Athena Swan Action Plan Lancaster University Engineering Department Bronze Award 2018-2023

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|-------|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Actio | ns having a positive gender imp | pact across department activity | | | • | • | |
| A1 | Termly EDD committee meetings | We need to review EDI issues arising in/affecting the dept. and deliver progress against this AS action plan. | Evaluation of AS actions against success criteria given in this action plan; reports to staff meeting; minutes on sharedrive; information exchanges with Faculty and University EDI fora. | From September 2016 | After each meeting | EDD Chair | Staff survey indicates confidence in the system >85% agree; Action plan is delivered. |
| A2 | Make EDI presentation to first year students in intro week and put ethics and EDI content into first year tutorials programme. | We need to be clear of our expectations with regards to EDI to all new joiners to the department, and this begins with our 1st year undergraduates. | Presentation timetabled for intro week and tutorial teaching materials developed and used. EDI ethos reinforced. | From October 2017 | End of each academic year (ATR meeting) | First year tutor | 100% of Year 1 UG students receive EDI training as part of a Year 1 course. ATR report entry. |
| A3 | Set up a Women in Engineering group in the department. | Now we are at around 100 females in the staff and student body, a group should be viable (it hasn't been in the recent past). | Sustainable, vibrant forum for discussion of issues and lobbying of dept. Collect the female voice. | From October 2017 | At the end of each academic year | EDD Chair to nominate a champion | Group created; officers elected; 3 meetings per year; voice/opinion input into dept. fora. |
| A4 | Annual AS department review. | We want to report progress against key areas of our AS activity and monitor awareness of AS activity in staff and student cohorts. | Web uploaded report with key statistics and commentary. EDI ethos reinforced. Staff and students surveyed to gauge views on the findings. | Annually in December | At the end of each academic year | EDD Chair | Report on the public web space by 31/12/17; 75% of staff and students surveyed find the report useful. |
| A5 | Run AS staff and student survey every two years. | We need to measure the impact on staff and students of EDI changes made. | Quantifiable feedback from all department members. | December 2018 | Biannual | Faculty EDI Advisor | Survey response rate of at least 75% of those surveyed. |
| A6 | Monitor gender in all business intelligence data. | Monitoring of gender data is currently patchy. A lot of data is available on a central University system for (e.g.) AS applications | All committees include gender breakdown in data analysis: e.g., student recruitment data, exam | Incremental introduction over period Jan 2018- Dec 2020 | Annually | EDD Chair | All committees consider gender data in decision making. |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|--------|---|--|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | but gender data is not routinely integrated when decisions are made in staff meetings, Management Team, committee meetings. | boards, module choices, staff training data, etc. | | | | |
| A7 | Produce a welcome board for the dept. foyer which shows all staff and their office locations. | We want to improve the visitor 'landing experience' re who we are and where we work within the department. Currently, visitors need access to the internet to see a photo, which isn't very inclusive. | Photos that help visitors put a face and a location to a name; Images that show our staff community and its diversity. | From October 2017 | November 2017 | Marketing & recruitment officer | Foyer screen with staff photos; increased visibility of female/diversity role models. |
| A8 | Ensure engineering department has a communal space designed into the new building when the specification is drawn up. | The department has no communal area large enough for staff meetings and/or social events. A common area would improve sense of community and share best practice/advice informally. | Room designated as common area | By July 2019 | July 2020 | Planning team | Common area regularly frequented by staff and students; enhanced sense of community. |
| Action | ns having positive impact upon | our public engagement activity | | | | | |
| PE1 | Approve an academic role-holder for public engagement (PE). | Member of academic teaching staff to champion public engagement activity across department; to encourage participation from staff and students; to ensure that all our PE activity meets minimum EDI expectations of positive messaging around diversity and inclusion through the use of role models. | 1.Role holder with time allocation defined in work-load model; 2.Public engagement EDI policy approved and working on the ground. 3.Funding for events requires compliance with the policy. 4.Collection of evidence for REF Impact case studies etc. | From October 2017 | At the end of each academic year | Head of Department | Dept. EDI PE policy developed and approved by EDD. All public engagement activity complies with the EDI PE policy. Register of PE activity maintained. Gender statistics of PE events collected and annually reported to EDD. |
