilitation grogram – in Nyborg Intervention research RCT Action research Development projects Evaluations Register studies</section-header>	 Professional-, research-, citizens and media-related Publications Websides Presentations, education Arrangement of konferences, seminars, workshops Networking, boards Press Information, flyers

Modes of Knowledge

Mode 1	Mode 2	Mode 3
Defined by researchers	Defined by users	Defined by political/goverment- al strategies
Hierarkical diffusion	Social diffussion	Commercial etablished
Oriented towards sciencific knowledge	Oriented towards the use in society	Oriented towards evaluation, documentation
Disciplinary organization	Trans-diciplinarity	Monoparadigmatic dominated

Nowotny H, Scott P & Gibbons M (2003): Introduction. 'Mode 2' Revisited: The New Production of Knowledge. *Minerva* 41: 179-194 Hjort K (2012): Det affektive arbejde.(The affektive work) Samfundslitteratur.

Mapping

On mapping palliative care (PC) - and ranking the quality of PC

- The Economist Intelligence Unit 2015: DK No 19 out of 80 countries
- WPCA/WHO 2014: Global Atlas of Palliative Care at the End of Life
- Centeno et al, 2013: EAPC Atlas of Palliative Care i Europe 2013
- The Economist Intelligence Unit 2010: DK No 22 out of 40 countries
- EU rapport 2003: DK No 13 out of 52 countries
- EAPC White Paper 2009 + 2010







Purposes and challenges in mapping PC

"...to categorize hospice-palliative care development, country by country, throughout the world, and then depict this development in a series of world and regional maps."

(Wright et al, 2008)

- Absence and quality of data?
- Counting by type of service or by providers?
- The quality of care, the cultural, social and economical meaning and context of care?







References

- Clark D & Centeno C: Palliative care in Europe: an emerging approach to comparative analysis. *Clinical Medicine*, Vol 6, No 2, March/April 2006
- Wright M et al: Mapping Levels of Palliative Care Development: A Global View. J of Symptom and Pain Management, Vol 35, No 5, May 2008
- Lynch T, Connor S & Clark D: Mapping Levels of Palliative Care Development: A Global Update. *J of Symptom and Pain Management,* Vol 45, No 6, June 2013
- Woitha et al: Policy on palliative care in the WHO European region: an overview of progress since the Council of Europes (2003) recommandation. *Eur J of Public Health*, Nov 6, 2015
- Timm H & Vittrup R: Mapping and comparison of palliative care nationally and across nations: Denmark as a case in point. *Mortality*, Vol 18, No 2, 2013

11/20/2015





The purposes and outcomes of mapping PC?

- Policy making how is it working (in what sense)?
- Measuring quality of PC – how is it working (in what sense)?







User involvement





On user involvement (background)

- In a historical perspective
- As a concept and as methods
- In the our centre







User involvement historically, as a concept and as methods (why, how and where)

Theoretical approaches	Why? User involvement	How? User involvement	Where? User involvement
Radical/critical	Conflict of interests, inequality in power	Empowerment of users	Macro, meso and micro level
Philosophical/ Phenomenological	Differences in perspectives	Exploration of and collaboration from the users' perspective	Meso and micro level
Concensus, policy making	Democracy/voice	Evaluation Opinion surveys satisfaction surveys	Meso and micro level
Consensus, policy making	Consumerism/choice	Dissemination of professional knowledge, sharing informations, PROMS	Meso and micro level
11/20/2015		• Syddansk Universitet	

User involvement in the field of palliative care

- A radical and critical approach?
- A philosophical approach?
- A democratic approach?
- A consumer approach?







Sharing knowledge with Danish Citizens and Patient Organizations (theoretical assumptions)

- Knowledge is transformed/constructed information, therefore knowledge is always grounded in a specific perspective
- The construction of knowledge has to do with methods (e.g. methods for investigating suffering, palliation or quality of life)
- Knowledge is connected to power
- For empowerment, for using a voice and for making a choice you need to know about the options for care
- To share knowledge with the public, the centre needed a baseline
- Macro (society and population) and meso level (organisations and units) – while normally in the field the meso and micro level (individuals)

11/20/2015





Sharing knowledge with Danish Citizens, and Patient Organizations (intro)

- 1. The Citizen Project 2012-2013: What do the Danes know about life threathening diseases (LD), death and dying – and do they want to know more?
- 2. The Knowledge Sharing Project 2013 2015: How do Danish patient organizations (PO) communicate about palliative care and how can this communication be developed?
- 3. The mourning support project (elderly spouses) 2013 2015: Sharing knowledge about new mourning theory and the experiences of elderly spouses – with the public, the professionals and a group of spouses.





