Active Automatic Algorithm Selection Using Multi-armed Bandits

KU LEUVEN KULAK

Hans Degroote and Patrick De Causmaecker KU Leuven Department of Computer Science CODeS & iMinds-ITEC



What is automatic algorithm selection?



Current offline solution approach

Algorithm selection is modelled as a classification problem:

- Divide the instance space into subsets of similar instances (based on the features)
- Learn for each subset the best algorithm



Situating this research

Motivating observation

- A continuous stream of performance data is generated when doing algorithm selection
- This free data is not used to improve the selection mapping by current algorithm selection approaches



Solve instance *i* with algorithm *a*

Context

- A problem is described by an **instance distribution** *I*
- Instances are characterized by a **set of features** *F* describing problem characteristics (e.g. size)
- A set of algorithms A exists, none of which dominates all others

Goal

- Solve each instance with its best algorithm
 Method
- Create a selection mapping assigning each instance to an algorithm, based on the features

Algorithm selection with multiarmed bandits: updating

- After the training phase the instance space is divided in subsets and a best algorithm has been learned for each subset, but it might not be the actual best.
- Based on the feedback generated during the online phase, each subset faces its own active learning problem: "How can the best algorithm for my instance distribution be learned"?
 More precisely: "What is the regret-optimal policy for selecting an algorithm to solve sequential random samples from my instance distribution"?
 This is a multi-armed bandit problem at heart: ✓ arms = algorithms

- Training data is fed to a supervised learning technique to create the selection mapping
- Training data = the performance of all algorithms on a set of training instances

Algorithm selection with multiarmed bandits: modifying

Can performance feedback also be used to modify the way the subsets are divided?

 It might become clear that a subset has no decisively best algorithm. Introducing an additional split might prove beneficial.





Goal of this research

Apply active learning to algorithm selection by using the freely generated performance data feedback

Is it possible to transfer knowledge between bandits?

- Biased samples are produced by
 - Offline data
 - Online data based on which the subset structure has been modified
- The proposed curtailment-inspired approach for modifying the subset structure ignores biased samples: the data used to define a new subset is not used to help learn the best algorithm for the new subset.

- \checkmark pulling an arm = selecting an algorithm
- ✓ reward = algorithm performance



Active learning for automatic algorithm selection

- Identify the subset to which an instance belongs
- Select the algorithm that is best according to a solution to the subset's multi-armed bandit problem
- Feed the performance of the selected algorithm back to the subset's bandit

Challenges

• The type of distribution underlying algorithm performance is often unknown

Main challenge

• The performance data points by which a new split is inspired are not random samples for the two newly defined subsets.

Inefficient workaround based on Zadrozny and Elkan's curtailment method for decision tree pruning

- Ignore the new subsets' biased samples
- Keep using the original subset's bandit
- Feed the results (which are unbiased) back to the new bandit processes until making a selection on the level of the new subsets is beneficial.



However, the very reason the subset was created was because one algorithm appeared better. This algorithm should be given preference.

Questions to the multi-armed bandit community:

- Is it possible to incorporate the information contained in biased samples into a multi-armed bandit problem?
- How can the expected reward of a bandit process be calculated?
- What Is the link between Bayesian inference/updating and multi-armed bandits?

Conclusions

- An active learning methodology for automatic algorithm selection has been outlined
- At its core it relies on solving multiple multi-armed bandit problems
- An extension splits the reward distribution of a bandit, thereby introducing two new bandits for which biased samples are available that cannot be directly used, yet contain relevant information

 The distributions underlying algorithm performance might not have a well-defined mean (heavy-tailed distributions)



New challenge

- How to calculate the expected reward of a bandit process?
- An additional complication is that the reward distributions are often unknown and might even have an ill-defined mean

Contact

Hans Degroote KU Leuven Email: Hans.Degroote@kuleuven-kulak.be Phone: +32 56 24 62 88