| PE2 | Extend funding for schools' liaison officer and extend role to include public engagement with wider community | Continued engagement with local schools is an important aspect of our public engagement; development of outreach activities that integrate/complement the | Local/national schools' community are made aware of department activity, engineering careers more widely and have received positive messaging around female role | Decision by June 2018 | June 2018 | Head of Department | Role holder in post. |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | national curriculum is required for teacher take-up. | models and exciting engineering career opportunities. | | | | |
| PE3 | Work with Engineering Society officers to communicate our EDI PE policy, its rationale and encourage their compliance with it. | The Engineering Society is an independent society of the Lancaster University Students' Union, over which the department has no jurisdiction. | A more joined up approach and raised awareness of the importance of positive and appropriate messaging around EDI and PE. | Since October 2016 | Continuous | Head of Department and EDD Chair | Engineering Society follow the department EDI PE policy for outreach events that they are involved in. |
| | Lobby the student union to roll-out EDI training to all Society officers and to carry out equality impact assessments on club activities. | We want to ensure that our positive messaging/department ethos around EDI is reinforced by the actions of LUSU and its clubs/societies. | Raised awareness within the student body of the negative impact that anti-social (laddish) behaviour has on females (eg at social events in which males significantly outnumber females). | | | | LUSU (students' union) instigate policy change requiring EDI impact assessment on Society events. |
| PE4 | Ensure all publicity images demonstrate positive diversity and inclusivity message. | We want to ensure that we promote female role models and by extension, our inclusive department ethos, in all outward facing materials. | EDI impact analysis is applied to all proposed marketing materials (written, still and video); consistency in materials used, across all formats. | Ongoing | Throughout the academic year | Marketing & recruitment officer | At least half of the images used in marketing materials depict female staff and students. |
| PE5 | Tailor a subset of public engagement activities around WISE. Allocate dept. budget of £1000 to this activity. | Engineering schools' liaison officer has been involved in successful events, including the Faraday Challenge. We will increase this activity and allocate budget to the delivery (ie to cover transport costs to promote uptake). | 1.Increased engagement with girls in our outreach activity. 2. Improved publicity around these events (positive messaging, images for the web). 3. Better data on girls' views on perceptions/barriers around engineering careers. | Programme of events agreed by the EDD committee annually | 3 girls' specific events run annually | Schools' liaison officer | 30 girls have attended events each year. Positive feedback received (via questionnaire). Data collection on engineering perceptions reported to EDD and used to improve future activity. |
| PE6 | Promote STEM ambassador programme to UG and PG students (local provider STEMFirst). | Involvement with the STEM ambassador scheme brings benefits to students through training and benefits our PE activities through having a supply | 1 Increase in the number of STEM ambassadors recruited from the Engineering student body. | From October 2017 | Annually | Employability champion | 10% of Engineering dept. students are registered as trained STEM ambassadors. |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|--------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | of trained ambassadors willing to attend events and activities. | 2. Volunteers receive training and development through participation in scheme. | | | | |
| Action | ns aimed at increasing the recre | uitment of female students | | | | | |
| RS1 | Develop a strategic marketing plan, aligned with our growth strategy, which gears marketing and recruitment activities towards attracting female UG students. | Data shows % female applicants below benchmark levels. As numbers increase, we need to revisit how we attract females to the dept. and consider all/any approaches to change how we communicate with potential applicants. | 1. EDI Impact analysis of introducing offers to candidates with strong maths skills but noncognate A levels. 2. Consider interviewing all female candidates eligible to receive an offer for BEng (ie extend current MEng policy). 3. Target mailshots to female applicants with offers to encourage enrolment at Lancaster. 4. Increase messaging of one to one tutor system and the option | March 2017 October 2017 Spring 2018 Since October 2016 | Annually at the close of each recruitment cycle | Director of undergraduate admissions | % of female students increased to a four year target of 25% females. |