Sharing knowledge with Danish Citizens and Patient Organizations (definitions)

- Knowledge = all kinds of information, that has been "...processed or systematized by thought" (Peter Burke, A Social History of Knowledge, 2000, p. 11)
- Sharing = exchanging in person and/or disseminating (knowledge) by means of communication
- Citizens = all Danes and the public, but mainly laypeople in general and patients and relatives in particular
- Patient Organisations = Private organisations, associations/NGOs concerned with the situation of patients and relatives living with life threatening disesases
- User involvement =



Sharing knowledge with Danish Citizens and Patient Organizations (what did we do?)

Project	Methods, purpose and results
1. The Citizen Project	 A survey (baseline 1; what do the Danes know allready?) A web-site (sharing knowledge) A workshop (sharing knowledge)
2. The Knowledge Sharing Project	 A litterature search (investigating international experiences) Interviews (baseline 2; how do PO share knowledge about PC?) A network (POs sharing and developing knowledge and communication about PC) Texts and articles for web-sites and the press A course at The Public University A report, an article
3. The Mourning Support Project	 A book A strategy and activities ensuring public awareness/PR

The Citizen Project 2012-2013: What do the Danes know about life threathening diseases (ID), death and dying – and what do they want to know more about? (baseline 1: A survey, 51% of 2000 Danes)

- LD, death and dying is part of most grown-ups' lives
- Death and dying is seen as private but not as a taboo
- The Danes have relevant knowledge about LD and places of death, but wish different for themselves
- The Danes have some trust in being taking care of in a physical sense by the Health Care System

- The Danes worry about LD
- They want quality before a longer life span
- They might want the possibility of eutanasia
- They do not want more knowledge – until they actually need it
- They think PO can be supportive

STATENS INSTITUT FOR FOLKESUNDHED

dansk Universitet

The Citizen Project 2012-2013: What do the Danes know about life threatening diseases, death and dying – and what do they want to know more about?

The web-site



The workshop







The Knowledge Sharing Project 2014 – 2015: How do Danish patient organizations share knowledge about palliative care with their members and how can this communication be improved? (baseline 2: Interviews with POs)

15 persons:

- 5 chairmen/heads (individual)
- 6 consultants (focus-group)
- 4 patients & relatives (focusgroup)

Questions:

- The Danish national strategy of PC (2011)?
- Whats is PC?
- How do you work with PC in your PO?

- Not much knowledge about the national strategy
- PC = terminal care for cancer patients
- PC = death

STATENS INSTITUT FOR FOLKESUNDHED

 Talking about PC = takes away hope



Palliative care, patient organisations and hope



"...you do not want to frighten them (the patients). You want them in (to the PO), and you get them in, if you can give them some hope i relation to the disease." (consultant from PO)





The Knowledge Sharing Project 2014 – 2015: *How do Danish patient organizations share knowledge about palliative care with their members and how can this communication be improved?*

A network



A "common cause"

- A strategy for making knowledge about palliative care "a commen cause"
- Press media
- Websites of the POs





The Knowledge Sharing Project 2014 – 2015: How do Danish patient organizations share knowledge about palliative care with their members and how can this communication be improved (international experiences)?

A literature search

- Dying Matters, UK (2009-)
- The Conversation Project, US (2010 -)
- Palliative Care, Australia (1991-)

Inspiration for "a common cause"

- Sharing knowledge, dessiminating information
- Web-sites
- Awareness week, hundreds of local events (May)
- Storytelling about death & dying, guides
- Death over Dinner (January)
- PC week (May)





Results and conclusion

- Baseline 1 the survey
- Baseline 2 the interviews
- Dialog and communication
- More knowledge available on web-sites, in the media, in education etc.
- In proces and in progress
- This was a way to do it
- What's next???







User involvement within the field of palliative care in general?

What is user involvement about in PC?

- Talking, listening and beeing together?
- Sharing knowledge, planing and making decisions?
- Evaluating the results of the care given?
- Quality of life?
- Governance?

Which methods and tools should be used?

- Time, space and social relations?
- Shared decision making, Advance Care Planing
- Patient Related Outcome Measures (PROMs)? (EORTC etc?)
- Documenting outcomes AND/OR meaning of PC?!