| RS2 | Monitor and analyse the effect on gender balance of modifications to our entry requirements as student numbers have been rapidly increasing. | Our recent data is affected by large increases in student numbers and changes to tariff. We want to better understand what impacts our gender balance in insurance and firm offers accepted, at MEng and BEng levels. | to request a female tutor. Monitoring of the CF and CI offers and acceptances will give us this insight. | From December 2017 | Annually | Director of undergraduate admissions | Robust data from which the trend can be discerned; changes made to policy/practice in the light of this. |
| RS3 | Update the PGT Strategy to include consideration of gender balance and to include recruitment activities targeted at female applicants. | Data shows % female PGT applicants below benchmark levels. | Marketing plan developed by marketing committee and approved by Management Team. | March 2017 | Annually at the close of each recruitment cycle | Director of postgraduate admissions | % of female students increases each year, reaching the four year target of 25% females. |
| RS4 | Increase the appeal of PGT portfolio by gaining PEI accreditation for all programmes offered. | PEI accreditation of programmes is a gold-standard quality mark. CEng accreditation offers graduates a defined pathway into engineering careers. | Review of PGT curricula and fit with PEI professional review requirements; | October 2018 | October 2019 | Director of Postgraduate teaching | Increased appeal of PGT programmes, increasing recruitment to 25% |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | 2. Articulation of where and | | | | females after four |
| | | | how professional skills are | | | | years. |
| | | | delivered. | | | | |
| | | | 3. Evidencing of UK-SPEC | | | | |
| | | | learning outcomes. | | | | |
| RS5 | Improve the recruitment | Our PGT recruitment is below | 1.Improve conversion by | 2018-19 | Annually | Programme | PGT conversion at |
| | (conversion) of females to PGT | benchmark % females. | providing a single point of | admissions cycle | | directors | similar levels to |
| | programmes. | | contact for applicants. | | | Postgraduate | undergraduate |
| | | | 2.Use of personal letters prior to | | | admissions | programmes. |
| | | | arrival. | | | tutor | |
| | | | 3.Open-door policy from | | | | |
| | | | programme director. | | | | |
| RS6 | Increase the numbers of | Our PGR recruitment is below | 1. Faculty Studentships to be | All four years | Annually | Director of | PhD population |
| | female PhD students through | benchmark % females. | prioritised towards leveraging | | | Research | rises to 25% female |
| | improved web presence and | Employer aligned PhDs offer an | external funding e.g. by offering | | | | |
| | promotion of department | attractive route to research study | 0.5 Faculty Studentship to | | | | |
| | industrial collaborations and | as they offer more certainty of | match 0.5 industry Studentship | | | | Two CDTs awarded |
| | links with University research | employment, which may have | 2. Increase PGR studentships | Nuclear CDT | | Director of | |
| | centres/institutes. | greater appeal to females. | with Industry: Nuclear CDT to | renewal 2018 | | Research | |
| | | | be retained come RCUK CDT | Other CDT 2018 | | | Ten new |
| | | | renewal exercise in 2018 and | (timing tbc | | | collaborative PhD |
| | | | partnership in second CDT to be | | | | studentships over |
| | | | pursued. | | | | four years. |
| | | | 3.Incentivise PhD studentships | From October | August 2018 | | |
| | | | by our international offering and | 2017 | | | Understand why |
| | | | partnerships. | | | | fewer females than |
| | | | 4. Survey female PhD | From 2017 | Annually | | males progress PhD |
| | | | application process decliners. | admissions cycle | | | applications. |
| | | the development of female stude | | | 1 | Γ | |
| DS1 | Improve the consistency and | Student drop-out rate is higher | 1.Briefing session held for all | September 2017 | September | First year tutor | Drop-outs reduced |
| | adequacy of academic support | than faculty average. We want to | academic tutors to explain | | 2017 | | to below 10%. |
| | given to undergraduate | increase access to support | changes to student based | | | | |
| | students. | mechanisms to reduce drop-outs | services provision and | | | | |
| | | by ensuring that all academic staff | signposting role of tutor. | | | | |
| | | are aware of recent changes | 2. Guidance documents | October 2017 | Annually | First year tutor | |
| | | made to central support. | produced for tutors explaining | | | | |
| | | | academic tutor role. | | | | |
| | | | requirements and expectations | | | | |
| | | | around student support. | | | | |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | 3. Use attendance and progression data to flag early interventions with struggling students. | Through the year | Through the year | First year administrator | |
| DS2 | Increase the uptake of our undergraduate peer-to-peer mentoring scheme. | We want to encourage all students to engage with the peer to peer system to improve retention and to promote this as a development opportunity for senior students. | 1.Nominate an academic staff champion to promote student peer to peer scheme and encourage greater uptake through briefing to first year tutors. 2. Schedule an EDI training session for mentors and mentees. 3. Poll students at the end of each academic year for feedback. | From October 2017 | End of each academic year | Director of UG studies | 20% of year group participate in scheme. |
| DS3 | Increase number and breadth of female role model casestudies. | We want to promote female role models for engineering careers to increase the awareness of the variety of engineering career pathways. | 1.Leverage our industrial links to increase our female engineer role models (career talks to u/g). 2. Develop materials/case studies for web advertising and open days. | Ongoing | End of each academic year | Employability champion | Five different engineering sector female role models (grade/job function/sector) featured on employability web pages. |
| DS4 | Improve the employability of graduates of our engineering PGT programmes. | Focus group and PTES survey findings report shortfalls in employability skills. | 1.Review PGT curricula and fit with PEI accreditation requirements. 2.Increase the modular offer through development of modules that can be co-taught to finalist MEng students. 3. Develop a specific professional skills module. 4. Include PGT in public outreach programme of events, offer STEM ambassador training and encourage uptake. | From January 2018 January - May 2018 January-May 2018 From Autumn 2017 | October 2018 October 2018 October 2018 August 2018 | Chair of postgraduate teaching committee PE coordinator | Three new modules available to MSc by October 2018. All PGT programmes PEI accredited at next accreditation visit (IET 2019; IMechE 2021). |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| DS5 | Improve the student experience of PGT programme students. | Our focus groups and PTES survey outcomes indicate dissatisfaction with the student experience. | Hold all-department welcome event for MSc and MEng in start-up week Integrate MSc into the MEng | From October 2017 Through year | Annually September | Programme Directors Module leaders | PTES survey results rise to 90% overall satisfaction within four years. |
| | | | co-taught cohorts through use of collaborative course-works. | | 2018 | | Tour years. |
| | | | 3.Schedule study skills sessions through year to support MSc cohorts (esp. internationals). | October 2017 | September 2017 | Programme directors & FST Learning Advisor | |
| DS6 | Improve the employability skills of PGR students. | Focus groups and PRES survey results found shortfalls in skills development around employability and professional skills. | 1.Promote PEI registration.2.Develop CPD route for PG and fixed term contract researchers.3.Support portfolio writing a la HEA scheme.4.Identify training needs | In place for October 2018 | October 2018 | Director of Research | PRES survey results rise to 90% overall satisfaction within four years. CPD scheme aligned with PEI |
| DS7 | Improve the induction, progression and completion rates of PGR. | PGR completion rates are low and we would like to achieve best practice across all PGR supervisors to improve support to | Arrange for annual sharing of best practice via training workshops for all academic staff PGR supervisors. | July 2018 | July 2018 | PGR Tutor | requirements. PGR Completion rates rise to 90% within three years & 100% within four |
| | | all students. | 2.Ensure use of dept. guidance documents (Handbook for new PhD students; Progress Review of PhD and MSc by Research Students in Engineering (for students), and Progress Review of PGR Studies and Other PGR Study Matters (for supervisors)). | Since September 2016 | | PGR Tutor | years. Gender data reviewed by EDD each year with a view to identifying underlying issues and agreeing |
| | | | 3. PGR tutor to monitor completion rates for gender bias | Ongoing | Annual | PGR Tutor | actions to address them. |
| DS8 | Develop and run a formal PGR demonstrator training programme. | We want to ensure that PGRs receive EDI training as part of their demonstrator training. | Training session for PGR on EDI expectations. | At the start of each academic year. | Annual | PGR Tutor | All demonstrators have been trained. UG feedback confirms no issues around EDI. |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|--------|--|--|---|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| DS9 | Increase PGR involvement with public engagement activities. | Role models for use in PE; Development of communication skills; CPD (public engagement) for use in PEI portfolio | Increased involvement (volunteering) with STEM ambassador scheme; Improved communication skills | October 2017 | Annual | PGR Tutor | 10% of PGR cohort contribute to PE activity; increased role models. |
| Action | s to improve the recruitment | of female staff | | 1 | | 1 | |
| RA1 | Increase the number of females applying for our advertised posts | Data shows that we have had little success in attracting females to posts advertised in engineering. | 1.All job adverts to include examples of EDI practices and to be written in language compliant with WISE and RAEng guidelines for increasing diversity. | Since September 2017 | At post approval stage | Departmental Officer | 100% of job ads include revised wording and ED&I statement. |
| | | | 2.Standard template for all job adverts produced to prompt vacancy holders when drawing | December 2017 | At end of academic year | EDD Chair | Template available on share-drive. |
| | | | up adverts. 3. Document guidance for all search committee chairs on proactive strategies for attracting female applicants. | December 2017 | At end of academic year | Head of Department | Guidance document is available via the share-drive. All new posts have |
| | | | 4. New academic post requests will be required to include a written plan for attracting female applicants. No plan, no post. | October 2017 | At post approval stage | Vacancy-holder | an articulated plan to target female applicants 25% of applicants for posts are female. |
| RA2 | Ensure all recruitment panels have taken 'Recruiting the Best' training. | All panellists should be aware of best recruitment practices including understanding of the Equality Act. | Compliance checked when post is approved. | Process for checking compliance in place by Oct 2017 | Annually | Departmental Officer | 100% of panellists have taken training. |
| RA3 | Mandate Unconscious Bias training for all panel chairs. | All panel chairs should be aware of best recruitment practices around avoidance of unconscious bias. | Group training sessions provided. | Ongoing | Annually | Departmental Officer | 100% of panel chairs have taken training. |
| RA4 | Monitor compliance of on-line diversity training. | All new staff are currently required to complete this online | CoreHR training report produced before annual PDR | From May 2018 | August each year. | Departmental Officer | 100% of staff have taken training |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|--------|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | training in the first 3 months of employment. This is monitored through PDRs but currently compliance data is not reported centrally (e.g., to Management Group). | round begins and shared with line managers. | | | | |
| RA5 | Prepare welcome brochure for interviewees on support for childcare, families, carers etc. available dept. | Interviewees currently do not get this information systematically, although it may be given as part of informal conversations. | Brochure produced and distributed as standard to all interviewees, including research-only staff. | December 2017 | After interviews held | Departmental Officer | 100% of interviewees receive brochure. |
| RA6 | Introduce exit interviews for all staff leavers. | We want to capture reasons why staff move on so that we can improve practice if necessary. | Exit interview process defined (in collaboration with HR). | Oct 2018 | Annually | Faculty HR partner | 100% of leavers offered exit interviews. |
| Action | ns to improve the career develo | opment of female staff | | | | | |
| DA1 | Ensure effective and appropriate training of Group Leads. | Group Leads were introduced in 2016 and take on line management and mentoring roles. Start-up training was offered alongside, and we want to ensure that this is effective and being taken up by all leads. | 1.Training Programme fully engaged with (via Faculty) 2.All PDRs include discussion of promotions strategy, the University promotions criteria and a development/training needs analysis. | Since 2017 From May 2018 (PDR round in June/July) | August 2018 August each year | Head of Department | 100% of Group Leads have had training and promote all areas of service; staff survey indicates > 85% understand promotion criteria. |
| DA2 | Obtain 1. the promotions committee discipline profile for engineering. 2. and the explicit criteria to be used in assessing periods of time out from the Academic Promotions Committee Working Group. | The working group has been undertaking a review of discipline profiles for promotion cases and also criteria defining how periods of time-out will be taken into account when assessing promotion cases. This information is needed to help candidates prepare their case. | Department profile published and made available to all staff. Time-out criteria published and made available to all staff. | October 2017 | December 2017 | Secretary to APC WG | Discipline profile for engineering published. Time-out criteria and promotions guidance published; staff survey indicates > 85% understand promotion criteria. |
| DA3 | Ensure all PDR reviewers and all mentors have received PDR training; ensure female staff can access a female mentor. | Data shows that currently only a % of PDR reviewers have taken PDR training. Currently, PDR | 1.PDR training rates monitored and reported.2. PDR discussion covers all three T&R contract areas of | By Jul 2018 | Annually | Departmental Officer | 90% of PDR reviewers have taken training; |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|--|---|---|---|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | completion rates are collected but not training rates. We do not have data for mentors so need to collect this. | research, teaching and leadership. 3. Female staff have access to a female mentor. | | | | 90% of mentors have taken training; staff survey indicates > 75% have confidence in the PDR system. |
| DA4 | Add to PDR guidelines information/discussion about flexible working options, support with childcare etc. | Flexible working options exist but are handled on an ad-hoc, case by case basis. By including an opportunity for discussion in a PDR, line managers can be more aware of potential future issues and offer support to prevent problems. | Explicit section of the PDR form to provide opportunity to discuss work adjustments to support healthy work environment; any issues to be discussed in Management Team so that proactive support can be provided. | Jul 2018 | Annually | Head of Department | Increased uptake of flexible working options; monitored at department level; staff survey > 90% believe department supports parental leave and flexible working. |
| DA5 | Support staff (eg through discretionary funding) to engage in research meetings and networking opportunities. | T&R staff need to be able to engage with their research community to progress ideas and formulate new research avenues. | 1. Allocate T&R travel budget 2. Define policy for access to travel funds for all T&R staff for networking meeting attendance. | December 2018 | Annually | Head of Department | 80% of T&R staff have attended at least one research meeting each year. |
| DA6 | Develop and promote at PDR a department specific HEA facing programme to complement/extend the PG Certificate in Academic Practice | PGCAP is aimed at ECR. CPD to the level of SFHEA will both improve teaching quality and contribute to promotions criteria 'teaching'. | Programme developed to refresh staff teaching and so improve NSS 'teaching quality' metrics. CPD available that is aligned with the promotions criteria. | Programme developed for roll- out from summer 2018 | Annually | TEF Champion | At least 75% of academic staff to have FHEA or above by 2021. |
| DA7 | Revise process for encouraging research-only staff to engage with PDRs. | Data shows that uptake of PDRs by non-academic and T&R academic staff is high, but is lower among research only staff. PDRs are an opportunity for managers to provide support to research-only staff that may preempt issues. | Revised process to increase engagement with PDRs for research-only staff. | Process approved by Jan 2018; first implementation of new process by Jul 2018 | August 2018 | Head of Department | 90% of research- only staff have PDRs. |
| DA8 | Introduce annual cycle for research-only staff promotions to proactively encourage applications. | Too few research-only promotion cases; due to lack of awareness among researchers and PIs of the procedures. | New process implemented. | Dec 2017 | Annually | Head of Department | Survey of research- only staff shows > 85% aware of promotion criteria. |

| Ref. | Action | Rationale | Expected outputs | Period | Assessed | Responsible | Success criteria |
|------|--|---|--|---|------------------|-------------------------|---|
| DA9 | Update department staff handbook to include ED&I policies. | Staff handbook is incomplete. Focus groups have commented on variability of induction. This is an opportunity to clearly document department ED&I policies and guidance. | Handbook updated. | Jun 2018 | July 2018 | Departmental Officer | Survey of usefulness of handbook at induction events shows majority positive. |
| DA10 | Formalise a framework for support before, during and after maternity/paternity/shared parental leave/flexible working. | The department fully supports maternity/paternity/shared parental leave as well as flexible working. However, these are managed on a case-by-case basis and there is no documented framework describing expectations of line managers before, during and after leave. | 1.A framework documented on engineering dept. share-drive, giving details on (e.g.) MARS funding for parental leave, policy on workload adjustments etc. 2. Lobby the University to offer MARS equivalent to all staff. | Oct 2018 | October 2018 | Departmental Officer | Survey of staff shows 90% believe department supports parental leave and flexible working. |
| DA11 | Promote Making Professor event and annual AS lecture/networking event. | Both these events encourage the development of female staff and highlight female role models. | All staff encouraged to attend. | AS Lecture Spring each Year Making Professor, September of each year. | Annually | EDD Chair | 80% of engineering female staff attend one or both events. |
| DA12 | Include FTE adjustment in workload model for returners from periods away from the department. | Staff returning from periods away (eg maternity, illness etc) require additional time to reconnect with research | Policy developed and added to staff handbook | December 2017 | December 2017 | HoD | Policy implemented and being used. |
| DA13 | Engage Industrial Advisory Board (IAB) on gender equality. | Solicit expertise from industrial advisors on curriculum content, recruitment, etc. to increase % female student applicants. | IAB Terms of Reference modified to include focus on gender equality. | IAB Terms of Reference modified by Nov 2017; report from IAB on gender equality by Nov 2018; action plan augmented by Nov 2019. | Annually | Chair IAB | Report from IAB. |
| DA14 | Monitor workload allocation model for gender bias. | There is no evidence of gender bias in our workload allocation model, but we will monitor this on an annual basis. | Gender statistics produced as part of workload allocation model. | August 2018 | Annually | Head of Department | No evidence of gender bias in published aggregate data. |